



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

B 1,397,320



GENERAL LIBRARY of the
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

PRESENTED BY

Attorney General

Feb. 2 1905

JK

873

A23



ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY-GENERAL

OF THE

UNITED STATES

FOR

THE YEAR 1900.



WASHINGTON:
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
1900.

REPORT

OF

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Washington, D. C., November 30, 1900.

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled:

In obedience to the requirement of section 384 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, I have the honor to submit the following report of the business transacted by the Department of Justice for the last preceding fiscal year:

SUPREME COURT.

The accompanying table shows the result of last year's work of the Supreme Court of the United States so far as the number of cases can show it. There was a decrease of 150 in the number of cases docketed on the appellate docket, and a decrease of 158 in the number disposed of, the number remaining having been reduced thereby from 304 to 303.

	Appellate docket—October term.									
	1890	1891	1892	1893	1894	1895	1896	1897	1898	1899
Cases at close of previous term not disposed of.....	1,177	1,190	1,073	934	714	640	533	383	313	304
Cases docketed at the term.....	623	379	275	280	332	382	284	302	520	370
Total.....	1,800	1,569	1,348	1,214	1,046	1,022	817	685	833	674
Cases disposed of at the term.....	610	496	414	500	406	499	434	372	529	371
Cases remaining undisposed of.....	1,190	1,073	934	714	640	533	383	313	304	303

The appellate docket at the close of the last term shows a decrease of 1 case since the close of the preceding term. At the close of the October term, 1898, there remained undisposed of on the appellate docket 304 cases, and upon the original docket 4 cases, making a total of 308. The number of cases docketed at the October term, 1899, was 384, 370 of which were on the appellate docket, and 14 on the original docket, which, with the 4 cases pending on the original docket, make the total number of cases pending at that term 692, of which 674

were on the appellate and 18 on the original docket. Of this number 375 were disposed of during the October term, 1899, of which 371 were on the appellate and 4 on the original docket, leaving undisposed of at the close of the October term, 1889, 317 cases, of which 303 were on the appellate and 14 on the original docket.

The number of cases actually considered by the court was 328, of which 174 were argued orally and 154 submitted on printed arguments. Of the 371 appellate cases disposed of, 129 were affirmed, 65 reversed, 58 dismissed, 30 settled by the parties and dismissed; in 9, questions certified were answered, and 80 were denials of petitions for writs of certiorari under the act of March 3, 1891.

The total number of cases on the appellate docket in which the United States was a party or had a substantial interest disposed of at the October term, 1899, was 74. The United States was appellant, etc., in 18 of these cases and appellee, etc., in 56.

Of the 18 cases appealed, etc., by the Government, 8 were decided in its favor and 8 adversely, and 2 cases were dismissed by it.

Of the 56 cases in which the Government was appellee, etc., 36 were determined in its favor and 8 adversely, 1 was dismissed by the appellant, 2 were dismissed by the court for failure of the appellant, etc., to comply with the rules, 7 were docketed and dismissed, and 1 was dismissed by the court and 1 decided in part in favor of the United States.

Of the above 74 cases, 8 were appeals from the Court of Claims, of which 1 was taken by the Government. The 1 case so appealed was decided in favor of the Government, while of the 7 cases in which it was appellee 6 were decided in its favor and 1 was dismissed by the court for noncompliance with the rules.

Of the 74 cases disposed of 3 were capital, of which 1 was decided in favor of the United States and 1 adversely and 1 affirmed in part.

Ten cases were appeals, etc., from the circuit court of appeals, of which 6 were decided in favor of and 2 against the United States, 1 was dismissed for noncompliance with the rules, and 1 was dismissed by the court.

Eighteen cases were from the Court of Private Land Claims, 8 of which were appealed by the Government and 10 by the other side.

Of the 8 cases appealed by the Government 4 were decided in its favor, 2 adversely, and 2 dismissed by it, while of the 10 cases in which the United States was appellee 4 were decided in its favor and 6 were docketed and dismissed.

The United States was respondent in 6 petitions for writs of certiorari under the act of March 3, 1891, and in 2 petitions for certiorari to the court of appeals of the District of Columbia in capital cases, which were denied.

Of the whole number of cases in which the Government had a substantial interest heard and decided by the court, 45 were decided in its favor, 1 in part for the United States, and 16 against the United States.

In addition to the above, in 2 original cases in which the Government was respondent motions for leave to file petitions for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari were denied.

Among the many cases heard and decided by the Supreme Court of the United States during the October term, 1899, the following are the more important:

The Addyston Pipe and Steel Company v. The United States. (175 U. S., 211.)

This case grew out of a combination of six shops, located, one in Ohio, one in Kentucky, two in Tennessee, and two in Alabama, which were engaged in making cast-iron pipe for gas, water, and sewer purposes. These shops controlled the market in that commodity in thirty-six States west of the Allegheny Mountains and south of Virginia. They entered into an agreement to control prices by suppressing competition among themselves. This was done by appointing a representative board of one from each shop, to which all inquiries for pipe were referred. The board fixed the price it thought the job would stand. The job was then sold over the table, the shop which bid the highest bonus for the benefit of the pool getting it. At the public letting the shop that got the job bid the fixed price, and the other shops overbid in order to deceive the public.

On behalf of the combination it was contended that the power of Congress, under the interstate commerce clause, does not extend to agreements among private corporations, but is limited to acts of interference by the States and by quasi public corporations, such as railroads. Private manufacturing corporations, it was insisted, are not public agencies and can not be compelled to keep their shops running or sell their goods to any person who applies. In the next place, it was urged that there was no restraint put upon interstate commerce, and that under the decision in the Knight case the creation of a monopoly in the manufacture of a commodity is not prohibited by the antitrust law.

The Supreme Court held, however, that Congress may prohibit the performance of any agreement between individuals or corporations where the natural and direct effect of it is to regulate or restrain interstate commerce. In other words, the antitrust law applies to every agreement in restraint of interstate trade, whether made by corporations or individuals.

In the next place the court held that any agreement or combination which directly restrains not only the manufacture but the sale of a commodity among the several States comes within the antitrust law.

The distinction between direct and incidental restraint is to be observed. A combination formed for the purpose of monopolizing the manufacture of a commodity within the States may, indirectly and incidentally, suppress competition in the sale of the articles among the several States. But the Supreme Court held in the Knight case that such indirect and incidental result would not be sufficient to bring the combination within the reach of the act. It must be shown that the combination was formed not only to monopolize the production of the commodity, but to suppress competition and enhance prices in its sale among the several States. The syllabus of the case is as follows:

Under the grant of power to Congress, contained in section 8 of Article I of the Constitution, "to regulate commerce with foreign nations and among the several States, and with Indian tribes," that body may enact such legislation as shall declare void and prohibit the performance of any contract between individuals or corporations where the natural and direct effect of such a contract shall be, when carried out, to directly, and not as a mere incident to other and innocent purposes, regulate to any extent interstate or foreign commerce.

The provision in the Constitution regarding the liberty of the citizen is to some extent limited by this commerce clause; and the power of Congress to regulate interstate commerce comprises the right to enact a law prohibiting the citizen from entering into those private contracts which directly and substantially, and not merely indirectly, remotely, incidentally, and collaterally, regulate, to a greater or less degree, commerce among the States.

Interstate commerce consists of intercourse and traffic between the citizens or inhabitants of different States, and includes not only the transportation of persons and property and the navigation of public waters for that purpose, but also the purchase, sale, and exchange of commodities.

The power to regulate interstate commerce and to prescribe the rules by which it shall be governed is vested in Congress, and when that body has enacted a statute such as the act of July 2, 1890, c. 647, entitled "an act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies," any agreement or combination which directly operates, not alone upon the manufacture, but upon the sale, transportation, and delivery of an article of interstate commerce, by preventing or restricting its sale, thereby regulates interstate commerce to that extent, and thus trenches upon the power of the National Legislature and violates the statute.

The contracts considered in this case, set forth in the statement of facts and in the opinion of the court, relate to the sale and transportation to other States of specific articles, not incidentally or collaterally, but as a direct and immediate result of the combination entered into by the defendants; and they restrain the manufacturing, purchase, sale, or exchange of the manufactured articles among the several States, and enhance their value, and thus come within the provisions of the "act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies."

When the direct, immediate, and intended effect of a contract or combination among dealers in a commodity is the enhancement

of its price, it amounts to a restraint of trade in the commodity, even though contracts to buy it at the enhanced price are being made.

The judgment of the court below, which perpetually enjoined the defendants in the court below from maintaining the combination in cast-iron pipe as described in the petition, and from doing any business under such combination, is too broad, as it applies equally to commerce which is wholly within a State as well as to that which is interstate or international only.

Although the jurisdiction of Congress over commerce among the States is full and complete, it is not questioned that it has none over that which is wholly within a State, and therefore none over combinations or agreements so far as they relate to a restraint of such trade or commerce; nor does it acquire any jurisdiction over that part of a combination or agreement which relates to commerce wholly within a State, by reason of the fact that the combination also covers and regulates commerce which is interstate.

**La Abra Silver Mining Company v. United States (175 U. S., 423) and
Weil et al. v. United States.**

It is gratifying to say that the cases which had occupied the attention of this Government in its three departments, successively, for nearly a quarter of a century have been absolutely disposed of, and that the money in the hands of this Government abiding their determination (namely, the sum of \$60,863.85) has been paid back to the Government of Mexico.

These cases it will be remembered had their origin in the claim of Mexico that the awards made against her in favor of La Abra Silver Mining Company, a corporation created by the State of New York, and Benjamin Weil, a citizen of the United States, under the treaty between the United States and Mexico, of July 4, 1868 (15 Stat., 679), had been obtained by fraud effectuated by means of false swearing or other false and fraudulent practices on the part of said claimants. The seriousness of the evidence supporting the representations of Mexico, on the one hand, and the express provision of the treaty, on the other, that the awards made under it should be absolutely "final and conclusive upon each claim decided" and that "full effect" should be given to such awards, "without any objection, evasion, or delay whatsoever," presented a queer situation, which seriously menaced the friendly relations between the two Governments.

Mexico, with the utmost good faith, paid to the United States every dollar awarded against her in favor of the said claimants, but at the same time insisted that there was nothing in the treaty or in the law of nations that prevented this Government from defeating the attempt to make it an instrument in consummating the fraud and perjury charged by turning over to the perpetrators, its own citizens, the money resulting therefrom.

The executor, finding itself unprovided with the means of dealing effectively with the questions presented, turned the whole matter over to Congress, after having distributed to the said claimants a considerable part of the money paid to this Government by Mexico under the said awards, namely, \$412,572.70, with the recommendation that provision should be made by proper legislation for a judicial examination and determination of said questions.

After much discussion and delay Congress finally passed the two acts, dated, respectively, December 28, 1892 (27 Stat., 409-410), giving the Court of Claims jurisdiction to determine the question of fraud in suits to be instituted by the United States against La Abra Company and Benjamin Weil, respectively, and those claiming under them, and providing that, in case the court should find fraud and perjury to have been used in procuring either of said awards or any part thereof, the claimants should be barred and foreclosed as to the whole or such part of said award, and the money paid thereunder returned to Mexico.

The suits contemplated by said act of Congress were duly brought, and, after volumes of testimony introduced and much laborious and protracted discussion in the Court of Claims and in the Supreme Court of the United States, decided in favor of the United States; in other words, that the awards in question had been obtained by means of fraud and perjury as to the full amounts thereof.

Thus has ended a litigation which will be always memorable in the history of this Government.

The syllabus is as follows:

The commissioners appointed under the treaty between the United States and Mexico concluded July 4, 1868, and proclaimed February 1, 1869 (15 Stat., 679), having differed in opinion as to the allowance of the claim of the La Abra Silver Mining Company, a New York corporation, against Mexico, the umpire decided for that company and allowed its claim, amounting, principal and interest, to the sum of \$683,041.32. Mexico met some of the installments of the award and then laid before the United States certain newly discovered evidence which it contended showed that the entire claim of the La Abra Company was fictitious and fraudulent. The Secretary of State thereafter withheld the remaining installments paid by Mexico, and, upon examining the new evidence, reported to the President that in his judgment the honor of the United States was concerned to inquire whether, in submitting the La Abra claim to the commission, its confidence had not been seriously abused, and recommended that Congress exert its plenary authority in respect of the disposition of the balance of the funds received from Mexico and remaining in the hands of the United States. Finally, Congress passed the act of December 28, 1892 (27 Stat., 409, c. 14), by which the Attorney-General was directed to bring suit in the name of the United States in the Court of Claims against the La Abra Company and

all persons asserting any interest in the award of the Commission to determine whether that award was obtained, as to the whole sum included therein or as to any part thereof, by fraud effectuated by means of false swearing or other false and fraudulent practices on the part of the company or its agents, attorneys, or assigns, and, if so determined, to bar and foreclose all claim in law or equity on the part of the company, its legal representatives or assigns, to the money or any such part thereof received from the Republic of Mexico for or on account of the award. By that act full jurisdiction was conferred on the Court of Claims, with right of appeal to this court, to determine such suit, to make all proper interlocutory and final decrees therein, and to enforce the same by injunction or other final process. The act further authorized the return to Mexico of any moneys paid by it on the award and remaining in the custody of the United States if the issue of fraud was determined adversely to the company. If the decision was favorable to the company, it was made the duty of the Secretary of State to proceed with the distribution of the funds in his hands. The act of 1892 was presented to the President on December 20. Two days thereafter Congress took a recess until January 4, 1893. The President signed the bill on December 28, 1892. *Held:*

(1) That the act of 1892 was not invalid by reason of its having been signed during a recess of Congress. Whether the President can sign a bill after the final adjournment of Congress for the session was not decided;

(2) The suit brought by the Attorney-General involved rights capable of judicial determination and was a "case" within the meaning of the clause of the Constitution extending the judicial power of the United States to all cases in law and equity arising under that instrument, the laws of the United States, and the treaties made by it or under its authority. The act did not in any wise trench upon the constitutional functions of the President. Nor was it simply ancillary or advisory to him. Whatever decree was rendered by the Court of Claims was, unless reversed, binding and conclusive upon the United States and the defendants;

(3) The act was not liable to the objection that it was inconsistent with the principles underlying international arbitration. On the contrary, such legislation is an assurance in the most solemn and binding form that the Government of this country will exert all the power it possesses to enforce good faith upon the part of citizens who, asserting that they have been wronged by the authorities of another country, seek the intervention of their Government to obtain redress;

(4) This court was entitled to look at all the evidence in the cause on the issue as to fraud, because the act did not contemplate a special finding by the Court of Claims of the ultimate facts established by the evidence;

(5) The question stated in the act of 1892—whether the award in question "was obtained as to the whole sum included therein, or as to any part thereof, by fraud effectuated by means of false swearing or other false and fraudulent practices on the part of the said La Abra Silver Mining Company, or its agents, attorneys, or assigns"—is answered in the affirmative as to the whole sum included in the award.

The United States v. The Oregon and California Railroad Company. (176 U. S., 28.)

The question involved in this case was the right of the United States to about 218,000 acres of land near Portland, Oreg., granted to the Northern Pacific Railroad Company by the act of July 2, 1864, and forfeited, for failure to construct the road, to the Government by the act of September 29, 1890, in view of the overlapping grant to the Oregon and California Railroad Company by the act of July 25, 1866, under which that road was constructed and the lands patented to it.

The original suit was brought by direction of Attorney-General Miller to cancel the patents to the Oregon and California and restore the lands to the public domain. The court held that as the route of the Northern Pacific had not been definitely fixed prior to the time of the grant to the Oregon and California and the date of the definite location of the latter road the lands were lawfully entered by the Oregon and California and were rightfully patented to it. The syllabus of the case is as follows:

By the act of July 2, 1864 (13 Stat., 365, c. 217), Congress granted lands to the Northern Pacific Railroad Company to aid in the construction of a railroad and telegraph line from a point on Lake Superior in Wisconsin or Minnesota to some point on Puget Sound, with a branch, via the valley of the Columbia River, to a point at or near Portland, in the State of Oregon. The grant was of "every alternate section of public land, not mineral, designated by odd numbers, to the amount of twenty alternate sections per mile on each side of said railroad line as said company may adopt through the Territories of the United States, and ten alternate sections of land per mile on each side of said railroad whenever it passes through any State, and whenever, on the line thereof, the United States have full title, not reserved, sold, granted, or otherwise appropriated, and free from preemption, or other claims or rights, at the time the line of said road is definitely fixed, and a plat thereof filed in the office of the Commissioner of the General Land Office; and whenever, prior to said time, any of said sections or parts of sections shall have been granted, sold, reserved, occupied by homestead settlers, or preempted, or otherwise disposed of, other lands shall be selected by said company in lieu thereof, under the direction of the Secretary of the Interior, in alternate sections, and designated by odd numbers, not more than 10 miles beyond the limits of said alternate sections." In March, 1865, the president of that company filed in the Land Department a map which, if of value for any purpose, was only a map of "general route," not one of definite location between Wallula and Portland. That map was not accepted. By act of July 25, 1866 (14 Stat., 239, c. 242), Congress made a grant of land in aid of the construction of a railroad and telegraph line between Portland, Oreg., and the Central Pacific Railroad Company in California. That grant was in the usual terms employed in such acts. Subsequently the benefit of that grant as to the part of the road to be

constructed in Oregon was conferred upon the Oregon Central Railroad Company. The lands here in dispute, whether place or indemnity, were within the limits of the grant of 1866. The entire line of road of the Oregon and California Railroad Company, which was the successor of the Oregon Central Railroad Company, was fully constructed and duly accepted by the President, and at the time this suit was begun was being operated and had been continuously operated by that company. The Oregon Company filed its map of definite location in 1870, and it was accepted by the Land Department. By the act of September 29, 1890 (26 Stat., 496, c. 1040), all lands theretofore granted to any State or corporation to aid in the construction of a railroad opposite to or coterminous with the portion of any such railroad not then completed and in operation, for the construction of which such lands were granted, were forfeited to the United States. There never was any withdrawal of indemnity lands on the proposed line of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company between Wallula and Portland, nor was there any definite location or construction of its road opposite to the lands in suit. *Held,*

(1) That nothing in the act of 1864 stood in the way of Congress subsequently granting to other railroad corporations the privilege of earning any lands that might be embraced within the general route of the Northern Pacific Railroad.

(2) That as the grant contained in that act did not include any lands that had been reserved, sold, granted, or otherwise appropriated at the time the line of the Northern Pacific Railroad was "definitely fixed;" as the route of the Northern Pacific Railroad had not been definitely fixed at the time the act of July 25, 1866, was passed, or when the line of the Oregon Company was definitely located; as the lands in dispute are within the limits of the grant contained in the act of 1866; as the route of the Oregon Railroad was definitely fixed, at least when the map showing that route was accepted by the Secretary of the Interior on the 29th day of January, 1870—the Northern Pacific Railroad Company having done nothing prior to the latter date except to file the Perham map of 1865; and as prior to the forfeiture act of September 29, 1890, there had not been any definite location of the Northern Pacific Railroad opposite the lands in dispute, there is no escape from the conclusion that these lands were lawfully earned by the Oregon Company and were rightfully patented to it. Of course, if the route of the Northern Pacific road had been definitely located before the act of 1890 was passed and had embraced the lands in dispute different questions would have been presented.

The United States v. The Northern Pacific Railroad Co. (177 U. S., 435.)

This was a suit brought by the Government to cancel the patent granted to the Northern Pacific for a tract of land more than 10 miles east of Duluth, Minn. The question involved was whether Duluth, Minn., or Ashland, Wis., is the eastern terminus of the Northern Pacific under the act of July 2, 1864. In this suit the Government contended that Duluth, Minn., is the eastern terminus; but the court,

following the decision in *Doherty v. Northern Pacific*, argued and decided at the same time, held Ashland to be the terminus. The following is the syllabus:

The important questions of fact and law are substantially the same in this case and in *Doherty v. Northern Pacific Railway Company*, ante 421, and that case is followed in this in regard to the questions common to the two cases.

The obvious purpose of this suit was to have the question of the proper terminus of the company's road determined; and if that terminus was found to be at Ashland, then the complainant would not be entitled to any relief.

Under the act of July 4, 1864, noncompletion of the railroad within the time limited did not operate as a forfeiture.

As the bill in this case does not allege that it is brought under authority of Congress for the purpose of enforcing a forfeiture, and does not allege any other legislative act looking to such an intention, this suit must be regarded as only intended to have the point of the eastern terminus judicially ascertained.

As the evidence and conceded facts failed to show any mistake, fraud, or error in fact or in law in the action of the Land Department in accepting the location of the eastern terminus made by the company, and in issuing the patent in question, the bill was properly dismissed.

The United States v. The Bellingham Bay Boom Company. (176 U. S., 211.)

This was a suit brought by the Attorney-General, upon the request of the Secretary of War, under the authority conferred by the river and harbor act of September 19, 1890, to enjoin the Bellingham Bay Boom Company from maintaining a boom in the Nooksak river, a navigable stream in the State of Washington. The boom company contended that its boom was authorized by the law of Washington and that whether it was constructed in accordance with that law was a State question, to be determined by the courts of the State of Washington, with which the Federal courts could have no concern. This was the view taken by the lower courts, but the Supreme Court reversed the case, holding that the question whether the boom was an obstruction to navigation and whether it was affirmatively authorized by the State law were questions to be passed upon by the Federal courts. The Supreme Court held that the boom was an obstruction and was not authorized by the State law. The following is the syllabus:

The power of Congress to pass laws for the navigation of public rivers, and to prevent any and all obstructions therein, can not be questioned.

When the Attorney-General acts under the authority conferred by the river and harbor act of September 19, 1890, c. 907, he has the right to call upon the court, upon proper proofs being made, to enjoin the continuance of any obstruction not authorized by statute, and the court has jurisdiction, and it is its duty, to decide

whether the existing obstruction is or is not affirmatively authorized by law.

In such inquiry the court is bound to decide whether the boom, as existing, is authorized by any law of the State, when such law is claimed to be a justification for its creation or continuance.

There is no doubt that the boom in question in this case violates the statute under which it was built, because it does not allow free passage between the boom and the opposite shore for boats or vessels as provided for in the State law.

Rider v. The United States. (178 U. S., 251.)

In this case Rider and his associates, the county commissioners of Muskingum County, Ohio, were indicted and convicted under the act of September 19, 1890, for failing to comply with an order of the Secretary of War directing them to remove an obstruction to the navigation of the Muskingum River by altering in a certain way a bridge across that river which the law of Ohio placed under their control. Without passing upon any questions raised in the case, the court held that the conviction could not be sustained, because it appeared that the commissioners did not have in their hands, and under the laws of Ohio could not obtain, public money that could be applied in execution of the order of the Secretary of War within the time fixed by that officer to complete the alteration of the bridge.

The fourth and fifth sections of the river and harbor act, approved September 19, 1890, provide: "Sec. 4. That section 9 of the river and harbor act, act of August 11, 1888, be amended and reenacted so as to read as follows: That whenever the Secretary of War shall have good reason to believe that any railroad or other bridge now constructed or which may hereafter be constructed over any of the navigable waterways of the United States is an unreasonable obstruction to the free navigation of such waters on account of insufficient height, width or span, or otherwise, or where there is difficulty in passing the draw opening of the drawspan of such bridge by rafts, steamboats, or other water crafts, it shall be the duty of said Secretary, first giving the parties reasonable opportunities to be heard, to give notice to the persons or corporations owning or controlling such bridge so to alter the same as to render navigation through or under it reasonably free, easy, and unobstructed; and in giving such notice he shall specify the changes to be made and shall prescribe in each case a reasonable time in which to make them. If at the end of such time the alteration has not been made, the Secretary of War shall forthwith notify the United States district attorney for the district in which such bridge is situated to the end that the criminal proceedings mentioned in the succeeding section may be taken. Sec. 5. That section 10 of the river and harbor act of August 11, 1888, be amended and reenacted so as to read as follows: That if the persons, corporations, or associations owning or controlling any railroad or other bridge shall after receiving notice to that effect, as hereinafter required, from the Secretary of War, and within the time prescribed by him, wilfully fail or refuse to remove the same,

or to comply with the lawful order of the Secretary of War in the premises, such person, corporation, or association shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, on conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$5,000, and every month such person, corporation, or association shall remain in default as to the removal or alteration of such bridge, shall be deemed a new offence and subject the person, corporation, or association so offending to the penalties above described." (26 Stat., 426, 453, c. 907.) Proceeding under that act the Secretary of War gave notice to the county commissioners of Muskingum County, Ohio, to make on or before a named day certain alterations in a bridge over the Muskingum River, Ohio, at Taylorsville, in that State. The commissioners, although having control of the bridge, did not make the alterations required and were indicted under the act of Congress.

Held, That however broadly the act of Congress may be construed, it ought not to be construed as embracing officers of a municipal corporation owning or controlling a bridge who had not in their hands, and under the laws of their State could not obtain, public moneys that could be applied in execution of the order of the Secretary of War within the time fixed by that officer to complete the alteration of such bridge.

Leovy v. The United States. (177 U. S., 621.)

In this case the court reversed a conviction of Leovy for building a dam across the Red Pass, claimed to be a navigable stream flowing into the Gulf of Mexico from the Jump, an outlet of the Mississippi into the Gulf. The defendant below claimed to have acted under authority of the State of Louisiana. The views of the court are sufficiently stated in the syllabus, which is as follows:

Subject to the paramount jurisdiction of Congress over the navigable waters of the United States, the State of Louisiana had, under the act of March 2, 1849, c. 87, and the other statutes referred to in the opinion of the court, full power to authorize the construction and maintenance of levees, drains, and other structures necessary and suitable to reclaim swamp and overflowed lands within its limits.

The dam constructed by the plaintiff in error at Red Pass was constructed under the police power of the State and within the terms and purpose of the grant by Congress.

The decision of the jury, to whom it had been left to determine whether the plaintiff in error was guilty, that the pass was in fact navigable, is not binding upon this court.

The term navigable waters of the United States has reference to commerce of a substantial and permanent character to be conducted thereon.

The defendant below was entitled to the instruction asked for, but refused, that the jury should be satisfied from the evidence that Red Pass was at the time it was closed substantially useful to some purpose of interstate commerce, as alleged in the indictment.

Upon the record now before the court it is held that Red Pass, in the condition it was when the dam was built, was not shown by adequate evidence to have been a navigable water of the United States actually used in interstate commerce and that the court should have charged the jury, as requested; that upon the whole evidence adduced the defendants were entitled to a verdict of acquittal.

Cruickshank v. Bidwell. (176 U. S., 73.)

This was a suit brought by Cruickshank, an importer of tea, against the collector of the port of New York, to enjoin the latter from retaining possession of certain teas which he had seized under the act of March 2, 1897, "to prevent the importation of impure and unwholesome tea." It was claimed that this act is unconstitutional. The court held that no sufficient ground for an injunction was shown; that the importer had an adequate remedy at law by a suit to recover from the collector the value of the teas seized, in case the act were unconstitutional. The following is the syllabus:

The mere fact that a law is unconstitutional does not entitle a party to relief by injunction against proceedings in compliance therewith, but it must appear that he has no adequate remedy by the ordinary processes of the law, or that the case falls under some recognized head of equity jurisdiction; and in this case the averments of the complainants' bill did not justify such an interference with Executive action.

The seizure of importations of teas purchased after the approval of the act of March 2, 1897, c. 358, entitled "An act to prevent the importation of impure and unwholesome tea," and the establishment of regulations and standards thereunder, publicly promulgated and known to complainants, because falling below the standards prescribed, could inflict no other injury than what it must be assumed was anticipated, and the interposition of a court of equity can not properly be invoked, under such circumstances, to determine in advance whether complainants, if they imported teas of that character, could escape the consequences on the ground of the invalidity of the law.

United States v. Harris. (177 U. S., 305.)

The original suit was brought against the receivers of the Philadelphia and Reading Railroad Company under sections 4386, 4387, 4388, and 4389 of the Revised Statutes to recover a penalty for confining horses, in the course of interstate transportation, in cars more than twenty-eight consecutive hours, without unloading them for rest, water, and food.

The court held that under the rule of strict construction applicable to a penal statute the receivers of a railroad company do not come within the terms of the law.

In view of this holding, it is a matter for the consideration of Congress whether the existing law ought not to be amended so as to apply to railroads when managed by their receivers as well as to railroads when managed by their directors and officers. Obviously, no reason can be suggested for preventing cruelty to animals by railroads operated by their officers which do not equally apply to such railroads when operated by receivers.

Ex Parte Baez. (177 U. S., 378.)

Baez, a native-born inhabitant of Porto Rico, applied for leave to file a petition for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari to secure his release from further imprisonment under the judgment of the United States provisional court of Porto Rico, sentencing him to be confined for thirty days at hard labor for illegal voting at a municipal election held under a military order. Interesting questions were raised by the petition, but the court declined to grant leave to file it on the ground that if the writs should issue, the term of imprisonment would expire before they could be served and returned.

Carter v. Roberts. (177 U. S., 496.)

This case and the decision of the court are sufficiently stated in the syllabus, which is as follows:

Captain Carter, of the Corps of Engineers in the Army of the United States, was duly and regularly tried before a legally convened court-martial, was found guilty of the charges made against him, and was sentenced to dismissal; to be fined; to be imprisoned, and to publication of crime and punishment; and the sentence was duly approved and confirmed. On a motion in his behalf the United States circuit court for the second circuit issued a writ of habeas corpus to inquire into the matter, which resulted in the dismissal of the writ and the remanding of Carter to custody. He took an appeal to the circuit court of appeals for the second circuit, which affirmed the judgment below, and this court denied an application for a writ of certiorari to review that judgment. An appeal and writ of error was allowed on the same day by a judge of the circuit court to this court.

Held, that the appeal and writ of error could not be maintained, as they fall directly within the ruling in *Robinson v. Caldwell* (165 U. S., 359), where it was held that the judiciary act of March 3, 1891, does not give a defeated party in a circuit court the right to have his case finally determined both in this court and in the circuit court of appeals on independent appeals.

When cases arise which are controlled by the construction or application of the Constitution of the United States, a direct appeal lies to this court, and if such cases are carried to the circuit courts of appeals those courts may decline to take jurisdiction, or where such construction or application is involved with other

questions may certify the constitutional question and afterwards proceed to judgment, or may decide the whole case in the first instance; but when the circuit court of appeals has acted on the whole case, its judgment stands unless revised by certiorari to or appeal from that court in accordance with the act of March 3, 1891.

Fitzpatrick v. The United States. (178 U. S., 304.)

Fitzpatrick, jointly indicted with two others, was convicted in the district court of Alaska of the crime of murder, but the jury qualified their verdict by finding him guilty "without capital punishment." The judgment was affirmed. A number of interesting questions respecting the sufficiency of the indictment and the relevancy of testimony were passed upon by the court. Probably the most important finding was that a conviction for murder is a conviction of a capital crime, although the jury qualify their verdict of guilty by adding the words "without capital punishment." The following is the syllabus:

Under the court of appeals act of March 3, 1891, a conviction for murder is a "conviction of a capital crime," though the jury qualify their verdict of guilty by adding the words "without capital punishment." The test of a capital crime is not the punishment which is imposed, but that which may be imposed under the statute.

Under the statute of Oregon requiring the offense to be stated "in ordinary and concise language and in such manner as to enable a person of common understanding to know what was intended," an indictment for murder charging that the defendant feloniously, purposely, and of deliberate and premeditated malice inflicted upon the deceased a mortal wound of which he instantly died is a sufficient allegation of premeditated and deliberate malice in killing him.

Evidence that one jointly indicted with the defendant was found to have been wounded in the shoulder, and his accompanying statement that he had been shot, were held to be competent upon the trial of the defendant.

Any fact which had a bearing upon the question of defendant's guilt, immediate or remote, and occurring at any time before the incident was closed, was held proper for the consideration of the jury, although statements made by other defendants in his absence implicating him with the murder would not be competent.

The prisoner, taking the stand in his own behalf and swearing to an alibi, was held to have been properly cross-examined as to the clothing worn by him on the night of the murder, his acquaintance with the others jointly indicted with him, and other facts showing his connection with them.

Where an accused party waives his constitutional privilege of silence and takes the stand in his own behalf and makes his own statement, the prosecution has a right to cross-examine him upon such statement with the same latitude as would be exercised in the case of an ordinary witness as to the circumstances connecting him with the alleged crime.

Evidence in rebuttal with respect to the effect of light from the flash of a revolver was held to be competent where the defense put in a calendar, apparently for the purpose of showing the time the moon rose that night.

Knowlton v. Moore. (178 U. S., 41.)

In this case the court sustained the constitutionality of the Federal tax on legacies and distributive shares of personal property imposed by the war-revenue act of June 13, 1898. The act was assailed on many grounds:

1. It was insisted that it was a direct tax, within the decision in the income-tax cases, because it falls ultimately upon the property inherited, and being unapportioned among the States was invalid.

2. It was urged if not a tax on property, but a tax upon the privilege of transmitting or receiving property, it is invalid because the privilege of inheritance is conferred and regulated by the States. To permit the General Government to tax this privilege would be to allow it to abridge or destroy a right lawfully conferred by the States.

3. Moreover, it was contended that if an excise the tax violated the rule of uniformity laid down by the Constitution, more especially in the graded feature, which raises the rate as the value of the legacy increases.

The court, in a most able and elaborate opinion by Mr. Justice White, overruled all these contentions and vindicated in the amplest manner the authority of the General Government to raise its revenues by taxing valuable privileges however obtained, and in so doing to apportion the burdens of government equitably, keeping in mind "the ability of the person on whom the burden is placed to bear the same." The following is the syllabus:

The plaintiffs in error were the executors of the will of Edwin F. Knowlton, of Brooklyn, N. Y. The defendant in error was the United States collector of internal revenue for the first collection district for the State of New York. Mr. Knowlton died at Brooklyn in October, 1898, and his will was duly proved. Under the portion of the act of Congress of June 13, 1898, which is printed at length in a note to the opinion of the court in this case, the United States collector of internal revenue demanded of the executors a return, showing the amount of the personal estate of the deceased and the legatees and distributees thereof. This return the executors made under protest, asserting that the act of June 13 was unconstitutional. This return showed that the personal estate amounted to over two and a half millions of dollars, and that there were several legacies ranging from under \$10,000 each to over \$1,500,000. The collector levied the tax on the legacies and distributive shares, but for the purpose of fixing the rate of the tax considered the whole of the personal estate of the deceased as

fixing the rate for each, and not the amount coming to each individual legatee under the will. As the rates under the statute were progressive from a low rate on legacies amounting to \$10,000 to a high rate on those exceeding \$1,000,000, this decision greatly increased the aggregate amount of the taxation. The executors protested on the grounds (1) that the provisions of the act were unconstitutional; (2) that legacies amounting to less than \$10,000 were not subject to any tax or duty; (3) that a legacy of \$100,000, taxed at the rate of \$2.25 per \$100, was only subject to the rate of \$1.12½. Demand having been made by the collector for payment, payment was made under protest, and, after the Commissioner of Internal Revenue had refused to refund any of it, the executors commenced suit to recover the amount so paid. The circuit court sustained a demurrer upon the ground that no cause of action was alleged, and dismissed the suit, which was then brought here by writ of error. *Held:*

(1) That the statute clearly imposes the duty on the particular legacies or distributive shares, and not on the whole personal estate;

(2) That it makes the rate of the tax depend upon the character of the links connecting those taking with the deceased, being primarily determined by the classifications, and progressively increased according to the amount of the legacies or shares;

(3) That the court below erred in denying all relief, and that it should have held the plaintiffs entitled to recover so much of the tax as resulted from taxing legacies not exceeding \$10,000, and from increasing the tax rate with reference to the whole amount of the personal estate of the deceased from which the legacies or distributive shares were derived.

Death duties were established by the Roman and ancient law, and by the modern laws of France, Germany, and other continental countries, England and her colonies, and an examination of all shows that tax laws of this nature rest in their essence upon the principle that death is the generating source from which the particular taxing power takes its being, and that it is the power to transmit or the transmission from the dead to the living on which such taxes are more immediately vested.

When a particular construction of a statute will occasion great inconvenience or produce inequality and injustice, that view is not to be favored if another and more reasonable interpretation is present in the statute.

The provision in section 8 of Article I of the Constitution, that "all duties, imports, and excises shall be uniform throughout the United States," refers purely to a geographical uniformity, and is synonymous with the expression "to operate generally throughout the United States."

The statute considered in this case embraces the District of Columbia.

High v. Coyne. (178 U. S., 111.)

This case involved substantially the same questions as *Knowlton v. Moore*, and was argued, submitted, and decided at the same time.

Fidelity Trust and Safe Deposit Company v. McLain. (178 U. S., 113.)

This case also was argued, submitted, and decided along with *Knowlton v. Moore* and *High v. Coyne*, and upon the same lines.

Murdock v. Ward. (178 U. S., 139.)

In this case, in addition to the questions decided in *Knowlton v. Moore*, the court held that the portion of a legacy invested in United States bonds is not exempt from the Federal legacy tax, thus applying to the Federal legacy tax the same principles which in *Plummer v. Coler* (178 U. S., 115) are applied to the State inheritance tax of New York.

Sherman v. The United States. (178 U. S., 150.)

The rules laid down in *Knowlton v. Moore* and *Murdock v. Ward* are followed and applied in this case.

Boske v. Comingore. (177 U. S., 459.)

This was an appeal from a final order of the district court of the United States for the district of Kentucky, in a habeas corpus proceeding, discharging Comingore, collector of internal revenue for the Sixth district of Kentucky, from the custody of the appellant, as sheriff of Kenton County. Comingore, the collector, had been adjudged in contempt of court for refusing, while giving his deposition in a case pending in the State court, to file with his deposition copies of certain reports made by distillers which were in his custody as an officer of the Treasury Department, and sentenced to be confined in the county jail until he furnished the reports called for. The questions raised and decided are stated in the syllabus, which is as follows:

A United States collector of internal revenue was adjudged by a court of limited jurisdiction in Kentucky to be in contempt because he refused, while giving his deposition in a case pending in the State court, to file copies of certain reports made by distillers, and which reports were in his custody as a subordinate officer of the Treasury Department. He based his refusal upon a regulation of that Department which provided:

"All records in the office of collectors of internal revenue or of any of their deputies are in their custody and control for purposes relating to the collection of revenues of the United States only. They have no control of them and no discretion with regard to permitting the use of them for any other purpose." This regulation was made by the Secretary of the Treasury under the authority conferred upon him by section 161 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, which authorized that officer, as the head of an executive department of the Government, "to

prescribe regulations, not inconsistent with law, for the government of his department, the conduct of its officers and clerks, the distribution and performance of its business, and the custody, use, and preservation of the records, papers, and property appertaining to it." The collector having been arrested under the order of the State authorities sued out a writ of habeas corpus before the district court of the United States for the Kentucky district.

Held:

(1) That the case was properly brought directly from the district court to this court as one involving the construction or application of the Constitution of the United States.

(2) As the petitioner was an officer in the revenue service of the United States whose presence at his post of duty was important to the public interests, and whose detention in prison by the State authorities might have interfered with the regular and orderly course of the business of the department to which he belonged, it was proper for the district court to consider the questions raised by the writ of *habeas corpus* and to discharge the petitioner if held in violation of the Constitution and laws of the United States.

(3) The regulation adopted by the Secretary of the Treasury was authorized by section 161 of the Revised Statutes, and that section was consistent with the Constitution of the United States. To invest the Secretary with authority to prescribe regulations not inconsistent with law for the conduct of the business of his Department and to provide for the custody, use, and preservation of the records, papers, and property appertaining to it, was a means appropriate and plainly adapted to the successful administration of the affairs of his Department; and it was competent for him to forbid his subordinates to allow the use of official papers in their custody except for the purpose of aiding the collection of the revenues of the United States.

(4) In determining whether the regulation in question was valid, the court proceeded upon the ground that it was not to be deemed invalid unless it was plainly and palpably against law.

Motes v. The United States. (178 U. S., 458.)

Motes and others were indicted and convicted in the circuit court of the United States for the northern district of Alabama for having, in furtherance of a conspiracy to deprive a citizen of that State of a right or privilege secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States, committed the crime of murder. This was a proceeding to reverse the judgment of conviction. Several interesting questions are raised and decided and are stated in the syllabus, which is as follows:

By the Revised Statutes of the United States it is provided:

"SEC. 5508. If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any citizen in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or if two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with the intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so

secured, they shall be fined not more than five thousand dollars and imprisoned not more than ten years; and shall, moreover, be thereafter ineligible to any office or place of honor, profit, or trust created by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

"SEC. 5509. If in the act of violating any provision in either of the two preceding sections any other felony or misdemeanor be committed, the offender shall be punished for the same with such punishment as is attached to such felony or misdemeanor by the laws of the State in which the offense is committed."

Several persons were indicted under the above provisions in the circuit court of the United States for the northern district of Alabama for the crime of murder committed in execution of a conspiracy to injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate one Thompson because of his having informed the United States authorities of violations by the conspirators of the laws of the United States relating to distilling. In Alabama murder in the first degree is punishable by death or imprisonment for life, at the discretion of the jury. At the preliminary trial before a United States commissioner, Taylor, one of the accused, testified, and his evidence was put in writing and signed by him. It was sufficient, if accepted, to establish the guilt of all the defendants. The accused had opportunity to cross-examine him. At the final trial in the circuit court, Taylor, who had pleaded guilty, was called as a witness for the Government, but did not respond. He had disappeared, although seen in the corridor of the court building about an hour before being called. His absence was not by the procurement or advice of the accused, but was due to the negligence of the officers of the Government. The court, over the objections of the accused, allowed Taylor's written statements, made under oath at the examining trial, to be read in evidence to the trial jury. The accused were found guilty as charged in the indictment, and sentenced to the penitentiary for life. At the trial one of the accused testified and stated that he and Taylor committed the murder, and that the other defendants knew nothing of it and had nothing to do with it. *Held:*

(1) That no constitutional objection could be urged against sections 5508 and 5509;

(2) That under the act of January 15, 1897, c. 29, 29 Stat., 487, the circuit court could not have imposed the penalty of death for the offense charged, but only imprisonment for life;

(3) That under the circuit court of appeals act, 1891, any criminal case involving the construction or application of the Constitution of the United States can be brought, after final judgment, directly to this court from the circuit court;

(4) That the admission as evidence of the written statements made by Taylor at the examining trial was in violation of the rights of the accused under the clause of the sixth amendment to the Constitution of the United States, declaring that in all criminal prosecutions the accused shall enjoy the right to be confronted with the witness against him;

(5) That the defendant who testified under oath as to his guilt, and whose testimony was sufficient to convict him independently of Taylor's written statement at the examining trial, was not entitled to a reversal for the error committed in allowing that statement to be read, because it could not have prejudiced him.

Dewey v. The United States. (178 U. S., 510.)

The original suit was brought in the Court of Claims to recover bounty money earned by the plaintiff in error as the commanding officer of the American fleet at the battle of Manila on May 1, 1898. In fixing the amount of the bounty it was necessary for the court below to determine whether the Spanish vessels engaged at Manila were of superior or inferior force to the American fleet, and to determine, as matter of law, whether, in determining the relative strength of the two fleets, the land batteries and mines and torpedoes of the Spanish should be taken into consideration in arriving at the strength of the Spanish fleet. The Court of Claims held that the land batteries and mines and torpedoes should not be considered in determining the question whether the Spanish forces were of superior or inferior force, and found them to be inferior to the American vessels. The Supreme Court affirmed this judgment. The syllabus of the case is as follows:

In this case it was rightly decided in the court below that in determining under the provisions of Revised Statutes, section 902, whether the Spanish vessels sunk or destroyed at Manila were of inferior or superior force to the American vessels engaged in that battle, the land batteries, mines, and torpedoes, not controlled by those in charge of the Spanish vessels, but which supported those vessels, were to be excluded altogether from consideration, and that the size and armaments of the vessels sunk or destroyed, together with the number of men upon them, were alone to be regarded in determining the amount of the bounty to be awarded.

PRIZE CASES.**The Pedro. (175 U. S., 354.)**

This was a Spanish vessel engaged with other vessels of the same line in regular trade from European to Cuban ports to discharge, thence to the United States in ballast for return cargoes. She was captured on April 22, 1898, about 12 miles from Havana on her way from that port to other Cuban ports to discharge the balance of her cargo, and thence to proceed to Pensacola, Fla., without cargo, to load for European destination. She was condemned below, and this judgment was affirmed by the Supreme Court.

It was contended by claimants that the *Pedro*, although an enemy's vessel, was exempted from capture by the terms of the Executive proclamation of April 26, 1898; but the majority of the court held that she did not come within either the fourth article of the proclamation allowing certain Spanish merchant vessels in any ports or places within the United States until May 21, 1898, to load their cargoes and depart; nor within the fifth article permitting Spanish merchant vessels which, prior to April 21, 1898, had sailed from a foreign port

bound to a port of the United States to enter such port to discharge and afterwards to depart without molestation, because the fact that the *Pedro* was actually trading from one enemy port to another when captured, and that the ultimate destination to the United States was merely for a return cargo, withheld from her this exemption. The syllabus follows:

On the 20th of April, 1898, a joint resolution of Congress was approved by the President, declaring that the people of Cuba are, and of right ought to be, free and independent. On the same day the minister of Spain at Washington demanded his passport, and the diplomatic relations of Spain with the United States were terminated. On the 22d of the same April a blockade of a part of the coast of Cuba was instituted. On the 23d of the same month, in a proclamation of the Queen Regent of Spain, it was declared that a state of war was existing between Spain and the United States. On the 26th of the same month the President issued a proclamation declaring that a state of war existed between the United States and Spain, the fourth and fifth articles of which proclamation were as follows:

"4. Spanish merchant vessels in any ports or places within the United States shall be allowed till May 21, 1898, inclusive, for loading their cargoes and departing from such ports or places; and such Spanish merchant vessels, if met at sea by any United States ship, shall be permitted to continue their voyage if, on examination of their papers, it shall appear that their cargoes were taken on board before the expiration of the above term: *Provided*, That nothing herein contained shall apply to the Spanish vessels having on board any officers in the military or naval service of the enemy, or any coal (except such as may be necessary for their voyage), or any other article prohibited or contraband of war, or any dispatch of or to the Spanish Government.

5. "Any Spanish merchant vessel which, prior to April 21, 1898, shall have sailed from any foreign port bound for any port or place in the United States, shall be permitted to enter such port or place and to discharge her cargo, and afterwards forthwith to depart without molestation; and any such vessel, if met at sea by any United States ship, shall be permitted to continue her voyage to any port not blockaded."

The *Pedro* was built in England, sailed under the British flag till 1887, and then was transferred to a Spanish corporation and sailed under the Spanish flag. Sailing from Antwerp she arrived at Havana with a cargo April 17, 1898. She remained there five days, discharged her cargo, and left for Santiago April 22. At 6 o'clock on that evening, when about 15 miles east of the Morro and 5 miles north of the Cuban coast, she was captured by the *New York*, of the blockading fleet, sent to Key West, and there libeled and condemned.

Held,

(1) That the language of the proclamation was plain, and not open to interpretation;

(2) That the *Pedro* did not come within article 4 of the proclamation; nor within article 5; nor within the reasons usually assigned for exemption from capture;

(3) That it must be assumed that she was advised of the strained relations between the United States and Spain;

(4) That being owned by a Spanish corporation, having a Spanish registry, and sailing under a Spanish flag and a Spanish license, and being officered and manned by Spaniards, she must be deemed to be a Spanish ship, although she was insured against risks of war by British underwriters—that fact being immaterial.

The Guido. (175 U. S., 382.)

The facts in the case of the *Guido* are almost identical with those in the case of the *Pedro*, and the opinion of the majority of the court, affirming the condemnation below, proceeded upon the same ground. The syllabus is as follows:

This was an appeal from a decree condemning the *Guido* as prize of war. On the facts, concisely stated in the opinion of the court, it is *held*, following *The Pedro*, ante 355, that the case was properly disposed of below.

The Buena Ventura. (175 U. S., 384.)

This enemy's vessel cleared on the 16th and sailed on the 19th of April, 1898, from a port of the United States with a cargo of lumber for Rotterdam, Holland, and was captured on the 22d of that month in the Florida straits, between Key West and Cuba. She was condemned in the court below on the ground that being enemy property captured upon the high seas she did not come within any of the protective exceptions of the Executive proclamation of April 26, 1898. She bore from our customs authorities, with a coastwise as well as foreign manifest, a permit to touch at Newport News for coal.

The majority of the court held that, although in ascertaining the intent of such an instrument as the Executive proclamation, we must look to the words used, which may end the matter if the meaning is not open to discussion, nevertheless where construction must be resorted to, such a public instrument should receive a liberal interpretation; and it is found on this view that the *Buena Ventura* may fairly be regarded as within the fourth article of the proclamation. The opinion suggests that possibly the construction adopted is in advance of any previous adjudications on the subject, and continues:

Where, however, the words are reasonably capable of an interpretation which shall include a vessel of this description in the exemption from capture, we are not averse to adopting it, even though this court may be the first to do so. If the Executive should hereafter be inclined to take the other view, the language of his proclamation could be so altered as to leave no doubt of that intention, and it would be the duty of this court to be guided and controlled by it.

The syllabus:

In the fourth clause of the President's proclamation of April 26, 1898, issued after the declaration of war against Spain by Congress, April 25, 1898, it was said:

"4. Spanish merchant vessels in any ports or places within the United States shall be allowed till May 21, 1898, inclusive, for loading their cargoes and departing from such ports or places, and such Spanish merchant vessels, if met at sea by any United States ship, shall be permitted to continue their voyage if, on examination of their papers, it shall appear that their cargoes were taken on board before the expiration of the above term: *Provided*, That nothing herein contained shall apply to Spanish vessels having on board any officer in the military or naval service of the enemy, or any coal (except such as may be necessary for their voyage), or any other article prohibited or contraband of war, or any dispatch of or to the Spanish Government."

The *Buena Ventura*, a Spanish vessel, being at Cuba in March, 1898, was chartered to proceed with all convenient speed to Ship Island, Mississippi, and there to take on board a cargo of lumber for Rotterdam. Under this charter she arrived at Ship Island in the latter part of March, 1898, and took on a cargo of lumber for Rotterdam. She cleared at the custom-house on the 14th of April accordingly, but was detained by low water until April 19, when, between 8 and 9 a. m., she proceeded on her voyage. While so proceeding she was captured by a man-of-war of the United States about 10 miles off the Florida coast. Up to the moment of capture all her officers were ignorant of the existence of a state of war, and the vessel, at the time of her capture, was following the ordinary course of her voyage. After hearing in the district court of the United States the *Buena Ventura* was condemned and sold under a decree of court, and the proceeds were deposited to abide the event of an appeal from that decree. *Held*:

(1) That an innocent vessel like the *Buena Ventura*, which had loaded within a port of the United States, and had sailed therefrom before the commencement of the war, was entitled, under the proclamation, to continue its voyage, that being clearly within the intention of the President, under the liberal construction which this court is bound to give to that document;

(2) That the reversal of the judgment below, condemning the *Buena Ventura*, should be without costs or damages in her favor;

(3) That the moneys arising from the sale of the vessel must be paid to the claimant, deducting only the expenses properly incident to her custody and preservation up to the time of sale.

The Paquete Habana; The Lola. (175 U. S., 677.)

These are the test cases selected to determine whether fishing vessels of the enemy are liable to capture as prize of war.

The argument on behalf of the Government undertook to show that, while by express allowance of the sovereign or executive in the past, small fishing boats of the enemy near their own coasts were exempted on humane grounds and sometimes because they supplied subsistence

to the belligerent's own vessels on blockade duty, larger vessels of the types here involved ought not to be exempted, and were not in fact exempted under any well-established rule of international law, unless by express executive ordinance. On this view the court below condemned these vessels; but the majority of the Supreme Court took the other view and found, after an exhaustive review of the authorities, especially in international law and under the recent practice of nations, including the course of this Government during the Mexican war, that the exemption of such vessels had become an established rule of prize law, and, in effect, that an affirmative executive order would be necessary to justify capture. In consequence, it was held that the seizure was without probable cause, and restitution to the claimants was ordered, with damages and costs. The dissenting opinion expressed the contrary view that the vessels were not exempt as matter of law, and stated that—

The rule is that exemption from the rigors of war is in the control of the Executive. He is bound by no immutable rule on the subject. It is for him to apply, or modify, or to deny altogether such immunity as may have been usually extended.

It may be added that the question whether under the allowance of damages the Government or the naval captors individually are responsible is still pending in the district court.

The following is the syllabus:

Under the act of Congress of March 3, 1891, chapter 517, this court has jurisdiction of appeals from all final sentences and decrees in prize causes, without regard to the amount in dispute and without any certificate of the district judge as to the importance of the particular case.

International law is part of our law, and must be ascertained and administered by the courts of justice of appropriate jurisdiction as often as questions of right depending upon it are duly presented for their determination. For this purpose, where there is no treaty and no controlling executive or legislative act or judicial decision, resort must be had to the customs and usages of civilized nations, and, as evidence of these, to the works of jurists and commentators, not for the speculations of their authors concerning what the law ought to be, but for trustworthy evidence of what the law really is.

At the present day, by the general consent of the civilized nations of the world and independently of any express treaty or other public act, it is an established rule of international law that coast fishing vessels, with their implements and supplies, cargoes and crews, unarmed, and honestly pursuing their peaceful calling of catching and bringing in fresh fish, are exempt from capture as prize of war; and this rule is one which prize courts, administering the law of nations, are bound to take judicial notice of and to give effect to in the absence of any treaty or other public act of their own government in relation to the matter.

At the breaking out of the recent war with Spain, two fishing smacks—the one a sloop, 43 feet long on the keel and of 25 tons burden, and with a crew of three men, and the other a schooner, 51 feet long on the keel and of 35 tons burden, and with a crew of six men—were regularly engaged in fishing on the coast of Cuba, sailing under the Spanish flag, and each owned by a Spanish subject residing in Havana; her crew, who also resided there, had no interest in the vessel, but were entitled to shares, amounting in all to two-thirds of her catch, the other third belonging to her owner; and her cargo consisted of fresh fish, caught by her crew from the sea, put on board as they were caught, and kept and sold alive. Each vessel left Havana on a coast fishing voyage and sailed along the coast of Cuba about 200 miles to the west end of the island; the sloop there fished for twenty-five days in the territorial waters of Spain; and the schooner extended her fishing trip a hundred miles farther across the Yucatan Channel, and fished for eight days on the coast of Yucatan. On her return, with her cargo of live fish, along the coast of Cuba, and when near Havana, each was captured by one of the United States blockading squadron. Neither fishing vessel had any guns or ammunition on board; had any knowledge of the blockade, or even of the war, until she was stopped by a blockading vessel; made any attempt to run the blockade, or any resistance at the time of her capture; nor was there any evidence that she, or her crew, was likely to aid the enemy. *Held*, that both captures were unlawful, and without probable cause.

The Newfoundland. (176 U. S., 97.)

The *Newfoundland* was a British steamer, seized on July 19, 1898, off Havana for attempting to violate the blockade. Highly suspicious circumstances affecting the vessel and her course along the Cuban coast were shown, especially loitering and hovering about in the neighborhood of Havana, which led the district court to enter a decree of condemnation. This decree the Supreme Court, however, reversed on the ground that the proof offered on behalf of the Government was not adequate; that a more definite demonstration of intention and attempt to break the blockade must appear; and that, while the record raises doubts and suspicions and makes probable cause for the capture of the ship and justifies her captors, it does not sustain a forfeiture. Accordingly the syllabus holds—

The question in this case is as to the adequacy of the proof offered on behalf of the Government and the captors to show that the *Newfoundland* was trying to violate the blockade of Havana, and the court is of opinion that it does not attain to that degree which affords a reasonable assurance of the justice of the sentence of forfeiture in the court below; that it raises doubts and suspicions and makes probable cause for the capture of the ship and justification of her captors, but not forfeiture.

The Adula (176 U. S., 361).

The *Adula* was an English vessel which had been chartered to a Spaniard during the Spanish war, and sailed from Kingston, Jamaica for Guantanamo, Cuba, among other Cuban ports, and was there seized for breach of the blockade *de facto* established at Guantanamo by direction of the admiral commanding in those waters. The case was carefully argued and considered on a voluminous record in the prize court, and the vessel condemned. It was so argued and considered in the Supreme Court, and the condemnation affirmed, four of the justices dissenting. The claimants thereupon filed a petition for rehearing, which was denied, and have now made application to the Executive for restitution as an act of clemency or grace. This latter application is not yet determined.

The claimant relied in part upon an asserted intention of humane motive, namely, to bring away refugees, and the purpose to obtain permission of the blockading fleet to enter the Cuban ports. The majority of the court, however, finding that Guantanamo was actually and effectively blockaded, held that the owner and charterer of the *Adula* were duly warned by the American consul at Kingston not to let the vessel go on the trip in question, and that—

While the mission of the *Adula* was not an unfriendly one to this Government, she was not a cartelship privileged from capture as such, but one employed in a commercial enterprise for the personal profit of the charterer, and only secondarily, if at all, for the purpose of humanity. Her enterprise was an unlawful one, in case a blockade existed, and both Solis and the master of the *Adula* were cognizant of this fact.

The syllabus follows:

A legal blockade may be established by a naval officer acting upon his own discretion, or under direction of superiors, without governmental notification.

In view of the operations being carried on for the purpose of destroying or capturing the Spanish fleet at Santiago de Cuba and the reduction of that place, it was competent for the admiral commanding the squadron to establish a blockade there and at Guantanamo as an adjunct to such operations, and such blockade was valid as against all vessels having notice thereof. It appearing that Guantanamo was 18 miles from the mouth of Guantanamo Bay and was still occupied by the enemy, *held*, that although the American troops occupied the mouth of the bay, the blockade was still operative as to vessels bound to the city of Guantanamo.

The legal effect of a lawful and sufficient blockade is a closing of the port and an interdiction of the entrance of all vessels of whatever nationality or business.

The sailing of a vessel with a premeditated intent to violate a blockade is *ipso facto* a violation of the blockade, and renders her subject to capture from the moment she leaves the port of departure.

If a master has actual notice of a blockade, he is not at liberty even to approach the blockaded port for the purpose of making inquiries.

If a neutral vessel be chartered to an enemy, she becomes to a certain extent and *pro hac vice* an enemy's vessel, and a notice to her charterer of the existence of a blockade is a notice to the vessel.

It appearing in this case that both the charterer and the vessel had been previously engaged in bringing away refugees from Cuba, and were chargeable with notice of the military and naval operations against that island, that such facts were of common knowledge at the port from which she sailed, and that intercourse with Cuban ports was dangerous; and it appearing from a preponderance of evidence that both the charterer and master of the vessel had knowledge of the blockade: *Held*, that the vessel was properly condemned.

If an examination of the ship's papers and the testimony of the crew, taken *in preparatorio*, make a case for condemnation, an order for further proof is only made where the interests of justice clearly require it: *Held*, in this case that there was no error in denying the motion of the claimant for further proofs.

The Panama. (176 U. S., 535.)

This vessel sailed from New York for Havana with a general cargo on April 20, 1898, and was captured on the 25th while approaching that port. She was condemned in the court below on the ground that, since by the act of Congress of April 25, 1898, and by the Executive proclamation on the succeeding day, it was determined that the war with Spain began on April 21, including that day, all Spanish property afloat captured from that time became liable to condemnation, and that this vessel was not exempt under any provision of the Executive proclamation.

The majority of the Supreme Court affirmed the condemnation and found that the *Panama* was not entitled to the exemption of article 4 of the proclamation because, being under a contract with the Spanish Government, which attached her provisionally to the naval reserve, she carried an armament susceptible of use for hostile purposes, and was liable, upon arrival at the enemy port of destination, to be appropriated for such purposes. This decision and the other points involved are shown by the syllabus, as follows:

No general rule of international law exempts mail ships from capture as prize of war.

A Spanish mail steamship carrying mail of the United States from New York to Havana at the time of the breaking out of the recent war with Spain was not exempt from capture by the sixth clause of the President's proclamation of April 26, 1898.

At the time of the breaking out of the recent war with Spain a Spanish mail steamship was on a voyage from New York to Havana, carrying a general cargo, passengers, and mails, and

having mounted on board two breech-loading Hontoria guns of 9-centimetre bore, and one Maxim rapid-firing gun, and having also on board twenty Remington rifles and ten Mauser rifles, with ammunition for all the guns and rifles, and thirty or forty cutlasses. Her armament had been put on board more than a year before, for her own defense, as required by her owner's mail contract with the Spanish Government, which also provided that, in case of war, that Government might take possession of the vessel, with her equipment, increase her armament, and use her as a war vessel, and, in these and other provisions, contemplated her use for hostile purposes in time of war. *Held*, that she was not exempt from capture as prize of war by the fourth clause of the President's proclamation of April 26, 1898.

The Benito Estenger. (176 U. S., 568.)

The *Benito Estenger* was a Spanish vessel, but was sailing under the English flag after transfer from the Spanish owner to the neutral, and was captured off Cape Cruz, on the south coast of Cuba, at the end of June, 1898. She was condemned in the court below as enemy property, and that condemnation was affirmed by the Supreme Court, three justices, however, dissenting, the opinion resting the condemnation also on the ground that, although there was no breach of blockade duly established, the vessel had been engaged in illicit intercourse with the enemy after warning given. The transfer to the neutral was found to be merely colorable, and the claimant's charterer, the former or rather the real owner to be, a Cuban subject of Spain, although he claimed to be an adherent of the insurgent cause, and therefore an ally of the United States rather than a loyal subject of Spain. The court found little to support this claim, and applied the general doctrine that in time of war citizens or subjects of the belligerents are enemies to each other without regard to individual sentiments or dispositions. Upon this point the owner of the *Benito Estenger* has now addressed a petition to the Executive for restitution, which has not yet been determined.

The syllabus states:

The general rule is that in time of war the citizens or subjects of the belligerents are enemies to each other without regard to individual sentiments or dispositions, and that political status determines the question of enemy ownership.

By the law of prize, property engaged in any illegal intercourse with the enemy is deemed enemy property, whether belonging to an ally or a citizen, as the illegal traffic stamps it with the hostile character and attaches to it all the penal consequences.

Provisions are not, in general, deemed contraband; but they may become so if destined for the army or navy of the enemy, or his ports of naval or military equipment.

In dealing with a vessel asserted to be an enemy vessel, the fact of trade with the enemy in supplies necessary for the enemy's forces is of decisive importance.

Individual acts of friendship can not change political status

where there is no open adherence to the opposite cause and former allegiance remains apparently unchanged.

A consul has no authority by reason of his official station to grant exemption from capture to an enemy vessel, and this vessel was not entitled to protection by reason of any engagement with the United States.

In cases of peculiar hardship, or calling for liberal treatment, it is not for the courts, but for another department of the Government, to extend such amelioration as the particular instance may demand.

Transfers of vessels *flagrante bello* can not be sustained if subjected to any condition by which the vendor retains an interest in the vessel or its profits, a control over it, or a right to its restoration at the close of the war.

The burden of proof in respect of the validity of such transfers is on the claimant, and the court holds as to the transfer in this case that the requirements of the law of prize were not satisfied by the proofs.

The Carlos F. Roses. (177 U. S., 655.)

The *Carlos F. Roses* was a Spanish bark and was proceeding from Montevideo, where her outward cargo from Spain had been discharged, to Havana, with a cargo of jerked beef and garlic, when on May 17, 1898, she was captured in the Bahama Channel. She was duly condemned as enemy property and no appeal was taken from that judgment. But neutral bankers claimed the cargo on the ground that they had made advances upon the security of the bills of lading indorsed in blank, and were wholly unindemnified except through insurers who would be subrogated to their own rights. Their claim was allowed in the court below; but the majority of the Supreme Court found that the face of the papers and the transactions so far as they were shown presented evidence of an enemy interest which called upon the asserted neutral owners to prove beyond question their right and title, which they had not sufficiently done within the rules and requirements established by the authorities reviewed.

The decree of the lower court was reversed and a decree of condemnation was entered. The court adverted to the fact (without deciding the question) that provisions by the modern law of nations may become contraband although belonging to a neutral, on account of the particular situation of the war or on account of their destination, as for military use at ports of naval or military equipment, and that in this instance the concentration and accumulation of provisions at Havana might be considered a necessary part of Spanish military operations *imminente bello*, and these particular provisions as especially appropriate for Spanish military use.

The syllabus is:

The *Carlos F. Roses*, a Spanish vessel, owned at Barcelona, Spain, sailed from that port for Montevideo, Uruguay, with a

cargo which was discharged there and a cargo of jerked beef and garlic taken on board for Havana, for which she sailed March 16, 1898. On May 17, while proceeding to Havana, she was captured by a vessel of the United States and sent to Key West, where she was libeled. A British company doing business in London laid claim to the cargo on the ground that they had advanced money for its purchase to a citizen of Montevideo, and had received bills of lading covering the shipments. The vessel was condemned as enemy's property, but the proceeds of the cargo, which had been ordered to be sold as perishable property, was ordered to be paid to the claimants. *Held,*

(1) That as the vessel was an enemy vessel, the presumption was that the cargo was enemy's property, and this could only be overcome by clear and positive evidence to the contrary;

(2) That on the face of the papers given in evidence, it must be presumed that when these goods were delivered to the vessel, they became the property of the consignors named in the invoices;

(3) That the British company got the legal title to the goods and the right of possession only if such were the intention of the parties, and that that intention was open to explanation, although the persons holding the papers might have innocently paid value for them;

(4) That in prize courts it is necessary for the claimants to show the absence of anything to impeach the transaction, and at least to disclose fully all the surrounding circumstances, and that the claimant had failed to do so;

(5) That the right of capture acts on the proprietary interest of the thing captured at the time of the capture, and is not affected by the secret liens or private engagements of the parties;

(6) That in this case the belligerent right overrides the neutral claim, which must be regarded merely as a debt and the assignment as a cover to an enemy interest.

United States v. Mrs. Gue Lim. (176 U. S., 459.)

In this case the question was raised whether a wife, or minor children, of Chinese merchants who are already domiciled in this country, may enter the United States without the certificates prescribed by section 6 of the act of July 5, 1884. The court held that a certificate is not necessary in either case, resting the decision upon the following interpretation of the law:

To hold that a certificate is required in this case is to decide that the woman can not come into the country at all, for it is not possible for her to comply with the act, because she can not in any event procure the certificate even by returning to China. She must come in as the wife of her domiciled husband or not at all. The act was never meant to accomplish the result of permanently excluding the wife under the circumstances of this case, and we think that, properly and reasonably construed, it does not do so. If we hold that she is entitled to come in as the wife, because the

true construction of the treaty and the act permits it, there is no provision which makes the certificate the only proof of the fact that she is such wife. In the case of the minor children the same result must follow as in that of the wife.

The syllabus is:

Under the act of July 5, 1884, chapter 220 (23 Stat., 115), construed in connection with the treaty with China of November 17, 1880 (22 Stat., 826), the wives and minor children of Chinese merchants domiciled in this country may enter the United States without certificates.

BUSINESS IN OTHER COURTS.

The business of the Department conducted in the Court of Claims and in the Court of Private Land Claims is shown in detail in the reports of Assistant Attorney-General Pradt, Assistant Attorney-General Thompson, and United States Attorney Matt. G. Reynolds. The same promptness and efficiency that have distinguished the conduct of the different branches of business under the charge of these gentlemen, respectively, during former years have been maintained during the past year. The business of their respective branches is well in hand, and no litigant has just cause of complaint by reason of any delay interposed by the attorneys for the Government.

NEW DEPARTMENT BUILDING.

In my last annual report I informed Congress of the selection of an architect and the approval of a plan for the new building for the Department of Justice, provided for by act of March 3, 1899. In that report I stated that it was probable that on account of the extraordinary increase in the cost of building materials and labor it would be impossible to complete a building of the design selected with the money authorized by the original appropriation, and that an additional appropriation would be necessary. Subsequently to the date of my report I advertised for and received bids for the construction of the building according to the proposed design. These bids verified the prediction I had made, and disclosed the fact that a very substantial increase of the appropriation would be necessary if the building were to be of the capacity and style contemplated at the time the act was passed, and in dignity and finish worthy of the objects for which the structure is intended.

To construct a building of the size required and of the design provided for within the present appropriation would require the use of materials so cheap and common as to render the building a blemish rather than an ornament to the national capital. It would be necessary, instead of constructing the outside walls of the building of mar-

ble, as is proposed, to construct them of terra cotta, and the interior, which, according to the present plans and specifications, is to be of a style and finish which would render it an object of beauty and of general interest, would need to be constructed on the plane of an ordinary cheap office building. I have the honor, therefore, to renew my recommendation for the increase of the limit and an additional appropriation which will enable the Department to erect and furnish a building of the material and in the style provided for by the architect's plans and specifications. It is my purpose to secure new bids for the work, which I shall hereafter submit to Congress as a basis for such further appropriation.

PACIFIC RAILROAD MATTERS.

On September 19, 1900, I received an additional dividend of \$132,942.89 on account of the deficiency due the United States on account of the subsidy debt of the Kansas Pacific branch. The case against the American Loan and Trust Company, of Boston, as trustee, the object of which is to secure on account of said deficiency claim certain moneys in the hands of the trust company, which are the proceeds of securities mortgaged for the benefit and further security of first-mortgage bonds of the Kansas Pacific Railway, and for the benefit, protection, and further security of the United States in respect to their subsidy bonds and interest thereon, is still undisposed of. It is my expectation that ultimately the Government will receive an additional dividend from this source.

The total sum heretofore paid or secured to be paid to the United States on account of Pacific Railway subsidy claims since November 1, 1897, is \$124,554,550.84.

UNITED STATES PRISONERS.

Exhibit J, with this report, shows where United States prisoners have been confined during the year, the districts from which they were received, the number from each district, and the total number in each institution.

On July 1, 1899, there were 3,137 United States convicts in the various prisons and reformatories of the country, as against 2,932 at the close of the last fiscal year on June 30, 1900. Of the total number in confinement at said last date, 902 were in the United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, Kans., and 68 in the United States penitentiary at McNeils Island, Wash. The remainder, 1,962, were in the various State institutions made use of for the purpose.

STATISTICS.

Number of prisoners received during the year in penitentiary.

Total number in custody	4, 583
Discharged during the year	1, 651
In confinement on June 30, 1900	2, 932
Discharged by expiration of sentence	1, 527
Died	54
Pardoned	50
Released by writ of habeas corpus	9
Transferred to insane asylum	11
Received during year ending June 30, 1900	1, 536
Of those in prison on June 30, 1900, there were employed, on piece-price, 436; on State account, 496; on prison duties, 1,636; total working	2, 568
Convicted of violations of revenue laws	150
Counterfeiting	207
Violation of postal laws	282
Introducing liquor into Indian country or selling liquor to Indians	205
Violation of laws relating to pensions	82
Larceny	244
Murder and manslaughter	50
Embezzlement	27
Perjury	30
Forgery	23
Conspiracy	11
Offenses other than those named	225
Born in United States	1, 329
Foreign born	210
Males	1, 503
Females	33
Whites	1, 017
Colored	336
Indians	183
Chinese	29
Could read and write	1, 139
Could read only	45
Could neither read nor write	352
Married	614
Single	922
In prison for first time	1, 384
Heretofore served sentence	152
Received under 20 years of age	280
Between 20 and 30	603
Between 30 and 40	336
Between 40 and 50	183
Over 50 years of age	134
Idle	364

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY AT FORT LEAVENWORTH, KANS.

The fifth annual report of the warden accompanies this report as Exhibit K. On June 30, 1899, there were 799 prisoners confined in the institution. On the corresponding date in the present year 902

were in confinement, the maximum number which the prison can possibly accommodate.

The convicts have been chiefly employed in building the wall to inclose the grounds of the new prison and on the foundation walls for the new buildings.

The total expense of maintaining the institution during the year ended June 30, 1900, has been \$159,124.59, and the average daily cost per capita about 55 cents. The average daily per capita cost of subsistence alone was about 11 cents. The increase in expenditures over last year is due to the large increase in the number of prisoners and the increased cost of supplies.

The health of the inmates has been generally excellent, as shown by the report of the penitentiary physician, due largely to the fact that the prisoners have been employed in outdoor labor, on the farm, in the quarry, and in the erection of the walls.

The prison farm has been very productive and the crops gathered, and to be gathered, will add materially in furnishing subsistence for the prisoners.

THE NEW PENITENTIARY, FORT LEAVENWORTH, KANS.

Attention is invited to the report of the warden and superintendent of construction, which shows the amount of work performed during the year at the new prison site. When it is considered that this labor has been performed by men without previous training in mechanical pursuits, and in the face of many obstacles, among them the loss of time consumed in the daily marches to and from the site, the results are exceedingly gratifying.

As the work progresses, carried on almost wholly by the prisoners themselves, it becomes evident that considerable time must elapse before the new buildings, or any portion of them, can be completed and ready for occupancy. So far it has been the practice to march the prisoners from the old prison to the new site daily—a distance of about $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles—where they are employed on the walls and in the quarry or brickyard, and returned to their quarters after the day's labors are ended. In this way a considerable portion of the working day is lost in going to and fro, and this loss of time in marching and on account of the frequent abandonment of work because of unfavorable weather is injurious in many ways and seriously interferes with the proper discipline of the institution. It is therefore deemed necessary and for the best interests of the Government that the original plan of erecting this penitentiary shall be so far modified as to provide for the erection by contract, as speedily as possible, of a cell wing, with accommodations for at least a portion of the prisoners, with the requisite additions for heating, lighting, dining rooms, etc. In this way the prisoners engaged in the erection of the walls and buildings

can be housed and cared for on the grounds of the new prison, thus voiding the danger involved in marching them to and fro, as is now done, as well as loss of time and injury to discipline referred to above.

It is estimated that it will require about \$300,000 to complete the buildings thus described. If this arrangement can be effected, the erection of the remaining prison buildings, workshops, and wall can then be readily accomplished by the prisoners themselves under the superintendence of the officials.

The warden calls attention, in his report herewith (Exhibit K), to the paramount necessity for the early completion of a cell wing as here recommended, in order that the prisoners may be removed from the old prison to the new at the earliest possible date, and states that if this provision can be effected during the coming year it will be possible to remove the prisoners entirely to the new prison in 1902 and to continue the work of construction by their help alone to final completion.

The appropriation as requested is strongly urged. .

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY AT ATLANTA, GA.

Since my last report the contract for the erection of a United States penitentiary at Atlanta, Ga., has been let, and the work is well under way. The plans, as approved, contemplate the erection of a prison, complete, as provided in the act, to consist of a cell house with accommodations for about 500 prisoners, administration building, dining room, offices, steam and electric plant, etc. It has been ascertained that this is probably the utmost which can be accomplished within the limits of the present appropriation, but the plans are prepared with a view to the addition at any time the Government may deem necessary of an additional building large enough to afford accommodations altogether for at least 1,200 convicts. It is expected that the buildings now provided for will be completed by next summer and ready for occupancy soon after.

The penitentiary will be modern in every respect, and will, it is believed, embody in its construction the best and most enlightened ideas relative to prison buildings. It will be necessary to provide for the conduct and maintenance of the institution, and the proper legislation to these ends has been suggested in the annual estimates submitted as provided by law.

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY AT M'NEILS ISLAND, WASHINGTON.

This institution now contains 68 prisoners, employed chiefly in cutting timber and other outdoor labor on the island. It can accommodate 150 inmates. The entire cost of maintenance for the year has

been \$13,915.38, an average per capita of 65 cents per diem. The average daily per capita cost of subsistence alone has been about 18 cents.

UNITED STATES JAIL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

The report of the warden accompanies this report as Exhibit N. The average daily number of prisoners during the year was 357. The average cost of maintenance was $34\frac{1}{2}$ cents per capita per day, with 9 cents the average cost per capita for subsistence alone. The jail is well conducted, and is among the best in the country.

TREASURY CASES.

The report of the Solicitor of the Treasury shows the amount, character, and results of the litigation conducted under his direction for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900. I have pleasure in indorsing the recommendation made by the Solicitor in favor of an increased appropriation for the purchase of law books for the library of the Solicitor, which office, as Congress is aware, is not physically connected with the Department of Justice, but is housed in the Treasury building, where it is necessary for convenience and dispatch to have at hand a full supply of books and legal literature in order to properly and promptly advise and instruct the Treasury officials upon legal questions arising in the course of the administration of their various departments and bureaus.

I also call attention to the gratifying success which has attended the litigation conducted on behalf of the United States during the past year. Out of more than 3,000 cases decided, over 90 per cent were adjudged in favor of the United States and less than 10 per cent adversely.

I desire to approve and indorse likewise the recommendations made by the Solicitor with reference to the compensation of certain of the clerks in his bureau.

COMMISSION TO REVISE AND CODIFY THE CRIMINAL AND PENAL LAWS.

The report of the commission to revise and codify the criminal and penal laws of the United States mentions the action of the Senate in returning the revision previously submitted of those chapters of the Revised Statutes relating to the organization and jurisdiction of the courts of the United States, to the end that the commission might consider communications from any bar associations that desired to be heard on the proposed changes. The commissioners express their desire for such suggestions, especially with reference to the reformation of procedure, and in the hope that they may be received they have postponed the resubmission of that part of their work.

The commissioners further report that they have substantially completed the revision of the criminal and penal laws. A bill has already passed the House of Representatives providing for a revision of all laws of a general and permanent nature, and as it would materially affect their work, both as to the arrangement and otherwise, they have postponed the completion of the codification of the criminal laws until Congress shall have manifested its will in the premises. The report calls attention to certain omissions or ambiguities in recent acts of Congress that come within the purview of duties of the commission, with the suggestion that Congress may deem it desirable to cure them without delay.

CRIMINAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE.

In my report for the year 1899, I urged upon Congress the necessity of providing, by suitable legislation, for an appeal on the part of the Government in cases of decision adverse to the United States by the courts upon demurrers interposed to indictments. Since that report was written other instances have arisen emphasizing the necessity for such a change in criminal procedure. One instance, among others, will suffice to illustrate the importance of this subject. A criminal information was filed in a United States district court against a person charged with pasturing sheep on the Sierra Forest Reserve, in violation of the act of June 4, 1897 (30 Stat., 35). There are about thirty cases in that district involving the same question, but against different parties. The defendant in the test case instituted filed a demurrer to the information, which the court decided against the Government on mere questions of law. Under the present procedure it is impossible for the Government to have the decision of the district court reviewed, although it is the opinion of the district attorney having charge of the case and of the Attorney-General that the decision was erroneous and ought to be reversed.

It is not conceived that such a law as is proposed would interfere in any way with the constitutional rights of defendants, but would permit the construction of statutes and of the Constitution in criminal cases, where such construction is adverse to the Government, to be submitted in regular course to the appellate courts, and to receive the construction of those courts, a course which is quite as reasonable and necessary in criminal cases as in cases involving mere property rights.

The report of the attorney in charge of pardons shows in sufficient fullness and detail the record of the Department in that respect during the past year, and no special comments thereon appear to be necessary. The same may be said of the report of the librarian and of the clerk in charge of the administration of the bankruptcy act.

The attention of Congress is called to the full and satisfactory reports of the officers in charge of these various subjects and branches and to the recommendations contained therein.

REFORM SCHOOL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

The report of the board of trustees of the Reform School of the District of Columbia (Exhibit L) shows that at the beginning of the last fiscal year there were in the school 206 boys; there were received during the year 103, a total of 309, as against 313 for the previous year. There were discharged during the year 117, leaving in the school June 30, 1900, 192. In the eleven years, from 1887 to 1898, the average number of boys in the school increased from 157 to 236. The present report shows that the diminution in the number which began after that period has continued, and that the reasons for such diminution given by the trustees in their report for the previous fiscal year still exist, but, owing to the passage of the act at the last session of Congress authorizing parole discharges and extending the limit of age for admission to under 17 years instead of under 16 years, it seems probable that the number will increase during the current year. The trustees report a growing improvement in the character of the work in the schoolroom, manual training school, and the instruction given in the various shops, as well as in the outdoor work. A new assembly hall, for which Congress made an appropriation, is nearly completed, and will be of great use.

An instructor has been provided for physical culture, the good effect of which is already apparent. The trustees suggest that the school be utilized as far as possible to the limit of its capacity by sending boys to it whenever circumstances seem to warrant such course, rather than the turning of them over to agencies intended more strictly for charitable work, thereby distributing them to persons or places where possibly they get little or no instruction, those to whom they are committed getting not only their services but compensation, making an additional expense to be paid from the public Treasury.

I agree with what the trustees say on this subject.

GIRLS' REFORM SCHOOL.

The reports of the trustees, of the superintendent, and other officers (Exhibit M) show that the condition of the school is good and the direction of its affairs satisfactory. The new building is substantially completed, and will be occupied about January 1. This addition will provide for 68 more inmates, will permit the admission of white girls as well as colored girls of the statutory classes, and will, it is believed, result in increased effectiveness of administration and in a marked reduction of per capita cost.

I urge again upon Congress the importance of legislation which shall reduce the age limit of admission to the school and enlarge the

powers of the trustees, so as to provide by parole when earned, or by transfer to the workhouse in refractory cases, and in other ways for more complete authority over the inmates. Congress is referred to the exhibit mentioned for additional information upon this topic, which is believed to be of vital interest to the school. I suggest, as a means to the desired end, that the act of June 5, 1900, conferring similar power upon the board of trustees of the Boys' Reform School, might properly be extended to this school.

EXHIBITS ACCOMPANYING THIS REPORT.

Exhibit 1 is a tabular statement showing the number of cases, civil and criminal, before the United States circuit courts of appeals during the fiscal year 1900, with the number disposed of.

Exhibit 2 is a report of the Assistant Attorney-General in charge of the business of the Government in the Court of Claims.

Exhibit 3 is a report of the Assistant Attorney-General in charge of Indian depredation claims.

Exhibit 4 is the report of the United States attorney for the Court of Private Land Claims.

Exhibit A is a statement of the civil suits to which the United States is a party, terminated in the circuit and district courts of the United States during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, and of such suits pending in said courts July 1, 1900, as reported by the district attorneys.

In 907 of the 1,602 civil suits terminated during the last fiscal year judgments were for the United States, in 206 against the United States, and 489 were either dismissed or discontinued; 23 were appealed to the circuit court or to the circuit court of appeals, and 5 to the Supreme Court.

Many of the civil suits reported dismissed or discontinued were settled by authority of the Treasury Department and discontinued under its direction. There were pending July 1, 1900, 6,130 civil suits to which the United States was a party.

Exhibit B is a statement of the criminal prosecutions terminated in the circuit and district courts of the United States during the last fiscal year, and of such prosecutions pending July 1, 1900.

Of the 17,033 criminal prosecutions terminated during the last year, 197 were prosecutions under the customs laws, in which there were 126 convictions, 22 acquittals, and 49 were entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 6,275 under the internal-revenue laws, in which there were 3,749 convictions, 768 acquittals, and 1,758 were entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 1,153 under post-office laws, in which there were 772 convictions, 88 acquittals, and 293 entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 6 under naturalization acts, in which

there were 4 discontinued and 2 entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 963 under the intercourse laws, in which there were 630 convictions, 52 acquittals, and 281 entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 206 under the pension laws, in which there were 145 convictions, 13 acquittals, and 48 entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 53 for embezzlement, in which there were 32 convictions, 4 acquittals, and 17 entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed; 8,180 miscellaneous prosecutions, in which there were 4,880 convictions, 1,014 acquittals, and 2,286 entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed.

In many of the prosecutions under the internal-revenue laws entered nol. pros., discontinued, or quashed a compromise and settlement were made in the Internal-Revenue Bureau of the Treasury Department.

Of the 10,047 criminal prosecutions pending July 1, 1900, 107 were for violations of customs laws, 4,379 for violation of internal-revenue laws, 748 for violation of post-office laws, 20 under the election laws, 58 under naturalization laws, 674 under the intercourse laws, 218 under the pension laws, 76 for embezzlement, and 3,767 miscellaneous prosecutions.

Exhibit C shows the amount arising and realized from civil suits to which the United States was a party, and from criminal prosecutions in the circuit and district courts of the United States during the last fiscal year.

The aggregate amount of the judgments rendered in favor of the United States in civil suits during the last year was \$663,299.39, and the amount actually collected on these judgments was \$52,795.57, while \$52,748.61 was obtained during the year on judgments rendered in former years for the United States, and \$27,130.42 was otherwise realized in civil suits.

The aggregate amount of fines, forfeitures, and penalties imposed during the year in criminal prosecutions was \$705,137.41, and the amount of these fines, forfeitures, and penalties collected during the year was \$104,020.11, while \$8,203.31 was realized on fines, forfeitures, and penalties imposed in former years.

Exhibit D is a statement of civil suits to which the United States was not a party, commenced and terminated in the circuit and district courts of the United States during the last fiscal year, and of such suits pending July 1, 1900, 10,628 were commenced during the year, of which 1,643 were cases in admiralty and 8,985 were other miscellaneous suits.

Judgment for plaintiffs in these cases were as follows: 644 in admiralty, amounting to \$818,555.12, and 3,009 in other suits, amounting to \$165,019,575.78.

Judgments for defendants were 136 in admiralty, amounting to \$11,599.77, and 994 in other suits, amounting to \$254,772.55; 808 in

admiralty were either dismissed or discontinued, as were also 4,868 other suits; 46,347 civil suits to which the United States was not a party were pending in the district and circuit courts of the United States July 1, 1900, viz, 4,776 in admiralty and 41,571 other miscellaneous suits.

Exhibit E is a general statement of all appropriations placed under the Department of Justice which were available and those from which payments were made during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Exhibit F 1 is a statement of the court expenses incurred in the fiscal year 1898 and paid in the fiscal year 1900.

Exhibit F 2 is a statement of the court expenses incurred in the fiscal year 1899 and paid in the fiscal year 1900.

Exhibit F 3 is a statement of the court expenses incurred and paid in the fiscal year 1900, viz:

To United States marshal	\$1, 156, 215. 13
To attorneys	542, 571. 49
To special assistant attorneys	20, 755. 29
To clerks	162, 671. 50
To jurors	645, 332. 25
To witnesses	952, 627. 60
To commissioners	98, 940. 75
To prisoners	674, 774. 82
To rent	77, 359. 83
To bailiffs	159, 869. 80
To miscellaneous expenses	262, 280. 79
Total	4, 758, 899. 35

Exhibit F 4 is a statement showing items, amounts, cause of expenditure, and persons paid from the appropriation for contingent expenses, Department of Justice, including the appropriations for furniture and repairs, books for Department library, books for office of the Solicitor, stationery, official transportation, and miscellaneous items.

Exhibit G shows the number of special assistant attorneys and the compensation paid to each, as required by sections 195 and 385, Revised Statutes.

Exhibit H is the report of the Solicitor of the Treasury, showing the amount, character, and results of the litigation under his direction, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Exhibit I is the report of the librarian of the Department.

Exhibit J is a detailed statement giving names of the institutions where United States prisoners are confined, their location, and the number of convicts in each.

Exhibit K is the report of the warden of the United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.

Exhibit L is the annual report of the president of the board of trustees of the Reform School of the District of Columbia for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Exhibit M is the report of the president of the board of trustees of the Girls' Reform School of the District of Columbia for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Exhibit N is the annual report of the warden of the United States jail in the District of Columbia.

Exhibit O is a report of the Architect of the Capitol upon the improvements and repairs to the court-house, District of Columbia, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Exhibit P is a report of the attorney in charge of pardons, Department of Justice, showing the names of the prisoners convicted in the United States courts who were pardoned during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, the districts in which they were tried, the term of court at which they were convicted, their offenses, sentences, dates of pardon, and the principal reasons for recommending Executive clemency.

Exhibit Q is a report of the special attorney in charge of matters relating to the Mission Indians.

Exhibit R is a statement showing by districts the annual salaries of United States district attorneys, their assistants and clerks, and the expenses claimed and approved by this Department for the fiscal year 1900.

Exhibit S is a statement showing by districts the salaries paid to United States marshals, their deputies and clerks, their expenses of travel and subsistence, as claimed and approved, and the fees earned and compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved, together with amounts paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit for the fiscal year 1900.

Exhibit T is a statement showing emoluments and office expenses of clerks of the United States courts, as indicated by their emolument returns, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Exhibit U is a report on bankruptcy matters.

Exhibit V is the report of the Commission to Revise and Codify the Criminal and Penal Laws of the United States.

The exhibits and appendix are a part of the report.

JOHN W. GRIGGS,
Attorney-General.



EXHIBITS.

EXHIBIT 1.—*Statement of cases docketed, disposed of, and pending in the United States circuit courts of appeals and court of appeals of the District of Columbia.*

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

Circuit.	Pending July 1, 1899.			Docketed July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.			Disposed of, fiscal year 1900.			Pending July 1, 1900.			Cases marked pending, argued, and awaiting decision.	Cases marked disposed of, appealed to the United States Supreme Court.
	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.		
First	43	1	44	43	3	46	51	51	35	4	39	4	3
Second	89	89	181	4	185	168	3	171	102	1	103	14	7
Third	20	2	22	68	68	72	2	74	16	16	1	4
Fourth	22	22	50	3	53	47	1	48	25	2	27	9	1
Fifth	51	2	53	126	5	131	93	3	96	84	4	88	21	4
Sixth	89	2	91	134	4	138	124	2	126	99	4	103	40	4
Seventh	72	72	90	90	79	79	83	83	46	2
Eighth	119	8	127	168	6	174	183	8	191	104	6	110	59	11
Ninth	51.	1	52	65	2	67	79	2	81	37	1	38	20	5
Total	556	16	572	925	27	952	896	21	917	585	22	607	214	41

COURT OF APPEALS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

	Pending July 1, 1899.				Docketed July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.				Disposed of, fiscal year 1900.				Pending July 1, 1900.				Cases marked pending, argued, and awaiting decision.	Cases marked disposed of, appealed to the United States Supreme Court.
	Patent appeals.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.	Patent appeals.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.	Patent appeals.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.	Patent appeals.	Civil.	Criminal.	Total.		
Total	4	22	3	29	24	73	15	141	19	71	16	106	9	24	2	35	1	12

EXHIBIT 2.—*Report of the Assistant Attorney-General in charge of the business of the Department of Justice in the Court of Claims.*

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Washington, D. C., November 1, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit the following report for the year ending October 31, 1900, of business pertaining to matters within my official charge:

BUSINESS OF THE COURT OF CLAIMS.

GENERAL JURISDICTION CASES.

Since my last report there have been filed 886 cases, claiming, as far as can be ascertained from the petitions, upward of \$4,150,528.26.

The total number of cases now pending, exclusive of those known as

"letter-carrier cases," to which reference is hereinafter made, is 2,948. The amount involved is very large but can not be stated even approximately on account of the indefiniteness of many of the petitions filed.

During the year 290 cases (exclusive of letter-carrier cases) were disposed of, the amount claimed being \$71,731,881.53. In 151 cases, claiming \$70,223,300.75, judgments of dismissal were secured. In 139 cases, claiming \$1,508,580.78, judgments were for claimants for \$300,479.77.

The extraordinarily large amount involved in the cases disposed of, as above stated, is due to the fact that in one case, which was dismissed for want of prosecution, the amount claimed was over \$64,000,000.

In the above statement the number of cases disposed of is given merely by their docket numbers. In certain cases, however, notably the "land-entry" and the "night-inspector" cases, a great number of individual claims were embraced in each docket number and therefore appeared as but one case, while in fact they represented 676 cases.

Number of cases disposed of (exclusive of "letter-carrier cases")	290
Amount claimed in them	\$71,731,881.53
For defendants, 151 cases, claiming	70,223,300.75
For claimants, 139 cases, claiming	1,508,580.78
Amount recovered by claimants therein	300,479.77

In addition to the above the court has rendered a decision favorable to the United States in the case of *The United States v. Alice Weil*, and an appeal therefrom to the Supreme Court by respondent was docketed and dismissed.

The case of *A. C. Peralta v. The United States*, for the recovery of large tracts of land, under certain alleged Spanish grants, was also brought to trial and the petition dismissed.

CONGRESSIONAL CASES.

Under the acts of March 3, 1883, C. 116 (1 Supp. R. S., 403), and March 3, 1887, C. 359 (1 Supp. R. S., 559), authorizing the reference to the Court of Claims of certain claims pending before Congress, or any of its committees, for an advisory finding of facts, there have been transmitted to the court since my last report 317 cases, claiming upward of \$6,434,959.36.

The total number of cases so transmitted to date is 10,303, of which there are now pending 6,760 cases.

Number disposed of.—During the year 331 cases, claiming \$1,783,171.23, were acted on by the court, and have been or will be reported to Congress. Of this number, 240 cases, claiming \$874,502.06, were dismissed because claimants or those they represented were disloyal, or for other causes. In 9 cases, claiming \$41,758.50, findings on the merits favorable to the United States were filed by the court, and in 82 cases, claiming \$866,910.67, findings favorable to the claimants were filed for \$159,998.09.

Whole number of cases disposed of	331
Amount claimed in them	\$1,783,171.23
Number of cases dismissed	240
Amount claimed in them	\$874,502.06
Number of cases favorable to defendants on merits	9
Amount claimed in them	\$41,758.50
Number of cases favorable to claimants	82
Amount claimed in them	\$866,910.67
Amount awarded in them	\$159,998.09

The total of general jurisdiction and Congressional cases disposed of during the past year greatly exceeds the total disposed of during the preceding year for the reason that a considerable number were determined by the decisions in a few test cases, in which the work was largely done in the prior year, and that I have been able to obtain the dismissal for want of prosecution, during the past year, of a large number of ancient cases on the docket. It is expected that many more may be dismissed for the same reason during the ensuing year.

DEPARTMENTAL CASES.

Under the act of March 3, 1883, C. 116 (1 Supp. R. S., 403), there have been referred by the Executive Departments to date 66 cases, in which the court is asked to pass upon certain disputed questions of law or of fact.

During the year two cases referred by the Secretary of the Treasury have been disposed of, one being dismissed and in the other a finding and opinion being filed by the court and certified to the Secretary of the Treasury.

There are now pending 23 cases. These include the 6 State claims referred to in my last report in which the reports of the auditors of the court have been made. Two of them, which were selected as test cases, have been briefed and will be argued before the court at the beginning of its next term.

CLAIMS AGAINST THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

These suits are brought under the acts of June 16, 1880, and February 13, 1895.

Since the last report 19 cases have been disposed of. Two cases were decided in favor of the District, and in 7 cases judgments were rendered against the District. These cases have been taken on appeal to the Supreme Court.

Ten cases, awaiting the decision of the Supreme Court upon two test cases, are still upon the docket of the Court of Claims. Three other cases are ready for trial in that court and will be disposed of during the coming term.

FRENCH SPOILIATIONS.

The following statement shows the present status of the cases filed in the Court of Claims under the French spoliation act of January 20, 1885:

Total number of vessels on which claims have been filed.....	2,399
Total number of principal cases (intervening or subordinate claims not being treated as separate cases in this report).....	5,569
Cases dismissed by claimants.....	244
Cases passed upon by the court prior to November 1, 1899.....	687
Cases passed upon by the court since November 1, 1899.....	94
	<hr/>
	781
Cases dismissed by the court prior to November 1, 1899.....	83
Cases dismissed by the court since November 1, 1899.....	16
	<hr/>
	99
Cases remanded or pending on motion prior to No- vember 1, 1899.....	50
Cases remanded or pending on motion November 1, 1899, and since decided by the court.....	18
	<hr/>
	32

Cases remanded or in which motions for new trial have been made since November 1, 1899.....	30		
		62	
Cases in which the court had filed findings for claimants prior to November 1, 1899.....	554		
Cases in which the court has filed findings for claimants since November 1, 1899.....	66		
		620	
			781
Amount of findings for claimants prior to November 1, 1899.....		\$3, 500, 130. 61	
Amount of findings for claimants since November 1, 1899.....		399, 115. 14	
Total amount of findings for claimants.....			\$3, 899, 245. 75
Cases found for claimants certified to Congress prior to November 1, 1899.....	490		
Cases found for claimants certified to Congress since November 1, 1899.....	85		
Total number of cases certified to Congress.....		575	
Cases found for claimant not certified to Congress prior to November 1, 1899.....	64		
Of these there have been since certified.....	22		
	42		
Cases found for claimant since November 1, 1899, but not certified to Congress.....	3		
		45	
			620
Amount in cases not certified to Congress November 1, 1899.....		\$350, 119. 85	
Of this amount there has been certified since November 1, 1899.....		107, 653. 37	
		242, 466. 48	
Amount in cases passed upon by the court since November 1, 1899, and not certified to Congress.....		95, 600. 94	
Amount in cases not certified to Congress November 1, 1900.....			338, 067. 42
Motions for new trial pending November 1, 1899, affected.....		254, 704. 88	
Cases in which motions then pending are now decided.....		5, 382. 00	
		249, 322. 88	
Amount in cases wherein motions for new trials have been made since November 1, 1899.....		74, 527. 06	
Motions for new trials pending November 1, 1900, affect.....			323, 849. 94
Cases appropriated by Congress.....			364
Amount appropriated:			
Act of 1891.....	\$1, 304, 095. 37		
Act of 1899.....	1, 091, 902. 27		
			\$2, 395, 997. 64
Cases found for claimants pending in Congress, not appropriated, prior to November 1, 1899.....			116
Cases certified since November 1, 1899.....			85
			201
Cases pending in Congress, not appropriated, November 1, 1900,			
Amount of cases pending in Congress not appropriated prior to November 1, 1899.....		\$754, 013. 12	
Amount of cases certified since November 1, 1899.....		416, 494. 57	
Amount of cases pending in Congress not appropriated November 1, 1900.....			\$1, 170, 507. 69
Number of vessels the cases on which are in the hands of the court ..			44
Number of vessels as to which both parties are ready for trial			92

The proviso of the act of March 2, 1891, requiring the Court of Claims to certify as to the next of kin was repeated in the act of March 3, 1899, and the following proviso was added:

That any French spoliation claim appropriated for in this act shall not be paid if held by assignment or owned by any insurance company.

This proviso does not forbid payment to insurers if they were not companies, and insuring then was oftener done by individuals than by companies, the business being otherwise identical. Of the claims now pending in Congress, amounting to \$1,170,507.69, over three-fifths are those of insurance companies.

Among the opinions of the court rendered since my last report are several of importance as affecting classes of cases. One, the case of the ship *Juliana*, Hayward, master, decides that whether a claim named in the appropriation act was "held by assignment," within the meaning of its proviso above quoted, is a question for the Treasury, not the court. Accordingly the Treasury now has under advisement, submitted on briefs, some of the numerous claims of C. F. Adams, administrator of Brooks, who kept an insurance brokerage in Boston and took assignments from the underwriters who insured in his office.

Other important opinions, rendered in the case of the ship *Parkman*, MacMillan, master, and schooner *Henry and Gustavus*, Smith, master, decide that debts owing to the United States by the original claimants may be set off by the court, or, after appropriation, by the Treasury, against the amounts found due to their estates.

Another, in the case of the ship *Apollo*, Walker, master, decided that where vessels, as often happened, were carried by French privateers into Spanish ports and condemned there by French consuls, Spain and France were joint tortfeasors, each, therefore, liable for the loss, and the United States having elected to hold Spain, thus releasing France, did not become liable over to claimants, as in the case of the other French spoliation claims. It was contended by the United States, in the case of the ship *Star*, Burchmore, master, that the same principle applied where Spain permitted the French to take our vessels into her ports, hold them there, and sell them under French decrees, although these last were pronounced on French territory, but the opinion was to the contrary.

In the case of the *Apollo* it was held also that the United States are not liable in cases of "property captured and not yet definitively condemned" (art. 4, treaty of 1800) where restoration by France became impossible by destruction of the property or otherwise.

A number of important questions have recently been submitted to the court, and are awaiting decision.

These claims continue to be vigorously pressed since their revival in 1899, and the preparation of the defense of the same requires the attention of two assistant attorneys, as stated in my last report, and in addition the services of a docket clerk and stenographer.

SUITS PENDING AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT IN THE CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT COURTS OF THE UNITED STATES.

Suits under this jurisdiction are brought pursuant to the provisions of the act of March 3, 1887, entitled "An act to provide for the bringing of suits against the Government of the United States." (1 Supp. R. S., 559.)

During the year ending October 31, 1900, 18 suits were brought in the circuit and district courts throughout the United States, the amount involved therein being \$223,411.96. The amount of this litigation is considerably less than that of previous years, by virtue of the acts of June 27, 1898, and July 1, 1898, which took away the jurisdiction of the circuit and district courts over suits for fees or salaries of Government officers. Under these last-mentioned acts considerable difference of opinion arose in Federal courts as to the disposition of pending cases involving contested questions of fees and salaries of public officers, some holding that the jurisdiction was taken away in pending as well as future cases, while others held that the pending cases were not affected. To meet this divergence of opinion, relief was obtained from Congress through the passage of the act of February 26, 1900 (Session Laws, first session, Fifty-sixth Congress, p. 33), by which it was provided that no suit of this character should be abated or affected by the acts above mentioned, and that any suits which were pending in any circuit court or circuit court of appeals at the time of the passage of said acts, which were dismissed by reason thereof, should be restored to their places in such courts and proceeded with as if the same had not been enacted.

The matters involved in the various suits brought during the year may be tabulated as follows:

Labor and material on public works	6
Suits arising under the war-revenue act	3
Duties on exports into Porto Rico	2
Various services performed for the Government	2
Diversion of water supply	2
Miscellaneous	3

During the year 17 suits brought under this jurisdiction were decided in the circuit and district courts, judgments of dismissal being obtained in 6 cases, and the remainder resulting in favor of the claimants. In the circuit courts of appeals, 4 appeals taken on behalf of the Government were decided, resulting in a judgment of affirmance in 1, whilst in 3 the judgment of the lower court was reversed in part. In the Supreme Court the judgment in 1 case appealed by the claimant was reversed in part.

Since this jurisdiction was created 1,393 suits have been brought against the United States, of which 130 are pending in the circuit and district courts. Appeals have been directed to be taken to the circuit courts of appeals in 2 cases, and in 1 to the Supreme Court of the United States, which latter has not as yet been perfected.

SPECIAL CASES UNDER THE ACT OF AUGUST 15, 1894.

The act of August 15, 1894, chapter 290, par. 5 (2 Supp. R. S., 246), gave the circuit courts of the United States jurisdiction to try and determine any action, suit, or proceeding arising within their respective territories, involving the right of any person, in whole or in part of Indian blood or descent, to any allotment of land under any law or treaty. Under this jurisdiction 6 suits were brought during the past year and 17 suits in the several years previous, making in all 23 suits now pending. In a test case a demurrer was filed by the United States, but was overruled.

SUITS ARISING OUT OF RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS.

A test case in a class of claims of considerable importance, and likely to be of some magnitude, was recently decided in South Carolina, in which judgment was rendered for the plaintiff for a large sum, on account of certain alleged injuries resulting to land by reason of river and harbor improvements. A motion for a new trial has been made in the case, and it will ultimately be taken to the Supreme Court of the United States.

APPEALS IN THE SUPREME COURT.

There have been 8 appeals from judgments of the Court of Claims determined in the Supreme Court within the year. The United States was appellant in 1 case, in which the decision of the Supreme Court was favorable to the Government, while in 7 cases, in which the United States was appellee, the Supreme Court decided 6 in favor of the United States and 1 was dismissed by the court.

There are 15 appeals now pending in the Supreme Court from the Court of Claims, of which 2 are appeals on behalf of the District of Columbia, 3 in which the rights of Indians are involved under the general jurisdiction of the court, 6 appeals on behalf of the claimants, and 4 on behalf of the United States.

CLASSES SPECIALLY MENTIONED.

The following classes of cases are specially referred to by reason of the importance of the questions involved, or because of their magnitude:

LETTER-CARRIER CASES.

These suits are brought by letter carriers to recover compensation for time claimed to have been worked in excess of eight hours per day, pursuant to the act of May 24, 1888 (1 Supp. R. S., 587). While the number of individual claims of this nature which have been brought in the Court of Claims aggregate in the neighborhood of 20,000, they are now substantially all closed, there remaining but about 275 individual claims, from about 35 cities, upon which no report has as yet been filed. About 200 of these cases, however, have been made the subject of an examination by a commissioner, in accordance with the method heretofore adopted, and reports will be filed at an early date. Supplemental reports will soon be made in about 75 or 100 claims, upon receipt of which this class of cases will be closed.

During the year judgments have been rendered in 98 suits, embracing 955 individual claims, and based on reports from 82 cities. The amount claimed in these petitions was \$203,145.25, while the amount awarded was \$58,774.73, or about 28.9 per cent.

In the suit of the letter carriers at San Francisco, covering about 200 individual claims and involving about \$90,000, in which petitions were dismissed on the ground of waiver, a motion for a new trial was allowed by the court, and the case has been resubmitted on the merits and is now awaiting a decision.

POST-OFFICE CLERKS' CASES.

These cases are brought to recover the difference of salary between that actually paid and the minimum sum allowed by virtue of the act of March 2, 1889 (1 Supp. R. S., 680), and are based upon the decision of the Court of Claims in the case of *Belcher v. United States* (34 C. Clms., 400). While it at first appeared that the number of these suits would be very large, the decision in the test case has restricted the right to recover to a very small number. Since such decision, in April, 1899, 335 individual claims, from 133 cities, were examined and reported upon by the commissioner, the same course being pursued relative to their reference as in the case of the letter carriers, and, as a result, judgments have been rendered in 20 cases, aggregating in amount \$5,869.59.

There are 176 of these cases still pending.

Reports in 139 cases have been made in which nothing was recommended for allowance, and in 4 cases allowance was recommended, but no judgment has as yet been rendered. In 4 pending cases points of law are involved upon the decision of which depends the right to recover in about 400 cases, most of which have already been filed. Since most of the suits of this character would now be barred by the statute of limitations, the number that will hereafter arise must of necessity be inconsiderable.

ALCOHOL CASES.

Embraced in the 886 general jurisdiction cases brought during the year are 324 cases for rebate of tax on alcohol, claiming in the aggregate \$1,501,481.76.

Amended petitions have also been filed in 205 cases previously brought, increasing the amount claimed \$1,671,791.75. The total number of these suits now pending is 1,483, and the amount claimed in the same is \$7,734,150.60.

The demurrer to the amended petition in one of these cases—*American Aristotype Company v. United States*—of which I made mention in my last report, was sustained by the court on the authority of *Dunlap v. United States* (173 U. S., 65), and an appeal from this decision will be promptly made by the claimant to the Supreme Court. This will result in a virtual rehearing of the *Dunlap* case, the questions being substantially the same in both cases.

NAVAL BOUNTY CASES.

There have been filed to date 9 general engagement cases and 3,827 individual claims.

The claim of Admiral George Dewey, based on the engagement of Manila Bay, was decided by the Court of Claims on February 26, 1900, awarding the minimum bounty, amounting in the aggregate to \$191,400. Of this amount the claimant Admiral Dewey was given judgment, pursuant to the statute, for \$9,570.

An appeal from this judgment was determined by the Supreme Court May 28, 1900 (177 U. S., 510), affirming the judgment.

Subsequently the claim of Admiral William T. Sampson, based on the engagement off Santiago de Cuba, was decided by the Court of Claims, the award being \$166,700, the minimum bounty, and judgment

being entered for the claimant Admiral Sampson out of the award, for \$8,335.

The remaining minor engagements are now before the court and will be determined shortly.

If appropriation shall be made by Congress during its coming session to pay the amount of these various general awards, the distribution of the same to the individual claimants can be promptly made.

GENERAL REMARKS.

In view of the constantly increasing volume of business of this branch of the Department, I renew my request for the appointment of a stenographic clerk for assignment to this office.

Very respectfully,

LOUIS A. PRADT,
Assistant Attorney-General.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT 3.—*Report of the Assistant Attorney-General in charge of Indian depredation cases.*

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Washington, D. C., November 9, 1900.

SIR: I submit herewith for your consideration my annual report of the business of the Department relating to the defense of Indian depredation claims.

The following tabulated statement shows the result of the litigation during the year ending October 31, 1900, together with a résumé of the number and amount of the cases adjudicated since March 3, 1891, the date of the passage of the act conferring jurisdiction upon the Court of Claims in Indian depredation cases:

Total number of cases filed.....	10, 841
Amount claimed	\$43, 515, 867. 06
Cases reduced to judgment.....	2, 774
Amount claimed	\$11, 774, 141. 49
Judgments in favor of claimants.....	1, 602
Amount claimed	\$6, 233, 731. 26
Amount of judgments in favor of claimants.....	\$2, 923, 587. 11
Judgments in favor of defendants.....	1, 172
Amount claimed	\$5, 540, 410. 23
Judgments for claimants from November 1, 1899, to November 1, 1900.....	416
Amount claimed	\$1, 616, 541. 40
Amount of judgments for claimants.....	\$686, 743. 00
Judgments for defendants from November 1, 1899, to November 1, 1900.....	144
Amount claimed	\$589, 437. 81
Number of cases in which depositions have been taken (reported) from November 1, 1899, to November 1, 1900.....	967

Of these the depositions in 43 cases were for the defendants, and in 924 for the claimants.

The foregoing table shows an increase over the preceding year, both in the number and the amount of cases tried, which have resulted in judgments favorable to the claimants. The proportion of recovery in such cases, however, was slightly smaller. The number of cases in

which judgment has been rendered for the defendants, and the amount involved in such cases, is smaller than in the preceding year. Both the increase and the decrease noted are due to the fact that the energies of the force engaged in the defense have been devoted to the effort to give every claimant who stood ready for trial an immediate hearing. This naturally results in the trial first of the cases most likely to be decided favorably to the claimants. At the same time a very considerable number of cases already prepared for trial, some of which involve large amounts, are certain to result in judgments of dismissal as soon as they can be heard.

Many other cases which have been passed for future action are "dead" cases, where the testimony already taken will defeat the claim, where the claimant has abandoned the suit, or where, from the lapse of time or for some other cause, it is impossible to produce the necessary proof. Such cases can be taken up and dismissed at any time. If the progress now being made shall render it possible to do so without delaying the trial of cases where the claimants are ready, it is my purpose to endeavor during the coming year to clear the docket of many cases of this character.

As stated in my last report, many cases remain on the docket which are subject to dismissal on jurisdictional grounds which have been established in leading cases. No steps have been taken during the past year to dispose of such cases. The status of many of them is yet in doubt pending the decision of the Supreme Court in the cases of *Montoya* against the United States, and the *Apache Indians* and *Connors* against the United States and the *Sioux Indians*, now awaiting trial. The final decision in these cases will open the way for the disposal of a large number of cases which now stand on the docket.

The work of taking depositions in the field has been delayed to a considerable extent by the failure of the claimants to appear or to have their witnesses present at the appointed time. In more than 500 cases the time of the defendants' attorney has been consumed without result. Notwithstanding this obstacle, depositions have been taken in a larger number of cases than during the preceding year, and it is now possible for the first time to give to claimants an opportunity to take testimony in any case without appreciable delay.

Three cases have been passed upon by the Supreme Court since the date of my last report. In the first, the case of *Price* against the United States and the *Osage Indians* (174 U. S., 373), it is held that the jurisdiction of the Court of Claims under the act of March 3, 1891, does not extend to claims for consequential damages resulting from the taking or destruction of property. The case of the *Corralitos Company* against the United States (178 U. S., 280) involved the right of recovery where the offense was committed on foreign soil, the claimant in that case, a domestic corporation, having suffered a loss of property in Mexico at the hands of Indians domiciled in the United States. The decision of the court, affirming that of the Court of Claims, was adverse to the claimant. The remaining case, that of *Andrews* against the United States, recently decided and not yet reported, turned upon the right of claimants to recover for property taken or destroyed while in transit across the Indian reservations in the Indian Territory. In this case the decision was favorable to the contention of the claimants. In each of the latter cases, as in the first, the decision of the Court of Claims was affirmed by the Supreme Court.

Before I took charge of the work in this department a great deal of the time of the office force had been taken up in the settlement of legal questions. In June, 1897, when I assumed charge of the work, the Government was about three years behind the claimants in the briefing of cases. In other words, when a claimant had filed his brief it was almost, if not quite, three years before the case would be reached for briefing by the defendants. During the past three years I have endeavored to bring this work up to date, and have succeeded in doing so. On November 1, 1900, every case that had been briefed by the claimants, and that had not been sent to the field by the Government for the purpose of taking evidence in behalf of the defense, had either been briefed or was being briefed by an attorney of this department. In the present condition, where the evidence is taken and the case ready for trial, when the claimant files his brief, it is taken up immediately for briefing by the defense. With the work of this department in this condition it will be possible to expedite the work and get better results in the coming year than it has been in any one of the past three years.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. THOMPSON,
Assistant Attorney-General.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT 4.—*Report of the United States Attorney for the Court of Private Land Claims.*

SANTA FE, N. MEX., October 5, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit the following annual report as to the business transacted by the Court of Private Land Claims from October 5, 1899, up to and including October 5, 1900.

During that period two terms of court have been held at Santa Fe and two terms held at Tucson, Ariz., at which sessions a large portion of the unfinished business shown by my last annual report was considered and disposed of by the court. I have embodied in the schedules hereto attached, numbered, respectively, 1 to 4, both inclusive, a statement of the matters disposed of in the New Mexico district, and also a statement showing the status of each of the cases still remaining for determination in one form or another.

Schedules numbered 5 to 7, both inclusive, set forth in detail the business disposed of in the Arizona district and the status of the cases remaining undisposed of on that docket.

From schedule 1 it will be seen that in the New Mexico district the court has during the past year decided 22 cases, involving 17 grants, with a claimed area of 3,255,674.94 acres. Of this area claimed there have been confirmed 13,007 acres and rejected 3,242,667.94 acres. The cases just named include two of very considerable importance—one of them, the Nacimiento grant, wherein 131,000 acres were claimed, and the other, the Conejos grant, involving 2,500,000 acres. The first of these is situated in a very prosperous portion of New Mexico and includes very valuable mineral, pasture, and farming lands, and the pendency of this claim has retarded very considerably the growth of that section of the Territory of New Mexico. The Conejos grant, as claimed, is located in northern New Mexico and southern Colorado, including the

larger portion of several counties in that State. The decision in each of these cases was favorable to the Government, and the rejection of the claims will contribute largely to the prosperity of the localities in which they were located.

During the past year there has not been overlooked the importance of a careful investigation of the survey of any grants returned for approval by the court by the General Land Office. During the period covered by this report, as shown by schedules 2 and 3, seven surveys have been considered by the court, and six of these, involving an area of 55,536.79 acres, were approved by the court. Upon objections filed by the Government, one of these, the Las Lagunitas, containing an area of 43,653.03 acres, was rejected by the court and a resurvey ordered.

Schedule No. 4 is devoted to indicating the status of each case in the New Mexico district not finally disposed of. From this it will be seen that there still remain for primary determination—that is, a hearing on the merits of the claim as a grant, distinguished from the correctness of any survey made under confirmation—five grants, involving an estimated area of 23,897 acres.

As shown by subschedule B of schedule 4, one case has been tried and submitted and is now under advisement by the court, the area claimed being 11,480 acres.

The decrees in 25 grants, involving an estimated area of 625,513.49 acres, have been certified by the clerk of the Court of Private Land Claims to the Commissioner of the General Land Office for survey, as provided by section 10 of the act creating the Court of Private Land Claims. A detailed statement of these grants thus pending on survey appears in subschedule C of schedule 4, attached to this report.

There are pending on appeal 5 grants, involving an area of 4,553,264 acres. In three of these cases the claimants appealed and in the other 2 the United States. A statement of these cases, with their area, appears in subschedule D of schedule 4, attached to this report.

In 2 cases, involving an area of 27,130 acres, a confirmation has been announced by the court, but, owing to a difference as to boundaries, no decree has been entered and the confirmations are not yet final. (Subschedule E of schedule 4.)

There is pending, on motion for rehearing filed by the Government, 1 case, involving an area of 2,500 acres. (Subschedule F of schedule 4.)

Summarizing, it will be noted from the schedules attached that there are now pending in the New Mexico district, including grants on appeal, 39 claims, with a total area of 5,243,787.49 acres.

During the past year there have been filed in the office of the clerk of the Court of Private Land Claims, at Santa Fe, 2 claims for a money judgment against the United States, for lands disposed of within grants heretofore confirmed by the court. These claims are presented under the provisions of section 14 of the act creating the Court of Private Land Claims. One of these claims was filed in case No. 140, being the case of Jose Isabel Martinez et al. v. United States, involving the Juan Jose Lobato grant, and is for \$2,320.91. This case came on for hearing before the court at its May session, 1900, and was resisted by the Government on the ground that the claim for a money judgment, if ever valid, was presented to the court too late, there having been no claim for such money compensation in the original suit, which was terminated favorably to the plaintiffs on December 4, 1893. The court

at its May (1900) session rendered a judgment in favor of the claimants for \$2,320.91. The full facts pertaining to this claim were some months ago fully reported by this office, and in view of the importance of the questions as affecting similar claims that may hereafter be presented, authority was granted by you to sue out an appeal from this judgment, and that appeal has been duly perfected. The other case in which a claim for money damages has been filed is that of Louise J. Purdy et al. v. United States, No. 6, involving the Sebastian de Vargas grant. It is anticipated that the decision of the Supreme Court on the appeal in the case of Martinez v. United States, No. 140, just referred to, will be decisive of the claim in the De Vargas case, as well as similar claims that may hereafter be filed.

Schedules 5, 6, and 7 set forth fully the condition of the Arizona docket.

From schedule 5 it will be seen that during the past year 8 claims have been decided by the court, involving a total area of 448,052.89 acres. Of this amount claimed there have been confirmed 69,151.53 acres and 378,901.36 rejected.

During the same time one survey has been completed and approved, involving an area of 17,355.86 acres. (Schedule 6.)

From schedule 7 it will be seen that there are no cases remaining for primary trial on the Arizona docket. One case, with an area claimed of 12,147.69 acres, is pending on motion for rehearing filed by the Government. (Subschedule A of schedule 7.)

Two grants, involving an area of 19,720.35 acres, are being surveyed. (Subschedule B of schedule 7.)

The remaining cases on the Arizona docket, 7 in number, are all pending on appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States. In 6 of these the appeal was sued out by the claimants, and in the remaining 1 the appeal is being prosecuted by the United States. The total area involved in said cases on appeal is 442,193.84 acres. (Subschedule C of schedule 7.)

Summarizing, it will be seen that there are pending in the Arizona district 10 cases, with an estimated area of 474,061.88 acres. These cases, however, have all progressed to a point where their early and final disposition may be anticipated and the many vexed questions which have surrounded the settlement of these Arizona grant titles will have been finally disposed of.

I desire to express an appreciation of, and commend, the intelligent ability of Messrs. William H. Pope, assigned to my office as assistant attorney, and Will M. Tipton and Henry O. Flipper, special agents, whose assistance has facilitated the discharge of the duties belonging to this office.

In conclusion, permit me to extend my thanks for the confidence heretofore bestowed by you and to indulge the hope that my labors in the future may merit its continuance.

I have the honor to be, sir, your obedient servant,

MATT. G. REYNOLDS,

United States Attorney, Court of Private Land Claims.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C.

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

SCHEDULE NO. 1.—*List of cases in New Mexico district decided by the Court of Private Land Claims from October 5, 1899, to October 5, 1900.*

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Claimed.	Confirmed.	Rejected.
		<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
87	Sierra Mosca ¹	47,743.00		47,743.00
99, 152, 233	Petaca ¹	37,000.00	600.00	36,400.00
108	Cebolla ¹	27,000.00		27,000.00
109	Conejos.....	2,500,000.00		2,500,000.00
112	Cuyamungue ¹	1,086.00	226.00	860.00
114	San Jose del Encinal ²	12,207.00		12,207.00
144, 252	San Pablo y Nacimiento.....	131,000.00		131,000.00
168	Santa Teresa.....	9,681.00	9,681.00	
203, 213	San Joaquin del Nacimiento.....	131,725.00		131,725.00
213	Pueblo de Quemado.....	288,000.00		288,000.00
215	Bishop's Ranch.....	600.00		600.00
227	Jose Ignacio Alari.....	1,000.00		1,000.00
228	Joque Jacinto Jaramillo ²	10,000.00		10,000.00
257, 263	Bartolome Trujillo or San Jose de Gracia ³	2,000.00		2,000.00
260	Juan Joseph Moreno.....	35,000.00		35,000.00
278	Jose de Leyba.....	18,000.00		18,000.00
279	Joaquin Mestas.....	3,632.94	2,500.00	1,132.94
	Total.....	3,255,674.94	13,007.00	3,242,667.94

¹ Rejected under mandate from the Supreme Court United States.² Confirmation denied because wholly within confirmed grant.³ Dismissed.SCHEDULE NO. 2.—*Grant surveys approved in New Mexico district during same period.*

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area in survey.
		<i>Acres.</i>
31	Louis Jaramillo.....	10,698.98
43	Polvadera.....	35,761.14
67	Felipe Tafoya.....	4,340.23
116	Talaya Hill.....	319.20
179	Juan Bautista Valdez.....	1,458.67
272	Miguel and Santiago Montoya.....	2,963.57
	Total.....	55,536.79

SCHEDULE NO. 3.—*Grant surveys rejected in New Mexico district during same period.*

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area in survey.
		<i>Acres.</i>
70	Las Lagunitas.....	43,653.03

SCHEDULE NO. 4.—*Cases on docket of New Mexico district not finally disposed of; also status of each case.*

A.—PENDING FOR PRIMARY DETERMINATION.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Acreage claimed.	Remarks.
8	Town of Albuquerque.....	17,361	Remanded by Supreme Court (171 U. S., 685). This represented estimated area of allotments to determine extent of which cause was remanded by Supreme Court (167 U. S., 278). - Same as last. (See 167 U. S., 298).
25, 60, 198	San Miguel del Bado.....	3,000	
107	Canon de Chama.....	2,736	
211	Santo Domingo de Cundiyo ..	500	
262	Francisco Xavier Romero.....	300	
	Total.....	23,897	

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

63

SCHEDULE No. 4.—*Cases on docket of New Mexico district not finally disposed of; also status of each case—Continued.*

B.—CASES TRIED AND SUBMITTED AND UNDER ADVISEMENT BY THE COURT IN NEW MEXICO DISTRICT.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Acreage claimed.
270	Sitio de Navajo	11,480

C.—GRANTS PENDING ON SURVEY IN NEW MEXICO DISTRICT.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Estimated area confirmed.
		<i>Acres.</i>
5	Arroyo Hondo	20,171.00
15	Antonio Sedillo	86,606.21
16	Gijoso	15,540.97
17	Canada de Santa Clara	1,343.03
24	Dona Ana Bend	29,323.00
55	Cevilleta	285,431.36
70	Las Lagunitas	43,653.03
74	Canon de Carnue	1,000.00
75	San Mateo Spring	4,340.00
91, 183	Juan Salas or Alamitos	500.00
122, 148	Penasco Largo	665.00
130, 182	Ojo de San Jose	4,340.00
137	Santo Tomas de Iturbide	6,680.00
139	Jose Manuel Sanchez Baca	4,340.00
149	Fernando de Taos	1,899.89
151	Mesilla Colony	17,361.00
157	El Ranchito	8,000.00
205	Canada de Cochiti	15,000.00
229	Angostura	2,319.00
264	Bartolome Sanchez	5,000.00
267	Santa Rosa de Cubero	5,000.00
90, 269	Las Huertas	20,000.00
273	Lo de Padilla	27,000.00
274	Antonio Gutierrez	12,000.00
275	Joaquin Sedillo	8,000.00
	Total	625,513.49

D.—CASES ON APPEAL FROM NEW MEXICO DISTRICT.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area claimed.	By whom appealed.
		<i>Acres.</i>	
44, 128, 135, 154, 216	Las Animas	4,096,340.00	Claimants.
114	San Jose del Encinal	12,207.00	United States.
134, 184, 185	Santo Domingo and San Felipe	20,000.00	Claimants.
152	Estancia	415,036.00	Do.
168	Santa Teresa	9,681.00	United States.
	Total	4,553,264.00	

E.—GRANTS CONFIRMED, BUT CONFIRMATION NOT YET FINAL.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area confirmed.
		<i>Acres.</i>
150, 193	Refugio Colony	26,130.00
194	Santa Cruz	1,000.00
	Total	27,130.00

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

SCHEDULE No. 4.—*Cases on docket of New Mexico district not finally disposed of; also status of each case—Continued.*

F.—PENDING ON MOTION FOR REHEARING.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area claimed.	Movant.
279	Joaquin Mestas.....	2,500.00	United States.

RECAPITULATION.

	Number.	Area.
Cases not tried.....	5	<i>Acres.</i> 23,897.00
Cases under advisement.....	1	11,480.00
Cases on survey.....	25	625,513.49
Cases on appeal.....	5	4,553,264.00
Cases, confirmation not final.....	2	27,130.00
Cases on motion for rehearing.....	1	2,500.00
Total pending in New Mexico district.....	39	5,243,784.49

SCHEDULE No. 5.—*List of cases in the Arizona district decided by the Court of Private Land Claims during the year from October 5, 1899, to October 5, 1900.*

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Claimed.	Confirmed.	Rejected.
		<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
1	San Bernardino.....	13,746.00	2,366.50	11,379.50
2	San Rafael de la Zanja.....	152,889.62	17,353.85	135,535.77
3	San Ignacio del Babocomari.....	123,068.87	34,707.70	88,361.17
5	Agua Prieta.....	68,530.05		68,530.05
6	Buena Vista.....	18,648.00	7,128.00	11,520.00
7	Aribac.....	20,400.60		20,400.60
10	San Pedro.....	38,622.06		38,622.06
40	San Jose de Sonoita.....	12,147.69	7,595.48	4,552.21
	Total.....	448,052.89	69,151.53	378,901.36

SCHEDULE No. 6.—*Surveys of grants approved in Arizona district during the year ending October 5, 1900.*

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area in survey.
42	San Juan de las Boquillas y Nogales.....	<i>Acres.</i> 17,355.86

SCHEDULE No. 7.—*Cases on Arizona docket not finally disposed of; also status of each of said cases.*

A.—PENDING ON MOTION FOR REHEARING.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Acreage claimed.	Movant.
40	San Jose de Sonoita.....	12,147.69	United States.

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

65

SCHEDULE NO. 7.—Cases on Arizona docket not finally disposed of; also status of each of said cases—Continued.

B.—PENDING ON SURVEY.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area confirmed.
1	San Bernardino.....	<i>Acres.</i> 2,366.50
6	San Ignacio de la Canoa.....	17,353.85
	Total.....	19,720.35

C.—PENDING ON APPEAL.

P. L. C. No.	Name of grant.	Area claimed.	By whom appealed.
2	San Rafael de la Zanja.....	<i>Acres.</i> 152,889.62	Claimants.
3	San Rafael del Valle.....	20,034.62	United States.
34	San Ignacio del Babocomari.....	123,068.87	Claimants.
5	Agua Prieta.....	68,530.05	Do.
6	Buena Vista.....	18,648.00	Do.
7	Aribac.....	20,400.60	Do.
10	San Pedro.....	38,622.06	Do.
	Total.....	442,193.82	

RECAPITULATION.

	Number.	Estimated area.
Cases pending on motion for rehearing.....	1	<i>Acres.</i> 12,147.69
Cases pending on survey.....	2	19,720.35
Cases pending on appeal.....	7	442,193.84
Total.....	10	474,061.88

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT A.—Statement showing number of civil suits to which the United States was a party pending in the district and circuit courts of the United States July 1, 1900, with the number terminated during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

[illegible]

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT B 1.—Statement showing the number of criminal prosecutions pending in the district and circuit courts of the United States on July 1, 1900, with the number terminated during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Districts.	Number pending July 1, 1900.										Number terminated during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.										
	Customs prosecutions.	Internal-revenue prosecutions.	Post-office prosecutions.	Prosecutions under the election laws.	Prosecutions under naturalization laws.	Prosecutions under intercourse laws.	Prosecutions under pension laws.	Prosecutions for embezzlement.	Miscellaneous prosecutions.	Total.	Customs.			Internal revenue.			Post-office.				
											Convictions.	Acquittals.	Nolled, discontinued, or quashed.	Convictions.	Acquittals.	Nolled, discontinued, or quashed.	Convictions.	Acquittals.	Nolled, discontinued, or quashed.		
Alabama, northern	206	27					1	2	35	271				175	37	49	261	4	1	7	12
Alabama, middle	115	3					6		14	138				42	28	36	106	4	1	5	10
Alabama, southern	4	1							6	11				24	4	5	33	3			4
Alaska									75	75											
Arizona									21	21	4										
Arkansas, eastern	75	6					11		18	110				105	7	31	143	8	5	4	17
Arkansas, western	114	8							72	194				144	3	8	155	4			15
California, northern	9	7					3	2	33	61				1		3	4	1			5
California, southern									6	9											15
Colorado	2	16							26	44											12
Connecticut		3					2		1	3											1
Delaware									1	2											1
District of Columbia	33	9					14	3	616	675											14
Florida, northern	19						6		15	40				21	6	9	36	2	1	3	16
Florida, southern	14	6					1	17		38				21	4	9	34	7	2	6	15
Georgia, northern	1,049	26					6		89	1,170				626	136	330	1,092	17	2	9	28
Georgia, southern	62	10					2		8	82				46	6	23	75	26	1	2	29
Idaho									20	20											5
Illinois, northern	3	18							63	63											73
Illinois, southern	89	23							76	188				122	1	33	156	35	3	6	44
Indiana	12	8					6		9	36				35	1	34	70	20	1	22	43
Indiana, northern	2	2							404	659											10
Indian Territory, northern	2	3					3	6	224	326											7
Indian Territory, central	2	1					87		286	373											10
Indian Territory, southern	6	7					141		613	767											3
Iowa, northern	7	7							2	16											1
Iowa, southern	23	6							4	33				65		10	10	45	4	1	17
Kansas	10	20							25	38				32	1	43	76	24	1	29	54
Kentucky	44	13					1	2	21	58				9		15	25	21	2	9	32
Louisiana, eastern	1	3					14	1	39	68	1			312	58	38	408	15	2	4	21

[illegible]

[illegible]

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT C 1.—Statement of the amounts arising from civil suits to which the United States was a party, and from criminal prosecutions in the district and circuit courts of the United States, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Districts.	Amount of judgments obtained during the year in favor of the United States.					Amounts of fines, forfeitures, and penalties imposed during the year.						
	Customs.	Internal revenue.	Post-office.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Customs.	Internal revenue.	Post-office.	Inter-course laws.	Pension laws.	Embezzlement.	Miscellaneous.
Alabama, northern.....		\$484.09		\$2,308.06	\$2,792.15		\$83,625.00	\$100.00				\$1,400.00
Alabama, middle.....		24.97		750.00	774.97		4,300.00	300.00				4,000.00
Alabama, southern.....				1,283.50	1,283.50		4,323.60	391.35				1,769.95
Alaska.....				1,400.00	1,400.00							3,640.99
Arizona.....						\$1,830.00						1,800.00
Arkansas, eastern.....		1,450.54		4,576.94	6,027.48		2,475.00	400.00		\$600.00		1,500.00
Arkansas, western.....		259.19		1,728.00	1,987.19		31,700.00	2,012.00		1,000.00		1,682.55
California, northern.....	\$150.00	636.00		71,846.03	72,132.03		100.00	700.00		100.00		1,800.00
California, southern.....				1,729.00	1,729.00							824.00
Colorado.....								815.00				50.00
Connecticut.....								1,101.00		10.00		1,300.00
Delaware.....								500.00				37,328.50
District of Columbia.....				303.57	303.57							6,769.03
Florida, northern.....				4,504.75	4,504.75		375.00	301.00				2,451.00
Florida, southern.....				201.35	201.35	150.00	2,000.00	1,750.00				2,200.00
Georgia, northern.....		341.39	\$561.42	15,876.62	16,779.43		5,046.00	10,232.00	\$1,227.00			2,574.00
Georgia, southern.....			941.27		941.27			10,000.00				6,000.00
Idaho.....			134.10		134.10			6,705.08	1,296.87	20.00		109.90
Illinois, northern.....			10,000.00	59,910.74	59,910.74		2,360.00	3,313.91		364.42		6,047.11
Illinois, southern.....					10,000.00		19,436.12	4,525.61				1,347.94
Indiana.....							1,668.22					10,149.17
Indian Territory, northern.....				1,926.75	1,926.75			100.00	1,885.00			940.00
Indian Territory, central.....				3,650.00	3,650.00			1,400.00	5,765.00			4,991.00
Indian Territory, southern.....								170.00				10.00
Iowa, northern.....							6,796.00	2,431.00		500.00		6,466.00
Iowa, southern.....							7,000.00	2,481.00				3,266.00
Kansas.....				500.47	500.47		405.00	2,528.00		1,021.00		828.00
Kentucky.....		17.00			10,127.85		41,875.00	2,124.00		500.00		600.00
Louisiana, eastern.....		10,110.85				50.00		500.00				3,281.77
Louisiana, western.....			52.75		52.75	592.21	1,588.56	60.00		1,010.00		2,287.00
Maine.....							1,175.00	742.00		400.00		4,604.00
Maryland.....							248.70	668.96		50.00		28.00
Massachusetts.....	1,829.00				1,829.00			2,258.34		82.17		1,000.00
Michigan, eastern.....	165.00	2,482.13		32.72	2,679.85	1,173.83	22.06	250.00				4,536.40
Michigan, western.....							150.00	360.00				400.00
Minnesota.....				1,698.71	1,698.71		22,870.66	805.73	5,200.00	100.00		6,210.00
Mississippi, northern.....							7,400.00	375.00		983.10		25,308.64
Mississippi, southern.....										20.00		29,992.00
Missouri, eastern.....						101.00	1,769.59	2,669.25		1,106.75	\$565.32	6,818.91

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT C 1. — *Statement of the amounts arising from civil suits to which the United States was a party, etc.—Continued.*

Districts.	Amounts realized from judgments obtained during the year.					Amounts realized on fines, forfeitures, and penalties imposed during the year.							
	Customs.	Internal revenue.	Post-office.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Customs.	Internal revenue.	Post-office.	Embez- zlement.	Inter- course laws.	Pension laws.	Miscel- lanous.	Total.
Alabama, northern.		\$199.99		\$500.00	\$699.99								\$645.77
Alabama, middle.		24.97		750.00	774.97		\$510.79					\$154.98	405.78
Alabama, southern.				1,293.50	1,293.50		267.38					3,590.99	3,590.99
Alaska.													
Arizona.													
Arkansas, eastern.		607.27		4,576.94	5,184.21			\$5.00					5.00
Arkansas, western.							700.00					617.00	1,317.00
California, northern.	\$150.00	636.00		1,667.70	2,453.70		100.00					275.00	375.00
California, southern.				1,556.20	1,556.20			200.00				320.00	320.00
Colorado.											\$10.00	50.00	250.00
Connecticut.												100.00	511.00
Delaware.													
District of Columbia.				303.57	303.57							12,654.93	12,654.93
Florida, northern.				4,194.25	4,194.25		325.00						
Florida, southern.				201.35	201.35	\$150.00	700.00	50.00				2,210.98	2,535.98
Georgia, northern.				294.40	294.40		223.70	127.38					
Georgia, southern.			\$716.97		716.97					\$1,227.00		133.35	444.43
Idaho.													
Illinois, northern.				524.40	524.40		1,935.00	5,192.06				350.00	350.00
Illinois, southern.		339.10			339.10		4,540.30	627.84		1,296.87	20.00	109.90	8,553.83
Indiana.		182.02		61.12	213.14							541.75	5,709.39
Indian Territory, northern.							460.00	75.00		275.00			910.00
Indian Territory, central.													
Indian Territory, southern.				562.75	562.75		100.00	100.00		300.00		2,238.67	2,238.67
Iowa, northern.				900.00	900.00		1.00					1,745.53	1,745.53
Iowa, southern.							274.20	1,189.83				1,721.00	1,721.00
Iowa.							65.00	756.00				1,621.00	1,621.00
Kansas.													
Kentucky.		655.93			655.93							126.00	1,464.13
Louisiana, eastern.											904.14	2,144.80	5,141.04
Louisiana, western.						50.00		2,092.10				300.00	350.00
Maine.													
Maryland.						592.21	738.56	60.00			10.00	30.00	1,430.77
Massachusetts.							925.00	40.00			400.00	1,030.00	2,395.00
Michigan, eastern.	1,829.00				1,829.00		248.70	667.96			50.00	20.00	886.66
Michigan, western.	165.00	2,482.13		32.72	2,679.85	1,123.83		1,124.13			82.17		2,830.13
Minnesota.				254.35	254.35		150.00	250.00				100.00	400.00
Mississippi, northern.							152.30	160.00					260.00
Mississippi, southern.													
Missouri, eastern.							880.46		50.00				132.30
Missouri, western.		27.00			27.00		1,497.34				51.00	25.00	2,427.80
							396.00						811.00

[illegible]

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT D.—Statement showing the number of civil suits to which the United States was not a party, commenced and terminated in the district and circuit courts of the United States during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, with the number pending July 1, 1900.

Districts	Number commenced during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.			Number terminated during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.										Number pending July 1, 1900.		
	Admiralty.			Admiralty.			Other suits.							Admiralty.	Other suits.	Total.
							Judge- ments for plaintiff.	Judge- ments for defendant.	Judge- ments for plaintiff.	Judge- ments for defendant.	Dis- con- tinued.	Total.	Dis- con- tinued.			
Alabama, northern.....	34	6	34						10	7	15	32		4	116	120
Alabama, middle.....	6	6	6						1	1	14	15			28	28
Alabama, southern.....	48	18	66	13	5	23	41		1	7	5	5		17	14	31
Alaska.....	14	155	169	3		2	5		25		47	72		13	278	291
Arizona.....	1	1	1									1				
Arkansas, eastern.....	79	81	160						35	12	21	68		3	349	352
Arkansas, western.....	42	42	84						21	10	21	52			61	61
California, northern.....	174	53	227	22		32	54		44	20	33	97		114	600	714
California, southern.....	53	57	110	2		1	3		21	8	26	55		4	168	172
Colorado.....	162	162	324						76	17	123	216			463	463
Connecticut.....	36	58	94	7	1	12	20		12	10	154	176		33	168	201
Delaware.....	7	5	12	1		4	5		5	8	1	14		61	54	115
District of Columbia.....	3	3	3						2	2		2			1	1
Florida, northern.....	16	42	58	2	2	20	24		1	3	11	15		14	14	28
Florida, southern.....	26	52	78	11	7	8	26		32	11	25	68		6	8	14
Georgia, northern.....	76	76	152						22	9	59	90			132	132
Georgia, southern.....	39	44	83	2		2	4		16	1	22	39		6	104	110
Idaho.....	67	67	134						6	1	15	22			110	110
Illinois, northern.....	35	478	513	10	2	21	33		184	48	562	744		60	768	828
Illinois, southern.....	4	164	168			4	4		83	4	53	140		2	359	361
Indiana.....	156	156	312				1		35	8	66	109			386	386
Indian Territory, northern.....	767	767	1534						348	83	226	657			1,013	1,013
Indian Territory, central.....	602	602	1204						330	31	167	528			490	490
Indian Territory, southern.....	908	908	1816						383	32	375	790			751	751
Iowa, northern.....	53	53	106						26	20	17	63			82	82
Iowa, southern.....	3	50	53	1		4	5		13	21	19	53		1	123	124
Kansas.....	221	221	442						75	14	116	205			295	295
Kentucky.....	28	189	217	24		4	28		41	26	59	126		4	280	284
Louisiana, eastern.....	64	91	155	8		23	31		34	7	37	78		194	262	476
Louisiana, western.....	14	14	28						5	2	9	9			42	43
Maine.....	24	27	51	1		11	15		9		7	18		25	167	192
Maryland.....	38	38	76						20	6	9	33		23	184	207
Massachusetts.....	49	427	476	17	5	23	45		108	92	29	229		71	1,310	1,381
Michigan, eastern.....	99	120	219	33	9	31	73		22	11	32	65		62	4,139	4,201
Michigan, western.....	16	65	81	122	4	67	193		5	5	15	43		130	1,182	1,312
Minnesota.....	5	142	147	3	1	1	5		47	19	71	137		40	381	421
Mississippi, northern.....	8	8	16						3		11	14			54	54

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

79

Mississippi, southern.....	27	28	55	1	10	11	4	5	6	14	42	81	123
Missouri, eastern.....	1	85	86	2	2	20	46	88	1	101	102
Missouri, western.....	1	156	156	32	80	146	169	169
Montana.....	43	43	23	8	14	45	129	129
Nebraska.....	186	186	155	11	79	245	380	380
Nevada.....	25	25	10	1	12	23	32	32
New Hampshire.....	1	16	17	8	1	15	24	4	72	72
New Jersey.....	99	122	221	39	27	70	23	17	29	69	412	1,380	1,802
New Mexico.....
New York, northern.....	44	125	169	42	21	65	1	7	16	24	412	5,790	6,202
New York, eastern.....	224	112	336	53	70	139	13	5	29	47	1,470	1,252	2,722
New York, southern.....	302	621	923	112	168	321	129	69	876	1,074	1,017	12,325	13,942
North Carolina, eastern.....	27	27	2	3	6	3	1	10	50	50
North Carolina, western.....	36	36	2	1	2	6	118	118
North Dakota.....	25	25	13	3	13	29	96	96
Ohio, northern.....	20	175	195	9	27	36	69	28	232	319	45	379	424
Ohio, southern.....	8	180	188	3	1	6	36	30	114	180	19	375	394
Oklahoma.....	6	6	1	2	3	6	16	16
Oregon.....	19	34	53	10	6	19	24	2	50	76	56	215	271
Pennsylvania, eastern.....	101	241	342	22	59	93	40	23	118	181	82	695	777
Pennsylvania, western.....	9	111	120	3	8	11	15	8	35	58	105	312	417
Rhode Island.....	20	46	66	4	4	16	6	6	6	17	13	135	148
South Carolina.....	7	24	31	4	9	17	11	5	53	49	13	78	91
South Dakota.....	39	39	8	2	6	16	106	106
Tennessee, eastern.....	90	90	19	9	42	70	103	103
Tennessee, middle.....	34	34	21	31	61	61	80	80
Tennessee, western.....	5	135	135	2	2	4	10	22	20	150	9	100	109
Texas, northern.....	11	186	186	3	9	13	98	14	78	132	251	251
Texas, eastern.....	184	184	70	3	130	231	30	269	269
Texas, western.....	17	17	14	12	158	231	262	262
Utah.....	37	37	16	12	31	31	58	58
Vermont.....	12	12	6	1	5	22	58	58
Virginia, eastern.....	59	24	83	17	34	54	2	5	12	19	46	83	129
Virginia, western.....	33	33	9	3	10	20	267	267
West Virginia.....	37	156	243	27	51	80	35	24	100	159	97	321	321
Wisconsin.....	2	80	82	1	2	3	10	14	79	103	7	350	357
Wisconsin, eastern.....	42	42	11	3	6	20	8	338	346
Wyoming.....	8	8	1	9	10	11	11
Total.....	1,643	8,985	10,628	644	808	1,588	3,009	994	4,868	8,871	4,776	41,571	46,847

EXHIBIT D (SUPPLEMENT).—Statement of the amounts of judgments in civil suits to which the United States was not a party in the district and circuit courts of the United States during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Districts.	Amount of judgments for plaintiff.			Amount of judgments for defendant.		
	Admi- ralty.	Other suits.	Total.	Admi- ralty.	Other suits.	Total.
Alabama, northern		\$498,709.97	\$498,709.97			
Alabama, middle		3,112.10	3,112.10			
Alabama, southern	\$2,608.74	46.20	2,654.94	\$510.80	\$657.60	\$1,168.40
Alaska	2,740.82	7,247.51	9,988.33			
Arizona						
Arkansas, eastern		214,457.50	214,457.50			
Arkansas, western		153,930.08	153,930.08		27,293.62	27,293.62
California, northern	10,230.34	42,953.54	53,183.88		506.60	506.60
California, southern	194.75	20,297.69	20,492.44		640.65	640.65
Colorado		317,713.15	317,713.15			
Connecticut	781.20	38,309.02	39,090.22			
Delaware	116.73	137,295.60	137,412.33		843.38	843.38
District of Columbia		1,500.00	1,500.00			
Florida, northern	3,811.45		3,811.45			
Florida, southern	39,430.71	157,087.26	196,517.97		178.42	178.42
Georgia, northern		238,450.38	238,450.38		418.75	418.75
Georgia, southern	138.00	28,410.00	28,548.00			
Idaho		349,151.57	349,151.57		105.90	105.90
Illinois, northern	20,986.38	2,159,957.95	2,180,944.33			
Illinois, southern		12,184,724.16	12,184,724.16			
Indiana		15,003,542.86	15,003,542.86			
Indian Territory, northern		615,608.53	615,608.53		412.45	412.45
Indian Territory, central		45,106.71	45,106.71		1,608.50	1,608.50
Indian Territory, southern		42,217.69	42,217.69		4,522.36	4,522.36
Iowa, northern		143,397.18	143,397.18		5,425.48	5,425.48
Iowa, southern	28.80	1,104,239.83	1,104,268.63		404.56	404.56
Kansas		1,735,311.35	1,735,311.35		547.80	547.80
Kentucky	12,960.00	359,284.33	372,244.33		350.00	350.00
Louisiana, eastern	13,272.32	2,807,463.98	2,820,736.30			
Louisiana, western	385.40	14,000.00	14,385.40		500.00	500.00
Maine	1,626.19	12,816.10	14,442.29			
Maryland	24,307.46	100,236,374.65	100,260,682.11	67.30	158.78	226.08
Massachusetts	55,707.65	81,000.00	136,707.65	114.40	2,020.00	2,134.40
Michigan, eastern	103,120.39	422,960.60	526,080.99			
Michigan, western	328.88	14,352,670.90	14,352,999.78		214.87	214.87
Minnesota	2,568.07	216,031.28	218,599.35	321.38	2,175.55	2,496.93
Mississippi, northern		7,342.96	7,342.96			
Mississippi, southern	14.50	17,117.82	17,132.32			
Missouri, eastern		620,633.72	620,633.72		5,016.82	5,016.82
Missouri, western		1,744,450.64	1,744,450.64			
Montana		110,975.65	110,975.65		308.10	308.10
Nebraska		905,777.66	905,777.66			
Nevada		517,149.16	517,149.16			
New Hampshire		11,472.99	11,472.99			
New Jersey	12,297.38	137,850.60	150,147.98	703.71	7,110.35	7,814.06
New Mexico						
New York, northern	701.53	257.81	959.34		2,794.69	2,794.69
New York, eastern	108,442.52	16,688.83	125,131.35	3,162.39	1,067.02	4,229.41
New York, southern	263,284.29	1,534,956.11	1,798,240.40	4,622.52	8,809.01	13,431.53
North Carolina, eastern	2,084.00	101,111.93	103,195.93		209.45	209.45
North Carolina, western		2,000.00	2,000.00			
North Dakota		51,458.67	51,458.67		1,407.00	1,407.00
Ohio, northern	3,459.49	154,422.87	157,882.36		1,270.20	1,270.20
Ohio, southern	214.35	815,836.34	816,050.69	1,260.37	6,801.90	8,062.27
Oklahoma						
Oregon	8,585.61	348,528.93	357,114.54			
Pennsylvania, eastern	23,699.42	214,691.11	238,390.53			
Pennsylvania, western	299.98	50,545.57	50,845.55			
Rhode Island	1,780.00	18,690.00	20,470.00	684.00	560.00	1,244.00
South Carolina	6,163.00	563,797.67	569,960.67			
South Dakota		23,644.22	23,644.22		263.50	263.50
Tennessee, eastern		185,692.27	185,692.27		97.58	97.58
Tennessee, middle		8,500.00	8,500.00			
Tennessee, western	215.00	19,000.00	19,215.00			
Texas, northern		698,879.54	698,879.54		58,020.11	58,020.11
Texas, eastern	175.00	165,292.01	165,467.01		10,616.30	10,616.30
Texas, western		117,075.93	117,075.93		898.00	898.00
Utah		81,581.60	81,581.60		323.15	323.15
Vermont		2,955.52	2,955.52			
Virginia, eastern	41,702.43	4,989.95	46,692.38		500.00	500.00
Virginia, western		5,200.19	5,200.19		17.22	17.22
Washington	50,092.34	1,109,627.84	1,159,720.18	152.90	93,680.32	93,833.22
West Virginia		42,000.00	42,000.00		6,016.56	6,016.56
Wisconsin, eastern						
Wisconsin, western		864,000.00	864,000.00			
Wyoming						
Total	818,556.12	165,019,575.78	165,838,130.90	11,599.77	254,772.55	266,372.32

EXHIBIT E.—*A general statement of all appropriations placed under the control of the Department of Justice which were available and those from which payments were made during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.*

Fees and expenses of marshals, certified claims:

Balance July 1, 1899	\$248. 56	
Appropriation warrant	73. 14	
		\$321. 70
Payments during fiscal year	73. 14	
Carried to surplus fund	8. 56	
		81. 70
Balance July 1, 1900		\$240. 00
Fees and expenses of marshals, 1891 and prior years:		
Balance July 1, 1899		410. 64
Balance July 1, 1900		410. 64
Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals, 1897 and prior years:		
Repayments	4, 765. 46	
Carried to surplus fund	4, 765. 46	
Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899		100, 533. 97
Payments during fiscal year	\$473. 68	
Carried to surplus fund	100, 060. 29	
		100, 533. 97
Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$31, 280. 43	
Repayments	9, 601. 19	
		40, 881. 62
Payments during fiscal year	2, 145. 97	
Carried to surplus fund	38, 735. 65	
		40, 881. 62
Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$29, 835. 24	
Repayments	41, 067. 31	
		70, 902. 55
Payments during fiscal year		15, 935. 17
Balance July 1, 1900		54, 967. 38
Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants	\$1, 165, 000. 00	
Repayments	11, 047. 78	
		1, 176, 047. 78
Payments during fiscal year		1, 157, 167. 05
Balance July 1, 1900		18, 880. 73
Fees of jurors, certified claims:		
Appropriation warrant	28. 05	
Payments during fiscal year	28. 05	
Fees of jurors, 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899		232. 00
Balance July 1, 1900		232. 00
Fees of jurors, 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899		9. 70
Payments during fiscal year		9. 70
Fees of jurors, 1897 and 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$65. 60	
Repayments	56. 70	
		122. 30
Payments during fiscal year	6. 00	
Carried to surplus fund	116. 30	
		122. 30

Fees of jurors, 1897 and prior years:			
Repayments		\$76. 11	
Carried to surplus fund		76. 11	
<hr/>			
Fees of jurors, 1898:			
Balance July 1, 1899	\$52, 813. 39		
Repayments	157. 03		
		52, 970. 42	
Payments during fiscal year.....	615. 28		
Carried to surplus fund.....	52, 355. 14		
		52, 970. 42	
<hr/>			
Fees of jurors, 1899:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$62, 672. 51		
Repayments	45, 124. 01		
		107, 796. 52	
Payments during fiscal year.....		3, 200. 80	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			\$104, 595. 72
<hr/>			
Fees of jurors, 1900:			
Appropriation warrants.....	\$655, 000. 00		
Repayments	25, 477. 40		
		680, 477. 40	
Payments during fiscal year.....		646, 032. 25	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			34, 445. 15
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, certified claims:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$269. 03		
Appropriation warrant.....	332. 30		
		601. 33	
Payments during fiscal year.....	332. 30		
Carried to surplus fund	269. 03		
		601. 33	
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1879:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		9. 50	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			9. 50
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1880:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		10. 90	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			10. 90
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1889:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		37. 50	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			37. 50
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1890:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		96. 10	
Carried to surplus fund		96. 10	
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1891:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		166. 40	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			166. 40
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1892:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		84. 70	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			84. 70
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1893:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		161. 30	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			161. 30
<hr/>			
Fees of witnesses, 1894:			
Balance July 1, 1899.....		93. 20	
Payments during fiscal year.....		43. 90	
Balance July 1, 1900.....			49. 30

Fees of witnesses, 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$369. 80	
Payments during fiscal year.....	95. 60	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$274. 20
Fees of witnesses, 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	533. 90	
Payments during fiscal year.....	223. 85	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		310. 05
Fees of witnesses, 1897 and prior years:		
Repayments.....	308. 61	
Carried to surplus fund.....	308. 61	
Fees of witnesses, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		102, 796. 99
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$610. 45	
Carried to surplus fund.....	102, 186. 54	
		102, 796. 99
Fees of witnesses, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	9, 557. 78	
Repayments.....	1, 118. 96	
		10, 676. 74
Payments during fiscal year.....	754. 80	
Carried to surplus fund.....	9, 921. 94	
		10, 676. 74
Fees of witnesses, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$124, 776. 08	
Repayments.....	63, 156. 59	
		187, 932. 67
Payments during fiscal year.....		13, 187. 70
Balance July 1, 1900.....		174, 744. 97
Fees of witnesses, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$955, 000. 00	
Repayments.....	41, 498. 28	
		996, 498. 28
Payments during fiscal year.....		952, 627. 60
Balance July 1, 1900.....		43, 870. 68
Support of prisoners, certified claims:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	104. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	104. 00	
Support of prisoners, 1894:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	16. 10	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		16. 10
Support of prisoners, 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		4, 092. 68
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$12. 40	
Carried to surplus fund.....	4, 080. 28	
		4, 092. 68
Support of prisoners, 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	1, 665. 74	
Payments during fiscal year.....	17. 00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1, 648. 74
Support of prisoners, 1897 and prior years:		
Repayments.....	245. 17	
Carried to surplus fund.....	245. 17	

Support of prisoners, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		\$9, 743. 23
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$380. 80	
Carried to surplus fund.....	9, 362. 43	
		<u>9, 743. 23</u>
Support of prisoners, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$6, 601. 49	
Repayments.....	1, 584. 96	
		<u>8, 186. 45</u>
Payments during fiscal year.....	1, 136. 16	
Carried to surplus fund.....	7, 050. 29	
		<u>8, 186. 45</u>
Support of prisoners, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$46, 128. 34	
Repayments.....	56, 387. 54	
		<u>102, 515. 88</u>
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>100, 285. 26</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$2, 230. 62
Support of prisoners, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$700, 000. 00	
Repayments.....	7, 048. 90	
		<u>707, 048. 90</u>
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>631, 762. 82</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		75, 286. 08
Pay of bailiffs, etc., certified claims:		
Appropriation warrant.....		18. 00
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>18. 00</u>
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1893:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		10. 00
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>6. 00</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		4. 00
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1894:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		188. 75
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>10. 00</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		178. 75
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		135. 00
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>102. 00</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		33. 00
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		55. 00
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>30. 00</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		25. 00
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		6, 882. 96
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$198. 60	
Carried to surplus fund.....	6, 684. 36	
		<u>6, 882. 96</u>
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1897 and prior years:		
Repayments.....		36. 40
Carried to surplus fund.....		<u>36. 40</u>
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$22, 698. 24	
Repayments.....	629. 60	
		<u>23, 327. 84</u>
Payments during fiscal year.....		<u>102. 00</u>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		23, 225. 84

Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$18,403.50	
Repayments	18,439.60	
	<hr/>	\$36,843.10
Payments during fiscal year		896.09
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		\$35,947.01
Pay of bailiffs, etc., 1900:		
Appropriation warrants	\$160,000.00	
Repayments	4,945.01	
	<hr/>	164,945.01
Payments during fiscal year		159,869.80
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		5,075.21
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, certified claims:		
Appropriation warrant		1,100.00
Payments during fiscal year		1,100.00
	<hr/>	
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1892:		
Balance July 1, 1899		22.00
Carried to surplus fund		22.00
	<hr/>	
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1893:		
Balance July 1, 1899		8.74
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		8.74
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1894:		
Balance July 1, 1899		28.57
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		28.57
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899		224.30
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		224.30
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899		207.45
Payments during fiscal year		179.78
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		27.67
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1897 and prior years:		
Repayments		158.37
Carried to surplus fund		158.37
	<hr/>	
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899		551.44
Payments during fiscal year		417.13
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		134.31
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$1,628.38	
Repayments	366.63	
	<hr/>	1,995.01
Payments during fiscal year		1,238.13
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		756.88
Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$15,574.53	
Repayments	10,208.67	
	<hr/>	25,783.20
Payments during fiscal year		15,101.85
	<hr/>	
Balance July 1, 1900		10,681.35

Miscellaneous expenses, United States courts, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$260,000.00	
Repayments	3,069.36	
		\$263,069.36
Payments during fiscal year.....		262,280.79
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$788.57
Supplies for United States courts, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$19,947.75	
Repayments	2,819.14	
		22,766.89
Payments during fiscal year.....		13,994.57
Balance July 1, 1900.....		8,772.32
Supplies for United States courts, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	35,000.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	18,769.09	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		16,230.91
Rent of court rooms, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		2,769.51
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$75.00	
Carried to surplus fund	2,694.51	
		2,769.51
Rent of court rooms, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		3,725.85
Payments during fiscal year.....		495.00
Balance July 1, 1900.....		3,230.85
Rent of court rooms, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$25,752.17	
Appropriation warrant.....	3,600.00	
		29,352.17
Payments during fiscal year.....		29,339.50
Balance July 1, 1900.....		12.67
Rent of court rooms, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	100,000.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	77,359.83	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		22,640.17
Fees of commissioners, certified claims:		
Appropriation warrant.....	418.60	
Payments during fiscal year.....	418.60	
Fees of commissioners, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		103,865.76
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$239.50	
Carried to surplus fund	103,626.26	
		103,865.76
Fees of commissioners, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$148,532.11	
Repayments	115.20	
		148,647.21
Payments during fiscal year.....	342.90	
Carried to surplus fund	148,304.41	
		148,647.31
Fees of commissioners, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$103,623.35	
Repayments	7.20	
		103,630.55
Payments during fiscal year.....		46,088.15
Balance July 1, 1900.....		57,542.40

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

87

Fees of commissioners, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$150,000.00	
Repayments	271.15	
	<hr/>	\$150,271.15
Payments during fiscal year.....		98,940.75
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$51,330.40
Fees of clerks, certified claims:		
Appropriation warrant.....		2.70
Payments during fiscal year.....		2.70
		<hr/>
Fees of clerks, 1897 and prior years:		
Repayments		19.00
Carried to surplus fund.....		19.00
		<hr/>
Fees of clerks, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		76,259.75
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$2,037.81	
Carried to surplus fund.....	74,221.94	
	<hr/>	76,259.75
		<hr/>
Fees of clerks, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$84,125.47	
Repayments	132.85	
	<hr/>	84,258.32
Payments during fiscal year.....	6,789.77	
Carried to surplus fund	77,468.55	
	<hr/>	84,258.32
		<hr/>
Fees of clerks, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$124,202.55	
Repayments	126.96	
	<hr/>	124,329.51
Payments during fiscal year.....		88,476.58
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		35,852.93
Fees of clerks, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$250,000.00	
Repayments	40.50	
	<hr/>	250,040.50
Payments during fiscal year.....		154,871.50
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		95,169.00
Salaries and expenses of district attorneys, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		3,501.96
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		3,501.96
Salaries and expenses of district attorneys, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$310.00	
Appropriation warrant.....	193.04	
	<hr/>	503.04
Payments during fiscal year.....		478.89
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		24.15
Salaries and expenses of district attorneys, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$18,509.13	
Repayments	637.15	
	<hr/>	19,146.28
Payments during fiscal year.....		11,487.84
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		7,658.44
Salaries and expenses of district attorneys, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$400,000.00	
Repayments	1,569.41	
	<hr/>	401,569.41
Payments during fiscal year.....		385,802.84
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		15,766.57

Fees of district attorneys, 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$6,369.31	
Carried to surplus fund	6,369.31	
Fees of district attorneys, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899	2,370.14	
Carried to surplus fund	2,370.14	
Fees of district attorney for District of Columbia, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	295.95	
Carried to surplus fund	295.95	
Fees of district attorney for District of Columbia, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	2,607.37	
Payments during fiscal year	2,134.39	
Balance July 1, 1900		\$472.98
Fees of district attorney for District of Columbia, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant	23,800.00	
Payments during fiscal year	21,015.19	
Balance July 1, 1900		2,784.81
Fees of district attorney for southern district of New York, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	77.60	
Balance July 1, 1900		77.60
Fees of district attorney for southern district of New York, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants	2,541.24	
Payments during fiscal year	2,441.24	
Balance July 1, 1900		100.00
Special assistants to the Attorney-General in the Supreme Court:		
Balance July 1, 1899	2,018.60	
Payments during fiscal year	1,310.00	
Balance July 1, 1900		708.60
Pay of special assistant attorneys, 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899	3,290.00	
Carried to surplus fund	290.00	
Balance July 1, 1900		3,000.00
Pay of special assistant attorneys, 1896:		
Balance July 1, 1899	1,744.74	
Payments during fiscal year	\$600.00	
Carried to surplus fund	1,144.74	
	1,744.74	
Pay of special assistant attorneys, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899	6,272.39	
Payments during fiscal year	\$2,050.00	
Carried to surplus fund	4,222.39	
	6,272.39	
Pay of special assistant attorneys, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	11,172.88	
Payments during fiscal year	11,172.88	
Pay of special assistant attorneys, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$25,431.98	
Repayments	50.00	
	25,481.98	
Payments during fiscal year	25,473.28	
Balance July 1, 1900		8.70

Pay of special assistant attorneys, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$60,000.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	20,755.39	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$39,244.61
Rent and incidental expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1893:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	31.95	
Payments during fiscal year.....	26.45	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		5.50
Rent and incidental expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	1,285.38	
Carried to surplus fund.....	1,285.38	
Rent and incidental expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$795.42	
Appropriation warrant.....	72.50	
Payments during fiscal year.....	867.92	
Payments during fiscal year.....	566.10	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		301.82
Rent and incidental expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$3,215.00	
Repayments.....	33.05	
Appropriation warrant.....	2,675.60	
Payments during fiscal year.....	5,923.65	
Payments during fiscal year.....	5,919.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		4.65
Rent and incidental expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$10,000.00	
Repayments.....	501.40	
Payments during fiscal year.....	10,501.40	
Payments during fiscal year.....	9,691.40	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		810.00
Traveling expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	210.25	
Carried to surplus fund.....	210.25	
Traveling expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	345.50	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		345.50
Traveling expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$503.00	
Appropriation warrant.....	122.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	625.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	602.50	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		22.50
Traveling expenses, Territory of Alaska, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	2,730.90	
Payments during fiscal year.....	1,698.90	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1,032.00
Salaries and expenses, Court of Private Land Claims, 1897-98:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	100.99	
Carried to surplus fund.....	100.99	
Salaries and expenses, Court of Private Land Claims, 1898-99:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	30.65	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		30.65

Salaries and expenses, Court of Private Land Claims, 1899-1900:			
Balance July 1, 1899	\$10,503.76		
Payments during fiscal year	8,185.82		
Balance July 1, 1900			\$2,317.94
Uniform system of bookkeeping:			
Balance July 1, 1899	338.80		
Payments during fiscal year	238.45		
Balance July 1, 1900			100.35
Claims of deputy marshals in Oklahoma:			
Balance July 1, 1899	773.40		
Balance July 1, 1900			773.40
Expenses of settling title to Greer County, claimed by Texas:			
Balance July 1, 1889	1,122.01		
Carried to surplus fund	1,122.01		
Expenses of litigation, Eastern Band of North Carolina Cherokees:			
Appropriation warrant60		
Payments during fiscal year60		
Protecting interests of the United States in suits affecting Pacific railroads:			
Balance July 1, 1899	72,786.56		
Payments during fiscal year	3,600.00		
Balance July 1, 1900			69,186.56
Payment for legal services in circuit court of appeals:			
Balance July 1, 1899	\$1,949.29		
Appropriation warrant	2,050.71		
	4,000.00		
Payments during fiscal year	4,000.00		
Counsel for Mission Indians of southern California, 1896:			
Balance July 1, 1899	8.40		
Balance July 1, 1900			8.40
Counsel for Mission Indians of southern California, 1897:			
Appropriation warrant	159.45		
Payments during fiscal year	159.45		
Counsel for Mission Indians of southern California, 1898:			
Balance July 1, 1899	650.00		
Payments during fiscal year	\$425.00		
Carried to surplus fund	225.00		
	650.00		
Counsel for Mission Indians of southern California, 1899:			
Balance July 1, 1899	500.00		
Payments during fiscal year	50.00		
Balance July 1, 1900			450.00
Counsel for Mission Indians of southern California, 1900:			
Appropriation warrant	500.00		
Payments during fiscal year	450.00		
Balance July 1, 1900			50.00
Oil portrait of Chief Justice Marshall:			
Balance July 1, 1899	1,000.00		
Balance July 1, 1900			1,000.00
Compromise of suit, T. F. Townsley against the United States:			
Appropriation warrant	1,718.88		
Balance July 1, 1900			1,718.88

Fees and expenses in suit against Benjamin Weil and La Abra Mining Company:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$3,442.41	
Appropriation warrant	10,000.00	
	<u>\$13,442.41</u>	
Payments during fiscal year	5,442.41	
Balance July 1, 1900		\$8,000.00
Prosecution and collection of claims, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	500.00	
Carried to surplus fund	500.00	
Prosecution and collection of claims, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	500.00	
Balance July 1, 1900		500.00
Prosecution and collection of claims, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant	500.00	
Balance July 1, 1900		500.00
Payment for legal services rendered the United States:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$567.95	
Appropriation warrant	13,780.91	
	<u>14,348.86</u>	
Payments during fiscal year	11,332.49	
Balance July 1, 1900		3,016.37
Fees and expenses in suit of Peralta-Reavis v. The United States:		
Balance July 1, 1899	647.51	
Carried to surplus fund	647.51	
Distributing acts of Congress to United States judges, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 189910	
Carried to surplus fund10	
United States penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kans., 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	18,236.46	
Carried to surplus fund	18,236.46	
United States penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kans., 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$9,212.00	
Repayments	3,843.08	
	<u>13,055.08</u>	
Balance July 1, 1900		13,055.08
United States penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kans., 1900:		
Appropriation warrants	159,912.00	
Payments during fiscal year	159,287.85	
Balance July 1, 1900		624.15
United States penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kans., site:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$39,940.87	
Repayments	5,192.69	
	<u>45,133.56</u>	
Payments during fiscal year	33,934.20	
Balance July 1, 1900		11,199.36
Buildings for United States courts, Territory of Alaska:		
Balance July 1, 1899	6,643.41	
Payments during fiscal year	722.76	
Balance July 1, 1900		5,920.65
Sites for United States prisons:		
Balance July 1, 1899	14,921.30	
Payments during fiscal year	\$1,089.57	
Amount transferred to United States peni- tentiary, Atlanta, Ga.	13,831.73	
	<u>14,921.30</u>	

United States penitentiary, Atlanta, Ga.:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$500,000.00	
Appropriation warrant.....	100,000.00	
Amount transferred from sites for United States prisons	13,831.73	
	<u>\$613,831.73</u>	
Payments during fiscal year.....	12,489.38	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$601,342.35
Court-house and jail, Juneau, Alaska:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	40,000.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		40,000.00
United States jails, Indian Territory:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	60,000.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		60,000.00
United States jail, Fort Smith, Ark.:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	5,000.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		5,000.00
United States penitentiary, McNeils Island, Washington:		
Repayment.....	5.85	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		5.85
Temporary quarters for Court of Claims:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$25,000.00	
Repayments	304.78	
	<u>25,304.78</u>	
Payments during fiscal year.....	11,956.33	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		13,348.45
Building, Department of Justice:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$1,000,000.00	
Repayments	866.97	
	<u>1,000,866.97</u>	
Payments during fiscal year.....	37,116.54	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		963,750.43
Care of rented buildings, Department of Justice, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	5,000.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	2,235.89	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		2,764.11
Traveling and miscellaneous expenses, Department of Justice, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$10,000.00	
Repayments	1,977.90	
	<u>11,977.90</u>	
Payments during fiscal year.....	4,026.90	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		7,951.00
Docketing reports of United States attorneys, Department of Justice, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	500.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	400.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		100.00
Removal of circuit court records, New Hampshire, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	200.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	56.66	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		143.34
Relief of R. E. Vaughn:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	23.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	23.00	

Payment of physicians' claims for treatment of wounded deputy marshals:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$76. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	76. 00	
Reimbursement to O. L. Carter for legal expenses:		
Appropriation warrant.....	30. 50	
Payments during fiscal year.....	30. 50	
Reimbursement to Henry Rehtin, Department of Justice:		
Appropriation warrant.....	83. 50	
Payments during fiscal year.....	83. 50	
Expenses of commissioners to revise the statutes relating to patents, etc.:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	210. 05	
Payments during fiscal year.....	85. 40	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$124. 65
Revising criminal and penal laws of the United States:		
Payments during fiscal year ¹	21. 715. 04	
Expenses of United States courts, 1879 and prior years:		
Repayments.....	1, 500. 00	
Carried to surplus fund.....	1, 500. 00	
Salaries, Department of Justice, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	1, 894. 16	
Carried to surplus fund.....	1, 894. 16	
Salaries, Department of Justice, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$1, 872. 44	
Repayments.....	7. 39	
	1, 879. 83	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1, 879. 83
Salaries, Department of Justice, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	202, 500. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	200, 722. 47	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1, 777. 53
Prosecution of crimes, certified claims:		
Appropriation warrant.....	7. 50	
Payments during fiscal year.....	7. 50	
Prosecution of crimes, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	770. 32	
Carried to surplus fund.....	770. 32	
Prosecution of crimes, 1899:		
Repayments.....	641. 53	
Payments during fiscal year.....	403. 46	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		238. 07
Prosecution of crimes, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$40, 000. 00	
Repayments.....	1, 050. 76	
	41, 050. 76	
Payments during fiscal year.....	40, 952. 46	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		98. 30
Defending suits in claims against the United States, 1897:		
Appropriation warrant.....	32. 20	
Payments during fiscal year.....	32. 20	

¹ Only such amount as was necessary to pay the commissioners and their expenses during the fiscal year was appropriated.—Sundry civil act of June 4, 1897.

Defending suits in claims against the United States, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$4, 459. 94	
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$45. 20	
Carried to surplus fund.....	4, 414. 74	
	<hr/>	4, 459. 94
Defending suits in claims against the United States, 1899:		
Repayments.....	\$116. 93	
Appropriation warrant.....	849. 06	
	<hr/>	965. 99
Payments during fiscal year.....		964. 82
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		\$1. 17
Defending suits in claims against the United States, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$45, 000. 00	
Repayments.....	1, 067. 54	
	<hr/>	46, 067. 54
Payments during fiscal year.....		45, 843. 55
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		223. 99
Defense in Indian depredation claims, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		399. 31
Carried to surplus fund.....		399. 31
Defense in Indian depredation claims, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		3. 00
Carried to surplus fund.....		3. 00
Defense in Indian depredation claims, 1899:		
Repayments.....	\$0. 64	
Appropriation warrant.....	808. 26	
	<hr/>	808. 90
Payments during fiscal year.....		808. 26
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		. 64
Defense in Indian depredation claims, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$55, 500. 00	
Repayments.....	832. 29	
	<hr/>	56, 332. 29
Payments during fiscal year.....		56, 053. 53
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		278. 76
Repairs to court-house, Washington, D. C., 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		. 06
Carried to surplus fund.....		. 06
Repairs to court-house, Washington, D. C., 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....		1, 500. 00
Payments during fiscal year.....		1, 500. 00
Repairs to court-house, Washington, D. C., 1900-1901:		
Appropriation warrant.....		4, 348. 50
Payments during fiscal year.....		2, 500. 00
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		1, 848. 50
Punishing violations of intercourse acts and frauds, 1896:		
Appropriation warrant.....		50. 00
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		50. 00
Punishing violations of intercourse, acts and frauds, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		1, 308. 77
Carried to surplus fund.....		1, 308. 77
Punishing violations of intercourse acts and frauds, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$7, 383. 20	
Repayments.....	153. 72	
	<hr/>	7, 536. 92
Payments during fiscal year.....		253. 10
Balance July 1, 1900.....		<hr/>
		7, 283. 82

Punishing violations of intercourse, acts and frauds, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$5,000.00	
Repayments	428.61	
	<hr/>	\$5,428.61
Payments during fiscal year.....		4,591.93
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$836.68
Pay of regular assistant attorneys, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		4,020.32
Carried to surplus fund.....		4,020.32
		<hr/>
Pay of regular assistant attorneys, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		1,665.01
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1,665.01
Pay of regular assistant attorneys, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		6,253.35
Payments during fiscal year.....		671.27
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		5,582.08
Pay of regular assistant attorneys, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant	\$160,000.00	
Repayments	923.79	
	<hr/>	160,923.79
Payments during fiscal year.....		160,923.79
		<hr/>
Salaries and expenses, United States courts, Indian Territory, 1895:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		18.00
Payments during fiscal year.....		18.00
		<hr/>
Salaries and expenses, United States courts, Indian Territory, 1897 and prior years:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$0.10	
Repayments	435.78	
	<hr/>	435.88
Carried to surplus fund		435.88
		<hr/>
Salaries and expenses, United States courts, Indian Territory, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....		36,784.57
Payments during fiscal year.....	\$205.73	
Carried to surplus fund	36,578.84	
	<hr/>	36,784.57
		<hr/>
Salaries and expenses, United States courts, Indian Territory, 1878:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$14,442.02	
Repayments	31.04	
	<hr/>	14,473.06
Payments during fiscal year.....	150.05	
Carried to surplus fund	14,323.01	
	<hr/>	14,473.06
		<hr/>
Salaries and expenses, United States courts, Indian Territory, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$17,758.69	
Repayments	951.28	
	<hr/>	18,709.97
Payments during fiscal year.....		523.25
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		18,186.72
Salaries and expenses, United States courts, Indian Territory, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$90,000.00	
Repayments	301.10	
	<hr/>	90,301.10
Payments during fiscal year.....		80,612.53
		<hr/>
Balance July 1, 1900.....		9,688.57

Furniture and repairs, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$49. 04	
Carried to surplus fund	49. 04	
Furniture and repairs, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	7. 30	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$7. 30
Furniture and repairs, 1899:		
Repayments	112. 10	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		112. 10
Furniture and repairs, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$750. 00	
Repayments	174. 45	
	924. 45	
Payments during fiscal year	924. 45	
Books for Department library, 1899:		
Appropriation warrant.....	228. 50	
Payments during fiscal year.....	228. 50	
Books for Department library, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$2, 750. 00	
Repayments	695. 21	
	3, 445. 21	
Payments during fiscal year	3, 445. 21	
Books for office of solicitor, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$300. 00	
Repayments	134. 00	
	434. 00	
Payments during fiscal year	434. 00	
Stationery, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	1. 71	
Carried to surplus fund	1. 71	
Stationery, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$2, 650. 00	
Repayment	176. 61	
	2, 826. 61	
Payments during fiscal year	2, 826. 61	
Transportation, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899	437. 86	
Carried to surplus fund	437. 86	
Transportation, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	215. 75	
Balance July 1, 1900		215. 75
Transportation, 1899:		
Repayment	\$56. 57	
Appropriation warrant.....	202. 50	
	259. 07	
Payments during fiscal year	202. 50	
Balance July 1, 1899		56. 57
Transportation, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$2, 600. 00	
Repayments	123. 48	
	2, 723. 48	
Payments during fiscal year	2, 723. 48	
Miscellaneous items, 1897 and prior years:		
Balance July 1, 1899	46. 86	
Balance July 1, 1900		46. 86

Miscellaneous items, 1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$2. 47	
Carried to surplus fund	2. 47	
Miscellaneous items, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	10. 63	
Balance July 1, 1900		\$10. 63
Miscellaneous items, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	1, 399. 40	
Payments during fiscal year	61. 27	
Balance July 1, 1900		1, 338. 13
Miscellaneous items, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants	\$8, 575. 00	
Repayments	177. 10	
Payments during fiscal year	8, 752. 10	
	7, 677. 10	
Balance July 1, 1900		1, 075. 00
Salaries, governor, etc., Territory of Alaska, 1900:		
Amount paid the district judge, United States marshal, deputy marshals, clerk, commissioners, and United States attorney ¹	23, 639. 51	
Salaries, governor, etc., Territory of Arizona, 1900:		
Amount paid the justices of the supreme court ¹	12, 000. 00	
Salaries, governor, etc., Territory of New Mexico, 1900:		
Amount paid the justices of the supreme court ¹	15, 000. 00	
Salaries, governor, etc., Territory of Oklahoma, 1900:		
Amount paid the justices of the supreme court ¹	15, 000. 00	
Salaries, district court, Territory of Hawaii, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant	196. 15	
Balance July 1, 1900		196. 15
Salaries, Supreme Court, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	1, 536. 83	
Balance July 1, 1900		1, 536. 83
Salaries, Supreme Court, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant	107, 900. 00	
Payments during fiscal year	107, 900. 00	
Salaries, circuit courts, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	125. 03	
Carried to surplus fund	125. 03	
Salaries, circuit courts, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899	\$645. 16	
Repayments	368. 77	
Appropriation warrant	5, 000. 37	
Payments during fiscal year	6, 014. 30	
	5, 098. 20	
Balance July 1, 1900		916. 10
Salaries, circuit courts, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants	\$179, 000. 00	
Repayments	994. 60	
Payments during fiscal year	179, 994. 60	
Salaries, district judges, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899	3, 787. 22	
Carried to surplus fund	3, 787. 22	

¹Only such amounts as were necessary to pay the salaries of the justices of the supreme court, United States marshals, etc., were amenable to disbursement by the Department of Justice.

Salaries, district judges, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$2, 227. 40	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$2, 227. 40
Salaries, district judges, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	325, 920. 35	
Payments during fiscal year.....	322, 462. 90	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		3, 457. 45
Salaries, retired judges, 1900:		
Payments during fiscal year ¹	54, 324. 66	
Salaries, Court of Claims, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	52. 19	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		52. 19
Salaries, Court of Claims, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	35, 840. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	35, 798. 43	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		41. 57
Salaries, Court of Private Land Claims, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	35, 100. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	35, 100. 00	
Salaries and expenses, court of appeals, District of Columbia, 1899:		
Repayments.....	12. 79	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		12. 79
Salaries and expenses, court of appeals, District of Columbia, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	26, 220. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	25, 720. 00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		500. 00
Salaries, supreme court, District of Columbia, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	27. 82	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		27. 82
Salaries, supreme court, District of Columbia, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	30, 000. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	28, 777. 17	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1, 222. 83
Salary, clerk district court, northern district of Illinois, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	3, 000. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	3, 000. 00	
Salary, commissioner of Yellowstone National Park, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$1, 000. 00	
Repayments.....	84. 20	
Payments during fiscal year.....	1, 084. 20	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1, 084. 20
Salary, warden of jail, District of Columbia, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	19. 72	
Carried to surplus fund.....	19. 72	
Salary, warden of jail, District of Columbia, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	1, 800. 00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	1, 800. 00	

¹To pay the salaries of the United States judges, retired, under section 714, Revised Statutes, only so much as was necessary to pay them during the current fiscal year was appropriated.

Defending suits in Court of Claims, District of Columbia,		
1897:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$500.00	
Carried to surplus fund	500.00	
Defending suits in Court of Claims, District of Columbia,		
1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	3,000.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	3,000.00	
Support of convicts, District of Columbia, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$13,476.90	
Repayments	432.88	
	13,909.78	
Payments during fiscal year.....	10,903.78	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		\$3,006.00
Support of convicts, District of Columbia, 1900:		
Appropriation warrant.....	\$46,000.00	
Repayments	277.40	
	46,277.40	
Payments during fiscal year.....	32,760.73	
Balance, July 1, 1900		13,516.67
Salaries of employees, court-house, Washington, D. C.,		
1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	127.52	
Carried to surplus fund	127.52	
Salaries of employees, court-house, Washington, D. C.,		
1898:		
Repayments	70.15	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		70.15
Salaries of employees, court-house, Washington, D. C.,		
1900:		
Appropriation warrant	\$12,960.00	
Repayments	780.00	
	13,740.00	
Payments during fiscal year.....	13,740.00	
Support of prisoners, District of Columbia, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	1,507.79	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		1,507.79
Support of prisoners, District of Columbia, 1899:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	\$1,300.00	
Repayments	1,108.72	
	2,408.72	
Payments during fiscal year.....	20.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		2,388.72
Support of prisoners, District of Columbia, 1900:		
Appropriation warrants.....	\$45,000.00	
Repayments	2,020.54	
	47,020.54	
Payments during fiscal year.....	43,020.00	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		4,000.54
Cells and repairs to jail, District of Columbia:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	2,770.95	
Payments during fiscal year.....	7.50	
Balance July 1, 1900.....		2,763.45
Jail grounds, District of Columbia, 1898:		
Balance July 1, 1899.....	10,000.00	
Carried to surplus fund	10,000.00	

EXHIBIT F. —Statement showing the expenses of the United States courts incurred in the fiscal year 1897 and prior years and paid in the fiscal year 1900.

Judicial districts.	Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals.	Fees of jurors.	Fees of witnesses.	Support of prisoners.	Pay of bailiffs, etc.	Miscellaneous expenses.	Salaries and expenses of district attorneys.	Pay of regular assistant attorneys.	Pay of special assistant attorneys.	Fees of clerks.	Fees of commissioners.	Rent of court rooms.	Total.
Alabama, northern.....											\$108.45		\$108.45
Alabama, middle.....													
Alabama, southern.....													
Alaska.....													
Arizona.....													
Arkansas, eastern.....													
Arkansas, western.....													
California, northern.....				\$274.00		\$30.00							284.00
California, southern.....													
Colorado.....										\$2.70			75
Connecticut.....													2.70
Delaware.....													
District of Columbia.....													
Florida, northern.....									\$50.00				50.00
Florida, southern.....													73.14
Georgia, northern.....	\$73.14									10.85			10.85
Georgia, southern.....													
Hawaii.....													
Idaho.....													
Illinois, northern.....											9.80		9.80
Illinois, southern.....													
Indiana.....													
Indian Territory, northern.....			\$30.40	\$284.00									314.40
Indian Territory, central.....			95.60										95.60
Indian Territory, southern.....											75.00		75.00
Iowa, northern.....													
Iowa, southern.....													
Kansas.....													
Kentucky.....			173.80										173.80
Louisiana, eastern.....		\$6.00	6.00			115.00							127.00
Louisiana, western.....													
Maine.....													
Maryland.....													
Massachusetts.....						42.28							42.28
Michigan, eastern.....													
Michigan, western.....											19.60		19.60
Minnesota.....									2,100.00				2,100.00
Mississippi, northern.....													
Mississippi, southern.....		3.00											3.00
Missouri, eastern.....				18.20									18.20
Missouri, western.....										104.20			104.20

EXHIBIT F 1.—Statement showing the expenses of the United States courts incurred in the fiscal year 1898 and paid in the fiscal year 1900.

Judicial districts.	Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals.	Fees of jurors.	Fees of witnesses.	Support of prisoners.	Pay of bailiffs, etc.	Miscellaneous expenses.	Salaries and expenses of district attorneys.	Pay of regular assistant attorneys.	Pay of special assistant attorneys.	Fees of clerks.	Fees of commissioners.	Rent of court rooms.	Total.
Alabama, northern.....	\$1,389.22					\$127.46					\$8.90		\$1,398.12
Alabama, middle.....						19.50				\$10.80			127.46
Alabama, southern.....				\$443.20									30.30
Alaska.....													443.20
Arizona.....													3.75
Arkansas, eastern.....											3.75		750.00
Arkansas, western.....	17.00								\$750.00				17.00
California, northern.....	133.38				\$78.00								211.38
California, southern.....													17.00
Colorado.....		\$23.00											211.38
Connecticut.....													336.90
Delaware.....			\$29.60							.30	16.90	\$300.00	336.90
District of Columbia.....	5.39		29.59										29.60
Florida, northern.....						1.58	\$3.35						30.91
Florida, southern.....													30.91
Georgia, northern.....	81.62					20.00			3,941.00				4,042.62
Georgia, southern.....			2.40				193.04			18.50	1.55		203.89
Hawaii.....													
Idaho.....			36.00										64.30
Illinois, northern.....					20.00						28.30		54.40
Illinois, southern.....											34.40		15.00
Indiana.....		37.40	122.50	30.00		15.00							186.90
Indian Territory, northern.....			1.45										1.45
Indian Territory, central.....		4.00	313.60			182.24							596.84
Indian Territory, southern.....												100.00	
Iowa, northern.....													
Iowa, southern.....													
Kansas.....						500.00							500.00
Kentucky.....			16.10	381.50		8.00			200.00				605.60
Louisiana, eastern.....		322.00	10.10				.50		800.00				1,132.60
Louisiana, western.....				.50									.50
Maine.....													
Maryland.....			4.50										
Massachusetts.....						120.00							124.50
Michigan, eastern.....		209.68		10.15						3,055.01			3,274.84
Michigan, western.....													
Minnesota.....				8.00							5.00		1,513.00
Mississippi, northern.....	40.52								1,500.00				1,540.52
Mississippi, southern.....													
Missouri, eastern.....													
Missouri, western.....													
Montana.....						115.00				273.25			388.25

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

	7.20						1,653.07	1,680.27
Nebraska								
Nevada								
New Hampshire	6.58							
New Jersey								
New Mexico								
New York, northern								
New York, eastern								
New York, southern								
New York, western								
North Carolina, eastern	38.50							
North Carolina, western	300.02							
North Dakota								
Ohio, northern								
Ohio, southern								
Oklahoma								
Oregon								
Pennsylvania, eastern								
Pennsylvania, western								
Rhode Island								
South Carolina								
South Dakota								
Tennessee, eastern	106.23							
Tennessee, middle								
Tennessee, western								
Texas, northern								
Texas, eastern								
Texas, western								
Utah	21							
Vermont								
Virginia, eastern								
Virginia, western								
Washington								
West Virginia								
Wisconsin, eastern								
Wisconsin, western								
Wyoming								
Total	2,145.97	756.80	1,136.16	102.00	1,238.13	478.89	6,739.67	35,263.68

EXHIBIT F 2.—Statement showing the expenses of the United States

Judicial districts.	Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals.	Fees of jurors.	Fees of witnesses.	Support of pris- oners.
Alabama, northern.....	\$24.31	-----	\$92.20	\$131.38
Alabama, middle.....	250.00	-----	21.00	800.96
Alabama, southern.....	9.51	-----	6.02	48.40
Alaska.....	582.35	\$48.00	441.10	9,578.45
Arizona.....	-----	-----	-----	1,072.85
Arkansas, eastern.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Arkansas, western.....	14.00	-----	3,222.19	-----
California, northern.....	8.00	52.30	-----	3,520.65
California, southern.....	-----	-----	56.80	479.95
Colorado.....	-----	-----	-----	374.77
Connecticut.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Delaware.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
District of Columbia.....	128.14	-----	65.45	1,151.06
Florida, northern.....	100.78	2.00	-----	-----
Florida, southern.....	986.16	-----	-----	268.64
Georgia, northern.....	250.00	-----	1,007.42	-----
Georgia, southern.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Hawaii.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Idaho.....	578.50	-----	-----	-----
Illinois, northern.....	-----	-----	20.25	1,352.96
Illinois, southern.....	-----	-----	-----	965.90
Indiana.....	-----	-----	24.50	1,372.03
Indian Territory, northern.....	108.71	640.80	3,987.35	13.24
Indian Territory, central.....	3.05	24.40	-----	-----
Indian Territory, southern.....	7.40	516.00	78.40	14.23
Iowa, northern.....	57.08	-----	-----	1,078.26
Iowa, southern.....	-----	-----	-----	963.17
Kansas.....	434.36	-----	-----	314.85
Kentucky.....	-----	-----	76.15	14,006.51
Louisiana, eastern.....	-----	2.00	7.50	-----
Louisiana, western.....	98.05	-----	17.65	95.50
Maine.....	45.50	-----	-----	-----
Maryland.....	6.00	-----	-----	782.50
Massachusetts.....	52.00	-----	9.00	954.76
Michigan, eastern.....	-----	-----	-----	5,264.67
Michigan, western.....	8.55	-----	-----	-----
Minnesota.....	1,402.66	-----	-----	872.12
Mississippi, northern.....	1,289.51	50.00	541.70	342.65
Mississippi, southern.....	1,000.00	-----	17.30	28.40
Missouri, eastern.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Missouri, western.....	-----	-----	6.00	5,796.18
Montana.....	346.25	-----	200.00	572.20
Nebraska.....	673.48	-----	-----	-----
Nevada.....	4.12	-----	-----	411.50
New Hampshire.....	-----	61.30	-----	97.50
New Jersey.....	21.16	-----	37.80	1,260.23
New Mexico.....	75.90	-----	-----	1,230.75
New York, northern.....	-----	4.00	108.26	4,950.93
New York, eastern.....	-----	100.00	558.40	1,657.10
New York, southern.....	-----	-----	-----	1,689.86
New York, western.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
North Carolina, eastern.....	964.12	300.00	1,700.00	5,701.01
North Carolina, western.....	1,247.94	-----	-----	-----
North Dakota.....	-----	-----	-----	29.75
Ohio, northern.....	-----	-----	-----	108.45
Ohio, southern.....	-----	-----	8.50	15,831.65
Oklahoma.....	65.31	-----	10.65	829.46
Oregon.....	-----	-----	-----	410.57
Pennsylvania, eastern.....	-----	-----	-----	1,635.60
Pennsylvania, western.....	-----	-----	-----	1,069.05
Porto Rico.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Rhode Island.....	-----	-----	-----	125.71
South Carolina.....	2,960.49	-----	502.15	1,391.80
South Dakota.....	342.40	-----	22.90	1,614.20
Tennessee, eastern.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Tennessee, middle.....	-----	-----	-----	1,210.25
Tennessee, western.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Texas, northern.....	15.00	-----	6.00	91.35
Texas, eastern.....	1,000.00	1,000.00	2,081.25	-----
Texas, western.....	-----	400.00	1,230.00	324.20
Utah.....	-----	-----	158.30	459.20
Vermont.....	-----	-----	-----	217.88
Virginia, eastern.....	32.50	-----	-----	39.20
Virginia, western.....	21.66	-----	13.90	20.50
Washington.....	-----	-----	-----	332.30
West Virginia.....	-----	-----	-----	2,896.23
Wisconsin, eastern.....	-----	-----	72.80	1,345.79
Wisconsin, western.....	-----	-----	-----	-----
Wyoming.....	835.00	-----	6.00	458.00
Total.....	16,059.95	3,200.80	16,409.89	99,661.26

courts incurred in the fiscal year 1899 and paid in the fiscal year 1900.

Pay of bailiffs, etc.	Miscella- neous expenses.	Salaries and ex- penses of district attorneys.	Pay of regular assistant attor- neys.	Pay of special assistant attorneys.	Fees of clerks.	Fees of commis- sioners.	Rent of court rooms.	Total.
-----	\$65.00	\$86.73	-----	-----	\$1,009.17	\$2,382.40	-----	\$3,791.19
\$15.00	15.00	9.50	-----	-----	1,499.75	825.05	-----	3,436.26
24.00	-----	7.50	-----	-----	736.20	368.40	-----	1,230.03
-----	-----	95.75	-----	-----	-----	1,119.15	-----	11,864.80
450.00	1.86	368.00	-----	-----	5,173.38	163.70	\$1,289.50	8,519.38
-----	-----	69.92	-----	\$900.00	1,204.06	601.05	100.00	3,025.03
-----	-----	61.80	-----	-----	1,541.97	658.20	-----	5,499.16
12.00	1,204.65	115.92	-----	-----	884.63	418.65	-----	6,307.80
-----	5.50	96.74	-----	1,543.10	982.21	96.30	-----	2,721.85
15.00	1.75	62.96	-----	-----	1,279.17	223.10	480.00	2,598.73
14.00	-----	1.10	-----	-----	4.40	49.90	-----	444.17
-----	302.00	5.00	-----	-----	538.40	-----	-----	2,445.40
-----	986.37	-----	-----	-----	99.45	-----	-----	2,430.47
5.00	34.00	128.34	-----	-----	1,346.58	901.10	-----	2,516.30
-----	-----	145.32	-----	4,525.00	769.79	328.75	350.00	7,073.66
-----	-----	74.55	-----	-----	1,287.92	3,140.45	-----	5,760.34
-----	-----	483.57	-----	112.50	708.20	1,075.75	-----	2,380.02
-----	-----	126.16	-----	-----	694.41	264.70	787.50	2,451.27
-----	654.90	127.91	-----	-----	889.83	527.95	6,937.00	10,519.80
-----	-----	384.05	-----	-----	1,123.12	364.40	-----	2,797.47
-----	11.00	419.06	-----	-----	883.41	362.05	-----	3,072.05
207.00	418.15	150.10	-----	-----	5.90	-----	2,665.50	8,196.75
-----	183.40	100.17	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,855.00	2,166.02
-----	200.00	86.55	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,650.06	3,650.06
-----	-----	241.25	-----	-----	933.50	456.95	2,747.50	2,767.04
-----	-----	130.29	-----	-----	1,448.17	1,451.85	-----	3,998.48
-----	1,455.00	76.98	-----	-----	310.25	330.75	-----	2,922.07
-----	280.90	155.64	-----	600.00	3,289.07	3,002.95	-----	21,413.22
-----	43.20	26.15	-----	900.00	643.96	120.65	-----	1,743.46
-----	-----	21.25	-----	-----	51.90	-----	-----	284.35
-----	25	153.11	-----	-----	332.85	406.30	-----	940.01
-----	-----	33.02	-----	-----	434.40	233.05	-----	1,538.97
-----	43.35	159.43	-----	-----	-----	189.85	-----	1,388.39
-----	-----	81.83	-----	-----	1,934.40	81.60	-----	7,812.50
-----	-----	447.89	-----	-----	236.09	135.95	-----	828.48
-----	30.50	228.83	-----	2,665.00	269.96	330.35	50.00	5,847.42
-----	-----	106.57	-----	-----	2,378.72	718.20	-----	5,405.35
14.00	10.00	280.75	-----	-----	1,305.05	141.35	-----	2,776.85
-----	60.21	74.10	-----	-----	988.87	528.25	-----	1,651.43
20.00	46.75	106.95	-----	70.00	1,317.60	787.20	-----	8,152.68
-----	-----	128.68	-----	-----	439.63	177.55	1,007.50	2,871.81
-----	-----	79.44	-----	100.00	2,481.24	613.65	-----	3,947.81
-----	25.50	25.50	-----	-----	446.34	22.45	-----	909.91
-----	253.92	-----	-----	-----	65.40	-----	162.50	640.62
-----	-----	43.47	-----	-----	307.85	581.30	-----	2,231.81
10.00	2,851.18	90.45	-----	-----	2,597.41	71.55	700.00	7,627.24
-----	254.60	544.55	-----	-----	238.60	799.00	150.00	7,049.94
-----	14.80	28.17	-----	-----	424.37	99.90	-----	2,882.74
-----	3,050.23	-----	-----	-----	-----	354.15	-----	5,094.24
-----	-----	234.83	-----	-----	2,013.20	2,940.40	-----	14,090.43
6.17	200.70	490.20	-----	1,583.35	4,702.17	3,073.10	60.00	11,156.76
-----	1.25	90.15	-----	-----	1,377.10	126.25	1,700.00	3,324.50
-----	-----	174.86	-----	-----	130.98	193.50	-----	607.79
-----	25.00	264.33	-----	4,000.00	1,535.33	243.95	-----	21,903.76
10.97	-----	322.17	-----	1,768.12	6,843.87	966.80	2,405.00	13,222.35
-----	-----	17.11	-----	134.50	2,073.85	41.20	-----	2,677.23
-----	-----	150.95	-----	-----	-----	394.35	-----	2,180.90
8.50	9.75	357.75	-----	-----	1,128.22	568.95	-----	3,142.22
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	449.75	10.15	-----	585.61
8.00	1,363.05	347.89	-----	5,000.00	2,733.66	1,636.90	-----	15,943.94
-----	45.00	164.89	-----	-----	802.38	327.05	1,200.00	4,518.82
-----	1.28	123.50	-----	-----	715.02	1,304.35	240.00	2,384.13
-----	-----	4.26	-----	1,000.00	996.05	1,685.60	-----	4,896.16
-----	196.90	45.61	-----	-----	1,593.50	818.80	-----	2,654.81
-----	-----	84.35	-----	-----	1,010.96	385.00	250.00	1,842.66
-----	-----	192.04	-----	-----	3,809.93	201.35	-----	8,284.57
75.45	324.12	309.43	\$123.60	-----	1,332.94	442.25	-----	4,561.99
-----	214.25	52.34	-----	321.71	333.12	19.15	1,252.50	2,810.57
-----	-----	148.71	-----	-----	-----	26.70	-----	393.29
16.00	-----	111.95	-----	250.00	790.61	279.55	-----	1,519.81
-----	-----	69.22	-----	-----	2,470.88	2,168.59	-----	4,764.75
-----	-----	667.20	-----	-----	1,445.16	925.85	2,350.00	5,720.51
-----	-----	278.22	-----	-----	2,598.04	955.25	-----	6,727.74
-----	-----	146.86	-----	-----	726.00	421.15	-----	2,712.60
-----	169.10	133.73	-----	-----	764.23	63.25	-----	1,130.31
-----	-----	120.18	-----	-----	770.80	32.20	600.00	2,822.18
911.09	15,116.85	11,500.20	123.60	25,473.28	88,576.58	46,088.24	29,239.50	352,361.24

EXHIBIT F 3.—Statement showing the expenses of the United States

Judicial districts.	Salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals.	Fees of jurors.	Fees of witnesses.	Support of prisoners.
Alabama, northern	\$23,700.00	\$11,800.00	\$38,000.00	\$8,200.00
Alabama, middle	15,500.10	3,854.70	19,300.00	1,900.00
Alabama, southern	9,300.00	3,376.00	7,449.10	1,433.25
Alaska	5,662.00	13,000.00	10,500.00	34,000.00
Arizona	13,628.73	10,100.25	7,600.00	12,463.09
Arkansas, eastern	18,600.00	15,300.00	13,600.00	5,500.00
Arkansas, western	31,175.59	10,000.00	16,000.00	16,500.00
California, northern	15,732.66	8,300.00	3,700.00	15,553.85
California, southern	9,450.00	3,900.00	6,600.00	2,450.00
Colorado	11,650.00	5,100.00	3,500.00	3,419.50
Connecticut	3,750.00	1,900.00	1,150.00	2,001.53
Delaware	4,400.00	2,100.00	1,000.00	150.00
District of Columbia	30,331.39	41,300.00	7,543.50	45,466.60
Florida, northern	8,275.00	3,700.00	13,600.00	3,700.00
Florida, southern	9,750.00	4,075.00	6,800.00	2,625.00
Georgia, northern	32,600.00	9,000.00	32,500.00	15,250.00
Georgia, southern	15,900.00	6,300.00	17,000.00	3,300.00
Hawaii				
Idaho	10,301.10	2,250.00	3,750.00	7,318.00
Illinois, northern	22,906.50	15,500.00	11,500.00	9,289.62
Illinois, southern	20,000.00	11,000.00	15,000.00	5,723.15
Indiana	14,800.00	6,100.00	8,750.00	5,950.00
Indian Territory, northern	43,174.46	23,500.00	65,000.00	39,537.50
Indian Territory, central	34,000.00	11,000.00	24,700.00	27,000.00
Indian Territory, southern	40,922.82	17,000.00	51,000.00	23,000.00
Iowa, northern	12,050.00	6,700.00	5,750.00	3,437.11
Iowa, southern	12,400.00	7,600.00	10,000.00	6,995.16
Kansas	13,629.69	18,000.00	14,200.00	2,743.50
Kentucky	29,615.21	14,000.00	58,500.00	23,941.59
Louisiana, eastern	7,767.20	9,524.00	3,500.00	450.00
Louisiana, western	4,950.00	1,300.00	1,700.00	800.00
Maine	9,800.00	5,500.00	5,800.00	1,374.75
Maryland	8,799.70	4,000.00	8,550.00	4,670.24
Massachusetts	13,710.00	8,750.00	5,050.00	5,050.23
Michigan, eastern	9,300.00	5,000.00	1,700.00	14,454.29
Michigan, western	7,320.00	5,500.00	1,500.00	1,100.00
Minnesota	17,400.00	19,000.00	9,350.00	9,784.70
Mississippi, northern	14,462.06	8,050.00	12,750.00	4,700.00
Mississippi, southern	13,600.00	5,600.00	11,600.00	1,650.00
Missouri, eastern	13,497.80	6,900.00	11,000.00	3,700.00
Missouri, western	15,000.00	10,500.00	13,550.00	26,843.43
Montana	13,239.66	5,000.00	11,250.00	3,056.00
Nebraska	18,786.73	15,500.00	17,000.00	5,374.75
Nevada	5,650.00	1,600.00	2,050.00	3,646.00
New Hampshire	3,450.00	2,125.90	1,000.00	852.76
New Jersey	7,400.00	3,700.00	5,200.00	4,990.26
New Mexico	14,837.50	13,750.00	9,700.00	8,034.80
New York, northern	19,559.49	5,500.00	29,000.00	13,278.73
New York, eastern	10,950.00	6,600.00	2,050.00	4,232.13
New York, southern	31,765.15	15,400.00	6,100.00	12,111.59
New York, western	1,083.68		500.00	1,000.00
North Carolina, eastern	16,393.98	6,300.00	24,000.00	16,723.55
North Carolina, western	28,100.00	10,541.90	32,500.00	9,800.00
North Dakota	14,500.00	8,500.00	13,400.00	8,800.00
Ohio, northern	13,234.88	8,750.00	3,200.00	2,354.20
Ohio, southern	17,895.25	8,600.00	8,850.00	46,380.24
Oklahoma	23,600.00	11,500.00	15,300.00	13,900.00
Oregon	12,800.00	3,300.00	4,500.00	3,259.41
Pennsylvania, eastern	10,803.85	9,500.00	9,150.00	7,784.55
Pennsylvania, western	9,450.00	13,000.00	4,500.00	4,744.61
Porto Rico				
Rhode Island	3,200.00	900.00	350.00	999.86
South Carolina	16,900.00	7,350.00	14,000.00	5,666.75
South Dakota	16,500.00	6,500.00	25,000.00	9,992.73
Tennessee, eastern	13,297.50	3,650.00	6,300.00	5,200.00
Tennessee, middle	12,600.00	4,500.00	6,600.00	12,572.07
Tennessee, western	9,982.80	6,400.00	7,000.00	1,900.00
Texas, northern	14,850.00	13,000.00	7,000.00	2,500.00
Texas, eastern	21,500.00	16,500.00	17,500.00	3,500.00
Texas, western	16,000.00	11,511.15	14,900.00	10,500.00
Utah	7,850.00	4,000.00	3,500.00	1,803.60
Vermont	6,400.00	1,900.00	6,950.00	2,073.47
Virginia, eastern	8,741.40	5,750.00	3,500.00	1,608.40
Virginia, western	21,801.70	8,200.00	23,500.00	5,700.00
Washington	18,845.50	10,500.00	9,000.00	19,000.00
West Virginia	18,500.00	7,700.00	22,000.00	9,854.13
Wisconsin, eastern	9,600.00	3,600.00	5,300.00	5,041.34
Wisconsin, western	9,500.00	5,300.00	13,900.00	1,600.00
Wyoming	7,851.07	3,523.35	7,035.00	1,612.80
Total	1,156,215.13	645,332.25	952,827.80	674,774.82

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

107

courts incurred and paid in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Pay of bailiffs, etc.	Miscella- neous expenses.	Salaries and ex- penses of district attor- neys.	Pay of regular assistant attor- neys.	Pay of special assistant attor- neys.	Fees of clerks.	Fees of commis- sioners.	Rent of court rooms.	Total.
\$2,400.00	\$550.00	\$4,804.88	\$3,000.00	\$150.00	\$4,452.65	\$5,734.20		\$102,891.73
502.00	484.00	4,129.40	2,700.00		1,652.35	2,557.60		52,380.15
1,086.00	350.00	4,022.50			1,661.25	828.80		29,506.90
600.00		98.90	499.50			1,374.45	\$450.00	66,184.85
2,500.00	1,300.00	5,698.40	1,800.00		5,430.38	866.30	3,030.00	64,415.15
2,000.00	1,900.00	5,075.44	1,500.00	215.80	2,932.03	2,123.10	300.00	69,043.37
1,650.00	2,350.00	5,279.89	2,000.00		4,473.73	1,867.70		91,296.91
6,002.00	8,411.70	7,705.29	4,500.00		5,916.54	691.50		76,513.54
1,650.00	2,755.00	4,549.31	1,500.00	4,577.65	3,163.05	361.10		40,956.11
1,396.00	2,020.95	4,387.73	1,500.00		1,063.67	552.70	420.00	35,010.55
1,150.00	2,313.79	2,516.40			927.03	242.10		15,950.85
725.00	1,800.00	2,000.00		500.00	1,389.65			14,064.65
9,200.00	51,704.31	200.00		585.45		73.50	150.00	186,554.75
400.00	225.00	4,182.00	496.01		1,468.46	1,453.30		37,499.77
1,450.00	475.00	4,414.96	1,117.73	500.00	1,365.71	1,049.25	525.00	34,147.65
2,600.00	2,150.00	8,128.71	4,250.00		10,317.54	8,361.15		125,157.40
2,100.00	3,200.00	4,144.25	1,800.00	62.50	1,917.95	2,575.00		58,299.70
575.00	1,150.00	3,825.28			949.64	104.60	2,301.50	32,525.12
5,300.00	15,600.00	6,373.70	7,900.00		209.84	1,999.05	21,761.00	118,319.71
675.00	1,000.00	6,365.01	2,700.00		3,339.64	824.30		66,627.10
3,025.00	2,050.00	6,381.56	2,000.00		1,878.73	883.75		51,769.04
3,750.00	3,500.00	5,597.37	3,200.00				6,876.50	194,135.85
1,200.00	2,100.00	5,554.99	1,200.00				5,464.70	112,219.69
2,650.00	2,400.00	5,570.80	1,435.62				7,775.46	151,754.70
500.00	300.00	6,171.58	1,200.00		1,245.31	1,927.00		39,281.00
850.00	1,700.00	5,721.70	1,200.00		1,209.68	1,049.75		48,726.29
1,900.00	3,670.90	5,581.09	2,000.00		1,325.86	695.90		63,746.94
750.00	1,900.00	6,019.06	2,614.72	400.00	3,306.87	5,474.20		146,521.75
9,916.00	9,550.80	4,709.19	2,500.00	800.00	1,463.25	416.45		50,596.89
250.00	900.00	2,500.00				37.35		12,437.35
2,200.00	2,550.00	3,371.58			899.69	234.05		31,530.07
750.00	1,725.00	5,118.82	1,153.37		1,091.87	516.35		36,375.35
10,000.00	5,950.00	6,434.03	4,300.00			922.00		60,166.26
2,150.00	1,075.00	5,080.52	2,000.00		1,817.97	366.95		42,944.73
500.00	2,025.00	4,885.76	1,600.00	616.75	1,370.59	204.80		26,622.90
3,550.00	4,793.12	4,317.61	3,635.20	2,080.35	2,875.39	1,631.70	50.00	78,268.07
500.00	225.00	4,495.60	1,152.69		2,989.33	1,712.15		51,086.83
380.00	100.00	4,430.06	1,124.99		4,463.04	584.00		43,541.09
3,907.50	3,567.05	5,254.41	3,365.53		1,779.12	1,363.35		54,334.76
2,050.00	2,910.00	5,517.46	2,000.00	2,118.00	2,406.11	1,557.10		84,452.10
2,100.00	1,950.00	5,782.41	1,500.00		1,700.42	122.70	2,977.50	48,678.69
2,800.00	2,800.00	4,978.80	1,500.00	417.75	1,694.01	1,299.70		72,151.74
375.00	1,360.00	3,620.20			1,556.53	307.90		30,065.65
325.00	650.00	2,500.00				18.05	337.50	11,259.21
2,550.00	900.00	3,865.15	796.69		244.45	593.90		50,210.45
1,300.00	11,201.34	5,728.89	1,800.00	4,500.11	3,313.03	247.10	1,700.00	76,172.77
650.00	1,800.00	6,000.08	4,755.44		1,429.87	1,429.35	450.00	89,648.06
170.00	3,300.00	5,865.59	3,200.00		10,082.30	661.25		47,111.27
7,700.00	26,223.35	6,000.00	18,687.50			765.10		124,752.69
600.00	1,200.00	5,061.37	1,250.00		420.60	5,458.65		3,127.75
900.00	2,622.70	7,238.24	2,000.00	2,655.33	6,966.60	9,580.25	90.00	77,408.15
1,050.00	1,932.45	4,907.13	1,200.00		3,645.63	559.50	4,350.00	112,995.02
3,000.00	3,000.00	5,663.84	1,838.90		27.20	222.40		62,844.71
5,300.00	3,550.00	5,845.89	3,101.10		1,186.67	745.30		41,351.40
1,810.00	3,550.00	7,020.66	3,478.30		3,573.55	1,655.05	3,242.50	101,494.45
2,800.00	1,950.00	5,518.39	1,376.40		5,198.21	200.55		88,630.06
4,238.50	5,234.22	5,874.07	3,768.98			950.25		40,900.96
1,045.00	750.00	5,917.08	5,200.00		739.10	481.90		57,304.42
								45,827.09
1,900.00	150.00	3,000.00			3,341.57	46.40		13,827.83
1,979.30	2,117.51	5,980.33	2,700.00		3,001.84	2,430.75		62,136.48
1,250.00	1,450.00	5,432.80	1,500.00		2,469.13	669.45	1,750.00	72,544.11
400.00	1,000.00	5,644.19	1,500.00		3,162.85	2,038.55	180.00	42,402.80
900.00	1,750.00	5,790.00	1,000.00		1,517.75	2,084.35		39,824.17
1,400.00	1,882.85	5,162.50	1,500.00		2,459.69	1,137.10		38,925.15
1,300.00	3,050.00	4,963.17			2,163.68	1,452.20	750.00	51,059.05
2,100.00	2,600.00	7,156.47	3,500.00		3,625.19	616.45		78,598.11
2,700.00	3,600.00	5,316.62	2,700.00		2,280.20	2,161.45	420.67	72,060.00
1,108.50	1,400.00	4,763.35	1,500.00		390.30	6.55	3,757.50	30,079.80
225.00	575.00	4,082.50				71.90		22,277.27
4,000.00	2,900.00	5,022.02	1,500.00	515.70	1,505.95	354.50		35,397.67
1,100.00	1,749.90	5,896.83	1,500.00		4,131.73	3,978.65		77,558.86
2,400.00	3,500.00	6,079.38	1,800.00		2,362.02	1,243.45	7,050.00	81,810.36
1,400.00	2,605.85	5,946.84	4,100.00		3,532.99	1,360.25		76,060.00
1,900.00	1,550.00	4,693.22	1,500.00		1,174.92	843.15		34,202.63
1,181.00	2,300.00	4,962.89	1,200.00		1,602.53	151.70		41,728.12
373.00	1,144.00	5,010.70	300.00		132.90	79.85	1,200.00	28,262.67
159,869.80	262,280.79	383,742.82	158,828.67	20,755.39	162,671.50	98,940.75	77,359.83	4,753,399.35

EXHIBIT F 4.—*Contingent expenses, Department of Justice.*

FURNITURE AND REPAIRS.

1899.		A. H. Chace & Bro.:		
July	28.	For cleaning 1,182 yards carpets, at 2 cents per yard		\$23.64
		The Julius Lansburgh Furniture and Carpet Co.:		
July	1.	1 oak table	\$6.00	
		2 clothes poles	4.00	
		2 mirrors	3.50	
				13.50
		A. H. Chace & Bro.:		
Aug.	5.	356 yards carpet cleaned, at 2 cents	7.12	
		356 yards carpet subjected to superheated steam	7.12	
				14.24
		James S. Topham:		
July	28.	1 dozen leather chair seats		13.20
		Notley Anderson:		
July	27.	Repairing umbrella stand, 1 galvanized basin for same.	1.50	
		Caning 5 chairs, upholstering 2 chairs, and 1 perforated bottom	14.10	
Aug.	4.	Repairing chair (Mr. Plummer)	1.25	
		Caning chair (Mr. Boyd)	1.75	
	15.	1 cabinet, disbursing clerk	10.00	
	19.	1 foot rest (Mr. Pradt)	1.50	
Sept.	2.	1 cabinet, Attorney-General	12.00	
				42.10
		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:		
Aug.	14.	1 8-drawer roll-top cabinet, order 649		40.50
		Library Bureau:		
Sept.	29.	22 by 12 c., 1 oak case for 10 by 15 cm. cards; 1 commercial base for same; 24 c. 2 200 buff guides, halves; 25 thirds middles; 12 thirds middles printed; 500 fawn cards; 15,000 tab. cards to order		178.37
		The Julius Lansburgh Furniture and Carpet Co.:		
Aug.	8.	Mirror	1.00	
Sept.	8.	Table	2.25	
	18.	Repairing 12 shades	3.00	
Oct.	30.	2 13 by 22 mirrors	3.00	
Nov.	7.	1 No. 133 wardrobe	12.50	
		1 oak table	2.75	
				24.50
		Notley Anderson:		
Oct.	20.	Repairing, varnishing, and covering table (Mr. Pradt), No. 8	4.00	
Nov.	21.	Repairing, varnishing, and putting on casters (Mr. Sheibley)	6.50	
	25.	1 bulletin board No. 8	1.75	
	27.	1 screen, plate glass (Judge Thompson)	15.00	
				27.25
		W. B. Moses & Sons:		
Sept.	11.	1 velour chair cushion	2.50	
		1 pillow	2.00	
		1 pillow	2.50	
				7.00
1900.		Henry Knoch:		
Jan.	31.	For opening a desk lock, making a key, and repairs to 2 desks ..		2.25
		1899. The Julius Lansburgh Furniture and Carpet Co.:		
Nov.	17.	1 oak table	5.75	
		1 oak table	2.75	
	30.	1 flat-top desk	40.00	
Dec.	29.	Repairing desk	2.00	
1900.				
Jan.	10.	2 Wad. Vic. office chairs	11.00	
	15.	1 Wad. Vic. office chair	5.50	

1900.		Henry Knoch—Continued.	
Jan.	18.	2½ yards holland, at 60 cents.....	\$1.60
		1 roller.....	.35
		M. and H., 1 shade.....	.25
		1 pulley and cord.....	.50
	23.	17½ yards new border, at \$1.15.....	20.12
		Altering and laying 69½ yards old carpets, at 10 cents..	6.97
		Altering and laying 53 yards old carpets, at 10 cents...	5.30
	31.	8 oak chairs, at \$4.40.....	35.20
			<hr/> \$137.29
		Julius Lansburgh:	
Mar.	1.	Upholstering office chair.....	10.00
		Julius Lansburgh:	
Mar.	21.	12 feet leather, at 35 cents.....	4.20
		6 yards gimp, at 10 cents.....	.60
		9 dozen leather nails, at 10 cents.....	.90
		Upholstering and repairing 2 chairs.....	9.00
			<hr/> 14.70
		Notley Anderson:	
Jan.	24.	Moving bookcase and desk (Mr. Ashford).....	1.25
Feb.	12.	Repairing and caning 1 chair, third floor.....	.75
	19.	Repairing and caning 6 chairs and 1 perforated bottom..	5.50
		Repairing desk, third floor.....	9.50
Mar.	20.	Repairing cases.....	2.00
	19.	Repairing, varnishing, and covering screen.....	3.50
	23.	Altering desk for typewriter.....	23.80
			<hr/> 46.30
		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
Feb.	27.	For 1 4-drawer desk.....	9.00
1899.		Wm. B. Moses & Sons:	
Oct.	18.	1 oak Morris chair frame.....	5.00
		1 set of cushions.....	4.50
Dec.	14.	1 Gol. oak S. and T. chair.....	6.00
	23.	30½ yards metal binding laid, at 20 cents.....	6.10
1900.			
Jan.	10.	Reupholstering 2 sofas in same covers.....	11.75
			<hr/> 33.35
		Julius Lansburgh:	
Apr.	2.	2 Vic. office chairs.....	12.00
		2 Cong. office chairs.....	18.00
			<hr/> 30.00
		James B. Lambie:	
Feb.	13.	2 drawer locks.....	.80
	19.	1 drawer lock.....	.40
			<hr/> 1.20
		Notley Anderson:	
Apr.	2.	1 W. P. bookcase for Mr. Sheibley, K street.....	9.50
May	19.	Repairing table for room 405, K street.....	1.50
	24.	Repairing and caning 3 chairs.....	4.50
		Repairing and covering with leather 4 chairs.....	9.87
			<hr/> 25.37
Apr.	20.	To making 2 desk cabinets, 4 feet 11 inches long, 16 inches high, and 11½ inches deep, made into pigeonholes as per estimate of February 19, 1900, at \$9.50 each.....	19.00
		Julius Lansburgh:	
Apr.	27.	1 flat-top desk.....	17.50
May	16.	32 yards grass, at 60 cents.....	19.20
			<hr/> 36.70
BOOKS FOR DEPARTMENT LIBRARY.			
1899.		J. B. Lippincott & Co.:	
Aug.	1.	1 copy Biographical Dictionary (sheep binding) net.....	8.00
		Lawyers' Cooperative Club Co.:	
Aug.	3.	Lawyers' Reports Annotated, vols. 39-43 (at \$5).....	25.00
		Digest Lawyers' Reports Annotated, vols. 21-40.....	5.00
			<hr/> 30.00

1899.		W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:	
Aug.	7.	North Carolina Criminal Code and Digest, Pemberton and Jerome.....	\$6. 00
		New York Code Civil Procedure, 1898.....	3. 00
		Annotated Corporation Laws of the States, 3 vols.....	18. 00
		Compiled Laws of Michigan, 4 vols.....	9. 50
		Wisconsin Statutes, 1898, 2 vols.....	9. 00
			<hr/>
			\$45. 50
		T. L. Cole:	
Aug.	5.	Pennsylvania Statutes at Large, vol. 5.....	2. 35
		Kentucky Statutes Supplement, 1894-1898, 1 vol.....	3. 10
		Pratt's National Bank Laws, 1 vol.....	2. 00
		Hawaii Laws, 1840, reprint, 1 vol.....	4. 00
		Rhode Island Public Laws, 1808, 1 vol.....	4. 00
		Maryland Archives, 1637-1692, 4 vols.....	18. 00
		Chickasaw Statutes, 1899, 1 vol.....	5. 00
		South Dakota Statutes, 1899, 2 vols.....	15. 00
			<hr/>
			53. 45
		W. H. Lowdermilk:	
Aug.	7.	Valentine, Kansas Digest, 2 vols.....	15. 00
		Tucker on Constitution, 12 vols.....	8. 00
		Pennsylvania State Reports, vol. 189.....	2. 00
		Appellate Division New York Supreme Court Reports, vols. 36 and 37.....	6. 00
		Wood, Master and Servant.....	4. 50
		Burns, Indiana Index Digest, vol. 2.....	10. 00
		Colorado Appeal Reports, vol. 11.....	5. 00
		New York Appeal Reports, vol. 158.....	1. 50
		Indiana Appellate, vol. 21.....	3. 75
		Smith, Digest National Bank Decisions.....	4. 00
		Balser, First Steps in International Law.....	4. 20
		Oklahoma Reports, vol. 7.....	5. 00
		Jefferson's Works, edited by Ford, 9 vols., No. 36.....	45. 00
		Gorham's Life of Stanton, 2 vols.....	4. 00
		Scone, Newman & Battle's Spanish Dictionary.....	4. 00
		Memorial, H. D. Gilpin.....	1. 00
		Mackenzie, Lives of Butler and Hoyt.....	1. 25
		Rush, Recollections of the English and French Courts, 2 vols.....	4. 00
		Pennsylvania Superior Courts Reports, vol. 9.....	2. 00
		New York Appellate Reports, vol. 38.....	3. 00
		Fiske, Discovery of America, 2 vols.....	12. 80
		Fiske, Old Virginia, 2 vols.....	
		Fiske, American Revolution, 2 vols.....	
		Fiske, Critical Period, Beginning of New England, 2 vols.....	
		Illinois Appellate Court Reports, vol. 80.....	3. 50
		Travelers' Official Railway Guide, October, 1896, to 1899, 3 vols.....	15. 00
			<hr/>
			164. 50
		The Lawyer's Cooperative Publishing Co.:	
Sept.	15.	U. S. Supreme Court Reports, Book 42.....	5. 00
		Washington Law Book Co.:	
Sept.	7.	English Law Dictionary.....	6. 50
		American Practice Reports, vol. 1.....	5. 00
			<hr/>
			11. 50
		John Bryne & Co.:	
Sept.	7.	Vol. 100, U. S. Reports.....	1. 75
		Adams's Defense of the Constitution, 3 vols.....	4. 00
		Vol. 173, U. S. Reports with parts (4 copies).....	12. 00
		Vol. 173, U. S. Reports (7 copies).....	14. 00
		Desty's Federal Procedure, ninth edition, 4 vols., (3 copies).....	36. 00
		Missouri Digest (Patterson), vol. 4.....	7. 50
		Pepper and Lewis Pennsylvania Digest, vol. 4.....	7. 50
		Woerner's American Law of Administration, 2 vols.....	12. 00
		Vol. 7, Thompson on Corporations.....	6. 00
		Virginia Digest (Hurst), vol. 3.....	6. 00

1899.	John Bryne & Co.—Continued.		
Sept.	7.	Virginia Reports, vol. 96.....	\$4. 00
		Northwest Digest (Church), supplemental	7. 50
		American Bankruptcy Reports, vol. 1	5. 00
		May's Supreme Court Practice	6. 00
		Greenleaf on Evidence, vol. 1, sixteenth edition	5. 00
		South Carolina Criminal Digest (Heyward).....	6. 00
		American Law Review, September, October, 1898	1. 00
		Albany Law Journal, vol. 59, No. 2125
			<hr/> \$141. 50
	John Bryne & Co.:		
Sept.	7.	Maryland Code Supplement (1890-1898)	6. 50
	T. and J. W. Johnson & Co.:		
Sept.	7.	1 Pennsylvania Laws, 1788, first, second, and third sittings....	40. 00
		1 Pennsylvania Laws, 1789, first sitting	
		1 Pennsylvania Laws, 1790; second sitting	
	The Boston Book Co.:		
Sept.	4.	McGloin's Louisiana Reports, vol. 2	10. 00
		Manson's Bankruptcy Reports, vol. 5	6. 50
		Encyclopedia of the Laws of England, 12 vols	60. 00
		Law Journal Reports, Journal and Digest, 1899.....	23. 00
			<hr/> 99. 50
	The Robert Clarke Co.:		
Sept.	18.	Acts of Ohio, second session, thirty-third assembly, vol. 33.....	2. 00
		Local acts of Ohio, first session, twenty-third assembly, vol. 23	6. 00
			<hr/> 8. 00
	Arthur Whiteley:		
Sept.	19.	Public acts of North Carolina, 1715-1803.....	15. 00
	Statute Law Book Co.:		
Sept.	22.	Cherokee Laws, 1881-1883, 1 vol.....	8. 00
		Chickasaw Laws, 1878-1884, 1 vol	12. 00
		Muscogee Laws, 1880, revised, and 1880 and 1881, 2 vols	20. 00
		Virginia Laws, 1814-15, 1 vol	6. 50
		Arkansas Laws, 1819-20, 1835, facsimile, 2 vols	25. 00
		Connecticut Laws, 1832, 1 vol	8. 75
		Florida Laws, 1833, 1 vol	8. 50
		Indiana Laws, 1844, 1 vol	6. 75
		Iowa Laws, 1840, 1 vol	12. 50
		Maine Laws, 1822, 1 vol	10. 00
		Maryland Laws, 1787 and 1790, 2 vols	18. 00
		New Hampshire Laws, 1830, 1 vol	3. 75
		New Mexico Laws, 1853, 1 vol	15. 00
		New York Laws, 1819, 1 vol	6. 50
		North Carolina Laws, 1802-1805-1807, 3 vols	18. 00
		Choctaw Laws, 1898-99, 1 vol	1. 75
			<hr/> 181. 00
	W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:		
Sept.	9.	District of Columbia Appeal Cases, vol. 13	5. 00
		Michigan Reports, vol. 115	3. 25
		Illinois Appellate Reports, vol. 81	3. 50
		Montana Reports, vol. 21	5. 75
		Iowa Reports, vol. 107	2. 75
		Bliss Code Pleading, third edition	6. 00
		Franklin's Works, 10 vols	15. 00
		New York Appellate Division Reports, vols. 39 and 40 ..	6. 00
		Kleber, Void Judicial Sales	4. 50
		Wisconsin Reports, vols. 101	2. 25
		Illinois Appellate Court Reports, vol. 82	3. 50
			<hr/> 57. 50
	West Publishing Co.:		
Sept.	7.	Northwest Reporter, vol. 78	3. 75
		Southeast Reporter, vol. 32	3. 75
		Northeast Reporter, vol. 53	3. 75
		New York Supplement, vol. 57	3. 75
		Southwest Supplement, vols. 50 and 51	7. 50

1899.		West Publishing Co.—Continued.	
Sept.	7.	Southern Supplement, vol. 25.....	\$3. 75
		Federal Supplement, vol. 93 (3 copies).....	10. 50
		American Digest, 1899.....	6. 00
		Century Digest, vols. 9 and 10.....	12. 00
			<hr/>
			\$54. 75
		The Carswell Co., Limited:	
Sept.	7.	Canadian Supreme Court Reports, vol. 28.....	3. 80
		Queensland Supreme Court Reports, vol. 1.....	8. 65
		Nova Scotia Reports, vol. 31.....	4. 20
		Consolidated Digest of Quebec Reports, vol. 1.....	7. 90
			<hr/>
			24. 55
		T. L. Cole:	
Sept.	12.	For Hawaiian Laws, 1887, 1890 (at \$5 each), 2 vols.....	10. 00
		Stevens & Haynes:	
Sept.	12.	Irish Reports, 1898.....	20. 54
		Law Magazine, August, 1898, to May, 1899.....	5. 00
			<hr/>
			25. 54
		Marshall & Bruce Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Private Acts of Tennessee, 1833.....	5. 00
		C. N. Caspar Co.:	
Oct.	6.	Laws of Wisconsin, October, 1847.....	15. 00
		C. J. Harris:	
Oct.	11.	Burn's Law Dictionary.....	3. 00
		E. J. Best:	
Oct.	30.	Collection of the Public Acts of North Carolina, by Swann, 1752.....	20. 00
		Ernest Lee Conant:	
Nov.	2.	Alcubilla-Macelo-Martinez Diccionario, 15 vols.....	68. 07
		Pastor y Avira, Derechos Romanos, 1 vol.....	1. 60
		Abella, Fermin, Formulario, 1 vol.....	. 40
		Antequera, José Maria, Historia de la Legislacion, 1 vol.....	1. 30
		Brocá G.M. de, Manuel de Formulario, 1 vol.....	1. 00
		Clarens, Angel Ley Hipotecaria, 1 vol.....	. 80
		Colmeiro, Manuel Derecho Administrativo, 2 vols.....	1. 20
		Colmenares, Eduardo Alonso, Jurisdicciones Especiales, 2 vols.....	. 64
		Codigo Civil, 1 vol.....	. 90
		Delgado, P. Y. Derecho Civil, 1 vol.....	2. 40
		Dorado, Montero Problemas Juridicas, 1 vol.....	1. 00
		D'Aguameo, Legislacion Civil, 1 vol.....	1. 20
		Erenchen, Felix, Anales de la Isla de Cuba, 6 vols.....	3. 20
		Falcon, Modesto, Codigo Civil, 5 vols.....	4. 80
		Gomez-Salazar Derecho Canonico, 3 vols.....	3. 70
		Galnido, Leon, Legislacion Hipotecaria, 4 vols.....	9. 60
		Codigo de Comercio, 1 vol.....	. 90
		Codigo Penal, 1 vol.....	. 90
		Hernena y Espenosa, Diccionario, 7 vols.....	4. 40
		Hidalgo, Santos, Practicas Forenses, 1 vol.....	. 40
		Lamas Varela Luis, Procedimientos Civiles, 1 vol.....	. 40
		Reces, José, Ley de Enjuiciamiento Civil, 6 vols.....	3. 70
		Ruiz-Juan Eugenio, Ultimas Leyes y ordenes, 1 vol.....	. 80
		María Juan, Resumen Legislativo, 4 vols.....	2. 80
		Moreno, Instrumentos Publicos, 1 vol.....	. 64
		Santa Maria, Derecho Admin. and Derecho Politico, 2 vols.....	6. 00
		Scaevola, O. M., Codigo Civil, 12 vols.....	27. 82
			<hr/>
			150. 57
		Goodpasture Book Co.:	
Oct.	5.	Tennessee Acts, First to Fifth Assembly, 1800.....	10. 00
		Tennessee Acts, First to Seventh Assembly, 1807.....	10. 00
		Tennessee Acts, First to Eighth Assembly, 1809.....	8. 00
		Tennessee Acts, First to Ninth Assembly, 1811.....	10. 00
		Tennessee Acts, Second to Ninth Assembly, 1812.....	10. 00
			<hr/>
			48. 00

1899.		Lawson D. Melton:	
Oct.	30.	South Carolina Acts, December, 1805, December, 1811.	\$4. 00
		South Carolina Acts, December, 1812, December, 1817.	4. 00
		South Carolina Acts, December, 1818, December, 1823.	4. 00
		South Carolina Acts, December, 1824, December, 1829.	4. 00
		South Carolina Acts, December, 1829, December, 1832.	3. 00
		South Carolina Acts, December, 1837, December, 1839.	3. 00
			<hr/>
			\$22. 00
		W. O. Davies & Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Ohio Acts, vols. 1 and 2.	25. 00
		Edward Thompson Co.:	
Nov.	20.	Vol. 12 American and English Encyclopædia Law, second edition.	6. 00
		Vols. 15-16 Encyclopædia of Pleading and Practice.	12. 00
			<hr/>
			18. 00
		W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:	
Nov.	20.	Pennsylvania State Reports, vol. 190.	2. 00
		Georgia Reports, vol. 105.	4. 00
		Utah Reports, vol. 17.	5. 35
		Fiske, Dutch and Quaker Colonies, 2 vols.	3. 20
		Jefferson's Works, vol. 10.	5. 00
		New York Reports, vol. 159.	1. 50
		Meigs, Growth of Constitution.	2. 00
		Texas Supreme Reports, vol. 92.	3. 00
		Texas Criminal Reports, vol. 38.	3. 30
		Texas Civil Court Reports, vols. 15, 16, 17.	9. 00
		Webb & Meigs, Tennessee Digest, vol. 2.	8. 00
		Connecticut Reports, vol. 71.	3. 00
		Bullitt, Review of Constitution.	2. 00
		Pennsylvania State Reports, vol. 191.	2. 00
		Maryland Reports, vol. 88.	3. 75
		Indiana Reports, vol. 152.	3. 50
		New York Criminal Reports, vol. 13.	5. 00
		District of Columbia Appeal Cases, vol. 14.	5. 00
		U. S. Circuit Court Reports, vols. 50, 59, 60.	9. 00
		Bailey, Law of Jurisdiction, 2 vols.	12. 00
		Foreign Policy of United States.	1. 50
		Curtis, United States and Foreign Powers.	1. 25
		Woolsey, American Foreign Policy.	1. 25
		Nebraska Reports, vol. 56.	3. 00
		Georgia Reports, vol. 106.	4. 00
		Illinois Appellate Court Reports, vol. 83.	3. 50
			<hr/>
			106. 10
		John Byrne & Co.:	
Nov.	20.	4 vols. 174 U. S. Reports.	12. 00
		Harvard Law Review, April, 1898, to April, 1899.	2. 50
		Dreyfus Cases.	. 50
		Vol. 4 Notes California Reports.	7. 20
		Vol. 7 Ohio Circuit Reports.	3. 00
		Corwin, Abstract of Titles.	2. 50
		7 vols. 174 U. S. Reportse.	14. 00
		Vol. 172 Massachusetts Report.	2. 10
		Vol. 182 Notes U. S. Reports.	13. 00
		Abbott's Clerk's Assistant.	6. 00
		Lowell on Bankruptcy.	6. 00
		Vol. 5 Pennsylvania Digest.	7. 50
		Greeley's Patent Law, \$5; Vol. 173 U. S. Reports, \$2.	7. 00
		2 vols. Perry on Trusts, fifth edition.	12. 00
			<hr/>
			95. 60
		West Publishing Co.:	
Nov.	13.	Federal Reports, vols. 94, 95, 3 copies each, at \$3 per copy.	18. 00
		New York Supplement, vols. 58, 59.	7. 50
		Atlantic, vol. 43.	3. 75
		Pacific, vol. 57.	3. 75
		N. W., vol. 79.	3. 75
		Supplement Court, advance sheets to October 24, 1899.	2. 00
		Century Digest, vols. 11, 12.	12. 00
		Statutes Indian Territory.	10. 00
			<hr/>
			60. 75

1900.		R. A. Dinsmore:	
Jan.	10.	Halstead's History of the War With Spain.....	\$4.00
		Washington Law Book Co.:	
Jan.	6.	American Practice Reports, vol. 2.....	5.00
		N. D. McDonald Co., Limited:	
Jan.	24.	Brewer's Orations, vols. 1 and 2, at \$4.....	8.00
		John Byrne & Co.:	
Jan.	27.	American Law Review, January to December, 1899....	\$5.00
		American Law Register, January to December, 1899....	3.00
		Washington Law Reporter, January to December, 1899....	3.00
		North American Review, January to December, 1899....	5.00
		Vol. 174 U. S. Reports.....	2.00
		Vol. 2 Abbott's Forms of Pleading.....	6.00
		Dos Passos on Inheritance Tax Law, second edition....	6.00
		Greenleaf's Evidence, vols. 2 and 3, sixteenth edition..	10.00
		Bispham's Equity, sixteenth edition.....	5.00
		General Digest, vol. 7.....	6.00
		Rose, Notes on U. S. Reports, vol. 3.....	6.50
		Rose, Notes on U. S. Reports, vol. 4.....	6.50
		Bancroft, The New Pacific.....	2.50
		Worth's National Citations.....	1.50
		Gould and Tucker, Notes on U. S. Revised Statutes, 2 vols.....	15.00
		Index to Pepper and Lewis Digest of Pennsylvania Decisions.....	3.50
			86.50
		West Publishing Co.:	
Jan.	29.	Southeast Reporter, vol. 23.....	3.75
		Southwest Reporter, vol. 52.....	3.75
		Northeast Reporter, vol. 54.....	3.75
		Federal Reports, vol. 96 (3 copy).....	9.00
		Century Digest Reporter, vol. 13.....	6.00
		Federal Reports, vols. 1 to 56.....	168.00
			194.25
		W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:	
Feb.	2.	Brightley, New York Digest, vol. 5.....	10.00
		Blackman, Making of Hawaii.....	1.60
		Martin, Civil Procedure.....	3.50
		Pennsylvania Superior Court Reports, vol. 10.....	2.00
		Michigan Reports, vol. 116.....	3.25
		Smith on Equitable Remedies.....	5.00
		West Virginia Reports, vol. 45.....	3.50
		U. S. Appeal Reports, vols. 61 and 62.....	6.00
		Baeck, de la Propriete Privee, etc.....	3.70
		New York Appellate Division Reports, vols. 41 and 42....	6.00
		Annotated Corporation Laws of all the States, vol. 4....	4.50
		Texas Civil Appeal Reports, vol. 19.....	3.00
		Colorado's Reports, vol. 25.....	5.00
		Penneville, Delaware Reports, vol. 1.....	10.00
		Illinois Appellate Court Reports, vol. 84.....	3.50
		World Almanac, 1900.....	.25
		Texas Civil Appeal Reports, vol. 18.....	3.00
		Missouri Appeal Reports, vols. 76, 77, 78.....	7.50
			81.30
		Brentano's:	
Jan.	23.	1 Whittaker Almanac.....	1.00
		The Lawyers' Cooperative Publishing Co.:	
Feb.	3.	Lawyers Reports Annotated, vol. 44.....	5.00
		U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vol. 43.....	5.00
		Index Digest U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vols. 1 and 2....	12.00
		Index Digest U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vol. 4 (2 copies).....	7.00
			29.00
		Canadian Law Book Co.:	
Feb.	3.	Subscription Canada Law Journal, 1899.....	5.00
		British Columbia Statutes, 1897, 2 vols.....	15.00
			20.00

1900.		Lemcke & Buechner:		
Feb.	2.	1 Revue de droit internat., 1899.....	\$4.40	
		1 Centralblatt f. Rechtswissenschaft, XIX.....	3.60	
				\$8.00
		The Carswell Co., Limited:		
Feb.	24.	Subscription to Canadian Law Times, 1899.....	5.00	
		New Brunswick Eq. Reports, vol. 1.....	7.25	
		Practice Reports, vol. 18.....	5.20	
		Ontario Reports, vol. 29.....	5.30	
		Ontario Appeal Reports, vol. 25.....	5.25	
		Ontario Appeal Reports, vol. 30.....	5.30	
				33.30
		Statute Law Book Co.:		
Feb.	24.	Alabama Acts, 1892-93, 1 vol.....	1.50	
		New York Laws, 1808, Priv. 1810, Pub. 2 vols.....	45.00	
		Connecticut Statutes, Swift's System of Laws, 1790-92..	4.05	
				50.55
		Little, Brown & Co.:		
Apr.	2.	Law Quarterly Review for 1899	2.75	
		English Law Reports, 1899 (parts)	28.50	
		Subscription to Law Times and Reports to April, 1900..	18.00	
				49.25
		Ed. D. Peirce:		
Apr.	9.	Encyclopedia of Forms, vols. 8, 9, and 10, at \$6		18.00
		The Tribune Association:		
Apr.	9.	Tribune Almanacs, 1889-1900		2.00
		W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:		
June	18.	United States Appeal Reports, vol. 63.....	3.00	
		Almanac de Gotha, 1900.....	2.50	
		Meigs's Tennessee Digest, vol. 3.....	8.00	
		Pennsylvania County Court Reports, vol. 22	5.00	
		Utah Reports, vol. 18.....	5.35	
		New York Civil Procedure Reports, vol. 29.....	3.50	
		Kentucky Reports, vol. 100	4.75	
		New Jersey Law Reports, vol. 62.....	3.25	
		Pennsylvania State Reports, vol. 192.....	2.00	
		Walton's Civil Law in Spain and Spanish America	6.25	
		Colorado Court of Appeals Reports, vol. 12.....	5.00	
		Pennsylvania Superior Court Reports, vol. 11	2.00	
		Indiana Appellate Reports, vol. 22	3.75	
		White, English-Latin Dictionary	1.20	
		Rhode Island Reports, vol. 20.....	6.50	
		Tennessee Reports, vol. 101	3.50	
		Nebraska Reports, vol. 57.....	3.00	
		Arkansas Reports, vol. 65.....	3.25	
		Parton, General Butler	1.25	
		Butler's Book	2.00	
		Walker, Law of Nations, vol. 1.....	3.00	
		New Jersey Equity Reports, vol. 57	3.25	
		Illinois Appeal Reports, vol. 85	3.50	
		New York Appellate Division Reports, vol. 43	3.00	
		Maryland Reports, vol. 89	3.75	
		Tennessee Reports, vol. 102	3.50	
		New York Appeal Reports, vol. 160	1.50	
		Goodloe, Birth of Republic	1.50	
		McMasters's History of the United States, vol. 5	2.00	
		Underhill on Wills, 2 vols	12.00	
		Kentucky Reports, vol. 101	4.75	
		Georgia Reports, vol. 107	4.00	
		Heydecker and McMahon's War-Revenue Law	2.25	
		Pennsylvania State Reports, vol. 193	2.00	
		Montana Reports, vol. 22	5.75	
		Wisconsin Reports, vol. 103.....	2.25	
		Wisconsin Reports, vol. 102.....	2.25	
		Illinois Appellate Reports, vol. 86.....	3.50	
		Michigan Reports, vols. 117 and 118	6.50	
		Texas Criminal Reports, vol. 39.....	3.30	

1900.		W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.—Continued.	
June	18.	New York Appellate Division Reports, vols. 44 and 45..	\$6. 00
		Missouri Appeal Reports, vols. 79 and 80	5. 00
		Arkansas Reports, vol. 66	3. 25
		New York Court of Appeals Reports, vol. 161	1. 50
		Colorado Court of Appeals Reports, vol. 13	5. 00
		Indiana Reports, vol. 153	3. 50
		Oklahoma Reports, vol. 8	5. 00
		Texas Civil Appeals Reports, vols. 20 and 21	6. 00
		New York Appellate Division Reports, vols. 46 and 47..	6. 00
		Howard, United States Reports, vol. 15	5. 50
		Illinois Appellate Reports, vol. 87	3. 50
		Utah Reports, vol. 19	5. 35
		Pennsylvania Superior Court Reports, vol. 12	2. 00
			<hr/> \$206. 20
		John Byrne & Co:	
June	18.	Oregon Reports, vol. 33	4. 50
		Note on U. S. Reports (Roe), vol. 5	6. 50
		Index, Digest U. S. Superior Court Reports, 4 vols.	20. 00
		Opinions Attorney-General, vol. 2	3. 00
		Common-Law Practice Forms 75
		U. S. Statutes at Large, vols. 1 and 7	5. 00
		Digest Pennsylvania Decisions (Pipper & Lewis), vol. 6.	7. 45
			<hr/> 47. 20
		Benjamin Cases, annotated, 6 vols.	14. 05
		American Bankruptcy Reports, vol. 2	5. 00
		Pennsylvania District Court Reports, vol. 8	5. 00
		Ohio Circuit Court Reports, vol. 18	3. 00
		Ruling Cases on Patent Law	6. 50
		Massachusetts Reports, vol. 173	2. 00
		Sanders's Patent Digest, 1899	3. 50
		Maupin's Digest District of Columbia Reports	10. 00
		U. S. Reports, vol. 175, 4 copies, at \$3	12. 00
		U. S. Reports, vol. 175, 7 copies, at \$2	14. 00
		Thayer's Cases on Constitutional Law, 2 vols	12. 00
		Abbott's New York Digests, Reports and Statutes, 1898 and 1899	8. 50
		Bright, Submarine Telegraph	25. 00
		California Reports, vol. 126	2. 50
			<hr/> 123. 05
		The Boston Book Co.:	
June	21.	Jones Index, vol. 2	10. 00
		Weekly Reporter, vol. 47	11. 00
			<hr/> 21. 00
		West Publishing Co.:	
June	15.	Century Digest, vols. 14, 15, 16, and 17	24. 00
		Pacific Reporter, vols. 58 and 59	7. 50
		Northwestern Reporter, vols. 80 and 81	7. 50
		Northeastern Reporter, vols. 55 and 56	7. 50
		Atlantic Reporter, vols. 44 and 45	7. 50
		Southwestern Reporter, vols. 53, 54, and 55	11. 25
		Southeastern Reporter, vol. 34	3. 75
		Southern Reporter, vol. 26	3. 75
		New York Supplement, vols. 60, 61, 62, and 63	15. 00
		Federal Reporter, vols. 91, 98, and 99, 3 copies each ...	27. 00
		Barrows on Negligence	3. 75
		Blue Book, vol. 1	3. 75
		American Digest, 993	6. 00
		Federal Cases, vol. 31	10. 00
			<hr/> 138. 25
		By discount	52. 50
			<hr/> 85. 75
		Lawyers' Cooperative Publishing Co.:	
June	15.	Lawyers' Reports Annotated, vols. 44 and 45	10. 00
		U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vol. 43	5. 00

1900. Lawyers' Cooperative Publishing Co.—Cont'd.			
June	15.	Index, Digest U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vols. 1 and 2	\$12.00
		Index, Digest U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vol. 4 (2 copies)	7.00
			<u>\$34.00</u>
Edward Thompson Co.:			
June	15.	Vols. 13-14-15, second edition, American and English Encyclopedia of Law	18.00
		Vols. 17-18, Encyclopedia of Pleading and Practice	12.00
			<u>30.00</u>
N. D. McDonald Co., Limited:			
June	18.	Brewer's World's Best Orations, vols. 3-8, at \$4	24.00
1899. Stevens & Haynes:			
Nov.	23.	Law Magazine, August and November, 1899	2.50
1900.			
May	8.	Irish Law Reports, 1899, 2 vols., compared	17.90
		Law Magazine, February and May, 1900	2.50
		Irish Law Reports, Digest, 2 vols., compared	9.94
			<u>32.84</u>
M. E. Mann:			
June	18.	For Appleton's Cyclopedia American Biography, vol. 7, sheep binding	5.00

BOOKS FOR OFFICE OF SOLICITOR.

1899. W. C. Morrison:			
July	31.	Arkansas, vol. 57	3.00
		New York Report, vol. 158	1.50
		Pennsylvania Report, vol. 189	1.50
			<u>6.00</u>
W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:			
July	14.	Desty's Federal Procedure, 4 vols	12.00
John Byrne & Co.:			
July	15.	Randolph, Commercial Paper	18.00
West Publishing Co.:			
Oct.	2.	Federal Reporter, vols. 92, 93, and 94, at \$3.50	10.50
W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:			
Sept.	27.	D. C. Appeal Cases, vol. 13	5.00
		U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vol. 174	2.00
			<u>7.00</u>
Edward Thompson & Co.:			
Sept.	28.	Vol. 12, American and English Encyclopedia of Law	6.00
Washington Law Book Co.:			
Sept.	18.	English Law Dictionary	6.50
Walter C. Morrison:			
Oct.	4.	Pennsylvania Report, vol. 90	1.50
Nov.	4.	Wisconsin Reports, 89, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101	30.25
		9. Pennsylvania State Report	1.50
			<u>33.25</u>
Edward Thompson Co.:			
Nov.	29.	Vol. 13 of American and English Encyclopedia of Law, second edition	6.00
1900. Edward Thompson Co.:			
Jan.	27.	Vol. 13, second edition, Encyclopædia of Law	6.00
G. L. Dobson:			
Feb.	5.	Iowa Reports, vols. 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, and 107	9.70
W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:			
Feb.	3.	District of Columbia Appeal Cases, vol. 14	5.00
		9. Illinois Reports, vols. 175, 176, 178, 179, and 180	15.00
		Massachusetts Reports, vol. 172	2.50
		14. Kentucky Reports, vols. 96, 97, 98, and 99	16.00
			<u>38.50</u>

1900.	John Byrne & Co.:		
Mar.	13.	West Virginia Reports, vols. 38 to 45 inclusive, \$3 per vol.	\$24. 00
		West Publishing Co.:	
	6.	Federal Reporter, vols. 95, 96, and 97, at \$3.50 each	10. 50
		John Byrne & Co.:	
	31.	Maupin's Digest of District of Columbia Reports	\$10. 00
		Rose Notes on U. S. Supreme Court Reports, vols. 1 to 5 ..	32. 50
			42. 50
		John Byrne & Co.:	
Apr.	3.	California Reports, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 7 vols., at \$3.50	24. 50
		Ohio Reports, 58, 59, 60, 3 vols., at \$2. 50	7. 50
		District of Columbia Reports, vol. 18	4. 00
			36. 00
		W. H. Morrison:	
May	7.	New York Appeals Report, vol. 159	1. 50
		Wisconsin Reports, vol. 91	2. 50
		Maryland Reports, vol. 88	4. 00
		Pennsylvania Reports, vols. 192, and 193	4. 00
		Illinois Reports, vol. 177	3. 25
			15. 25
		West Publishing Co.:	
June	11.	Federal Reporter, vol. 98	3. 50
		W. H. Lowdermilk & Co.:	
June	8.	1 U. S. Report, vol. 175	2. 00
		1 Brantley, Maryland Digest	3. 00
		1 Kentucky Reports, vol. 100	3. 80
			8. 80

STATIONERY.

1899.	Fred. A. Schmidt:		
July	26.	1 bottle of Pomeroy's snow-white ink 12
		Easton & Rupp:	
July	22.	50 reams bond letter, at \$1.65, item 3	82. 50
		75 reams bond cap, at \$1.65, item 12	123. 75
			206. 25
		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
July	25.	3 gross Dreka pens, No. 3, 52½ cents	1. 58
		5 gross Miller pens, No. 4, 45 cents	2. 25
		9 gross Spencerian pens, 64½ cents	5. 77
		1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 14 35
		2 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 122, 37.7 cents 75
		1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 161B, 43.5 cents 43
		25 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 048, 34.8 cents	8. 70
		1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 442, 43.5 cents 44
		1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 182, 31.9 cents 32
		2 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 209, 43.5 cents 87
		11 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 312, 43.5 cents	4. 78
		1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 79, 34.8 cents 35
		2 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 314, 43.5 cents 87
		3 gross Esterbrook pens, silver, No. 1, 58 cents	1. 74
		3 gross Esterbrook pens, silver, No. 2, 43.5 cents	1. 30
		2 dozen T. W. brushes, 69 cents	1. 38
	28.	30 great gross bands, No. 16, \$1.55	46. 50
		10 great gross bands, No. 17, \$1.66	16. 60
		168 gross bands, No. 32, 40 cents	67. 20
		24 gross bands, No. 000½, 66 cents	15. 84
		24 gross bands, No. 000½, 88 cents	21. 12
		12 gross bands, No. 00½, \$1.11	13. 32
		2 gross erasers, T. W., No. 1087, 60 cents per dozen ..	14. 40
		4,000 cards, 3½ by 5½, \$1.85	7. 40
		1,000 cards, 2 by 3½ 80
		4,000 fasteners, R., No. 1, 79 cents	3. 16
		2,000 fasteners, R., No. 2, 94 cents	1. 88
		1,000 fasteners, R., No. 3	1. 24
	29.	2 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 135 75
		1 dozen fountain sponge cups	3. 15

1899.		R. Carter Ballantyne—Continued.	
July	29.	3 dozen paper weights, 65 cents	\$1.95
		1½ dozen pen trays, 82 cents	1.23
	31.	10,000 Farmer's clips, \$1.05	10.50
			<hr/> \$258.92
		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
Aug.	1.	8 reams Treasury blotting paper, \$8.50	68.00
	3.	1 gross Spencerian pens, No. 1364
		3 dozen pyramid pins, No. 3, 56.4 cents	1.69
		3 dozen pyramid pins, No. 4, 61.4 cents	1.84
	7.	1½ dozen scissors, 6-inch, \$3.15	4.72
	10.	2 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 31487
	3.	1 gross Esterbrook probate pens43
	12.	2 dozen baskets, No. 2013, \$4.18	8.36
	14.	72 notebooks, No. 1337½	3.06
		300 scratch books, No. 4026	3.15
		100 scratch books, No. 4060	3.05
		150 scratch books, No. 4068, \$10 per 100	15.00
		150 scratch books, No. 4070, \$16 per 100	24.00
			<hr/> 134.81
		R. P. Clarke & Co.:	
Aug.	2.	3 dozen silk ribbon, ½-inch, \$1.74	5.22
		R. P. Andrews & Co.:	
July	27.	48 dozen typewriter erasers—	
		12 dozen No. 875, at 16 cents per dozen	1.92
		12 dozen No. 896, at 23 cents per dozen	2.76
		24 dozen No. 874, at 30 cents per dozen	7.20
		½ dozen arm rests, \$3.10 per dozen	1.55
		6 dozen shorthand notebooks, No. 1142, at 68 cents per dozen	4.08
		100 tablets, perforated and ruled	3.25
			<hr/> 20.76
		Frank M. Evans:	
July	14.	1,500 sheets cobweb carbon, 8 by 10, 3 cents	45.00
		1,500 sheets cobweb carbon, 8 by 12½, 3 cents	45.00
			<hr/> 90.00
		American Hard Rubber Co.:	
July	25.	12 dozen penholders, 64 cents	7.68
		Easton & Rupp:	
July	29.	50 reams Crane's all-linen T. W. paper, at 76 cents	38.00
		5 reams woven linen, white, at \$2.40	12.00
		5 reams Whiting's bond, No. 25, \$1.90	9.50
		25 reams Crane's all-linen, 90 cents	22.50
Aug.	1.	3 dozen library paste, Carter's, at \$1	3.00
		2 gross De Haan's pens, No. 19, 47 cents94
		1 gross De Haan's pens, No. 3847
		6 gross Lyon & Patterson pens, No. 12, \$1.05	6.30
		2 gross Lyon & Patterson pens, No. 14	2.10
		4 gross Lyon & Patterson pens, No. 21	4.20
		4 gross Tadella pens, No. 1, 65 cents	2.60
		3 gross Tadella pens, No. 3	1.95
		1 gross Tadella pens, No. 565
		1 gross Tadella pens, No. 1565
	4.	5 reams T. W. paper coupon, 8 by 10, at \$1.30	6.50
	9.	4 gross Hoosier pens, No. 4, \$1.50	6.00
		1 gross L. and P. pens, No. 13	1.05
		4 gross L. and P. pens, No. 708, at \$1.05	4.20
	11.	4 dozen quarts Carter's writing fluid, \$3	12.00
	15.	3 dozen typewriter oil, 97 cents	2.91
		1 dozen envelope openers, cabinet	1.28
		½ dozen ink pads, \$1.5075
			<hr/> 139.55
		Easton & Rupp:	
Aug.	1.	½ dozen quarts Stephens's blue-black ink, \$4.80	2.40
		½ ream Crane's kid-finish octavo note, \$2.80	1.40
		½ ream Crane's bond octavo note, \$2.20	1.10
		2 reams Crane's antique bond commercial note, \$2.40	4.80

1899.		Easton & Rupp—Continued.	
Aug.	1.	1 ream Wedgewood note, "Colonial"	\$3. 60
		500 Wedgewood envelopes, "Colonial," \$5.50	2. 75
		2 balls white linen twine cable, 25 cents 50
	5.	3 quarts Stephens's blue-black copying ink, 75 cents	2. 25
	9.	1 new bedplate and repairing press	4. 50
		1 gross manila pens	1. 75
	18.	4 gross steel pens, No. 708, Jacobs's aluminum, \$1.05	4. 20
		3 gross Wm. Mitchell "J" pens, \$1.20	3. 60
		1 gross Turner & Harrison pens, legal 65
	24.	1,000 linen envelopes, No. 9	3. 75
		250 old style envelopes, bar. 1, \$6.40	1. 60
	29.	18 reams oriental linen letter, 8 by 10½, \$1.20	21. 60
		1 rubber stamp rack, 3 tiers	2. 25
		24 dockets, indexed in front, 8½ by 11, 90 cents	21. 60
		72 boxes McGill's staple fasteners, brass	25. 92
			<hr/> \$110. 22
		Fred. A. Schmidt:	
July	21.	6 gross Faber pencils, hexagon: 1 gross No. 1, 3 gross No. 2, 2 gross No. 3, at \$4	24. 00
		5 gross steel pens, 4 gross Gillott's No. 404, at 61 cents; 1 gross Gillott's No. 170, at 82 cents, less 38 per cent	2. 02
		10 dozen thumb tacks, silver head: 3 dozen, at 3 cents dozen, 9 cents; 3 dozen, at 3½ cents dozen, 10½ cents; 4 dozen, at 4 cents dozen, 16 cents 35
Aug.	4.	1 gross Gillott's No. 294 pens 38
			<hr/> 26. 75
		John C. Parker:	
Aug.	2.	500 rolls No. 1 pyramid toilet paper, 5½ cents	27. 50
		100 rolls No. 1 velvet toilet paper, 7½ cents	7. 25
			<hr/> 34. 75
		Willard Fracker:	
Sept.	21.	6 sets "Fracker backing sheets," at 25 cents	1. 50
		J. P. Nanrath:	
Aug.	7.	3 pounds No. 1 cup sponge, at \$1.50 per pound	4. 50
		24 dozen No. 21 red tape, at \$1.43 per dozen	34. 32
			<hr/> 38. 82
		W. I. Ticknor:	
Aug.	7.	18 dozen paragon ribbons, at \$4	72. 00
		D. Frank Parker:	
July	29.	6 quires minneograph stencil paper, at \$2, less 15 per cent.	10. 20
		2 tubes minneograph ink, black, at 60 cents, less 15 per cent	1. 02
		4 tubes minneograph ink, blue, at 90 cents, less 15 per cent	3. 06
		½ dozen sheets of minneograph silk	2. 30
		2 bottles minneograph varnish, 20 cents, less 15 per cent. 34
		2 varnish brushes 42
			<hr/> 17. 34
		Easton & Rupp:	
Aug.	31.	1,000 Dennison No. 27 red paper seals	3. 00
Sept.	6.	12 press copying books to order, at \$1.65	19. 80
	20.	50 document file boxes to order, 9-inch, 6-inch, 4½-inch, at 50 cents	25. 00
		12 document file boxes to order, 10½-inch, 6½-inch, 4½-inch, at 60 cents	7. 20
			<hr/> 55. 00
		United States Envelope Co.:	
Sept.	13.	2,000 printed to the Auditor for Post-Office Department, \$1.49	2. 98
		4,000 printed office of the Solicitor of Treasury, \$1.36	5. 44
		500 printed office of the Solicitor of Treasury, \$7. 35	3. 68
		500 printed office of the Solicitor of Treasury, \$4. 07	2. 03
			<hr/> 14. 13
		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
July	29.	2 boxes rubber bands	2. 00

1899.		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
Aug.	28.	1,000 envelopes, item 149, Crane O. S.	\$4. 88
		29½ gross pyramid pins No. 2, item 131	3. 03
Sept.	2.	3,000 envelopes, item 134, Crane bond, \$4.15	12. 45
		500 envelopes, item 126	2. 48
	7.	2,000 envelopes, item 141, \$2.90	5. 80
			<hr/>
			\$28. 64
		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
Sept.	2.	1,000 cards	2. 10
	29.	1 dozen boxes eyelets	1. 00
			<hr/>
			3. 10
		Carter, Rice & Co., Corporation:	
Aug.	3.	3 reams 24 by 36, 50 manila paper, \$1.44	4. 32
		3 reams 40 by 48, 120 manila paper, \$3.45	10. 35
			<hr/>
			14. 67
		Rudolph, West & Co.:	
Aug.	19.	1 dozen large desk baskets, wire	1. 72
		1 dozen small desk baskets, wire	1. 48
		1 dozen Scotch eraser hones	2. 20
		1 dozen 9-inch shears	7. 44
		1 dozen 10-inch shears	7. 44
			<hr/>
			20. 28
		William Mann Co.:	
Aug.	24.	36 parchment imp. books	20. 88
		William Mann Co.:	
	16.	26 parchment copy books, 500, 9 by 11, at 58 cents	20. 88
		Easton & Rupp:	
Oct.	11.	6 perfect mucilage bottles	1. 75
	26.	1 Shannon file perforator, No. 27	1. 50
	28.	2 reams copying parchment paper, 10 by 12, at 65 cents	1. 30
Nov.	2.	1 Star auto machine	1. 50
		1 cash book, 200 pages 85
	13.	1 S. E. ledger, No. 1421 35
	14.	2 sets Collins ink eradicator, large, at 50 cents	1. 00
			<hr/>
			8. 25
		Easton & Rupp:	
Nov.	6.	1 dozen wooden rulers, 12 inches	1. 00
		R. P. Andrews & Co.:	
Nov.	11.	2,000 envelopes like sample, at \$2	4. 00
		John C. Parker:	
Oct.	24.	2 dozen boxes Challenge eyelets, at \$2.16	4. 32
		Woodruff Manufacturing Co.:	
Nov.	18.	50 W. F. files, 10 by 4½ by 10, oiled, at 50 cents	25. 00
		Woodruff Manufacturing Co.:	
Dec.	4.	72 qt. O. F. fileholders, 10½ by 4½ by 12, card receivers, varnished, at 50 cents	36. 00
		Easton & Rupp:	
Dec.	6.	30 reams Crane's antique No. 21 cap paper, 8 by 12½, at \$1.65	49. 50
		30 reams Crane's antique No. 21 cap paper, at \$1.65	49. 50
			<hr/>
			99. 00
		Easton & Rupp:	
Nov.	18.	1 bill book	1. 50
		1 tin cash box	1. 25
Dec.	7.	10 reams Crane's antique laid No. 29 commercial note, at \$2	20. 00
			<hr/>
			22. 75
		Library Bureau:	
Nov.	20.	1,500 No. 34½ white tab cards to order; 500 each of bank, Chinese, and land, at \$7.50 per thousand	11. 25
1899.		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
Oct.	24.	1,500 envelopes, at \$3.88	5. 82
Nov.	21.	1 gross Faber pencils, No. 4323	3. 60
Dec.	11.	250 envelopes	1. 54
		500 envelopes	2. 44
	12.	6 dozen shorthand notebooks, 51 cents	3. 06
			<hr/>
			16. 46

1899.		Easton & Rupp:		
Jan.	8.	Engraving die as per sample	\$2.50	
	22.	1 McGill's patent single stroke staple press No. 3	2.25	
		1 McGill's fastener prod.40	
				\$5.15
1900.		Rudolph, West & Co.:		
Mar.	15.	1 dozen eraser hones, Scotch		2.20
1899.		R. Carter Ballantyne:		
Dec.	16.	4,000 McGill fasteners No. 1 R., 79 cents	\$3.16	
	30.	1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 182, 55 cents32	
1900.				
Jan.	9.	$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen Star fasteners76	
		1,000 Star staples35	
	25.	$1\frac{1}{2}$ dozen scissors, 6-inch, \$3.15	4.72	
Feb.	8.	1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 16143	
	21.	20 dozen notebooks, 51 cents	10.20	
	24.	1 gross Esterbrook pens, No. 47743	
		2,000 envelopes, Crane O. S., \$4.88	9.76	
Mar.	5.	2,000 envelopes, Crane 6 $\frac{1}{2}$, \$6.96	13.92	
		$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen Star fasteners, \$9.15	4.57	
	26.	1,500 envelopes, 5 $\frac{1}{8}$ by 4 $\frac{1}{8}$, \$3.62	5.43	
				54.05
1899.		R. Carter Ballantyne:		
Dec.	27.	60 daily memorandum calendars, 5 cents	3.00	
	30.	3 perfection pads, 20 cents60	
1900.				
Jan.	—.	1 perfection pad and stand85	
	4.	4 daily memorandum calendars, 5 cents20	
		3 stands for same, 12 cents36	
		2 stands for same24	
		25 blank books65	
				5.90
		Fred A. Schmidt:		
Mar.	31.	1 gross pencils, A. W. Faber, 6 dozen No. 4, 6 dozen No. 2		5.50
		John Underwood & Co.:		
Apr.	19.	1,500 sheets 8 by 10 No. 1 blue semicarbon paper	41.25	
		500 sheets 8 by 12 No. 1 blue semicarbon paper	13.75	
				55.00
		R. Carter Ballantyne:		
Apr.	3.	1 gross Esterbrook pens No. 31344	
	16.	500 envelopes, 5 $\frac{1}{8}$ by 4 $\frac{1}{8}$	1.81	
				2.25
		D. Frank Parker:		
Mar.	5.	$\frac{1}{2}$ pint mimeograph varnish, 60 cents, less 15 per cent ..	.51	
Apr.	12.	1 mimeograph slate, 20 cents, less 15 per cent17	
		1 mimeograph roller, \$1, less 15 per cent85	
				1.53
		Library Bureau:		
Apr.	7.	1 No. 22 Z 4 oak case, 1 commercial base for No. 22 d 12 case, 6 sets No. 24 e 5 A-Z guides		21.10
	24.	500 No. 34 p tab cards, 200 white admiralty, 200 white government official, and 100 buff injunction (A 18428)		5.50
		William Mann Co.:		
Apr.	21.	2 dozen press copy books, 500, 10 by 12, at 58 cents each		13.92
		United States Envelope Co.:		
Apr.	24.	1,000 envelopes, heavy manila, 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ by 6 $\frac{1}{2}$		5.26
		R. Carter Ballantyne:		
May	16.	2,000 fasteners, R. No. 1, at 79 cents	1.58	
		1,000 fasteners, R. No. 294	
		1,000 fasteners, R. No. 3	1.24	
		$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen boxes Star fasteners69	
				4.45
		R. Carter Ballantyne:		
May	10.	2 scrap books, at \$1.50	3.00	
	23.	1 gross Eagle pens No. 9050	
				3.50

1900.		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
Apr.	5.	1 set cushion keys, order No. 1044.....	\$2.50
May	8.	2 cleaning brushes30
			<hr/> \$2.80
		John A. Dushane & Co.:	
July	3.	50 reams Crane's all-linen letter, 2½ pounds; 10 reams Crane's all-linen legal, at 71 cents	35.50
		2½ pounds, at 71 cents	7.10
	6.	8 reams Crane's note paper, parchment vellum, unruled, white, at \$2.80	22.40
	9.	200 reams letter paper, 8 by 10, Crane's antique laid, No. 25, Un. G. bond, at \$1.50	300.00
		100 reams cap paper, 8 by 12, Crane's antique laid, No. 21, Un. G. bond, at \$1.50	150.00
		5 reams note paper, Crane's antique laid, commercial unruled, at \$1.80	9.00
			<hr/> 524.00
		Easton & Rupp:	
Feb.	16.	1 dozen Lindsay's perfect aluminum mucilage bottles ..	3.50
May	29.	10 reams Crane's bond, No. 25, 8 by 10, at \$1.65	16.50
		15 reams Crane's antique, No. 25, 8 by 10, laid, \$1.65 ...	24.75
		10 reams Crane's all linen, 8 by 10, 2½, 76 cents	7.60
		2 reams Crane's all linen, 8 by 12½, 3, 90 cents	1.80
June	15.	1 dozen quarts Carter's writing fluid	3.00
		15 reams Crane's all linen, 8 by 10, 2½, 76 cents	11.40
		5 reams Crane's all linen, 8 by 12½, 3, 90cents	4.50
	18.	3 dozen bottles T. W. oil, 97 cents	2.91
	28.	30 reams Crane's antique, laid, No. 25, 8 by 10, \$1.65 ...	49.50
	30.	1 dozen Lindsay's perfect aluminum mucilage bottles ..	3.50
		4 dozen Lindsay's perfect aluminum mucilage, \$3.50 ...	14.00
			<hr/> 142.96
		Easton & Rupp:	
Mar.	6.	1 Keystone binder	1.50
Apr.	4.	5 reams oriental linen parchment as per sample, at \$1.20 ..	6.00
	18.	1,000 woven linen envelopes, Treasury No. 2	10.50
June	30.	½ dozen mucilage brushes60
			<hr/> 18.60
		United States Envelope Co.:	
	30.	1,500 envelopes, item 26, printed	13.77
		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
	25.	1 dozen fountain sponge cups, item 83	3.15
	26.	3,000 McGill fasteners, No. 1 R., item 111, 79 cents	2.57
	28.	5,000 envelopes, item 131, \$3.88	19.40
	29.	50 scratch books No. 4068, 10 cents	5.00
		5,000 envelopes, item 124, \$4.77	23.85
			<hr/> 53.77
		R. Carter Ballantyne:	
	1.	1,000 sheets, reporter's paper	3.25
		E. Morrison Paper Co.:	
	30.	100 pieces of strawboard, size 24 by 20 inches	5.00
		John C. Parker:	
Feb.	10.	4 bottles Sanford's stamping ink	1.00
Mar.	1.	1,000 Dennison's standard No. 5 P. tags	1.60
May	3.	12 boxes 1-ounce challenge eyelets	2.16
			<hr/> 4.76

TRANSPORTATION.

1899.		R. L. Saunders:	
July	31.	Pasturing and stabling 2 horses from July 9 to 31, 1899, inclusive, at \$10 each, per month	14.84
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
July	31.	Livery of 3 horses for the month of July, 1899, at \$20 each	60.00
		Livery of 2 horses from July 1 to July 8, 1899, inclusive, at \$20 per month each	10.32
			<hr/> 70.32

1899.		Philip Elwood:	
July	31.	Carting 6 loads of ashes, dirt, and rubbish from Department of Justice and annex during month of July, at 40 cents	\$2.40
		Thos. M. Hughes:	
July	7.	4 new steel shoes.....	\$2.00
	8.	6 new steel shoes.....	3.00
	20.	2 new steel shoes.....	1.00
	22.	2 new steel shoes.....	1.00
	24.	2 new steel shoes.....	1.00
	25.	2 new steel shoes.....	1.00
			9.00
		The Andrew Joyce Carriage Co.:	
July	3.	New spring bar for surrey.....	2.00
	8.	New half bottom; new band-iron strap; 10 new screws in same; new tail-gate staple; new tail-gate pins and chains; 8 new bolts; repair front and bottom of cushion, Dayton.....	3.75
Aug.	4.	Washing linen slip lining for surrey; tacking in slip; binding and repairing	1.50
		Rockers	2.00
	7.	Overhaul wheels and reset 4 tires; reset axles; burn rust off springs and brad between plates to prevent rusting; overhaul and tighten up; piece out king bolt and new safety bolt in same; reset dash and re-cover same with best patent leather; 4 new silk festoon curtains; overhaul and clean mountings and trimmings; dress and dye laces; morocco dress front trimming; repair covers on glass frames; 2 trace bolts; burn off old paint, repaint, stripe, and varnish body and gear, Solicitor-General's C. rockaway	61.50
			70.75
		Grenfell & Showalter:	
Aug.	4.	Professional services to bay mare with colic and indigestion, 6 visits, including medicines	10.00
July	31.	5 dozen powders	5.00
			15.00
		C. Becker:	
July	10.	Repairing saddle, 35 cents; Tilbury tugs, 25 cents60
		Repairing turnback and hip strap25
		New silver face clip in hame tug	1.00
		Repairing choke-strap, 25 cents; traces, 25 cents50
		Repairing bridle, 50 cents; wash, oil, and blacking harness, \$2.50	3.00
		1 whip	2.00
		Can vasaline25
		Repairing reins25
		New drop on choke-strap	1.00
		New centerpiece in checkrein50
	29.	Repairing turnback, 50 cents; bridle, 25 cents.....	.75
			10.10
		The Andrew J. Joyce Carriage Co.:	
Aug.	28.	New cover 3 glass frames with best cloth; new cover front rockers with patent leather; thoroughly overhaul and screw up gear; new washers on axle; replat pole crab; repaint, stripe, and varnish gear; color and varnish body (6 passenger C. rockaway)	62.50
		Thos. M. Hughes:	
Aug.	2.	4 new steel horseshoes and 2 removals	2.50
	9.	4 new steel horseshoes.....	2.00
	10.	4 new steel horseshoes.....	2.00
	14.	2 new steel horseshoes.....	1.00
	23.	2 new steel horseshoes.....	1.00
	25.	2 new steel horseshoes.....	1.00
	29.	2 new steel horseshoes.....	1.00
			10.50

1899.		R. L. Saunders:	
Aug.	31.	Pasturing and stabling 1 horse from Aug. 1 to 9, 1899, inclusive, at \$10 per month.....	\$2. 90
		Pasturing and stabling 1 horse from Aug. 1 to 31, 1899, inclusive, at \$10 per month.....	10. 00
		Resetting 2 shoes.....	.30
			<hr/>
			\$13. 20
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Aug.	31.	Livery of 3 horses for the month of August, 1899, at \$20.....	60. 00
	5.	Hire horse.....	1. 50
		Hire horse.....	1. 50
		Livery of 1 horse from Aug. 10 to 31, inclusive, 22 days.....	13. 26
			<hr/>
			76. 26
		R. L. Saunders:	
Sept.	23.	Pasturing and stabling 1 horse from Sept. 1 to 15, 1899, inclusive, at \$10 per month.....	5. 00
		Resetting 4 shoes.....	.60
			<hr/>
			5. 60
		Thos. M. Hughes:	
Sept.	9.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
	13.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
	16.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
	17.	4 new steel shoes.....	2. 00
	19.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
	20.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
	25.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
	30.	2 new steel shoes.....	1. 00
			<hr/>
			9. 00
		Capital Traction Co.:	
Oct.	2.	600 street-car tickets at 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ cents.....	25. 00
		Philip Elwood:	
Sept.	30.	Hauling 7 loads of refuse and ashes from Department of Justice during month of September, 1899, at 40 cents.....	2. 80
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Sept.	30.	Livery of 4 horses for the month of September, 1899, at \$20.....	80. 00
		Livery of 1 horse from September 16 to 30, 1899, inclusive.....	10. 00
			<hr/>
			90. 00
		G. G. C. Simms:	
Sept.	15.	1 bottle of witch hazel.....	.25
		George C. Mountcastle:	
Oct.	31.	Livery for 5 horses for the month of October, 1899, at \$20.....	100. 00
		Thomas M. Hughes:	
Oct.	2.	2 new shoes and 2 removals.....	1. 50
	4.	2 new shoes.....	1. 00
	12.	2 new shoes.....	1. 00
	13.	2 new shoes.....	1. 00
	14.	4 new shoes.....	2. 00
	21.	2 new shoes and 2 removals.....	1. 50
	24.	4 new shoes.....	2. 00
			<hr/>
			10. 00
		The Andrew J. Joyce Carriage Co.:	
Oct.	28.	Repairing and repainting mail wagon as per proposal submitted Sept. 18, 1899.....	74. 00
Oct.	7.	New rubber apron, bound with leather, for wagon.....	5. 00
		C. Becker:	
Aug.	19.	New patent leather ears, and repairing collar.....	1. 50
		New drop, and repairing choke-strap.....	.70
Sept.	12.	Repairing hame tug.....	.50
		Piecing and repairing 2 traces.....	1. 00
		Shorten 4 traces, at 15 cents.....	.60
		Piecing 3 traces.....	1. 00
		New billet, and repairing choke-strap.....	.40
		2 new billets, \$1; repairing saddle, 25 cents.....	1. 25
	20.	Piecing and repairing collar.....	.75
		1 pair hames.....	2. 75

1899.		C. Becker—Continued.	
Sept.	20.	2 new clips in hames	\$1.00
		Repairing saddle, 50 cents; reins, 50 cents	1.00
		New billet on reins50
		Repairing shaftings35
		New crownpiece in bridle75
		New billet and repairing checkrein30
		New front on bridle75
		1 pair rosettes75
		Repairing 4 short tugs on hame tugs40
		Repairing 2 turnbacks25
		Repairing billets on pads25
		New billet on choke-strap35
		Repairing 2 nosebands25
		Repairing coach reins50
			<hr/>
			\$17.85
		Philip Elwood:	
Oct.	31.	To hauling 11 loads of ashes, paper, and rubbish from Department of Justice during the month of October, 1899, at 40 cents	4.40
		Philip Elwood:	
Nov.	29.	Hauling 36 loads of ashes from Department of Justice and annex during the month of November, at 40 cents	14.40
		Thos. M. Hughes:	
Nov.	2.	4 new steel shoes	2.00
	6.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	11.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	13.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	15.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	18.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	20.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	22.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
			<hr/>
			9.00
		C. Becker:	
Nov.	1.	1 pair No. 3 rubber hoof pads	1.50
	2.	1 pair No. 5 rubber hoof pads	1.75
	27.	1 pair steel bite	5.00
			<hr/>
			8.25
		G. G. C. Simms:	
Nov.	20.	1 pound bluestone10
		1 pound glauher salts10
		1 quart witch-hazel40
			<hr/>
			.60
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Nov.	30.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of November, 1899, at \$20 per month each	100.00
		Thos. M. Hughes:	
Dec.	5.	8 new steel shoes	4.00
	6.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
	8.	2 new steel shoes	1.00
			<hr/>
			6.00
		Blue Line Transfer Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Hauling 1 box oil boards25
		Merchants' Parcel Delivery Co.:	
Sept.	27.	Hauling 1 bookcase75
		Philip Elwood:	
Dec.	30.	Hauling 37 loads of ashes during the month of December, 1899, at 40 cents	14.80
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Dec.	30.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of December, 1899, at \$20... ..	100.00
		Myers & McKeown:	
Dec.	20.	2 shoes75
		4 shoes	1.50
		2 shoes75
		4 shoes, 6 reset, 4 box pads	7.00
		2 shoes, 2 reset, 2 box pads	3.25
		2 reset50
			<hr/>
			13.75

1900.		Capital Traction Co.:	
Jan.	11.	600 street-car tickets for official use of this Department, at 4½ cents	\$25. 00
1899.		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Oct.	4.	Hire of cab	1. 50
1900.		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Jan.	31.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of January, 1900, at \$20	100. 00
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Feb.	28.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of February, 1900, at \$20	100. 00
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Mar.	31.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of March, 1900, at \$20	100. 00
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
Apr.	30.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of April, 1900, at \$20 ..	\$100. 00
		1 pair pole straps	5. 00
		Livery of 1 extra horse April 24 to 30, inclusive	4. 65
			<hr/>
			109. 65
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
May	31.	Livery of 5 horses for the month of May, 1900, at \$20 ..	100. 00
		Livery of 1 horse May 1 to 5, inclusive	3. 23
			<hr/>
			103. 23
		D. H. Mudd & Bro.:	
Jan.	3.	4 removed	1. 00
		4. 1 shoe 37
		6. 2 shoes, * 2 pads	2. 75
		10. 2 removed 50
		20. 6 shoes	2. 25
		22. 2 shoes, * 2 pads	2. 75
		29. 6 shoes, 6 removed	3. 75
		29. * 10 pads	10. 00
		30. 2 shoes 75
			<hr/>
			24. 12
		D. H. Mudd & Bro.:	
Feb.	8.	2 shoes 75
		9. 2 shoes 75
		10. 2 shoes 75
		13. 4 shoes	1. 50
		14. 8 shoes	3. 00
		14. * 2 pads	2. 00
		16. 2 shoes, 2 removed	1. 25
		17. 8 removed, * 2 pads	4. 00
		19. 8 removed	2. 00
		26. 4 removed	1. 00
			<hr/>
			17. 00
		D. H. Mudd & Bro.:	
Mar.	3.	4 shoes	1. 50
		5. 2 shoes, 2 removed	1. 25
		7. 6 shoes, * 2 pads	4. 25
		12. 4 removed	1. 00
		13. 4 shoes, * 2 pads	3. 50
		14. 4 removed	1. 00
		22. 4 shoes, * 4 pads	5. 50
		28. 2 shoes 75
		31. 2 shoes 75
			<hr/>
			19. 50
		D. H. Mudd & Bro.:	
Apr.	5.	2 shoes 75
		9. 2 shoes 75
		10. 2 shoes 75
		12. 2 shoes 75
		13. 2 shoes 75
		14. 2 shoes 75
		20. 4 shoes	1. 50
		25. 4 shoes, * 2 pads	3. 50
		26. 2 shoes 75
		27. 2 shoes, * 2 pads	2. 75
			<hr/>
			13. 00

1900.		D. H. Mudd & Bro.:	
May	1.	2 shoes	\$0.75
	2.	2 shoes75
	4.	4 shoes	1.50
	5.	2 shoes75
	11.	4 shoes	1.50
	12.	2 shoes75
	15.	2 shoes75
	16.	6 shoes	2.25
	17.	2 shoes75
	26.	2 shoes, * 2 pads	2.75
	28.	4 shoes	1.50
	29.	2 shoes75
	31.	4 shoes	1.50
			<hr/> \$16.25
		Philip Elwood:	
Jan.	30.	Hauling 40 loads of ashes and rubbish from the Department of Justice and annex building during the month of January, at 40 cents	16.00
		Philip Elwood:	
Feb.	27.	Hauling 36 loads of ashes from the Department of Justice buildings during the month of February, at 40 cents	14.40
		Philip Elwood:	
Mar.	29.	Hauling 34 loads of ashes from Department of Justice buildings during the month of March, at 40 cents	13.60
		Philip Elwood:	
Apr.	30.	Hauling 25 loads of ashes and rubbish from Department of Justice during the month of April, at 40 cents	10.00
		Grenfell & Showalter:	
May	5.	Professional attendance and medicine for 2 horses at Mountcastle's stables; brown horse with hipicaria, also influenza, sore throat, etc; bay horse with influenza and sore throat; 31 visits to the 2 horses, at \$1.50 per visit	46.50
		1 physic ball	1.00
		5 packets of powders, at 50 cents per packet	2.50
		5 bottles of medicine, at 75 cents per bottle	3.75
			<hr/> 53.75
		The Capital Traction Co.:	
June	15.	600 street-car tickets, at 4 $\frac{1}{4}$ cents	25.00
		David A. Clark:	
May	4.	Hire 1 bay horse for 11 days, at \$1 per day (April 24 to May 4, inclusive)	11.00
		1899.	
		C. Becker:	
Nov.	18.	Can hoof dressing75
Dec.	4.	2 pairs hoof pads, \$1.50	3.00
	9.	2 pairs gloves, \$1.25 and \$1.75	3.00
	11.	Repairing harness90
	16.	1 saddle pad	1.00
	26.	1 whip	2.50
		1900.	
Jan.	8.	Can neat's-foot oil, 75 cents; 1 pair stay straps, 75 cents	1.50
	11.	3 rubber horse covers at \$5, \$15; 1 rubber coat, \$6	21.00
	29.	Repairing pole straps, \$1; whip, 25 cents	1.25
Feb.	3.	2 whip crackers20
	8.	Repairing reins, 25 cents; wrapping whip, 40 cents65
	15.	Repairing harness	2.50
	23.	New top on whip	1.50
	28.	Repairing 2 choker straps, 40 cents; 2 nosebands, 50 cents90
Mar.	8.	Clip, 50 cents; repairing hame tug, 25 cents; shafting, 25 cents; breeching, 40 cents	1.40
		Set bandages75
Apr.	9.	2 pairs gloves	3.00
	19.	Repairing reins, 50 cents; 1 whip, \$2; 2 lap robes, at \$3.75, \$7.50	10.00
H. Doc. 9—9			

1900.		C. Becker—Continued.	
Apr.	30.	Silver terret in pad, \$1.50; 4 new straps on collar pads, 60 cents	\$2. 10
May	14.	Repairing breeching25
	28.	Repairing bridle40
Mar.	26.	Wrapping whip, 25 cents; 4 loops and billets on bridle, 60 cents85
			<hr/> \$59. 40
1899.		The Andrew J. Joyce Carriage Co.:	
Nov.	17.	New end on singleton iron, thread and nut, same	1. 25
1900.			
Jan.	2.	New forged scowel iron for singletree	2. 50
Mar	8.	16 new spokes and reset 1 tire; new shaft bar weld and reset shaft T iron; reset axle arms (wagon); 5 new carriage bolts in gear; reset 2 corner irons and straighten top; level body, 6 new carriage bolts	19. 00
June	7.	1 new spoke (surrey), repair, and new piece in rim, and redrive old spokes; reset 1 tire and all new tire bolts. 4 new carriage bolts in job	2. 85 1. 00
Jan.	29.	New forged singletree, iron	2. 50
			<hr/> 29. 10
1899.		Saks & Co.:	
Dec.	5.	1 livery overcoat	35. 00
		1 suit	18. 00
1900.			
Jan.	3.	1 storm coat	25. 00
	2.	2 pair gloves	8. 00
			<hr/> 86. 00
		Merchants' Parcel Delivery Co.:	
Apr.	23.	Baltic Building, mail post-office	1. 00
		Geo. C. Mountcastle:	
June	30.	Livery of 4 horses for the month of June, 1900, at \$20..	80. 00
		Livery of 1 horse, June 1 to 9	6. 00
			<hr/> 86. 00
		Philip Elwood:	
June	30.	Hauling 14 loads of ashes and rubbish from Department of Justice building during the month of June, at 40 cents	5. 60
		D. H. Mudd & Bro.:	
June	5.	4 shoes put on	1. 50
	8.	2 shoes put on75
	11.	6 shoes put on	2. 25
	12.	4 removes	1. 00
	16.	2 removes50
	20.	4 shoes put on	2. 00
	22.	2 shoes put on75
	23.	2 shoes put on75
	30.	2 shoes put on75
			<hr/> 10. 25
MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS.			
1899.		Notley Anderson:	
July	13.	Putting up shelving, stationery rooms	16. 75
		2 night locks	4. 50
		1 lock on toilet closet door, 6 keys	3. 00
	18.	Putting up shelving, 1 pair brackets, 1 lock, room 307..	10. 92
		Putting up shelving, room 309	10. 40
	21.	Repairing ice box and building paper bin	8. 90
		Lining ice box and connecting waste pipe with sewer ..	16. 80
			<hr/> 71. 27
		Joseph Thomas:	
July	26.	Whitewashing boiler room during month of July, 1899	9. 06
		The Sun Printing and Publishing Association:	
July	1.	Daily and Sunday Sun, 1 year, from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900, to the Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.	8. 00
		C. A. Hartman:	
Aug.	1.	For 2 copies Orth Patent Tel. index to June 30, 1900, 11 months, at \$2.75	5. 50

1899.		National Electric Supply Co.:	
July	21.	15 feet No. 16 silk cord, at 3 cents	\$0. 45
		1 Ed. att. plug 15
			<hr/>
			\$0. 60
		W. S. Thompson:	
July	1.	10 pounds chloride of lime	1. 00
	31.	Ice for July, 1899, 8,825 pounds, at 20 cents	17. 65
		Brooklyn Daily Eagle:	
Aug.	9.	Subscription, Aug. 9 to June 30, 1899, inclusive, omitting Sundays	6. 82
		John A. Merritt:	
Aug.	14.	United States postage stamps	5. 00
		The Tilden Manufacturing Co.:	
July	8.	1 index stamp 10
		2 one-line hand stamps 10
		2 two-line hand stamps 20
		3 three-line hand stamps 45
		3 three-line hand stamps 90
		1 four-line hand stamp 20
	11.	2 four-line hand stamps 50
		2 four-line hand stamps 70
		1 blue pad 35
	18.	1 new pad and die for S. P. L. S. index	1. 25
		1 large ink pad 35
		1 bottle black ink 40
			<hr/>
			5. 50
		Thomas W. Power:	
July	1.	Repairing and adjusting Sprague electric elevator	6. 00
		G. G. C. Simms:	
July	6.	1 pint benzine 10
		2 pounds camphor gum	1. 20
		3 pounds moth balls 15
		1 gallon benzine 60
		1 jug 25
		2 dozen bottles ammonia	2. 00
	17.	$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen bottles roach paste	1. 50
	28.	1 gallon benzine 60
		1 jug 25
			<hr/>
			6. 65
		James B. Lambie:	
July	5.	$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen sash brushes	1. 50
	17.	2 pounds pure rubber, \$1	2. 00
		$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen sandpaper 10
	18.	1 saw	1. 15
		5 pounds wire nails 25
		Fitting flat key to br. padlock 25
	20.	1 trowel 60
		1 gasket 50
		2 pounds sheet rubber 70
	27.	2 steel hatchets, 70 cents	1. 40
		1 steel hammer 60
		1 screw-driver 35
		1 nail puller	1. 50
		1 handsaw	1. 35
		1 pair 8 by 10 brackets 18
		1 8-inch screw wrench 60
		1 pair hedge shears, long handles	3. 50
			<hr/>
			16. 53
		Dulin & Martin:	
July	11.	1 plated ice pitcher	7. 00
		1 tray	4. 00
			<hr/>
			11. 00
		Washington Post Co.:	
July	1.	Subscription to Washington Post, from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900	8. 40

1899.		J. B. Morrey:	
July	1.	New glass and mounting drawings, plans, United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans.	\$2. 00
		John T. Springmann, jr., & Bro.:	
Aug.	22.	12 grate bars, 582 pounds, at 3 cents per pound.....	17. 46
		J. C. Newton's Sons:	
Aug.	24.	Whitening the brickwork of the court in rear of 1433 K, as per contract.....	24. 50
		R. P. Clark & Co.:	
Aug.	2.	10 dozen Pear's soap, at \$1.35.....	13. 50
		James B. Lambie:	
July	26.	1 dozen whisk brooms.....	\$1. 40
		2 dozen King's polish.....	3. 50
		2 dozen Winterton polish.....	1. 10
			6. 00
		The J. C. Ergood Co.:	
July	25.	2 dozen brooms, \$275.....	5. 50
		200 pounds B. B. soap.....	10. 00
			15. 50
		Geo. A. Schwarkopf:	
Sept.	2.	Silver plating 1 tray.....	4. 00
		Fannie Jackson:	
Aug.	31.	Washing 70½ dozen towels during August, 1899, at 12 cents.....	8. 44
		James Ragan:	
Aug.	25.	1 large galvanized iron funnel.....	2. 50
		1 cesspool top.....	. 75
		1 ½ fine wire compression cock.....	1. 50
		2 hours' time, plumber and assistant.....	1. 00
			5. 75
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
July	31.	5,100 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of July, 1899, at \$1 net per M cubic feet—	
		3,800 cubic feet, 8 Jackson place.....	3. 80
		1,300 cubic feet, 1435 K street.....	1. 30
			5. 10
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Aug.	31.	1,600 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of August, 1899, at \$1 net per M cubic feet, 1435 K street.....	1. 60
		G. G. C. Simms:	
Aug.	2.	2 pounds powdered borax.....	. 30
		7. 2 quarts turpentine.....	. 20
			. 50
		J. B. Morrey:	
Aug.	21.	Repairing corners and frame containing portrait ex-Attorney-General Judge Harmon.....	2. 00
		N. L. Burchell:	
July	25.	1 dozen cakes Elder Flower soap.....	. 85
		1 dozen cakes Turkish Bath soap.....	. 44
		8 dozen cakes Cashmere Boquet soap, at \$2.47.....	19. 76
			21. 04
		N. L. Burchell:	
July	21.	1 gross safety matches at 75 cents, per gross.....	. 57
		Dulin & Martin Co.:	
Aug.	3.	1 dozen soap dishes.....	4. 80
		1 dozen soap dishes.....	4. 80
		1 dozen soap dishes.....	3. 00
		27. 1 water cooler.....	5. 88
			18. 48
		Dulin, Martin & Co.:	
Sept.	8.	4 water coolers, \$4.75.....	19. 00
		J. P. Nauvath:	
Aug.	7.	25 pounds No. 3-ply jute, at 7 cents.....	1. 75
		25 pounds No. 4-ply jute, at 7 cents.....	1. 75
		50 pounds No. 4½-ply Russia, at 10½ cents.....	5. 25
			8. 75
		W. I. Ticknor:	
Aug.	5.	1 No. 7 typewriter and cover, order 631 and 25.....	92. 25

1899.		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
Aug.	31.	Ice for August, 9,000 pounds, at 20 cents per 100 pounds	\$18.00
		Shoemaker & Busch:	
July	25.	2 dozen hairbrushes, A, \$7.50	\$15.00
		2 dozen hairbrushes, B, \$4.50	9.00
		3 dozen nailbrushes, \$2	6.00
		6 dozen combs, \$1	6.00
		6 dozen soap, laundry Ivory, 45 pounds, at 12 $\frac{1}{4}$ cents... ..	5.74
		50 gross matches, safety, 53 $\frac{1}{2}$ cents	26.75
		3 dozen polish, 74 cents	2.22
		3 dozen disinfectant, \$2.20	6.60
			<hr/>
			\$77.31
		Adams Express Co.:	
July	18.	1 box, London, England, via New York, Department of Justice, \$1.25	2.93
		1. 1 box, W. S. Ames, St. Louis, Mo., \$2.7555
		25. 1 box, 11, H. L. Burnett, New York, N. Y., \$1.2550
		28. Tro. 11, D. Keller, Hartford, Conn., \$1.7560
		Tro. 5, J. W. Yost, Columbus, Ohio, \$1.7545
		Tro. 6, Rankin & K, Philadelphia, Pa., \$135
		Tro. 17, G. W. Hewett, Philadelphia, Pa., \$145
		Tro. 15, Cannon & Co., New York, \$1.2550
		Tro. 15, G. B. Post, New York, \$1.2550
		Tro. 17, Warren & W., New York, \$1.2555
		Tro. 8, Kimball & Co., New York, \$1.2545
		Tro. 8, Brice & Co., New York, \$1.2545
			<hr/>
			8.25
		Adams Express Co.:	
Aug.	14.	1 box, 50, Boston, Mass., Department of Justice library, \$1.75	1.00
		22. 1 package, 1, Jacksonville, Fla., United States attorney, \$3.2525
		2. Package, 12, J. W. Yost, Columbus, Ohio, \$1.7560
			<hr/>
			1.85
		U. S. Express Co.:	
July	3.	P. 12, Department of Justice, from Philadelphia, Pa.40
		James B. Lambie:	
Aug.	4.	1 box scraper50
		2 marking brushes20
		8. 2 oval toilet fixtures, nickel	3.60
		9. 2 key files and handles30
		10. 6 key blanks, 10 cents60
		1 10-inch shutter bolt and screws35
		1 hasp10
		15. 1 merchandise truck	15.00
		21. 1 hatchet65
		23. 6 dozen sandpaper, 15 cents90
		28. 2 pairs hinges and screws, 17 cents34
			<hr/>
			22.54
		Fannie Jackson:	
Sept.	30.	Washing 73 $\frac{1}{4}$ dozen towels during month of September, 1899, at 12 cents	8.85
		Notley Anderson:	
Aug.	8.	Making 3 oak blocks for letterpress	4.50
Sept.	2.	For repairing newel, first floor	1.00
		20. Building coal bin	5.25
		1 case for library, as per estimate	30.00
		Removing coping and putting on railing No. 8, as per estimate	35.00
			<hr/>
			75.75
		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
Aug.	12.	Adjusting typewriter No. 6-415355
		21. Adjusting typewriter No. 7-310060
		25. Adjusting typewriter No. 6-2703150
			<hr/>
			1.65
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Ice for September, 8,625 pounds, at 20 cents	17.25

1899.		Dulin & Martin Co.:	
Sept.	29.	4 oak cooler stands, \$2.15	\$8. 60
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Maintenance of 1 observatory clock, Department of Justice, for quarter ended September 30, 1899, at \$50 per annum	12. 50
		James B. Lambie:	
Sept.	18.	2 scoop shovels, \$1.25	\$2. 50
	23.	Repairing punch50
	25.	1 dozen C. and H. hooks20
		3 ice picks, 25 cents75
		2 pairs ice tongs70
		2 ice hatchets, 75 cents	1. 50
	28.	2 Stillson wrenches	2. 75
	30.	100 feet rope38
		Repairing F. D. lock	1. 25
		Fitting 1 key40
		Drilling and repairing F. D. knob75
		1 bracket for eclipse door check75
			12. 43
		United Typewriter and Supply Co.:	
Sept.	29.	1 No. 1 Densmore typewriter, No. 12568, with cover	65. 00
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Exchange rental from September 7 to 30, 1899:	
		Switchboard instruments, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		General agent, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Pardon attorney, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		File room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Stationery room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Solicitor-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General Hoyt, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Appointment division, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General Boyd, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Disbursing clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Fourth-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief of finance division, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General, second floor, No. 8 Lafayette square, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Library, Old Corcoran Building, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Private secretary to Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		First-floor hall, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
		Third-floor hall, at \$24 per annum	1. 56
			132. 56
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
Sept.	30.	In Exchange rental for Solicitor for Treasury from July 1 to September 30, 1899, at \$34.50 per annum	6. 25
		B. B. Farnshaw & Bros.:	
Sept.	29.	$\frac{1}{4}$ gross Sapolio, \$9	2. 25
		National Electrical Supply Co.:	
Sept.	16.	Repairing elevator, Baltic Building60
		Repairing bells, appointment clerk's room	1. 80
		Installing bell in Mr. Randall's room, electrician, three hours, \$1.80; 1-4 P. and C. bell, 80 cents; 2 C. and C. batteries, at 40 cents, 80 cents; $1\frac{1}{4}$ pounds annunciator wire, at 35 cents, 44 cents; $\frac{1}{4}$ pound D. P. tacks, 5 cents	3. 89
Sept.	28.	Installing 3-light cluster, basement Baltic Building, electrician, four hours, \$2.40; 1 3-light cluster ball, 35 cents; 3 extra key sockets, at 25 cents, 75 cents; 3 feet 5 inches $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch iron pipe, at 5 cents, 17 cents; 12 feet No. 16 elevator cord, at 2 cents, 24 cents; 3 feet 4 inches casing, at 20 cents, 67 cents; 1 canopy, 20 cents; 1 16 flat porcelain shade, 60 cents; 1 $2\frac{1}{2}$ shade holder, 20 cents; 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ crowfoot, 5 cents	5. 63

1899.		National Electrical Supply Co.—Continued.	
Sept.	28.	Repairing elevator, Baltic Building.....	\$0.60
		Repairing lights, room 411, Baltic Building.....	.90
		Line to No. 8 Lafayette Square; no light (trouble with outside service)60
			<hr/> \$14.02
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Sept.	30.	3,100 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of September, 1899, at \$1 net per M cubic feet:	
		No. 8 Jackson place.....	.30
		No. 1435 K street NW	2.80
			<hr/> 3.10
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Oct.	4.	1 No. 1001 Nat. radiator	2.50
		8 feet tubing40
		1 independent connection.....	.25
			<hr/> 3.15
		Rudolph, West & Co.:	
Aug.	19.	4 dozen mop handles, 57 cents.....	2.28
		4 dozen cuspidors, at \$3.96	15.84
			<hr/> 18.12
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Sept.	30.	Use of electric current at 1435 K street NW., from July 1 to September 30, 1899, as follows:	
		Light meter, 1,831,200 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	109.87
		Motor meter, 800,000 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	48.00
			<hr/> 157.87
		J. A. Pierpont:	
Sept.	23.	2 24 portable grates.....	13.50
		1 19 basket	2.25
		Repairing frame of grate.....	1.00
Oct.	10.	1 galvanized-iron ash receptacle	26.00
		Repairing brass wood hod	1.25
			<hr/> 44.00
		John Schafer:	
Oct.	13.	Pointing up cracks in stonework in front of Department of Justice, 8 hours' time, including material, at 60 cents per hour...	4.80
		James Ragan:	
Sept.	30.	Replacing wash basin in room and cleansing out water-closet, 1435 K street:	
		1 14 by 17 oval basin	3.50
		1 gum washer.....	.10
		1 quart plaster of paris15
		½ day's time plumber and assistant	3.00
Oct.	13.	½ day's time cleaning out water-closet.....	3.00
			<hr/> 9.75
		Postal Telegraph and Cable Co.:	
July	1.	Attorney-General, Washington, D. C., McClaughy, Leavenworth, 2227
	18.	C. N. Bank, Raleigh, N. C., Roberts, D. C., 38.....	.38
	13.	United States marshal, Albuquerque, N. Mex., Boyd, D. C., 3663
			<hr/> 1.28
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
July	1.	Boyd to United States marshal, Montgomery, Ala., 25 ..	.25
	3.	Button to Fernald, York Beach, Me., 2222
		Button to Shipman, Coldwater, Mich., 1820
		Button from Fernald, York Beach, Me., 1720
		Boyd to United States marshal, Salt Lake, 2751
	5.	Cooper to Post, New York, 2727
		Griggs to Purdy, St. Paul, Minn., 6278
		Griggs to insane asylum, Warm Springs, Mont., 3765
		Griggs from Warren, Warm Springs, Mont., 2442
		Griggs to United States attorney, Harrisonburg, Va., 46 ..	.46
	6.	Boyd to United States marshal, New York, 2121
		Boyd to United States marshal, Montgomery, Ala., 17 ..	.20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Indianapolis, 2121

1899.	Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
July	1. Griggs to Burke, Cheyenne, Wyo., 40	\$0. 60
	7. Griggs to United States marshal, Harrisonburg, Va., 16 20
	Griggs to Burke, Cheyenne, Wyo., 64 76
	8. Griggs to United States marshal, Macon, Ga., 21 21
	Griggs to United States marshal, Cleveland, Ohio, 21 21
	9. Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind. T., 25 31
	Griggs to United States marshal, Pensacola, Fla., 21 21
	Boyd to Lind, St. Paul, Minn., 45 56
	11. Griggs to superintendent, Louisville, Ky., 27 27
	Griggs from Caldwell, Louisville, Ky., 26 26
	Griggs to United States attorney, Raleigh, N. C., 20 20
	Griggs to warden, Columbus, Ohio, 28 28
	Griggs from Coffin, Columbus, Ohio, 35 35
	Griggs to United States marshal, Austin, Tex., 16 30
	Griggs to Flint, Burlington, Vt., 21 21
	12. Boyd to United States marshal, Helena, Mont., 24 42
	Boyd to United States marshal, Atlanta, Ga., 14 20
	Cooper to Bird, Wilmington, Del., 21 21
	Cooper to Scott, Wheeling, W. Va., 22 22
	13. Cooper to Lauterbach, New York City, 25 25
	Clay to Campbell, Saranac, N. Y. (O. L., 20, 25), 15 65
	Clay from Campbell, Saranac, N. Y. (O. L., 31), 20 71
	Cooper to Stewart, Paterson, N. J., 18 20
	Griggs to Storm, Los Angeles, 16 40
	14. Roberts to National Bank, Helena, Mont., 36 63
	Clay to Campbell, Saranac, N. Y. (O. L., 50), 10	1. 15
	Cooper to Peck, Chicago, Ill., 26 26
	Boyd to United States attorney, Moscow, Ind., 47 98
	Boyd to United States marshal, San Antonio, Tex., 14 30
	15. Boyd to United States marshal, Raleigh, N. C., 27 27
	17. Cooper to Peck, Chicago, Ill., 15 20
	Boyd to Squire, New York, 38 38
	18. Boyd to Ball, New York, 21 21
	Boyd to United States marshal, San Francisco, Cal., 26 52
	19. Boyd to United States marshal, Cleveland, Ohio, 19 20
	Boyd to United States marshal, Cleveland, Ohio, 19 20
	Boyd to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 20 35
	Chief Clerk to Bidwell, New York, 16 20
	20. Meline to assistant treasurer, San Francisco, 27 54
	Boyd to United States marshal, South McAlester, 24 30
	Boyd to United States marshal, San Francisco, 18 40
	21. Griggs to Warden, Wethersfield, Conn. (O. L., 28), 28 56
	Griggs from Garvin, Hartford, Conn., 27 27
	Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 26 26
	Griggs to Warden, Fort Leavenworth, 27 36
	Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 16 40
	Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 24 48
	Griggs to New York Sun, New York, 28 28
	Griggs to Warden, Weathersfield, Conn. (O. L., 25), 25 50
	Griggs to Frederick, Sitka, Alaska (O. L., 2), 35 72
	Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 31 62
	Griggs to Pradt, Warsaw, Wis., 14 20
	Griggs from Pradt, Warsaw, Wis., 31 31
	Griggs from Garvin, Hartford, 28 28
	Griggs to United States attorney, Prescott, Ariz., 31 54
	Brandenburg to Pradt, Warsaw, Wis., 23 23
	Brandenburg from Pradt, Warsaw, Wis., 21 21
	Boyd to Moody, Asheville, N. C., 23 23
	25. Griggs to Burnett, New York, 47 47
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind. T., 32 40
	Griggs to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 22 39
	Griggs to Warden, Columbus, Ohio, 27 27
	Griggs to United States marshal, Seattle, Wash., 57	1. 02
	Griggs from Coffin, Columbus, Ohio, 33 33
	26. Griggs to Wetmore, New York, 38 38
	Griggs to United States marshal, Nashville, 20 20

1899. Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.		
July	26. Griggs to United States marshal, Sitka, Alaska, 20.....	\$0.40
	27. Griggs to United States marshal, Boston, Mass., 22.....	.22
	Griggs to United States marshal, Prescott, Ariz., 17.....	.35
	28. Griggs to Hamblett, Nashua, N. H., 32.....	.32
	Griggs to Miller, Pittsburg, Pa., 33.....	.33
	Griggs to United States attorney, Pittsburg, Pa., 81.....	.81
	Boyd to United States attorney, Charleston, W. Va., 37.....	.37
	Boyd to Bond, Baltimore, 62.....	.62
	29. Pradt to Collins, Elizabethtown, Tenn., 31.....	.31
	Pradt to Hawkins, Huntington, Tenn., 37.....	.37
	Pradt from Hawkins, Huntington, Tenn., 21.....	.21
	31. Pradt to Chisolm, Birmingham, Ala., 23.....	.23
	Pradt to Johnson, Jackson, Miss., 27.....	.34
	Boyd to Chisolm, Albuquerque, 51.....	.73
	Boyd to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, S. Dak., 18.....	.30
	Pradt to Collins, Elizabethtown, 20.....	.20
	Pradt from Collins, Johnson City (N. M.), 22.....	.17
	Pradt to Smoot, Gainesville, Tex., 25.....	.38
		<hr/>
Western Union Telegraph Co.:		\$36.87
Aug.	1. Boyd to United States attorney, Prescott, Ariz., 14.....	.35
	Clay to W. & W., New York, 30.....	.30
	Pradt to Moody, Calhoun, Miss., 29.....	.36
	Pradt to Dougherty, Baton Rouge, La., 25.....	.31
	Pradt from Dougherty, Baton Rouge, La. (N. M.), 25 ..	.20
	Pradt to Eastertia, Utica, Miss., 24.....	.30
	Pradt to Collins, Norristown, Tenn., 35.....	.35
	* Button to Bowles, New York, 22.....	.22
	2. Clay to Griggs, Hillhurst, Conn., 43.....	.43
	Clay to Griggs, Hillhurst, Conn., 28.....	.28
	Clay to Griggs, Hillhurst, Conn., 54.....	.54
	Boyd to United States attorney, Charleston, W. Va., 44.....	.44
	Boyd to Dawes, Marietta, Ohio, 32.....	.32
	Boyd to Cooke, Aberdeen, Miss., 43.....	.54
	Boyd to United States attorney, Prescott, Ariz., 15.....	.35
	3. Boyd to United States marshal, Portland, Oregon, 18.....	.40
	Boyd to Sullivan, Helena, Mont., 20.....	.35
	Boyd to United States marshal, Los Angeles, Cal., 23 ..	.46
	Boyd to United States attorney, Los Angeles, Cal., 32 ..	.64
	Smith to Hawkins, Memphis, Tenn., 18.....	.20
	Peyton to Smith, Seattle, 31.....	.62
	Peyton to Denece, Denver, Col., 27.....	.41
	4. Boyd to United States marshal, Aberdeen, Miss., 25.....	.31
	Boyd to United States marshal, Helena, Mont., 14.....	.35
	Boyd to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 23.....	.40
	Boyd to Childers, Albuquerque, 51.....	.87
	Boyd to United States attorney, Seattle, 17.....	.40
	Boyd to United States marshal, Madison, Wis., 16.....	.20
	6. Boyd to United States marshal, Ardmore, 20.....	.25
	Campbell to United States marshal, Tacoma, 25.....	.50
	Peyton to Ingalls, Fort Worth, 43.....	.65
	7. Boyd to Thurston, Omaha, Nebr., 28.....	.29
	Smith to Collins, Humboldt, Tenn., 15.....	.20
	8. Griggs to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 14.....	.35
	Griggs to Brooklyn Eagle, Brooklyn, 29.....	.29
	Griggs to Moyer, Sitka, Alaska (mail O. L.), 24.....	.50
	9. Griggs to Jones, Boston, Mass., 93.....	.93
	Pradt from Collins, Humboldt, 29.....	.29
	10. Pradt to Cole, Paris, Tenn., 16.....	.20
	Griggs to United States marshal, Rutland, Vt., 33.....	.33
	Griggs to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 16.....	.25
	11. Campbell to United States marshal, Tacoma, 24.....	.48
	13. Boyd to United States attorney, Savannah, 39.....	.39
	Boyd to United States marshal, Indianapolis, 31.....	.31
	Boyd to Cortelyou, Clinton County, N. Y. (O. L. 26), 26.....	.52
	Griggs to Gay, Seattle, 17.....	.40

1899.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
July	14.	Boyd to United States marshal, Tacoma, 27.....	\$0.54
		Boyd to Brown, Buffalo, N. Y., 23.....	.23
		Pradt to Collins, Morristown, Tenn. (for. 40), 35.....	.75
		Pradt to Dougherty, New Orleans, La., 34.....	.43
		Pradt from Collins, Elizabethtown, Tenn., 41.....	.41
	15.	Boyd from Cortelyou, Clinton County, N. Y. (O. L. 28), 28.....	.56
		Boyd from Brandenburg, Providence, 20.....	.20
		Boyd to warden county jail, San Antonio, 27.....	.41
		Boyd to United States attorney, Macon, Ga., 46.....	.46
	16.	Perry to Frost, Pulaski, N. Y. (O. L. 20), 19.....	.40
		Pradt to Conner, Jackson, Tenn., 56.....	.56
		Pradt to Collins, Cleveland, Tenn., 23.....	.23
		Pradt from Collins, Cleveland, Tenn., 32.....	.32
		Boyd to United States attorney, Denver, 38.....	.57
		Boyd to United States marshal, Pensacola, 33.....	.33
		Boyd to United States marshal, Indianapolis, 28.....	.28
		Peyton from Foster, Boston, Mass., 30.....	.30
	17.	Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 32.....	.40
		Boyd to postmaster, Plattsburg, N. Y. (O. L. 25), 25.....	.50
	18.	Pradt from Dougherty, Monroe, La. (n. m.), 28.....	.23
		Boyd to Co. Mann, Philadelphia, 33.....	.33
		Boyd to warden, Fort Leavenworth, 27.....	.34
		Boyd to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 20.....	.25
		Boyd to Fallett, Hot Springs, 21.....	.37
		Boyd to United States attorney, Oshkosh, Wis., 23.....	.23
		Peyton to Finn, Salt Lake City, 32.....	.56
		Peyton to Wright, San Francisco, 28.....	.56
		Pradt to Dougherty, Monroe, La., 23.....	.29
	20.	Peyton from Wright, Delmonte, Cal. (n. m.), 16.....	.25
	18.	Pradt to Casper, Austin, Tex., 28.....	.42
	20.	Boyd to United States attorney, Galveston, 21.....	.32
	21.	Boyd to United States attorney, Oshkosh, 25.....	.25
		Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 25.....	.31
		Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 47.....	.59
		Pradt to Kruchelor, Loraine, Ill., 31.....	.31
	22.	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 97.....	1.21
	24.	Pradt to Dougherty, Jackson, Miss., 18.....	.25
		Boyd to United States marshal, Jacksonville, 47.....	.47
		Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 44.....	.55
	25.	Boyd to United States marshal, Jacksonville, 32.....	.32
		Boyd to United States attorney, Seattle, 15.....	.40
	27.	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 20.....	.25
		Perry to Buie, Buies, N. C., 18.....	.20
	28.	Boyd to United States attorney, Sitka, Alaska, (02) 72.....	1.46
		Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 37.....	.46
	29.	Pradt to Cole, Paris, Tenn., 27.....	.27
		Boyd to United States attorney, Buffalo, N. Y., 22.....	.22
		Pradt to Brannigan, Rye Beach, 10.....	.20
		Boyd to United States judge, Sioux Falls, 44.....	.66
	30.	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 49.....	.61
		Boyd to United States marshal, Tucson, 19.....	.35
		Boyd to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 102.....	1.02
		Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 23.....	.29
		Boyd to United States marshal, Helena, 27.....	.47
		Boyd to United States marshal, Greensboro, N. C., 24.....	.24
		Boyd to Star, Deadwood, 47.....	.71
		Boyd to Moyer, Wrangell, Alaska (02), 24.....	.50
		Boyd to United States marshal, Tampa, 28.....	.28
			<hr/>
			\$43.48
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Aug.	16.	Reese to Nelson, Prescott, 23.....	.40
		Reese to Coombs, San Francisco, 34.....	.68
		Reese to Coombs, San Francisco, 23.....	.46
			<hr/>
			1.54

1889.	Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Sept.	1. Boyd to United States attorney, St. Louis, 16.....	\$0. 25
	Boyd to United States attorney, Brooklyn, 32.....	.32
	Boyd to United States attorney, Lisbon, N. Dak., 28....	.42
	Marean to manager, Lisbon, N. Dak., 25.....	.38
	Marean from manager, Lisbon, N. Dak., 29.....	.44
	Boyd to United States marshal, Deadwood, 21.....	.32
	Boyd to United States attorney, San Francisco, 33.....	.66
	Boyd to Star, Deadwood, 25.....	.38
	5. Boyd to United States attorney, Williamsburg, Ky., 16..	.20
	Hoyt to Follett, Las Vegas, 18.....	.35
	Hoyt to Childers, Santa Fe, 39.....	.68
	Boyd to Marshall, Deadwood, 30.....	.45
	6. Boyd to United States attorney, Buffalo, 29.....	.29
	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 55.....	.69
	Boyd to Brown, Wenoka, Ind. T., 31.....	.39
	Boyd to United States attorney, Seattle, 39.....	.78
	7. Boyd to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 45.....	.45
	Boyd to United States marshal, Atoka, Ind. T., 19.....	.25
	Boyd to United States marshal, Boston, 20.....	.20
	8. Pradt from Dougherty, New Orleans, 19.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Boston, 15.....	.20
	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 44.....	.55
	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 50.....	.63
	Pradt to Button, Middlebury, Vt., 11.....	.20
	Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 30.....	.60
	Griggs to Erwin, Macon, Ga., 27.....	.27
	Griggs to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 77.....	.96
	Griggs to Springer, Muscogee, 69.....	.86
	10. Griggs to United States attorney, Tampa, 18.....	.20
	Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 27.....	.54
	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 65.....	.81
	Boyd from Brown, Wenoka, Ind. T., 17.....	.25
	Boyd to United States marshal, Atoka, Ind. T., 21.....	.26
	Boyd to United States marshal, Muscogee, 22.....	.28
	Boyd to United States marshal, Knoxville, 25.....	.25
	Boyd to United States marshal, Santa Fe, 15.....	.35
	12. Boyd to Sutherland, Rochester, 30.....	.30
	Boyd to United States marshal, Charleston, S. C., 26....	.26
	Pradt to Dougherty, New Orleans, 15.....	.25
	13. Pradt from Dougherty, New Orleans, 20.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Jacksonville, 22.....	.22
	Griggs to United States marshal, Atoka, 19.....	.25
	Griggs to McMurray, South McAlester, 25.....	.31
	Griggs to United States marshal, Des Moines, 16.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Deadwood, 16.....	.30
	14. Boyd to United States marshal, Deadwood, 21.....	.32
	Boyd to United States attorney, Cincinnati, 26.....	.26
	Boyd to United States marshal, Boise, Idaho, 22.....	.44
	Griggs to United States marshal, Detroit, 14.....	.20
	15. Pradt from Dougherty, Memphis, 15.....	.20
	Griggs to United States attorney, Philadelphia, 18.....	.20
	Griggs to United States marshal, Des Moines, 19.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Helena, 26.....	.46
	Griggs to United States marshal, Raleigh, 18.....	.20
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 26.....	.39
	Griggs to United States marshal, Seattle, 33.....	.60
	7. Department of Justice from Diffenbach, Spokane, 23....	.46
	Department of Justice to Diffenbach, Spokane, 31.....	.62
	Boyd to Brownlow, Knoxville, 30.....	.30
	Boyd to United States attorney, Abingdon, Va., 24.....	.24
	Boyd to United States attorney, Chicago, 58.....	.58
	Pradt to Dougherty, Memphis, 26.....	.26
	18. Boyd to United States marshal, San Antonio, 17.....	.30
	Boyd to United States attorney, Knoxville, 54.....	.54
	Boyd to United States marshal, Pittsburg, 27.....	.27
	Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 34.....	.34

1899. Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.		
Sept.	18. Boyd to United States marshal, Macon, 22	\$0. 22
	Pradt to Moran, Chicago, 1920
	Pradt to Gay, Seattle, 3366
	19. Griggs to United States marshal, Boston, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Wilmington, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 2137
	Griggs to United States marshal, Helena, 2137
	Griggs to Summit, Miss., 2126
	Griggs to St. Paul, Minn., 2126
	Griggs to United States marshal, Grand Rapids, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Portland, Oreg., 21 ..	.42
	Griggs to United States marshal, Madison, Wis., 21 ..	.21
	Griggs to United States marshal, Milwaukee, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Pittsburg, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Knoxville, 1320
	Griggs to United States marshal, Paris, Tex., 2132
	Griggs to United States marshal, Brooklyn, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Elmira, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Raleigh, 2121
	Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 2633
	Griggs to United States marshal, Des Moines, 2126
	20. Clay to Dieffenbach, Spokane, 2244
	Field to Parey, Topeka, 1925
	Griggs to United States marshal, Staunton, Va., 2323
	Griggs to United States attorney, Guthrie, Okla., 23 ..	.29
	Griggs to United States attorney, Raleigh, N. C., 31 ..	.31
	Griggs to United States attorney, Raleigh, N. C., 36 ..	.36
	Griggs from United States attorney, Greenville, 1520
	Griggs to keeper, Greenville, 2727
	Griggs to United States marshal, Topeka, 2329
	21. Griggs to United States marshal, Lynchburg, 3636
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 3867
	Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, 2538
	Clay to United States marshal, Buffalo, 1620
	Pradt to Cole, Paris, Tex., 1820
	22. Clay to Cole, Paris, Tex., 2525
	Clay to Wyatt, Nashville, 2626
	Griggs to United States marshal, Boston, 1820
	Clay from Friedrich, Sitka, 55	1. 10
	25. Clay to Wyatt, Nashville, 2626
	Clay to Wyatt, Nashville, 1620
	Griggs to Winthrop & S., New York, 195	1. 95
	Richards to United States marshal, San Antonio, 2323
	Richards to United States judge, Chicago, 1920
	Richards to Winthrop & Stinson, New York, 4040
	Burch to Byres, Minneapolis, 4455
	Boyd to United States attorney, Cincinnati, 4545
	Boyd to Bond, Baltimore, 6262
	Boyd to United States attorney, Charleston, 6464
	Boyd to United States attorney, Charleston, 5252
	27. Griggs to Post, New York, 3131
	Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 1920
	Griggs to United States marshal, Butte, 1535
	Griggs to United States attorney, San Antonio, 2335
	28. Evans to Evans, Louisville, 3636
	Evans to United States attorney, Nashua, N. H., 1520
	Evans to United States attorney, Fargo, N. Dak., 3654
	Evans to United States marshal, Portland, Oreg., 2244
	Evans to United States attorney, Lynchburg, Va., 1520
	27. Clay to United States attorney, Cheyenne, 1430
	Griggs to United States attorney, Abingdon, Va., 1520
	Griggs to Hansbrough, Devils Lake, N. Dak., 2639
	Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, 2636
	Griggs to United States attorney, Nashua, 3535
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ada, Ind. T., 1625
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind T., 1325

1899.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Sept.	27.	Griggs to Post, New York, 42	\$0. 42
		Griggs to United States Treasurer, New Orleans, 32 40
	30.	Richards to United States attorney, San Antonio, 23 35
		Richards to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 28 35
		Richards to United States attorney, Omaha, 25 31
		Richards to United States marshal, Chicago, 18 20
		Other lines toll, 20 20
			<hr/>
			\$50. 77
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Sept.	6.	Reeve to Pettit, Brooklyn, 44 44
	10.	Reeve to Whitmore, Shelter Island, N. Y., 26 26
		Solicitor from Gay, Atlantic City, N. J., 22 22
	11.	Reeve to Gay, Seattle, 50	1. 00
	15.	Reeve to Gay, Spokane, 37 74
	25.	Reeve to Gordon, Detroit, 37 37
			<hr/>
			3. 03
		Fannie Jackson:	
Oct.	31.	Washing 73½ dozen towels during month, at 12 cents per dozen ..	8. 79
		United States Electric Light Co.:	
Oct.	1.	Use of electric current at 1435 K street NW., October 1 to 31, inclusive, light meter, 705,600 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	42. 33
		Motor meter, 295,200 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000 ...	17. 71
			<hr/>
			60. 04
		United States Electric Light Co.:	
Oct.	1.	Use of electric current at Department of Justice, Pennsylvania avenue and Seventeenth street, NW., from October 1 to 31, inclusive, 62,400 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	3. 74
		United States Electric Light Co.:	
Nov.	4.	25 16-candlepower Edison lamps, at 20 cents	5. 00
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
Nov.	31.	Ice for October, 8,850 pounds, at 20 cents	17. 70
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Oct.	1.	6,800 cubic feet gas consumed in month October, 1899, at \$1 net per M cubic feet:	
		2,800 feet, 8 Jackson place	2. 80
		4,000 feet, 1435 K street	4. 00
			<hr/>
			6. 80
		John B. Daish:	
Oct.	31.	60 tons white ash egg coal, at \$4.49	269. 40
		2 cords 2 pc. pine, at \$4.80	9. 60
			<hr/>
			279. 00
		George F. Muth & Co.:	
July	24.	5 dozen chamois skins, at \$3.25	16. 25
		8 dozen mops, at 70 cents	7. 20
			<hr/>
			23. 45
		United Typewriter and Supply Co.:	
Oct.	21.	Remodeling No. 1 Densmore typewriter into latest model machine	45. 00
		Rudolph West & Co.:	
Oct.	18.	18 feather dusters, 22 in., at \$11	16. 50
		Felt and Farrant Manufacturing Co.:	
Oct.	25.	1 8-column comptometer	125. 00
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Oct.	12.	Repairing desk bell 70
		G. G. C. Simms:	
Oct.	17.	½ dozen Maurey's paste	1. 50
		1 gallon benzine and jug 85
			<hr/>
			2. 35
		C. Becker:	
Sept.	28.	New handles and repairing mail bag	2. 75
		Dulin & Martin Co.:	
Oct.	18.	6 water carriers (buckets), at 75 cents	4. 50
		D. Rickenbacker:	
Sept.	12.	Repairing an American clock	2. 00
		Repairing a Howard regulator	5. 00
			<hr/>
			7. 00

1899.		John A. Merritt:		
Nov.	14.	80 5-cent stamps.....	\$4.00	
		10 10-cent stamps.....	1.00	
				\$5.00
		Keasbey & Mattison:		
Nov.	6.	Covering boiler, supply and return steam piping in Baltic Building.....		460.00
		James B. Lambie:		
Oct.	2.	1 Lignum-vitæ mallet.....	.35	
		1 1½-socket framing chisel.....	1.00	
	4.	2 scoop shovels, \$1.25.....	2.50	
	5.	1 pound 14-ounce tinned tacks.....	.10	
		1 pound 1-ounce upholsterer's tacks.....	.05	
	6.	12 No. 19 M. G. coal hods, 65 cents.....	7.80	
		12 galvanized shovels, 20 cents.....	2.40	
	11.	1 2-inch flat paint brush.....	.40	
		1 painter's duster.....	.50	
		1 can graphite lubricant.....	.60	
		6 ½-inch G. G. washers.....	.15	
		1 ½-pint copper oil can.....	.25	
		2 ½-gallon copper oil cans.....	.50	
		1 can vaseline.....	.18	
	12.	30 feet ¾ hose, complete.....	6.00	
		1 1½-socket framing chisel.....	1.00	
		1 chisel handle.....	.10	
	13.	1 set casters.....	.15	
	20.	1 cylinder-rim deadlock.....	1.75	
	23.	1 bronze knob for front door.....	1.00	
	25.	1 wood smooth plane.....	1.00	
		1 sledge handle.....	.15	
	27.	3 flat key blanks.....	.30	
		1 4-inch round smooth file.....	.13	
		1 8 D. E. saw file.....	.10	
				32.91
		Washington Gas Light Co.:		
Nov.	16.	1 radiator.....	3.50	
		6 feet tubing.....	.30	
		1 independent connection.....	.25	
				4.05
		J. Baumgarten & Sons:		
Aug.	17.	1 stamp, oval.....	1.10	
		10 stamps.....	.60	
Sept.	14.	4 stamps.....	.24	
	20.	1 box type.....	4.75	
		2 handles.....	.50	
	27.	1 rubber stamp.....	1.00	
Oct.	25.	5 rubber stamps.....	1.50	
				9.69
		J. Baumgarten & Sons:		
Oct.	10.	1 brass dating stamp.....		3.50
		J. Baumgarten & Sons:		
Nov.	6.	1 rubber stamp for pardon attorney.....		1.00
		National Electric Supply Co.:		
Nov.	7.	Installing pear push for Mr. Tanner, No. 8 Lafayette square.....		1.00
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:		
Aug.	18.	United States marshal, Rutland, Vt., Boyd, 22.....	.22	
	23.	United States attorney, St. Paul, Minn., Boyd, 49.....	.61	
	31.	United States attorney, New Orleans, Boyd, 31.....	.39	
		United States marshal, Albuquerque, N. Mex., 16.....	.35	
		United States marshal, Sitka, Alaska, 29.....	.58	
				2.15
		James Ragan:		
		Repairing leaks in sewer and soil pipes, K street building, 3 days' time and assistant.....	18.00	
		6 pounds lead, 60 cents; 2 pounds packing, 30 cents.....	.90	
		1 new handle for basin cock.....	.75	

1899.		James Ragan—Continued.	
Aug.	31	8 Fuller washers, at 10 cents.....	\$0.80
		2 pounds solder, at 25 cents.....	.50
		2 days' time, plumber and assistant.....	12.00
			<hr/>
			\$32.95
		Zellers & Co.:	
Nov.	18.	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ nipples, 3 inch.....	.15
		1 $\frac{3}{4}$ by $\frac{3}{4}$ ell.....	.07
		Labor, helper.....	.32
			<hr/>
			.54
		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
Oct.	11.	1 set finger-key cushions.....	2.50
	23.	1 set finger-key cushions.....	2.50
	24.	1 dozen metal shields.....	1.80
			<hr/>
			6.80
		Rudolph, West & Co.:	
Nov.	18.	1 dozen dust-pan brushes.....	4.50
		Notley Anderson:	
Oct.	13.	Taking down and putting up cases, moving and replacing floor, putting in 2 panes of glass.....	9.20
	16.	Taking out panels and putting up same for radiator....	2.00
		Putting on 1 Yale lock, pardon attorney.....	2.50
	30.	Putting on 2 Eclipse springs, basement No. 8 Lafayette square.....	9.20
Nov.	6.	2 lights of glass in door to roof (Baltic Building).....	1.25
		Putting spring on door and repairing lock, basement No. 8 Lafayette square.....	3.50
	7.	Putting spring on closet door No. 8 Lafayette square ...	3.50
			<hr/>
			31.15
		D. Rickenbacher:	
Nov.	13.	Repairing an eight-day striking clock.....	2.00
		Repairing a French marble clock.....	5.00
			<hr/>
			7.00
		Fannie Jackson:	
Nov.	29.	Washing 88 $\frac{1}{2}$ dozen towels during month November, 1899, at 12 cents.....	10.58
		R. O. Hutterly:	
Nov.	20.	1 oak drop octagon clock.....	5.00
		1 enameled mantle clock.....	6.00
			<hr/>
			11.00
		W. S. Thompson:	
Nov.	10.	1 hat brush.....	.50
		J. B. Money:	
Dec.	1.	Packing and shipping 1 portfolio to Whitney, Warren & Wetmore.....	1.50
		Express charges on same.....	1.15
			<hr/>
			2.65
		John Meany:	
Dec.	9.	Taking down and tagging 70 awnings at No. 1435 K street and 23 at No. 8 Jackson square, at 7 cents a piece.....	6.51
		Adams Express Co.:	
Sept.	30.	1 package 2, value \$100, Macon, Ga., Attorney-General, at \$3.25.....	.45
	14.	1 package, 1 $\frac{1}{2}$, Eames and Y., St. Louis, Mo.....	.30
			<hr/>
			.75
		United States Express Co.:	
Nov.	9.	Box 16, C. Clay, Chicago, Ill.....	.90
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Nov.	13.	Order 812, changing and lengthening 4-light cluster in Assistant Attorney-General Pradt's room.....	3.50
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Nov.	13.	Furnishing and installing 2 10-sunlight shades and 6 32-107 Edison lamps, electrician 1 hour.....	.60
		2 10-inch sunlight shades, \$1.25.....	2.50
		6 32-107 Edison base lamps, 30 cents.....	1.80
			<hr/>
			4.90

1899.		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Nov.	21.	Repairing motor wires at the Court of Claims, electrician	
		5 hours	\$3.00
		6 feet 2-W. molding for No. 8 wire, 2 cents18
		14 feet 8-W. R. C. starting, 2 cents28
		5 feet $\frac{1}{2}$ -R. Cir. loom, 6 cents30
			<hr/>
			\$3.76
		James B. Lambie:	
Dec.	4.	6 pounds wire nails30
		1 hinge hasp15
		$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen sandpaper10
		1 claw hammer60
		1 gross screws25
		2 5-foot stepladders, \$2	4.00
		2 dozen picture hooks, 35 cents70
		2 $\frac{1}{8}$ picture gauge glasses, 15 cents30
			<hr/>
			6.40
		Dulin & Martin Co.:	
Dec.	8.	1 dozen sponges	3.75
Oct.	14.	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ dozen buckets, \$2.50	3.75
			<hr/>
			7.50
		John Meaney:	
Dec.	12.	Covering lawn in front of Department of Justice, including labor and manure	4.00
		Grove Lime and Coal Co.:	
Sept.	14.	$\frac{1}{2}$ cord sawed and split pine	2.50
	19.	1 cord sawed and split pine	5.00
Oct.	11.	1 cord sawed and split pine	5.00
	20.	1 cord sawed and split pine	5.00
Dec.	4.	1 cord sawed and split pine	5.00
			<hr/>
			22.50
		John B. Daish:	
Nov.	26.	60 tons white ash egg coal, \$4.49	269.40
		John B. Daish:	
Nov.	1.	1 cord 3 piece hickory wood	5.90
		James Ragan:	
		Plumbing work, No. 8, Jackson place:	
		Toilet room, second floor, 1 siphon flush tank	7.50
		3 $\frac{1}{2}$ stopcocks, \$1	3.00
		3 $\frac{1}{2}$ lead connections, 50 cents	1.50
		8 $\frac{1}{2}$ nippers, 10 cents80
		2 pounds wiping solder, 25 cents50
		1 rubber suction cup	1.00
		1 $\frac{1}{2}$ days' time, plumber and assistant	9.00
		Repairing flush tank and hopper, and 1 new valve for tank	2.00
		1 $\frac{1}{2}$ stopcock	1.00
		1 $\frac{1}{2}$ lead connection50
		1 day's time, plumber and assistant	6.00
		Painting toilet room, carpenter's work	7.00
		Cutting out and putting in new door	7.50
			<hr/>
			47.30
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
Nov.	29.	Ice for November, 8,550 pounds, at 20 cents	17.10
		Thomas W. Powers:	
Dec.	11.	Repairing elevator motor, adjusting armature bearings, main break blocks, and connections	21.50
		J. E. Hurley:	
Nov.	17.	Repairing fire tools for boiler, blacksmith and helper	
		3 $\frac{1}{2}$ hours, at 70 cents	2.45
		10 pounds iron, at 3 cents30
			<hr/>
			2.75
		John Owens:	
Dec.	16.	8 loads manure, at \$1.25	10.00
		Removing load of rubbish 30 cents, 3 days' labor, \$1.50	4.80
			<hr/>
			14.80

1899.		James Ragan:	
Dec.	14.	Furnishing the labor and necessary material to ventilate 3 water closets on second floor of No. 8 Jackson place.....	\$65. 00
		James Ragan:	
	16.	Removing old bath tub and furnishing complete 1 galvanized iron sink, with water supply to same, properly trapped and vented, at 8 Jackson place.....	35. 00
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:	
Sept.	16.	United States marshal, Kansas City, Mo., to Boyd, D. C., 30.....	\$0. 38
		United States marshal, Knoxville, Tenn., to Boyd, D. C., 30.....	. 30
	18.	United States marshal, Topeka, Kans., to Boyd, D. C., 26.....	. 33
	20.	United States marshal, Louisville, Ky., to Griggs, D. C., 28.....	. 28
	26.	Speyer & Co., New York, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 46.....	. 46
	28.	United States marshal, Boston, Mass., to Griggs, District of Columbia, 21.....	. 21
	29.	United States marshal, Atlanta, Ga., to Griggs, District of Columbia, 14.....	. 20
	30.	United States marshal, Boston, Mass., to Richards, District of Columbia, 27.....	. 27
			2. 43
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:	
Oct.	2.	United States marshal, Milwaukee, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 24.....	. 24
		United States attorney, Nashville, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 22.....	. 22
	4.	United States attorney, Cleveland, Ohio, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 15.....	. 20
	5.	Shine, San Francisco, to Richards, District of Columbia, 54.....	1. 08
	10.	United States attorney, Chicago, to Hoyt, District of Columbia, 21.....	. 21
		United States marshal, Chicago, to Perry, District of Columbia, 20.....	. 20
		United States marshal, Chicago, to Hoyt, District of Columbia, 26.....	. 26
	12.	Coffin, Columbus, Ohio, to Hoyt, 25.....	. 25
	14.	United States attorney, Boston, to Boyd, 54.....	. 54
	19.	United States attorney, Savannah, Ga., to Sergeant Gillett, 16.....	. 20
	20.	United States attorney, Springfield, Ill., to Griggs, 16.....	. 20
		United States marshal, San Francisco, Cal., to Griggs, 42.....	. 84
		Jones, New York, to Griggs, 42.....	. 42
	24.	United States attorney, Phoenix, Ariz., to Boyd, 29.....	. 51
	27.	Woodward, Atlanta, Ga., to Griggs, 16.....	. 20
			5. 57
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:	
Nov.	2.	Stimson, New York, to Pradt, District of Columbia, 24.....	. 24
		United States attorney, Louisville, Ky., to Boyd, District of Columbia, 15.....	. 20
	3.	United States marshal, Kansas City, Mo., to Griggs, 18.....	. 25
		United States attorney, New York, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 29.....	. 29
		Jones, New York, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 33.....	. 33
		United States marshal, Boston, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 17.....	. 20
		United States attorney, Grand Rapids, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 43.....	. 43
		United States attorney, Chicago, to Griggs, District of Columbia, 43.....	. 43
	7.	United States marshal, Omaha, to Richards, 28.....	. 35
		United States attorney, Helena, Mont., to Griggs, 30.....	. 53
	9.	United States marshal, Omaha, to Griggs, 18.....	. 25
		Brannan, Birmingham, to Griggs, 31.....	. 31

1899.		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.—Continued.	
Nov.	10.	United States marshal, Montgomery, to Griggs, 16.....	\$0. 20
	11.	United States marshal, Kansas City, Mo., to Griggs, 25.....	. 31
		United States marshal, Omaha, to Richards, 21.....	. 26
		United States attorney, Boston, to Boyd, 30.....	. 30
		United States marshal, Omaha, to Griggs, 16.....	. 25
		United States attorney, Cincinnati, to Richards, 23.....	. 23
	14.	Whitney, New York, to Hughes, 22.....	. 22
		Page & Conant, New York, to Hoyt, 22.....	. 22
	16.	Platt, New York, to Griggs, 32.....	. 32
		United States attorney, New Orleans, to Griggs, 38.....	. 48
		United States attorney, Montgomery, to Griggs, 22.....	. 22
		Saley, New York, to Pradt, 31.....	. 31
	20.	Griggs from Washington, D. C., to Earhardt, New Orleans, La., 39.....	. 49
		Hayes and G., Philadelphia, to Griggs, 21.....	. 21
		Earhardt, New Orleans, to Griggs, 29.....	. 36
		Barrett, Philadelphia, to Griggs, 29.....	. 29
		United States attorney, Philadelphia, to Richards, 18.....	. 20
		Semmes, Omaha, to Griggs, 38.....	. 48
		Benyuard, New York, to Pradt, 27.....	. 27
		Brown, Buffalo, to Griggs, 47.....	. 47
		Getman, New York, to Griggs, 46.....	. 46
		Earhardt, New Orleans, to Griggs, 22.....	. 28
	20.	United States attorney, Pittsburg, to Richards, 27.....	. 27
	27.	Sterling, New York, to Boyd, 26.....	. 26
		United States attorney, Buffalo, to Griggs, 43.....	. 43
		United States attorney, Cleveland, to Griggs, 34.....	. 34
		Shearman & Co., New York, to Boyd, 25.....	. 25
		United States attorney, Cincinnati, to Clay, 32.....	. 32
		United States attorney, Portland, Me., to Griggs, 37.....	. 37
			<hr/> \$12. 88
		A. H. Chase & Bros.:	
Dec.	19.	Storage on carpets, 1,538 yards, at 1 cent.....	15. 38
		Johnson Bros.:	
Oct.	25.	3 tons cannel coal, at \$10.....	30. 00
		Storing, at 25 cents per ton.....	. 75
Dec.	19.	2 tons cannel coal, at \$10.....	20. 00
		Storing, at 25 cents per ton.....	. 50
			<hr/> 51. 25
		J. A. Pierpont:	
Dec.	5.	Remodeling and bricking up 4 fireplaces, resetting grates, furnishing 1 new basket grate, and cleaning chimney:	
		1 19-inch basket grate.....	4. 00
		250 fire bricks, at 5 cents.....	12. 50
		2 bushels fire clay.....	2. 00
		1 frame for fireplace.....	4. 50
		2 iron blowers.....	4. 00
		Cleaning 11 flues.....	14. 00
		5 days' time mason and laborers, \$6.....	30. 00
			<hr/> 71. 00
		Western Union Telegraph Company:	
Oct.	2.	Attorney-General from Erwin, Macon, 16.....	. 20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Omaha, 27.....	. 34
		Griggs to United States attorney, Aberdeen, Miss., 19.....	. 25
		Griggs to United States attorney, St. Paul, 19.....	. 25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Fort Leavenworth, 19.....	. 25
		Griggs to Hummer, Chickasha, Ind. T., 22.....	. 28
		Griggs to United States attorney, Macon, 19.....	. 20
		Pradt to Button, New York, 18.....	. 20
		Pradt to Cole, Paris, Tex., 22.....	. 22
		Griggs to United States marshal, El Paso, Tex., 18.....	. 30
		Griggs to United States marshal, Abingdon, Va., 11.....	. 20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Elmira, N. Y., 18.....	. 20
		Griggs to Burnell, New York, 35.....	. 35
		Pradt to Cole, Russellville, Ark., 15.....	. 25
	4.	Richards to Walker, Kansas City, Mo., 48.....	. 60
	5.	Pradt from Chisholm, Birmingham, 25.....	. 25

1899.	Western Union Telegraph Company—Continued.	
Oct.	5. Pradt to Chisholm, Birmingham, 31	\$0. 31
	Pradt to Dougherty, Nashville, 1720
	Pradt to Greeve, St. Louis, Mo., 3038
	Boyd to United States attorney, Greensboro, N. C., 3232
	Richards from Walker, Kansas City, 2629
	Richards to United States marshal, St. Paul, Min., 2126
	Richards to United States attorney, Chickasha, Ind. T.25
	Richards to United States attorney, Macon, Ga., 1220
	Richards to Root, New York, 2929
	Richards to Speyer & Co., New York, 1220
	6. Boyd to Spooner, San Francisco, 2040
	7. Pradt from Dougherty, Memphis, Tenn., 4035
	Finn to De Witt, Denver, 2335
	Richards to United States marshal, Topeka, 2228
	Richards to United States marshal, Detroit, 2424
	Richards to Walker, Kansas City, 2443
	9. Richards from Walker, Kansas City, 2430
	Richards to United States attorney, Tucson, Ariz., 24 ..	.42
	Richards to United States attorney, Omaha, 2936
	Richards to Peyton, Bowie, Tex., 2335
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Atoka, Ind. T., 2228
	10. Hoyt to United States marshal, Nashville, Tenn., 22 ..	.22
	Hoyt to United States attorney, Fargo, 1630
	Hoyt to Wallace, Kansas City, Mo., 4050
	11. Hughes to Richards, Hot Springs, Va., 2525
	Hughes to United States marshal, Guthrie, 2126
	Hughes to Wallace, Kansas City, 2228
	Hughes to United States marshal, Louisville, Ky., 19 ..	.20
	Hughes to Flirt, Los Angeles, Cal., 3774
	12. Hughes from French, Iola, Kans., 2228
	Hughes from Grant, Paris, Tex., 3147
	Hughes to Binney, Richfield Springs, 2727
	Hughes to French, Iola, Kans., 2531
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind. T., 30 ..	.38
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Duluth, Minn., 1725
	Hoyt to United States marshal, St. Paul, 1425
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Paris, Tex., 2842
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Las Cruces, N. Mex., 29 ..	.51
	Hoyt to McAlee, Enid, Okla., 2734
	13. Russell to Burkett, Huntsville, Ala., 2323
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Jacksonville, 2020
	Hoyt to United States attorney, Moscow, Idaho, 20 ..	.40
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Boise, Idaho, 2040
	Hoyt to United States marshal, Aberdeen, 2645
	Hoyt to United States attorney, Las Cruces, N. Mex., 15 ..	.35
	14. Boyd to United States attorney, Oshkosh, 3838
	Boyd to United States marshal, El Paso, 1830
	Boyd to United States attorney, Fargo, 2233
	Boyd to United States marshal, Elmira, N. Y., 2626
	Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 2734
	Boyd to United States attorney, Atlanta, 7171
	Boyd to United States marshal, Fort Smith, 2430
	Boyd to United States attorney, Tucson, 2239
	Richards to Burkett, Huntsville, 2626
	Roberts to assistant treasurer, St. Louis, Mo., 2633
	16. Clay to Bingham, Philadelphia, 2525
	Boyd to United States marshal, Paris, 4263
	Boyd to United States marshal, El Paso, 2233
	Boyd to United States marshal, Fargo, 1430
	Boyd to United States marshal, Ryan, Ind. T., 25e31
	Boyd to United States attorney, Boston, 7676
	17. Clay to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 1940
	Boyd to United States attorney, N. Brunswick, 6464
	Boyd to United States marshal, Raleigh, N. C., 1820
	18. Boyd to United States marshal, Elmira, 2323
	Boyd to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 1620

1899.		Western Union Telegraph Company—Continued.	
Oct.	18	Boyd to United States marshal, Louisville, Ky., 22.....	\$0. 22
		Boyd to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 15.....	.20
Oct.	19	Boyd to Gillett, Savannah, 51.....	.51
	19	Boyd to United States marshal, Elmira, 17.....	.20
		Griggs to Jones, New York, 37.....	.37
	20	Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 30.....	.38
		Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 61.....	.61
	22	Boyd to United States attorney, Boston, 25.....	.25
		Boyd to United States attorney, San Francisco, 17.....	.40
		Boyd to United States marshal, Ardmore, 24.....	.30
		Boyd to Coffin, Columbus, Ohio, 22.....	.22
		Boyd to United States attorney, Guthrie, 40.....	.50
		Boyd to United States attorney, Charlestown, W. Va., 30.....	.30
	23	Boyd to United States marshal, South McAlester, 30.....	.38
		Boyd to United States attorney, Brooklyn, 19.....	.20
		Boyd to United States marshal, Guthrie, 16.....	.25
		Boyd to United States marshal, Greenville, S. C., 19.....	.20
	24	Griggs from United States commissioner, St. Paul, 67.....	.84
		Boyd to United States marshal, Moscow, Idaho, 21.....	.42
		Boyd to United States attorney, Sioux Falls, S. Dak., 36.....	.54
	25	Griggs from commissioner, Omaha, 96.....	1. 20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Brooklyn, 21.....	.21
		Griggs to commissioner, Omaha, 23.....	.29
		Clay to Felt and T. Manufacturing Co., Chicago, 28.....	.28
		Clay to Collier, Riverside, Cal., 21.....	.42
	26	Griggs from Owen, Albuquerque, 19.....	.35
		Griggs from Owen, Albuquerque, 17.....	.35
		Griggs from Thurston, O'Niell, Nebr., 39.....	.49
		Griggs to clerk United States court, Albuquerque, 20.....	.35
		Griggs to clerk United States court, Albuquerque, 31.....	.54
		Griggs to Thummel, Omaha, 11.....	.25
		Griggs to Thurston, Omaha, 60.....	.75
		Griggs to Ledbetter, Pauls Valley, Ind. T., 16.....	.25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 40.....	.80
		Griggs to United States attorney, Madison, Wis., 11.....	.20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Cincinnati, 11.....	.20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Pittsburg, 16.....	.20
		Griggs to Johnson, Sitka, Alaska (mail, 2 cents), 41.....	.82
		Boyd to United States attorney, Charleston, W. Va., 73.....	.73
	27	Griggs to United States attorney, Winston, N. C., 12.....	.20
	29	Boyd to United States marshal, Atoka, Ind. T., 21.....	.26
		Boyd to United States marshal, Los Angeles, 23.....	.23
	30	Hoyt to Kirlin, New York, 24.....	.24
		Griggs to United States attorney, Boston, 18.....	.20
		Griggs to United States attorney, San Francisco, 26.....	.52
		Griggs to Reynolds, Santa Fe, 30.....	.53
		Griggs to United States attorney, Buffalo, N. Y., 16.....	.20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 17.....	.25
	31	Griggs to United States marshal, Montgomery, Ala., 14.....	.20
		Hoyt to Barker, Charleston, S. C., 26.....	.26
		Griggs to United States marshal, Portland, Oreg., 16.....	.40
		Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., (mail 2 cents), 15.....	.25
			<hr/> \$46. 87
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Oct.	5.	O'Connell to Gay, Seattle, 21.....	.42
		O'Connell to Morrison, Prescott, Ariz., 21.....	.37
		O'Connell to Whitford, Denver, 21.....	.32
		O'Connell to Miles, Corydon, Iowa, 20.....	.25
	7.	O'Connell to Gurley, New Orleans, 71.....	.87
	12.	O'Connell to Gurley, New Orleans, 60.....	.75
	25.	O'Connell from Micklejohn, Genoa, Nebr., 41.....	.51
		O'Connell to Morrison, Prescott, 45.....	.79
	26.	O'Connell to Micklejohn, Ord, Nebr., 29.....	.36
		O'Connell to Sumner, Omaha, Nebr., 52.....	.65
	31.	Reese to Rourke, Fargo, N. Dak., 23.....	.35

1899.	Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Nov.	1. Griggs to Rogers, Fort Smith, Ark., 16.....	\$0.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Fort Smith, Ark., 28 ..	.35
	Griggs to warden United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., 2430
	Rechtin to Thummel, Omaha, Nebr., 25.....	.31
	Rechtin to Brown, Buffalo, N. Y., 33.....	.33
	2. Hoyt to United States attorney, Charleston, S. C., 78 ..	.78
	Boyd to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 32.....	.56
	Easby Smith to Soper, Vinita, Ind. T., 27.....	.34
	Boyd to United States marshal, Pauls Valley, 2430
	3. Burch from Sutton, Eagle Pass, Tex., 3757
	Griggs to Soper, Vinita, Ind. T., 3139
	Griggs to United States marshal, St. Paul, Minn., 28.....	.35
	Griggs to United States attorney, New Brunswick, N. J., 21 ..	.21
	Griggs to United States attorney, Fargo, 4974
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 1930
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tacoma, 18.....	.40
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tacoma, 15.....	.40
	Griggs to United States attorney, Oshkosh, 43.....	.43
	4. Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 21.....	.26
	Boyd to United States attorney, New Orleans, 33.....	.41
	Boyd to Foraker, San Francisco, 2040
	Boyd to United States attorney, Oxford, Miss., 1625
	Boyd to Terrell, San Antonio, 23.....	.35
	Boyd to United States marshal, Tacoma, 18.....	.40
	6. Hughes to Whitney, New York, 21.....	.21
	Hoyt to Jones, New York, 31.....	.31
	Hoyt to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 2727
	Boyd to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 29.....	.36
	Richards to United States marshal, Guthrie, 2430
	Pradt to Collins, Elizabethton, Tenn., 28.....	.28
	7. Boyd to Clayton, South McAlester, 32.....	.40
	Boyd to United States marshal, South McAlester, 2734
	Richards to United States attorney, Asheville, 2222
	8. Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 1925
	Griggs to United States marshal, Helena, Mont., 1635
	Griggs to United States marshal, Omaha, 2228
	Griggs to United States marshal, Omaha, 2835
	9. Pradt from Chisholm, Birmingham, 24.....	.24
	Pradt to Chisholm, Birmingham, 26.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Fort Smith, 23.....	.29
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 26.....	.33
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 30.....	.38
	10. Clay to Bingham, Philadelphia, 2525
	Griggs to United States judge, Birmingham, 14.....	.20
	Griggs to United States attorney, Cedar Rapids, 2835
	11. Pradt from Chisholm, Red Bank, Miss., 19.....	.25
	Pradt from Binney, New York, 15.....	.20
	Griggs to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 18.....	.40
	Griggs to United States attorney, Sioux Falls, 3451
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sitka, Alaska (mail 2), 20 ..	.40
	Griggs to United States marshal, Charleston, W. Va., 18.....	.20
	Clay to United States attorney, Vinita, Ind. T., 24.....	.30
	Boyd to United States attorney, Fargo, N. Dak., 4162
	Boyd to United States attorney, Portland, Oreg., 35.....	.70
	Boyd to United States attorney, Indianapolis, 1920
	Richards to United States attorney, Denver, Colo., 31.....	.47
	13. Pradt from Chisholm, New Orleans, 2228
	Griggs from Burford, Guthrie, 2835
	Pradt to Collins, Chattanooga, 1120
	Pradt to Chisholm, New Orleans, 39.....	.49
	Richards to United States marshal, Purcell, Ind. T., 62.....	.78
	Richards to United States marshal, Muscogee, Ind. T., 23.....	.27
	Richards to United States marshal, San Antonio, 23.....	.25
	Boyd to United States attorney, Fargo, 40.....	.60
	Roberts to Merchants and Planters' National Bank, Sherman, Tex., 44.....	.46

1899.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Nov.	14.	Pradt to Collins, Chattanooga, 13	\$0. 20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, 2835
		Griggs to United States marshal, Los Angeles, 2652
		Pradt from Collins, Chattanooga, 2121
	15.	Griggs to United States attorney, New Orleans, 2835
		Griggs to Earhart, New Orleans, 4759
		Griggs to Bingham, Philadelphia, 1720
		Griggs to United States marshal, Los Angeles, 3060
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 4354
		Griggs to United States marshal, Louisville, 2727
	16.	Griggs to United States attorney, Asheville, N. C., 2525
		Griggs from Burford, Guthrie, 2734
	17.	Pradt from Dougherty, Memphis (n. m.), 3328
		Griggs from Burch, El Paso, Tex., 5684
		Griggs to United States attorney, Cheyenne, 2637
		Griggs to Moxey, Kansas City, 1525
		Griggs to United States marshal, Elmira, 1920
		Griggs to Burford, Guthrie, 2430
		Griggs to United States attorney, Sioux Falls, 2842
		Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 1630
		Griggs to United States attorney, Sioux Falls, 2030
		Pradt to Dougherty, Memphis, 3838
	18.	Johnson from Erwin, Macon, 2424
		Griggs from Earhart, New Orleans, 6784
		Griggs to United States attorney, Prescott, 2544
		Griggs to Earhart, New Orleans, 1825
		Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 1935
		Griggs to United States marshal, Helena, 2951
		Griggs to United States marshal, Helena, 2035
		Griggs to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 2137
		Griggs to Burch, El Paso, Tex., 4060
		Griggs to United States marshal, San Antonio, 1830
		Griggs to Soley, New York, 2323
		Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 2747
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 1925
		Griggs to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 3443
		Pradt to Collins, Elizabethton, Tenn., 3434
	21.	Clay to United States Attorney, Cincinnati, 2828
		Pradt from Collins, Chattanooga, 4141
		Griggs to Rodgers, Helena, 59	1. 03
		Griggs from Starke, Jefferson City, 3139
		Griggs to Guillotte, New Orleans, 6885
		Griggs to United States attorney, Philadelphia, 2222
		Griggs to Trieber, Helena, 6075
		Griggs to United States marshal, Purcell, 2025
		Griggs to superintendent, Jefferson City, Mo., 1725
		Roberts to Montana National Bank, Helena, 4070
		Richards to United States marshal, Boston, 1920
		Richards to United States attorney, Muscogee, 2734
		Richards to United States marshal, Purcell, Ind. T., 2734
		Richards to United States marshal, Omaha, 2835
		Richards to United States attorney, Guthrie, 2633
		Richards to United States Marshal Thompson, Charles- ton, W. Va., 2929
	23.	Finch from Summers, Omaha, 2126
		Richards to McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, 2228
		Richards to United States marshal, Shreveport, La., 3038
		Richards to United States marshal, Aberdeen, S. Dak., 2741
		Pradt to Collins, Chattanooga, 4141
	24.	Richards to United States attorney, Vinita, 3443
		Richards to United States attorney, San Francisco, 2142
		Roberts to Nebraska National Bank, Omaha, 4050
	25.	Attorney-General from Burch, El Paso, 2020
	27.	Attorney-General to United States attorney, Brattle- boro, Vt., 1820
		Easby Smith to Whittemore, Salt Lake City, 2137
		Griggs to Burch, El Paso, 3654

1899.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Nov.	27	Griggs to McBride, Portland, Oreg., 22.....	\$0.44
		Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, 40.....	.50
		Griggs to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 38.....	.67
		Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, 66.....	.83
		Griggs to Rogers, Texarkana (forwarded, 25), 21.....	.26
		Griggs to United States attorney, Fort Scott, 29.....	.36
		Griggs to United States marshal, Fort Scott, 27.....	.34
		Griggs to United States marshal, Boston, 13.....	.20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Cheyenne, 20.....	.30
	28.	Griggs to United States marshal, Florence, Ariz. (case F, 75), 13.....	.35
		Griggs to Soper, Wagoner, 24.....	.30
		Pradt to Scott, Sharpsburg, Md. (via Antietam, 15), 24.....	.24
	29.	Attorney-General from Burch, El Paso, Tex., 34.....	.51
		Richards to Burch, El Paso, Tex., 29.....	.44
		Richards to board prison commissioners, Nashville, 31.....	.31
		Richards to United States attorney, San Francisco, 28.....	.56
		Richards to United States marshal, Florence (case G, 90), 22.....	.39
		Richards to United States marshal, Omaha, 21.....	.26
		Boyd to Adams, Greensboro, N. C., 21.....	.21
		Pradt to Collins, Jackson, Tenn., 27.....	.27
		Other lines toll.....	2.07
			<hr/> \$59.58
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Nov.	8.	Reese to Angell, New York, 45.....	.45
		Reese from Earhart, New Orleans, 48.....	.60
		Reese to Earhart, New Orleans, 35.....	.44
			<hr/> 1.49
		Notley Anderson:	
Oct.	1.	Cutting out partitions, putting in jams, and trimming same.....	20.00
	5.	Putting up old and new shelving, No. 8.....	5.00
	7.	Easing door, putting on spring, and putting in door in basement, K street.....	2.50
	9.	Three sash cords and light of glass in attic (No. 8), hinges to transom window over door of arch basement.....	4.00
	16.	Making 2 24-inch-wide ventilators for front windows for first and second floors (No. 8).....	5.00
		1 window-glass ventilator (Colonel Boyd).....	5.00
		Altering back basement door to slide, Department of Justice.....	7.32
			<hr/> 48.82
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Dec.	27.	1 gas heater.....	4.00
		8 feet tubing.....	.40
		1 ind. connection.....	.25
		1 No. 4 Vulcan heater.....	3.50
		8 feet tubing.....	.40
			<hr/> 8.55
		Fannie Jackson:	
Dec.	30.	Washing 91½ dozen towels during month December, 1899, at 12 cents per dozen.....	10.95
		Adams Express Co.:	
Oct.	6.	1 package, 3, from St. Paul, Minn., 4.25.....	.45
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Dec.	30.	10,200 cubic feet gas consumed in month December, 1899, at \$1 net per M cubic feet:	
		8,200 cubic feet, No. 1435 K street NW.....	8.20
		2,000 cubic feet, No. 8 Jackson place.....	2.00
			<hr/> 10.20
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
1900.			
Jan.	4.	1 No. 6 Vulcan gas heater.....	4.75
		1 No. 270 Vulcan gas heater.....	2.00
		12 feet tubing at 5 cents.....	.60
			<hr/> 7.35

1899.		The Tribune Association:	
Dec.	21.	Daily Tribune 1 year from Jan. 1, 1900	\$10. 00
		Zeller & Co.:	
Dec.	23.	Furnishing and placing (1) Nason atmospheric trap to radiators in front basement.....	\$25. 00
		For repairing old trap and connecting to radiators	15. 00
			<hr/> 40. 00
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Dec.	7.	Installing light in basement of No. 8 Jackson place:	
		Electricity, 5½ hours	3. 30
		7 feet No. 18 silk cord, at 3 cents.....	.21
		4 pounds No. 12 W. P. wire, at 30 cents	1. 20
		6 No. 5 knobs and screws10
		2 tubes ¾ by 4, 2 cents04
		1 G. E. Ed. key wall receptacle40
		1 10-amp. M. line15
		2 10-amp. fuse links, at 2½ cents05
		19. Repairing call bell for Captain Glover	1. 10
		29. Installing light in Mr. Randall's room	1. 60
			<hr/> 8. 15
		United States Express Co.:	
Oct.	27.	Case, J. A. Finch, from Habana, Cuba; expressage, cust., \$2.75.....	\$16.25; 19. 00
		L. G. Mangam:	
Jan.	3.	Thawing out frozen waste and water pipes, moving and resetting wash basin in basement, repairing bath tub and 2 water-closets, and furnishing necessary material:	
		4 ½ Globe stop and waste cocks, \$1.50	6. 30
		4 washers, at 10 cents40
		1 ¾ pet cock95
		1 bottle for bowl trap	1. 00
		4 ½ galvanized nipples, at 15 cents60
		1 ½ galvanized long screw40
		1 ½ galvanized angle ell12
		6 feet ½ galvanized pipe, at 13 cents.....	.78
		5 ½ galvanized fitting, at 10 cents.....	.50
		Lamp wick10
		2½ days' time plumber and helper, at \$6	15. 00
			<hr/> 25. 85
		John B. Daish:	
Jan.	10.	60 tons egg coal, \$4.49	269. 40
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Nov.	30.	10,000 cubic feet of gas consumed in month of November, 1899, at \$1 net per M cubic feet:	
		8,500 cubic feet, 1435 K street NW	8. 50
		1,500 cubic feet, 8 Jackson place	1. 50
			<hr/> 10. 00
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Dec.	30.	Maintenance of 1 Observatory Department clock, for quarter ended Dec. 31, 1899, at \$50 per annum.....	12. 50
		Automatic Telephone Co.:	
Dec.	30.	Rental of 1 automatic telephone, 1 switch, from Oct. 1 to Dec. 31, 1899, at \$6 per annum.....	1. 50
		Chas. H. Soran:	
Dec.	20.	Altering 8 glass doors into ventilators at No. 8 Jackson place, and 3 at Department of Justice, at \$1.25 each	13. 75
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
Jan.	15.	1 gas stove, pipe, connections, and fitting	7. 80
		Francis Miller:	
Jan.	11.	1 light glass, 16 by 32, double60
		1 light glass, 18 by 28, double.....	.65
		3 pounds putty12
			<hr/> 1. 37
		The G. W. Knox Express Co.:	
Nov.	29.	Freight on 1 box stationery35
		United States Express Co.:	
Dec.	2.	P. 20, L. A. Pradt, from New York to Washington, D. C.....	.55

1899.		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Oct.	31.	Use of electric current at No. 8 Jackson place, July 1 to Sept. 30, 1899, 1,029,500 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000.	\$61. 77
		Use electric current, No. 8 Jackson place, Oct. 1 to 31, inclusive, 1899, 343,100 Watt hours, at 6 cents 1,000 ..	20. 58
			<hr/>
			\$82. 35
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Nov.	30.	Use of electric current at No. 8 Jackson place, from Nov. 1 to 30, 1899, inclusive, 501,400 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	30. 08
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Dec.	30.	Use of electric current at No. 8 Jackson place, from Dec. 1 to 31, 1899, inclusive, 547,200 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	32. 83
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Nov.	30.	Use of electric current at 1435 K street, from Nov. 1 to 30, inclusive, as follows:	
		Light meter, 1,378,800 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	82. 72
		Motor meter, 260,800 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	15. 64
			<hr/>
			98. 36
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Dec.	30.	Use of electric current from Dec. 1 to 31, 1899, inclusive, at 1435 K street, as follows:	
		Light meter, 1,342,800 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	80. 56
		Motor meter, 258,000 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	15. 48
			<hr/>
			96. 06
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
Dec.	30.	Ice for December, 8,850 pounds, at 20 cents	17. 70
		James B. Lambie:	
Nov.	2.	1 18 lever wrench	1. 80
		1 12 Stillson wrench	1. 65
	7.	1 quart can glue 80
		2 blank keys 10
		1 round smooth 4-inch file 13
	7.	1 4½ Ward file 12
		1 No. 8 D. E. file 12
		1 grindstone	3. 25
	11.	1 dozen C. and H. hooks 20
	15.	1 screw-driver 45
		2 hatchets	1. 25
		1 nail puller	1. 35
	18.	1 sash weight, 8-pound 21
		12 feet cord 05
		2 screw eyes 05
		1 pulley 07
			<hr/>
			11. 60
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.,	
		exchange rental for—	
Dec.	30.	Switchboard instruments, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		General agent, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Pardon attorney, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		File room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Stationery room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Solicitor-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Colonel Hoyt's office, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Appointment division, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Colonel Boyd's office, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Disbursing clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Fourth-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief of finance, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General second floor, No. 8, Jackson place, at \$38 per annum	6. 25

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co., exchange rental for—Continued,	
1899.			
Dec.	30.	Second-floor hall, No. 8 Jackson place, at \$38 per annum	\$6. 25
		Library, old Corcoran Art Gallery, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Private secretary to Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		First-floor hall, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
		Third-floor hall, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
		(From Oct. 1 to Dec. 31, 1899):	
		Exchange rental for Mr. Sheibley, from Dec. 20 to 31, 1899, at \$24 per annum78
			<hr/>
			\$137. 78
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
Dec.	30.	Exchange rental for Solicitor for Treasury, from Oct. 1 to Dec. 31, 1899, at \$34.50 per annum	6. 25
		Notley Anderson:	
Dec.	30.	Building partition in stationery room, 1435 K street	84. 00
1900.		Notley Anderson:	
Jan.	2.	Weather stripping 8 windows and repairing skylight, No. 8 Jackson place, and weather stripping 2 windows at Baltic	\$12. 50
		10. Making and putting up shelf and securing shelf	2. 00
		Boxing closet in basement, 1435 K street	1. 00
		12. Repairing door to roof and molding on door, 1435 K street	2. 20
		16. Building 1 quartered-oak cabinet, Solicitor-General	10. 00
			<hr/>
			27. 70
		1899. James B. Lambie:	
Dec.	16.	1 punch25
		1 key blank15
		1 eclipse spring	1. 15
		4 sash lifts20
			<hr/>
			1. 75
		Dulin & Martin Co.:	
Dec.	18.	6 china cuspidors, 2, \$1.12½; 2, 45 cents; 2, 90 cents	4. 95
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Dec.	1.	Richards from Burch, El Paso, Tex., 75	\$1. 13
		Richards to United States attorney, St. Louis, Mo., 5165
		Richards to United States attorney, San Francisco, 1840
		Richards to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 2329
		Richards to Sutton, Brownsville, Tex., 3654
		Richards to Burch, El Paso, Tex., 3857
	2.	Richards to Sutton, Brownsville, Tex., 4466
		Richards from Sutton, Brownsville, Tex., 3756
		Perry to Erwin, Savannah, Ga., 1820
		Richards to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind. T., 4354
		Richards to United States attorney, Vinita, Ind. T., 1925
		Richards to Dale, Philadelphia, 2222
	4.	Griggs to United States marshal, Birmingham, 2727
		Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, Ind. T., 3949
		Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, Ind. T., 2835
		Griggs to United States marshal, McAlester, Ind. T., 1425
		Griggs to United States attorney, Topeka, 2126
		Griggs to United States attorney, Kansas City, 2936
		Griggs to United States attorney, Salt Lake City, 3561
	5.	Griggs to United States assistant attorney, Jacksonville, Fla., 2222
	6.	Roberts to Berney National Bank, Birmingham, 3939
		Griggs to United States attorney, Denver, 2030
	7.	Griggs to Bethea, Chicago, 7272
		Griggs to Claypool, Seattle, 3468
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 4253
	8.	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 1825
		Griggs to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 2323
		Griggs to United States marshal, Carson, Nev., 1240
	9.	Griggs to United States attorney, New York, 2020

1899. Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.		
Dec.	9. Richards to United States attorney, Denver, 17.....	\$0. 30
	Richards to United States marshal, Charleston, W. Va., 17.....	.20
	Richards to United States marshal, Cheyenne, 23.....	.35
	11. Griggs to Stripling, Jacksonville, 39.....	.39
	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 21.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Shreveport, 18.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Greensboro, N. C., 16.....	.20
	12. Richards to United States marshal, Boise, 17.....	.40
	Richards to United States marshal, Savannah, 13.....	.20
	Richards to United States marshal, South McAlester, 17.....	.25
	13. Easby Smith to Alderson, Abingdon, Va., 22.....	.22
	Richards to United States marshal, McAlester, Ind. T., 40.....	.50
	Richards to United States attorney, Madison, 23.....	.23
	Pradt from Button, Middlebury, Vt., 18.....	.20
	Pradt to Button, Middlebury, Vt., 20.....	.20
	Richards to Beck, Philadelphia, 8.....	.20
	14. Griggs to Earhardt, New Orleans, 48.....	.60
	Richards to Clayton, South McAlester, 54.....	.68
	Richards to United States marshal, South McAlester, 22.....	.28
	Richards to United States marshal, South McAlester, 26.....	.33
	Richards to United States marshal, South McAlester, 45.....	.56
	15. Richards to United States attorney, Seattle, 22.....	.44
	16. Griggs to United States attorney, Atlanta, 34.....	.34
	18. Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 22.....	.39
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 21.....	.32
	Griggs to United States attorney, Brattleboro, Vt., 25.....	.25
	19. Griggs to United States marshal, Charlotte, N. C., 17.....	.20
	Griggs to United States marshal, Helena, 26.....	.46
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind. T., 27.....	.34
	Griggs to United States marshal, Indianapolis, 22.....	.22
	20. Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 28.....	.35
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 23.....	.35
	Griggs to United States attorney, New York, 35.....	.35
	21. Griggs to Erwin, Macon, Ga., 59.....	.59
	Roberts to Merchants and Planters' National Bank, Sherman, Tex., 42.....	.63
	22. Rudy to Winston, Muscogee, 19.....	.25
	Rudy from Winston, Muscogee, 13.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 32.....	.40
	Griggs to Warner, Rochester, 40.....	.40
	Griggs to Warner, Raleigh, 25.....	.25
	Griggs to Warden, Columbus, Ohio, 70.....	.70
	Griggs from Warden, Columbus, Ohio, 84.....	.84
	24. Johnson from Erwin, Macon, Ga., 24.....	.24
	Richards to United States marshal, Muscogee, 12.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 25.....	.38
	25. Richards to Secretary of Treasury, city, 42.....	.42
	Richards to Osborne, Los Angeles, 36.....	.72
	Richards to United States attorney, Boston, 39.....	.39
	26. Richards to United States marshal, Guthrie, 25.....	.31
	27. Pradt from Collins, Pikeville, Tenn., 33.....	.33
	Griggs to United States marshal, Montgomery, 17.....	.20
	Griggs to Grady, South McAlester, 24.....	.30
	Griggs to Bennett, Muscogee, 23.....	.29
	Griggs to Bennett, Muscogee, 28.....	.35
	Griggs to Bennett, Ardmore, 23.....	.29
	28. Richards to Hammer, Ardmore, 23.....	.29
	Rudy to Bennett, Muscogee, 24.....	.30
	29. Pradt from Dougherty, Opelousas, La. (N. M.), 16.....	.21
	Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, N. Dak., 17.....	.30
	Clay to Robinson, McConnellsburg, Pa., 14.....	.20
	30. Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, 20.....	.25
	Griggs to United States attorney, Louisville, 15.....	.20
	Griggs to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 17.....	.20
	Pradt from Collins, Knoxville, 26.....	.26
		<hr/>
		\$34. 32

1899.		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Dec.	5.	Griggs to Conant, Habana, 35.....	\$0. 35
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
	4.	O'Connell to Comstock, Hartford, Conn., 33	\$0. 33
		O'Connell to Comstock, New Haven, Conn., 33 33
		O'Connell to Gay, Seattle, 19 40
		O'Connell from Earhart, New Orleans, 36.....	. 45
			1. 51
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:	
Dec.	5.	Sawyer, Lincoln, Nebr., from Griggs, 27 34
		Bethea, Chicago, Ill., from Griggs, 32.....	. 32
		United States marshal, Memphis, Tenn., from Griggs, 26..	. 26
	6.	Bethea, Chicago, from Griggs, 41.....	. 41
		United States marshal, Memphis, from Griggs, 12.....	. 20
	11.	United States marshal, Albuquerque, from Griggs, 17....	. 35
		Dougherty, Memphis, from Pradt, 11.....	. 20
	12.	United States attorney, Portland, Me., from Richards,	
		102.....	1. 02
	13.	United States attorney, Seattle, from Richards, 57.....	1. 14
	14.	Bisbel, Jacksonville, from Richards, 38.....	. 38
		United States attorney, Boston, from Richards, 33 33
	16.	Black, Philadelphia, from Cooper, 22.....	. 22
	18.	United States marshal, Des Moines, from Griggs, 14.....	. 25
		Summers, Omaha, from Griggs, 84	1. 05
	19.	United States marshal, Raleigh, from Griggs, 32.....	. 32
	20.	McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, from Griggs, 30.....	. 38
		United States attorney, Indianapolis, from Griggs, 42....	. 42
	21.	United States attorney, New York, from Griggs, 28.....	. 28
		United States marshal, Toledo, Ohio, from Griggs, 16....	. 20
		McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, from Griggs, 42.....	. 53
		Warden, Jefferson City, Mo., from Griggs, 28.....	. 35
	22.	United States marshal, San Francisco, Cal., from Griggs,	
		40 80
		United States attorney, Boston, Mass., from Boyd, 27....	. 27
		Erwin, Macon, from Griggs, 37.....	. 37
	26.	De Witt, Denver, Colo., from Smith, 32.....	. 48
		McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, from Richards, 32.....	. 40
	27.	Erwin, Macon, from Griggs, 21.....	. 21
		Fritsche, Cincinnati, from Griggs, 39 39
		Vale, Chicago, from Button, 16.....	. 20
		Brainard, Chicago, from Button, 16.....	. 20
		Handbury Detroit, from Button, 18.....	. 20
	28.	United States marshal, Montgomery, from Richards, 28....	. 28
		Bromwell, Cincinnati, Ohio, from Richards, 20.....	. 20
		Hamblett, Concord, N. H., from Richards, 26.....	. 26
	29.	First National Bank, St. Paul, Minn., from Roberts, 39....	. 49
		United States marshal, Helena, from Griggs, 17.....	. 35
		United States marshal, Los Angeles, from Griggs, 45.....	. 90
		United States attorney, Los Angeles, from Griggs, 37 ..	. 74
		United States marshal, Los Angeles, from Griggs, 20....	. 40
		United States attorney, Boston, from Griggs, 47.....	. 47
	30.	Pavey, Mobile, from Griggs, 15.....	. 20
		United States marshal, St. Paul, from Griggs, 25.....	. 31
		United States marshal, Montgomery, from Griggs, 18....	. 20
	26.	Johnson, E. C., Washington, D. C., from Gillette, Savan-	
		nah, Ga., 26 26
			17. 53
		Herman Baumgarten:	
July	8.	New die in stamps.....	2. 00
		Type for disbursing clerk.....	5. 16
Aug.	7.	2 bottles ink.....	. 50
Sept.	11.	1 logotype 20
	22.	Metal type	5. 00
Nov.	3.	18 Universal holders.....	. 75
Dec.	23.	6 type, 18 letters.....	. 36
			13. 97

1899.		Rudolph, West & Co.:		
Oct.	24.	1 dozen hand mops		\$0.75
1900.		Rudolph, West & Co.:		
Jan.	15.	1 dozen hand shears, No. 9	\$7.44	
		1 dozen hand shears, No. 10	7.44	
				14.88
		M. G. Copeland & Co.:		
Jan.	15.	1 storm flag, 12 feet		6.30
		Jas. Ragan:		
Jan.	8.	1 brass reducing coupling, 2½ by 1 inches		2.50
		G. G. C. Simms:		
Jan.	3.	1 dozen boxes matches20
		Fannie Jackson:		
Jan.	31.	Washing 101½ dozen towels during January, at 12 cents per dozen		12.19
		Dulin & Martin Co.:		
Jan.	5.	2 dozen tumblers, at 45 cents90	
		2 filters, at \$8.50	17.00	
				17.90
		James B. Lambie:		
Jan.	22.	5 pounds plumbago grease, at 20 cents	1.00	
	25.	1 paper 14-ounce upholstering tacks14	
		1 paper 4-ounce upholstering tacks05	
		1 ½-bit stock drill18	
	26.	2 screw-drivers50	
	27.	6 B. H. whisk brooms	2.40	
				4.27
1899.		The Automatic Telephone Electric Co., Limited:		
Sept.	30.	Rental of 1 automatic telephone and switch from July 1 to September 30, 1899, at \$6 per annum		1.50
1900.		United States Electric Lighting Co.:		
June	31.	Use of electric current at Department of Justice, 1435 K street, from Jan. 1 to 31, inclusive, as follows:		
		Motor, 293,800 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	17.62	
		Lighting, 1,570,800 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	94.24	
				111.86
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:		
Jan.	31.	Use of electric current at No. 8 Lafayette Square from Jan. 1 to June 31, inclusive, 180,600 Watt hours at 6 cents per 1,000		34.83
		Washington Gaslight Co.:		
Jan.	31.	12,600 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of January, 1900, at \$1 net per thousand cubic feet—		
		8,600 cubic feet, 1435 K street	8.60	
		4,000 cubic feet, 8 Jackson place	4.00	
				12.60
		John B. Daish:		
Feb.	3.	60 tons egg coal, at \$4.49 per ton		269.40
		James Ragan:		
Feb.	8.	Repairs to water-closet, K street NW.—		
		1 pound solder, 1 brass coupling75	
		½ day's time, plumber and assistant	1.50	
	10.	Putting new traps and waste pipes under sink at No. 8 Jackson place, 1 ½-inch lead trap	1.50	
		15 pounds ½-inch lead pipe	1.50	
		3 pounds solder75	
		1 rubber sink collar25	
		1 pound putty, 10 cents; 1 calked joint, 15 cents25	
		1 day's time, plumber and assistant	6.00	
				12.50
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:		
Jan.	2.	Burch, from Sutton, Albuquerque, 72	1.26	
		Collins, Fairmount, Ga., from Pradt, 3434	
	3.	Stryker, St. Paul, from Griggs, 2734	
		Humphrey, Springfield, Ill., from Griggs, 3535	
		Childers, Albuquerque, from Griggs, 2035	
		United States marshal, Topeka, from Griggs, 1725	

1900.		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.—Continued.	
Jan.	2.	United States marshal, San Antonio, from Griggs, 25.....	\$0. 38
	4.	United States attorney, Topeka, from Griggs, 22.....	.27
	5.	Warner, Rochester, from Griggs, 4545
		United States attorney, Boston, from Griggs, 4242
		United States marshal, New York, from Griggs, 2626
	6.	Brannigan, Hot Springs, Ark., from Pradt, 1725
		United States attorney, Dallas, from Richards, 38.....	.57
		United States attorney, New Orleans, from Richards, 25..	.31
		Myndene, New York, from Hoyt, 2626
	8.	Lindsay, Philadelphia, from Early Smith, 33.....	.33
		United States attorney, Boston, from Griggs, 2020
		United States marshal, St. Paul, from Griggs, 23.....	.29
		Childers, Albuquerque, from Griggs, 2646
	10.	Assistant treasurer, New York, from Roberts, 28.....	.28
		Hamlin, Boston, from Hoyt, 3030
	11.	Winslow, Louisville, from Boyd, 2424
	9.	United States attorney, San Antonio, from Griggs, 2132
	13.	United States attorney, Albuquerque, from Richards, 21..	.36
	15.	McClaughey, Leavenworth, from Richards, 3137
	17.	Pardon attorney, Washington, D. C., from Bone, Topeka,	
		1425
		Childers, Albuquerque, from Griggs, 3765
		United States attorney, New Orleans, from Griggs, 25....	.31
		Lambert, Topeka, from Early Smith, 2025
		United States marshal, Mobile, from Griggs, 17.....	.20
	18.	Underwood & Co., New York, from Griggs, 3030
		Cowin, New York, from Richards, 19.....	.20
		Parker, New York, from Hoyt, 3030
		R., S. & Histed, Topeka, from Griggs, 3544
		Bethea, Chicago, from Early Smith, 1720
	19.	Friedrich, Sitka (mail), from Griggs, 61	1. 22
		Shoup, Sitka, from Griggs, 2754
	23.	United States attorney, Charleston, S. C., from Griggs, 17..	.20
		United States marshal, Concord, N. H., from Griggs, 17..	.20
	29.	Superintendent, Detroit, from Griggs, 24.....	.24
		Rice, Trenton, N. J., from Griggs { 27.....	.27
		{ 32.....	.32
	30.	United States attorney, Santa Fe, from Griggs, 21.....	.36
		Trieber, Little Rock, from Griggs, 2228
		Gunby, New York, from Richards, 5959
		United States marshal, Wilmington, from Griggs, 19.....	.20
	31.	United States attorney, New York, from Richards, 39....	.39
			<hr/> \$17. 41
1899.		The E. F. Brooks Co.:	
Oct.	21.	12 feet mohair tubing for droplight72
Nov.	17.	1 dozen lava tip burners50
		1 dozen 3-foot lava-tip burners.....	.20
		1 dozen 4-foot lava-tip burners.....	.20
			<hr/> 1. 62
1900.		Randolph West & Co.:	
Feb.	13.	2 dozen feather dusters, \$11	22. 00
		Lansburgh & Bros.:	
Jan.	23.	8 dozen towels, \$2.15	17. 20
		Adams Express Co.:	
	10.	1 package, 1 pound, from New York, addressed J. K. Richards.....	.25
	16.	1 truss, 19, from Department of Justice to M. G. Reynolds, Santa Fe, N. Mex	2. 50
	25.	1 box, 47, from Canton, Ohio, addressed Department of Justice.....	1. 00
	8.	1 box, 75, from New York, addressed to C. C. Binney....	1. 25
			<hr/> 5. 00
1889.		J. Baumgarten & Sons:	
Nov.	24.	Inking pad for stamp25
Dec.	2.	2 stamps30
	9.	2 bottles India ink50
	29.	4 pads for numbering machine and ink85

1900.		J. Baumgarten & Sons—Continued.	
Jan.	9.	1 stamp.....	\$0.45
	18.	7 rubber stamps.....	1.05
		Repairing numbering machine.....	1.50
			<hr/>
		\$4.90	
		J. A. Pierpont:	
	15.	Repairing roof—	
		56 sheets tin, at 15 cents.....	8.40
		22 pounds solder, at 25 cents.....	5.50
		2 pounds nails, at 5 cents.....	.10
		$\frac{1}{2}$ barrel coal.....	.25
		1 gallon metallic paint.....	.90
		2 days' time, tinsmith and helper, at \$6.....	18.00
			<hr/>
			33.15
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co:	
Feb.	1.	United States attorney, New Orleans, from Griggs, 19..	.25
		United States marshal, Mobile, from Griggs, 28.....	.23
		Higgins, Wilmington, Del., from Cooper, 28.....	.28
	2.	United States attorney, New Orleans, from Griggs, 21..	.26
		United States attorney, New Orleans, from Griggs, 15..	.25
	3.	United States attorney, Seattle, from Griggs, 20.....	.40
		McClaghry, Fort Leavenworth, from Richards, 18....	.25
		Coffin, Columbus, Ohio, from Richards, 43.....	.43
		United States attorney, Cleveland, Ohio, from Griggs, 15.	.20
	5.	Attorney-General, Sitka, Alaska, from Friedrich 43....	.86
	6.	Attorney-General, Sitka, Alaska, from Shoup, 23.....	.46
	7.	United States attorney, Seattle, from Griggs, 40.....	.80
	9.	United States marshal, Paris, Tex., from Griggs, 38....	.57
	14.	Phillips, Oshkosh, Wis., from Griggs, 39.....	.39
	15.	United States marshal, Louisville, from Griggs, 17.....	.20
		Button, New York, from Pradt, 20.....	.20
	16.	Postmaster, Chattanooga, from Pradt, 18.....	.20
	21.	Moxey, New Orleans, from Griggs, 25.....	.31
		United States attorney, Prescott, from Griggs, 17.....	.35
	23.	Hill, Louisville, from Griggs, 36.....	.36
	24.	Hill, Louisville, from Griggs, 18.....	.20
	27.	United States attorney, New York, from Griggs, 46....	.46
	28.	United States attorney, Louisville, from Griggs, 43....	.43
			<hr/>
			8.34
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Jan.	1.	Burch from Sutton, El Paso, N. Mex., 32.....	.27
	2.	Griggs to Childers, Albuquerque, 40.....	.70
		Griggs to Childers, Albuquerque, 23.....	.40
	3.	Griggs from Siebriht, San Antonio, N. Mex., 23.....	.18
	4.	Pradt to Cole, Paris, Tenn., 22.....	.22
		Pradt to Brannigan, Hot Springs, 18.....	.25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Boston, 18.....	.20
		Richards to United States attorney, Boston, 49.....	.49
		Richards from Brannigan, Hot Springs, 52.....	.65
	5.	Pradt from Cole, Paris, Tenn., 26.....	.26
		Griggs to United States marshal, Memphis, 19.....	.20
		Griggs to United States attorney, San Francisco, 33....	.66
	7.	Pradt from Brannigan, Hot Springs, 21.....	.26
		Pradt to Collins, Gorden, Pa., 10.....	.20
		Boyd to Winslow, Carrollton, 27.....	.27
		Griggs to Johnson, South McAlester, 44.....	.55
		Richards to United States marshal, Boston, 22.....	.22
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 18.....	.25
		Griggs to United States marshal, New York, 23.....	.23
		Rudy to Young, Chattanooga, 28.....	.28
		Boyd to Winslow, Carrollton, 40.....	.40
		Boyd to United States attorney, Detroit, 105.....	1.05
	9.	Griggs from Freeman, South McAlester, 21.....	.26
		Griggs to Freeman, South McAlester, 33.....	.41
		Griggs to United States marshal, Omaha, 55.....	.69
	10.	Roberts to First National Bank, St. Paul, 37.....	.46
		Griggs from W. A. Carpenter, Norfolk, 34.....	.34
		Griggs from Sutton, Lamy, N. Mex., 23.....	.40

1900.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Jan.	10.	Griggs from Bates, Detroit, 50.....	\$0. 50
		Griggs to United States marshal, Little Rock, 23.....	.29
		Griggs to United States attorney, San Antonio, 42.....	.63
		Pradt to Button, Middlebury, Vt., 24.....	.24
		Richards to Tisdell, Chicago, 21.....	.21
	11.	Griggs to United States marshal, Portland, Oreg., 14.....	.40
		Griggs to United States attorney, Detroit, 52.....	.52
		Griggs to United States marshal, Detroit, 38.....	.38
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 27.....	.34
		Hoyt to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 44.....	.44
		Griggs to Barrett, Philadelphia, 43.....	.43
		Hoyt to Sewell, Mare Island, 68.....	1. 38
		Hoyt to Helm, Memphis, 66.....	.66
		Hoyt from Sewell, Mare Island, 27.....	.32
		Easby Smith to Wishard, Indianapolis, 21.....	.21
		Easby Smith from Wishard, Indianapolis, 22.....	.22
	12.	Hoyt from Buckman, Key West, 55.....	.55
		Attorney-General from Burgwyn, Durham, N. C., 45 ..	.45
		Pradt to Button, Middlebury, Vt., 18.....	.20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Philadelphia, 32.....	.32
		Griggs to United States marshal, Philadelphia, 33.....	.33
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 18.....	.25
		Griggs from United States marshal, South McAlester, 20.....	.25
		Griggs to United States marshal, Omaha, 20.....	.25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Nashua, N. H., 15 ..	.20
		Griggs to Childers, Albuquerque, 15.....	.35
	14.	Griggs to Burgwyn, Henderson, N. C., 35.....	.35
		Griggs to United States attorney, Guthrie, 22.....	.28
		Griggs to United States attorney, Venita, 22.....	.28
		Griggs to United States attorney, Ardmore, 22.....	.28
		Griggs to United States attorney, Little Rock, 22.....	.28
		Griggs to United States attorney, Fort Smith, 22.....	.28
		Griggs to United States marshal, Little Rock, 17.....	.25
		Griggs to United States attorney, San Antonio, 22.....	.33
		Griggs to United States attorney, South McAlester, 24.....	.30
		Griggs to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 26.....	.46
		Roberts to Nebraska National Bank, Omaha, 40.....	.50
		Easby Smith to Jewett, Orlando, 27.....	.27
		Johnson to Griggs, Paterson, 30.....	.30
	15.	Richards to United States attorney, South McAlester, 22.....	.28
		Richards to United States marshal, South McAlester, 31.....	.39
		Richards to United States attorney, South McAlester, 37.....	.46
		Richards to United States marshal, Ardmore, 26.....	.33
		Richards to Sutherland, Albany, 76.....	.76
		Richards to United States attorney, San Antonio, 16.....	.30
		Richards to United States attorney, Brattleboro, 113.....	1. 13
	15.	Richards to United States attorney, Seattle, 15.....	.40
		Richards to United States attorney, Oshkosh, 53.....	.53
		Easby Smith to Hammer, Ardmore, 32.....	.40
	16.	Richards to United States marshal, Parkersburg, 32.....	.32
		Richards to Hammer, Ardmore, 29.....	.36
	10.	Griggs to Carpenter, Norfolk, 46.....	.46
	17.	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 42.....	.53
		Richards to Beck, Philadelphia, 8.....	.20
	18.	Richards from Cowin, New York, 94.....	.94
	20.	Griggs to Day, Canton, 20.....	.20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Shreveport, 25.....	.31
		Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, 18.....	.25
	22.	Griggs from Hoyt, Canon City, Colo., 18.....	.30
		Griggs from Maurer, Covington, 14.....	.20
		Griggs to Maurer, Covington, 24.....	.24
		Griggs to warden, Canon City, 24.....	.36
	24.	Griggs to United States marshal, Concord, N. H., 16.....	.20
	26.	Griggs to United States marshal, Parkersburg, 17.....	.20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Omaha, 20.....	.25

1900.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Jan.	26.	Griggs to United States marshal, Carson City, Nev., 20..	\$0.40
		Richards to United States attorney, Sioux Falls, S. Dak., 5684
	27.	Griggs to United States attorney, Brooklyn, 2222
	29.	Griggs from warden, Anamosa, 2228
		Griggs to United States attorney, Raleigh, 1920
		Griggs to warden, Anamosa, Iowa, 2327
		Griggs to United States marshal, Alexandria, La., 28...	.35
		Griggs to warden, Boise, 2244
	30.	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 16 ..	.25
		Griggs to Barnes, Fort Smith, 2327
		Richards to Seathom, Guthrie, 2430
	31.	Griggs to United States marshal, Raleigh, 1620
		Griggs to United States marshal, Macon, 1820
		Griggs to United States marshal, Birmingham, 2121
		Other lines' tolls45
			<hr/>
			\$40.43
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Jan.	5.	O'Connell to Bethea, Chicago, 2424
	17.	O'Connell to Gordon, Detroit, 8080
	27.	Reeve to Evans, St. Paul, 4253
			<hr/>
			1.57
		R. P. Clarke:	
Mar.	16.	3 dozen toilet soap, Pears, \$1.35	4.05
		Herman Baumgarten:	
Jan.	27.	2 No. 12 holders70
Feb.	21.	1 No. 2 excelsior pad50
			<hr/>
			1.20
		Adams Express Co.:	
Feb.	6.	Transportation charges on 1 package, weight 4½ pounds, to M. G. Reynolds, Santa Fe, N. Mex., at \$9.25 cwt.....	.75
		John B. Daish:	
Mar.	17.	60 tons egg coal, \$4.49	269.40
		S. P. Johnson:	
Mar.	19.	1 No. 1 Densmore typewriter, 13854, with cover	66.50
		United States Electric Light Co.:	
Feb.	28.	Use of electric current at 1435 K street NW., from February 1 to 28, inclusive, as follows:	
		Light meter, 1,358,400 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	\$81.50
		Motor meter, 253,600 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	15.21
			<hr/>
			96.71
		United States Electric Light Co.:	
		Use of electric current at No. 8, Lafayette Square, from February 1 to 28, inclusive, 597,400 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	32.84
		United States Electric Light Co.:	
	24.	7 32-candlepower electric lamps, 30 cents	2.10
		James Ragan:	
	27.	Repairing leaks in water pipes at No. 8, Jackson place:	
		1 pound solder25
		½ day's time, plumber and assistant	3.00
			<hr/>
			3.25
		N. L. Burchell:	
Mar.	19.	1 dozen Elder Flower soap84
		1 dozen Turkish Bath soap43
		2 dozen Cashmere Bouquet, at \$2.47	4.94
			<hr/>
			6.21
		Henry Baumgarten:	
Mar.	16.	1 font Reese's adjustable stencils	1.75
		6 stencil plates	2.40
		1 font of rubber type	1.70
			<hr/>
			5.85
		The Evening Star Newspaper Co.:	
Mar.	6.	Subscription to Evening Star from March 6 to July 1, 1900.....	1.62

1900.		John Owens:	
Mar.	29.	Raking of grass plot in front of Court of Claims and Department of Justice, sowing seed on same, and carting away refuse matter:	
		Time, 12½ hours, at \$1.50 per day.....	\$2. 34
		Carting.....	.50
			<hr/> \$2. 84
		Fannie Jackson:	
Mar.	31.	Washing 100½ dozen towels during March, 1900, at 12 cents.....	12. 02
		D. Rickenbacher:	
Jan.	23.	Repairing 3 clocks.....	\$3. 00
	30.	Repairing 1 clock.....	2. 00
Feb.	6.	Repairing American clocks.....	2. 00
	15.	1 double key.....	.25
			<hr/> 7. 25
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Mar.	31.	Use of electric current at 1435 K street NW. from March 1 to 31, inclusive, as follows:	
		Light meter, 1,537,200 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000.....	92. 23
		Motor meter, 294,400 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000.....	17. 66
			<hr/> 109. 89
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
Mar.	31.	Use of electric current at No. 8 Lafayette square, from March 1 to 31, inclusive, 663,200 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000.....	39. 79
		The Grove Lime and Coal Co.:	
Feb.	19.	½ cord sawed and split pine (order 978).....	\$2. 50
	21.	½ cord sawed and split pine.....	2. 50
Mar.	28.	½ cord sawed and split pine (order 1029).....	2. 50
		½ cord sawed and split pine.....	2. 50
			<hr/> 10. 00
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
	31.	13,200 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of March, 1900, at \$1 net per M cubic feet:	
		8,300 feet, 1435 K street NW., at \$1.....	8. 30
		4,900 feet, 8 Jackson place, at \$1.....	4. 90
			<hr/> 13. 20
		William I. Ticknor:	
Feb.	27.	2 No. 6 typewriters, with covers, at \$90.....	180. 00
		2 copyholders, at \$2.....	4. 00
			<hr/> 184. 00
1899.		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
Oct.	16.	1 card attachment and adjusting No. 6-28895.....	2. 95
Dec.	13.	Adjusting typewriter No. 7-3104.....	.60
	18.	Adjusting typewriter No. 6-45050.....	.60
1900.			
Jan.	15.	Remodeling 1 typewriter.....	52. 75
	17.	Adjusting typewriter No. 2-4789.....	9. 65
	17.	Adjusting typewriter No. 6-3444.....	1. 60
Feb.	1.	Adjusting typewriter No. 4135.....	.60
	10.	Adjusting typewriter No. 28895.....	.70
	21.	Adjusting typewriter No. 2-57083.....	1. 00
	23.	Adjusting typewriter No. 6-32243.....	.65
Jan.	8.	1 No. 6 typewriter.....	87. 75
	10.	1 No. 6 typewriter.....	87. 75
			<hr/> 246. 60
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Feb.	1.	Griggs to warden, Fort Leavenworth, 41.....	.51
		Griggs to Speed, Guthrie, 41.....	.51
		Griggs to keeper State prison, Trenton, 22.....	.22
		Griggs to United States marshal, Concord, N. H., 19.....	.20
		Richards to Dewhurst, St. Augustine, 20.....	.20
	2.	Griggs to United States attorney, Ardmore, 37.....	.46
		Griggs to United States attorney, New York, 55.....	.55
		Griggs to United States attorney, Atlanta, 28.....	.28
	4.	Griggs to keeper State prison, Trenton, 25.....	.25
		Rechtin to Baker, Memphis, 17.....	.20
		Richards to United States marshal, Madison, 20.....	.20

1900. Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.		
Feb.	4. Richards to Burnett, New York, 20	\$0. 20
	Roberts to assistant treasurer, New Orleans, 24 30
	Clay to Erwin, New York, 21 21
	5. Richards to United States marshal, Tucson, 34 60
	Richards to United States attorney, New York, 21 21
	Richards to United States marshal, Dallas, 20 30
	7. Rehtin to Scothorn, Guthrie, 19 25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 20 35
	8. Griggs to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 41 72
	Griggs to Johnson, Ardmore, 31 39
	Pradt to Nott, New Orleans, 19 25
	9. Griggs to United States attorney, Oshkosh, 31 31
	Pradt to Underwood, Marietta, Ohio, 39 39
	10. Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 16 25
	Griggs to Johnson, Dallas, 23 35
	12. Richards to United States attorney, Winston, N. C., 50 50
	Richards to Irwin, El Reno, Okla., 44 55
	Richards to Irwin, El Reno, Okla., 29 36
	Richards to United States attorney, Guthrie, 40 50
	Rudy to United States attorney, Guthrie, 32 40
	13. Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, 28 42
	Griggs to United States marshal, Shreveport, 24 30
	Griggs to United States attorney, Baltimore, 18 20
	14. Griggs to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 32 64
	Griggs to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 20 40
	Griggs to Randolph, Memphis, 33 33
	15. Griggs to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 36 36
	Roberts to assistant treasurer, New Orleans, 25 31
	16. Griggs to United States attorney, New Orleans, 18 25
	Griggs to Fallett, El Paso, 20 30
	19. Griggs to United States attorney, Louisville, 17 20
	20. Boyd to Gaines, Charleston, 38 38
	Boyd to Hammer, Ryan, Ind. T., 27 34
	Boyd to United States marshal, Tucson, 27 47
	21. Boyd to Campbell, San Antonio, 22 33
	Griggs to United States attorney, Philadelphia, 22 22
	23. Richards to Evarts, New York, 28 28
	25. Griggs to United States attorney, Cheyenne, 21 32
	Griggs to United States attorney, Chicago, 14 20
	Griggs to United States marshal, Chicago, 23 23
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 29 51
	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 13 25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, 14 25
	26. Griggs to United States marshal, New Orleans, 17 25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Oxford, Miss., 17 25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 27 34
	Griggs to Griggs, El Paso, 25 38
	Griggs to McCoughey, Fort Leavenworth, 23 29
	27. Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, 25 25
	Griggs to United States marshal, San Antonio, 28 42
	28. Griggs from Young, St. Louis, 39 49
	Griggs to Young, St. Louis, 44 55
	Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 40 80
	Boyd to United States attorney, Charleston, W. Va, 29 29
	Finn to Carr, San Antonio, 39 59
		<hr/> \$23. 36
Western Union Telegraph Co.:		
	23. Reeve to Hill, Louisville, Ky., 46 46
Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:		
Mar.	1. United States attorney, New York, from Boyd, 31 31
	2. United States attorney, Kansas City, from Griggs, 15 25
	5. United States attorney, Portland, Me., from Griggs, 23 23
	6. Boyle, Seattle, from Hoyt, 48 96
	United States attorney, Portland, Me., from Griggs, 19 20
	7. United States attorney, Portland, Me., from Griggs, 20 20
	8. United States attorney, New Orleans, from Richards, 34 43

1900.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Mar.	9.	Benedict, New York, from Pradt, 15	\$0. 20
	10.	United States Attorney, Boston, from Rechlin, 34 34
	13.	Post, New York, from Griggs, 19 20
	14.	Post, New York, from Griggs, 25 25
	15.	Warden, Fort Leavenworth, from Griggs, 22 28
		United States marshal, San Antonio, from Griggs, 23 ..	. 35
	16.	Tillman, Nashville, from Griggs, 21 21
		United States marshal, Topeka, from Griggs, 20 25
		United States marshal, Jackson, from Griggs, 17 25
	19.	United States marshal, Los Angeles, from Griggs, 11...	. 40
	20.	Collins, Chattanooga, from Pradt, 16 20
	22.	United States marshal, Little Rock, from Griggs, 16...	. 25
		Childers, Albuquerque, from Griggs, 31 54
		United States attorney, Utica, from Griggs, 19 20
		United States attorney, Portland, from Griggs, 23 23
	23.	Pradt, Washington, D. C., from Collins, Chattanooga,	
		Tenn., 39 39
		United States attorney, Denver, from Griggs, 15 30
	24.	Page, New York, from Richards, 66 66
		United States attorney, New York, from Richards, 122..	1. 22
		United States attorney, New York, from Richards, 22...	. 22
		Collins, Chattanooga, from Pradt, 26 26
	27.	United States attorney, Denver, from Griggs, 28 42
		United States marshal, Macon, from Griggs, 13 20
		United States marshal, Albuquerque, from Griggs, 28..	. 49
		United States marshal, Pensacola, from Griggs, 13 20
	28.	Moyer & Taylor, Memphis, from Griggs, 27 27
		United States attorney, New Orleans, from Richards, 26..	. 33
	29.	United States attorney, New York, from Richards, 75 ..	. 75
		Roosevelt, Albany, from Richards, 139	1. 39
	30.	United States attorney, Denver, from Griggs, 28 42
		United States attorney, Cleveland, from Griggs, 28 28
			<hr/>
			\$14. 53
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
Mar.	31.	Ice for March, 8,225 pounds, at 20 cents per 100	16. 45
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
Mar.	31.	Maintenance of 1 Observatory Department clock for quarter	
		ended March 31, 1900, at \$50 per annum	12. 50
		J. C. Hurley:	
Mar.	3.	Making new fire valve and repairing old valve:	
		Blacksmith and helper, 5½ hours, at 70 cents	\$3. 85
		20 pounds iron, at 4 cents 80
			<hr/>
			4. 65
		Thomas Somerville & Sons:	
Jan.	16.	2 $\frac{3}{8}$ by $\frac{1}{2}$ bushings 04
		Chase bushings 10
			<hr/>
			. 14
		J. Hall Semmes:	
Sept.	12.	1 dozen matches (boxes) 20
		1900.	
Apr.	16.	3 dozen matches (boxes) 60
			<hr/>
			. 80
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
Mar.	31.	Exchange rental for—	
		Switch-board instrument, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		General agent, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Pardon attorney, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		File room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Stationery room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Solicitor-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Colonel Hoyt's office, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Appointment division, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Colonel Boyd's office, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Disbursing clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25

The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.—
Continued.

1900.	Exchange rental for—		
Mar.	31.	Fourth-floor hall, at \$38 per annum.....	\$6. 25
		Chief finance division, at \$38 per annum.....	6. 25
		Assistant attorney-general, at \$38 per annum.....	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, No. 8 Lafayette square, at \$38 per annum.....	6. 25
		Library old Corcoran Art Gallery, at \$38 per annum.....	6. 25
		Private secretary to Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum.....	6. 25
		First-floor hall, at \$24 per annum.....	6. 00
		Third-floor hall, at \$24 per annum.....	6. 00
		Mr. Sheibley, at \$24 per annum.....	6. 00
		Captain Glover, at \$24 per annum.....	5. 73
		Assistant Attorney-General, second floor Lafayette square, at \$38 per annum.....	6. 25
			<hr/> \$148. 73
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
Mar.	31.	Exchange rental for Solicitor for Treasury from Jan. 1 to Mar. 31, at \$34.50 per annum.....	6. 25
		John B. Daish:	
Apr.	20.	1 cord 3-piece hickory wood.....	\$5. 90
		60 tons white ash egg coal, at \$4.49.....	269. 40
			<hr/> 275. 30
		National Electrical Supply Co.:	
Jan.	13.	Moving and replacing electric-light fixtures in basement.....	1. 80
Feb.	12.	Repairing electric indicator, second floor, Baltic Building.....	2. 90
		Repairing electric lights and bells at No. 8 Jackson place.....	4. 00
	20.	Repairing electric fan and electric light at No. 8 Jackson place.....	1. 80
Apr.	9.	Fusing up at No. 8 Lafayette square.....	1. 15
			<hr/> 11. 65
1900.		Western Union Telegraph Company:	
Mar.	1.	Attorney-General, from Johnson, New York, 17.....	. 20
		Griggs to United States attorney, Philadelphia, 20.....	. 20
	2.	Griggs, from Ford, Lynchburg, Va., 88.....	. 88
		Griggs, from Ford, Lynchburg, Va., 23.....	. 23
	4.	Griggs to United States attorney, Sioux Falls, 19.....	. 30
		Griggs to United States attorney, Tucson, 24.....	. 42
	5.	Griggs, from Eames & Young, St. Louis, 16.....	. 25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 12.....	. 35
		Griggs to United States marshal, St. Paul, 16.....	. 25
		Griggs to Eames & Young, St. Louis, 28.....	. 35
		Griggs to White, Princeton, Mercer County, W. Va., (25) 25.....	. 25
	6.	Griggs to United States marshal, New Haven, Conn., 21.....	. 21
		Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 29.....	. 29
		Griggs to United States marshal, Pauls Valley, Ind. T., 27.....	. 34
		Griggs to United States attorney, Galveston, 49.....	. 74
	7.	Richards to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 21.....	. 21
		Griggs to Convers & Kirlin, New York, 73.....	. 73
		Griggs to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 27.....	. 27
	8.	Pradt to Benedict, New York, 25.....	. 25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 147.....	1. 47
	9.	Griggs to Convers & Kirlin, New York, 25.....	. 25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Boston, 18.....	. 20
	11.	Richards to United States marshal, Omaha, 17.....	. 25
		Richards to United States marshal, Ardmore, Ind. T., 24.....	. 30
	12.	Attorney-General, from Speer, Savannah, 78.....	. 78
		Attorney-General to Watkins, Woodbury, N. J., 31.....	. 31
		Attorney-General to United States marshal, Guthrie, Okla., 37.....	. 49
		Attorney-General to United States marshal, Savannah, 25.....	. 25
		Attorney-General to Speer, Savannah, Ga., 15.....	. 20

1900. Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.		
Mar.	13. Richards to United States attorney, New York, 32.....	\$0. 32
	14. Attorney-General, from Locke, Jacksonville, 55.....	.55
	Griggs to Severens, Grand Rapids, 31.....	.31
	Griggs to United States marshal, Brownsville, Tex., 19..	.30
	Griggs to Soper, Wagoner, Ind. T., 17.....	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Boise, 16.....	.40
	• Griggs to Locke, Jacksonville, 44.....	.44
	Griggs to Convers & Kirlin, New York, 37.....	.37
	Griggs to United States attorney, Lynchburg, 24.....	.24
	15. Griggs, from Locke, Jacksonville, 44.....	.44
	Griggs to Locke, Jacksonville, 35.....	.35
	Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, 22.....	.28
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 17.....	.30
	Richards to Speed, Guthrie, 23.....	.27
	16. Richards to Convers & Kirlin, New York, 20.....	.20
	Attorney-General, from Tillman, Nashville, 18.....	.20
	Attorney-General to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 20.....	.20
	18. Attorney-General to Clark, Jacksonville, 20.....	.20
	Attorney-General to United States marshal, Des Moines, 20.....	.25
	Attorney-General, from Locke, Jacksonville, 24.....	.24
	Roberts to Austin National Bank, Austin, Tex., 38.....	.57
	Griggs to Webster, Rochester, N. Y., 24.....	.24
	Griggs to United States marshal, San Antonio, 18.....	.30
	Pradt to United States attorney, Nashville, 26.....	.26
	Pradt, from United States attorney, Nashville, 20.....	.20
	Easby Smith to Angier, Atlanta, 34.....	.34
	Griggs to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 17.....	.35
	Griggs to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 38.....	.76
	Griggs to United States marshal, Chicago, 17.....	.20
	20. Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 17..	.25
	Griggs to United States marshal, Atoka, Ind. T., 15.....	.25
	Griggs to jailer Fulton County jail, Atlanta, 26.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 19.....	.35
	Griggs to United States attorney, Chicago, 21.....	.21
	Hughes to Richards, Columbus, Ohio, 22.....	.22
	21. Griggs to United States attorney, St. Louis, 21.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Rutland, Vt., 51.....	.51
	22. Griggs to United States marshal, St. Paul, 14.....	.25
	Griggs to Shummel, Omaha, 24.....	.30
	Griggs to Church, El Paso, 16.....	.30
	23. Pradt from Dougherty, Nashville, 35.....	.35
	Pradt to Lewis, Forest City, Ark., 19.....	.25
	Pradt to Dougherty, Memphis, 34.....	.34
	Pradt to Collins, Elizabethton, 26.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Carson City, 15.....	.40
	Griggs to United States marshal, Oxford, 22.....	.28
	Richards to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 90....	.90
	24. Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 20.....	.20
	26. Griggs to United States attorney, Little Rock, 36.....	.45
	Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 26.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 18.....	.30
	Griggs to United States attorney, San Antonio, 37.....	.59
	27. Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 26.....	.26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Purcell, Ind. T., 22....	.28
	28. Richards to United States attorney, Grand Rapids, 33..	.33
	Richards to United States marshal, Loreta, Tex., 29....	.44
	29. Richards to United States attorney, Woodbury, N. J., 46	.46
	Roberts to Austin National Bank, Austin, 40.....	.60
	30. Griggs to United States attorney, Charleston, S. C., 42..	.42
	Griggs to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 20.....	.35
	Griggs to Post, New York, 28.....	.28
	Griggs to United States marshal, Fort Smith, 21.....	.26
	31. Griggs to United States marshal, Fort Smith, 21.....	.26
	Other lines toll, 25.....	.25
		<hr/> \$32. 50

1900. Western Union Telegraph Co.:		
Mar.	2. Reese to Wishard, Indianapolis, 36	\$0. 36
	28. O'Connell to Morrison, Prescott, Ariz., 47.....	.82
	29. O'Connell to district attorney, Prescott, Ariz., 39.....	.68
		<hr/>
		\$1. 86
Adams Express Co.:		
Mar.	6. One package, 4½ pounds, from Washington, D. C., to M. G. Reynolds, Santa Fe, N. Mex., at \$9.25 per cwt75
1899. The Geo. W. Knox Express Co.:		
Dec.	8. Drayage on 1 case books	\$0. 50
	15. Drayage on 2 cases books.....	.50
		<hr/>
		1. 00
1900. Jordon & Balser:		
Apr.	3. 5 hours' time, at 50 cents, overhauling and adjusting electric motor	2. 50
Fannie Jackson:		
Apr.	30. Washing 88½ dozen towels during month April, 1900, at 12 cents.	10. 59
Bates Manufacturing Co.:		
Apr.	19. Chaining and repairing 1.6 W. E. automatic numbering machine, No. 17254.....	\$1. 75
	25. 1 bottle No. 2 black copying ink.....	.50
	1 bottle No. 2 red copying ink50
	4 ink pads, at 15 cents60
		<hr/>
		3. 35
Washington Gaslight Co.:		
Apr.	30. 9,600 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of April, 1900, at \$1 net per M cubic feet:	
	7,800 cubic feet, 1435 K street	\$7. 80
	1,800 cubic feet, 8 Jackson place	1. 80
		<hr/>
		9. 60
Knickerbocker Ice Co.:		
Apr.	30. Ice for April, 7,875 pounds, at 20 cents.....	15. 75
United States Electric Lighting Co.:		
Use of electric current at 1435 K street NW., from April 1 to 30, inclusive, as follows:		
	Light meter, 1,323,600 watt hours, 6 cents per 1,000.	\$79. 41
	Motor meter, 277,000 watt hours, 6 cents per 1,000.	16. 62
		<hr/>
		96. 03
United States Electric Lighting Co.:		
Use of electric current at No. 8 Lafayette square, from April 1 to 30, 1900, inclusive, 627,600 watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000.		37. 65
Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:		
Apr.	2. United States marshal, Paris, Tex., from Griggs, 49....	\$0. 74
	United States marshal, Kansas City, from Richards, 22.28
	United States attorney, Baltimore, from Griggs, 16.....	.20
	3. United States attorney, Baltimore, from Griggs, 30.....	.30
	4. United States attorney, New York, from Griggs, 37.....	.37
	United States attorney, Montgomery, from Griggs, 23..	.23
	United States attorney, Paris, Tex., via Galveston, from Griggs, 28.....	.72
	6. Brockway, Elmira, New York, from Griggs, 23.....	.23
	Rose, New York, from Richards, 4444
	7. Jailer, Atlanta, from Griggs, 24.....	.24
	United States marshal, Aberdeen, from Richards, 15....	.25
	Randolph, Memphis, from Richards, 18.....	.20
	United States attorney, Buffalo, N. Y., from Griggs, 31.31
	9. Myers, Philadelphia, Pa., from Russell, 2525
	Knott, New Orleans, from Pradt, 2025
	Evans, Louisville, from Boyd, 1820
	10. United States marshal, San Francisco, from Griggs, 26..	.52
	11. Pitney, Newark, N. J., from Griggs, 1920
	United States attorney, Las Cruces, N. Mex., from Griggs, 19.....	.35
	12. United States attorney, St. Paul, from Griggs, 26.....	.33
	United States marshal, Charleston, S. C., from Griggs, 18.20
	United States attorney, San Francisco, from Richards, 36.72
	Richards, Washington, D. C., from Reeve, Stillwater, Minn., 5569

1900.		United States Electric Lighting Co.—Continued.	
Apr.	13.	United States attorney, Madison, Wis., from Griggs, 17.	\$0.20
		United States marshal, El Paso, Tex., Griggs, 13.	.30
		United States marshal, Topeka, Griggs, 23.	.29
		United States attorney, Aberdeen, Miss., Griggs, 25.	.31
		Warden, Stillwater, Minn., Griggs, 25.	.31
	14.	United States marshal, El Paso, Tex., Griggs, 20.	.40
		United States attorney, Nashua, N. H., Griggs, 22.	.22
	16.	Grand Central Hotel, El Paso, Tex., Griggs, 20.	.30
	17.	United States marshal, Paris, Tex., Griggs, 17.	.30
		United States attorney, Seattle, Griggs, 37.	.74
	18.	Bates Manufacturing Co., Orange, Clay, 21.	.21
	19.	Griggs, Washington, Cowin, Omaha, 28.	.35
	20.	Terrill, El Paso, Tex., Griggs, 47.	.71
	21.	United States marshal, San Antonio, Griggs, 28.	.30
		United States marshal, San Francisco, Griggs, 18.	.40
		United States attorney, Seattle, Griggs, 14.	.40
	24.	United States attorney, Topeka, Boyd, 57.	.71
		United States attorney, Cleveland, Boyd, 47.	.47
		Subrecht, San Antonio, Boyd, 37.	.59
		United States marshal, Nashville, Griggs, 19.	.20
	26.	Griggs, Washington, D. C., Cowin, 14.	.25
		Brown, Juneau, Alaska, Rudy, 33.	.66
		United States attorney, Portland, Me., Griggs, 11.	.20
		Howe, New Orleans, Griggs, 25.	.31
		Eames & Young, St. Louis, Griggs, 30.	.39
		Marvin, New Haven, Griggs, 20.	.20
	27.	Dougherty, Memphis, Pradt, 20.	.20
	28.	Austin National Bank, Austin, Roberts, 38.	.57
		United States attorney, Kansas City, Griggs, 16.	.25
	30.	United States marshal, El Paso, Tex., Griggs, 17.	.30
		United States attorney, Albuquerque, Griggs, 12.	.35
			<hr/>
			\$19.51
		Pennsylvania Railroad:	
Aug.	21.	Transportation of 1 case copying books from Washington, D. C., to Philadelphia, Pa., per B. L. 616, consigned to Wm. Mann Co., care deputy quartermaster, Philadelphia, Pa., 101 pounds, at 28 cents per cwt. . .	.28
1900.		Willis & Clements:	
May	10.	Framed print of the Hon. H. Conrad	15.00
		Boxing and express	1.10
			<hr/>
			16.10
		James B. Lambie:	
Feb.	3.	1 padlock85
	13.	1 pint can glue40
		$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen blank keys38
	14.	1 squirt can25
	15.	To repairing pole75
	19.	1 drill bit20
			<hr/>
			2.83
		James B. Lambie:	
Mar.	31.	17 feet sash cord15
		1 blank key06
		1 saw file12
Apr.	4.	2 Yale locks, \$1.15	2.30
	26.	1 drill30
		1 dozen screws05
		1 blank key05
		1 pound cotton rope30
		6 galvanized awning pulleys, 5 cents30
		1 $\frac{1}{2}$ pounds $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch gum packing, 30 cents75
		1 ball twine20
		2 2 by $\frac{1}{2}$ lag screws04
		$\frac{1}{2}$ dozen awning slides, 45 cents23
		2 dozen screws, 5 cents10
		4 gross screw eyes, 65 cents	2.60
		2 dozen rings, 3 cents06
			<hr/>
			8.41

1900.	W. H. Cooper:	
May	7. 2 gold signs for paintings, at \$1.....	\$2. 00
	United Typewriter and Supply Co.:	
Mar.	14. Repairs to No. 1 Densmore 9114 50
	United States Express Co.:	
Apr.	25. Package, 2, Department of Justice, from Orange, N. J 25
	Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Apr.	2. Griggs to United States attorney, Albuquerque, 12	\$0. 35
	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 20 25
	3. Griggs to United States marshal, Kansas City, 24 30
	Griggs to United States marshal, Paris, Tex., 32 48
	5. Boyd to attorney, New York, 29 29
	Griggs to attorney, Antlers, Ind. T., 29 36
	Griggs to attorney, Boise, 42 84
	Griggs to Speed, Guthrie, 29 36
	Griggs to Hartford, Nashville, 27 27
	Richards to United States attorney, South McAlester, 25 31
	9. Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, 30 38
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 24 30
	Richards to United States marshal, Guthrie, 25 31
	Roberts to Speed, Guthrie, 48 60
	10. Pradt to Dougherty, Memphis, 31 31
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 24 36
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 12 30
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 17 30
	Griggs to United States marshal, Aberdeen, 19 25
	Roberts to assistant treasurer, San Francisco, 27 54
	11. Griggs to United States marshal, Tucson, 24 42
	Griggs to United States marshal, Birmingham, 26 26
	Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 27 34
	Griggs to Watkins, Woodbury, N. J., 24 24
	Griggs to United States marshal, Sioux Falls, 20 30
	Griggs to United States attorney, Danville, Va., 29 29
	Griggs to United States marshal, Aberdeen, Miss., 21 26
	Clay to Bates, Orange, N. J., 26 26
	13. Griggs to United States attorney, Chicago, 15 20
	Griggs to United States marshal, El Paso, 16 30
	15. Richards to United States attorney, San Francisco, 16 40
	16. Griggs to United States attorney, South McAlester, 34 43
	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 18 25
	17. Hoyt to Beecher & Scovill, N. Y., 26 26
	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 14 25
	Boyd to United States attorney, Sitka, 34 68
	18. Griggs from Dukes, Orangeburg, S. C., 20 20
	Griggs to United States marshal, Omaha, 13 25
	Griggs to United States jailer, Orangeburg, S. C., 23 23
	19. Griggs from Brawley, Greenville, S. C., 27 29
	Smith to Speer, Macon, 29 29
	Griggs to United States attorney, New York, 15 20
	Griggs to United States attorney, San Francisco, 26 52
	Griggs to United States marshal, Trenton (forwarded), 30 30
	Griggs to Brawley, Charleston (38), 33 33
	Griggs to United States marshal, San Antonio, 21 32
	21. Richards to United States attorney, Moscow, 28 56
	23. Roberts to Austin National Bank, Austin, 37 56
	Griggs to board of directors, Moundsville, 36 36
	Griggs to United States marshal, El Paso, 24 36
	Griggs to board, Nashville, 24 24
	Griggs to United States marshal, Albuquerque, 16 35
	Griggs to United States marshal, Trenton, 28 28
	Griggs to United States attorney, Helena, Mont., 23 40
	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 16 25
	Griggs to United States warden, Fort Leavenworth, 37 46
	24. Griggs to United States attorney, New York, 88 88
	25. Roberts to First National Bank, Trenton, 40 40
	Griggs to Brockway, Elmira, 18 20
	Boyd to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 21 42

1900.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
Apr.	25.	Boyd to United States marshal, South McAlester, 34....	\$0. 43
	26.	Griggs to United States attorney, El Paso, Tex., 24.....	.36
		Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 29..	.36
		Griggs to United States marshal, Portland, Me., 40.....	.40
		Griggs to United States attorney, Portland, Me., 49.....	.49
		Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 12.....	.20
	27.	Pradt from Dougherty, Memphis, 25.....	.25
		Griggs to Bergen, Elizabeth, 34.....	.34
		Griggs to United States attorney, St. Paul, 45.....	.56
	28.	Roberts to assistant treasurer, St. Louis, 24.....	.30
	29.	Griggs to United States attorney, St. Paul, 30.....	.25
		Griggs to United States attorney, Los Angeles, 32.....	.64
		Griggs to United States marshal, Little Rock, 31.....	.39
		Griggs to United States marshal, Salt Lake, 14.....	.35
	30.	Griggs to United States marshal, St. Paul, 28.....	.35
		Other lines, toll.....	.38
			<hr/> \$27. 30
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
Apr.	2.	O'Connell to Terrell, San Antonio, 24.....	.36
	4.	O'Connell to Griffith, Tucson, 38.....	.67
	5.	O'Connell to Reese, Montgomery, 55.....	.55
		O'Connell from Reese, Montgomery, 18.....	.20
	13.	O'Connell to Angier, Atlanta, 49.....	.49
	14.	O'Connell to Angier, Atlanta, 86.....	.86
	20.	O'Connell from Morrison, Prescott, 24.....	.42
		O'Connell to Menager, Tucson, 39.....	.68
		O'Connell to Morrison, Prescott, 34.....	.60
	30.	O'Connell to Warner, Kansas City, 20.....	.25
			<hr/> 5. 08
		The Washington Post Co.:	
Apr.	7.	Proposals for stationery and miscellaneous supplies, 23 lines once a week, 4 times.....	12. 65
		The Times Co.:	
Apr.	8.	20 lines, 4 days, proposals for stationery, etc., 4-8, 14, 21, 28.....	9. 00
		The Evening Star Newspaper Co.:	
Apr.	7.	Advertising in the Evening Star in its issues of April 7, 14, 21, 28, 1900, for proposals, 19 lines once a week, 4 times.....	9. 88
		Fannie Jackson:	
May	31.	Washing 99½ dozen towels during month of May, 1900, at 12 cents.....	11. 90
		D. Rickenbacher:	
	7.	Repairing engine-room clock.....	2. 00
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
	31.	Ice for May, 8,225 pounds, at 20 cents.....	16. 45
		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
	31.	4,100 cubic feet of gas consumed in the month of May, 1900, at \$1 net per 1,000 cubic feet—	
		3,800 cubic feet 1435 K street.....	\$3. 80
		300 cubic feet 8 Jackson place.....	.30
			<hr/> 4. 10
		Theodore B. Lyman:	
	31.	For services in assisting the librarian in arranging, classifying, and cataloguing books in the library of the Department of Justice from April 30 to May 31, 1900, inclusive.....	77. 50
		William S. Ballard:	
		Bryant's History of the United States, vol. 5.....	7. 50
		W. Andrew Boyd:	
Feb.	1.	7 District of Columbia directories, 1900, at \$5.....	35. 00
1899.		M. E. Mann:	
Nov.	4.	1 vol. Appleton's Annual Cyclopædia for 1898.....	6. 00
1900.		M. E. Mann:	
June	14.	Cyclopædia of American Biography, vol. 7.....	6. 00
		M. E. Mann:	
Feb.	20.	Appleton's Annual Encyclopædia, 1898 (third series, vol. 3)....	7. 00

1900.		Notley Anderson:	
Apr.	6.	Building coal vault at No. 8 Jackson place.....	\$2. 85
	16.	Putting up reflector to window of Attorney-General, K street.....	7. 25
		Repairing curtains room 402, K street.....	.50
		Putting up shelves with bronze brackets, rooms 404 and 410 K street.....	3. 29
			<hr/>
			\$13. 89
		James B. Lambie:	
May	1.	50 feet $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch extra Para hose complete.....	9. 75
		4 No. 50 whitewash brushes, \$1.25.....	5. 00
	4.	1 $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch machine bolt.....	.10
		1 8-inch half-round smooth file and handle.....	.30
		5 pounds graphite grease, 20 cents.....	1. 00
	22.	3 tack claws, 40 cents.....	1. 20
	29.	2 pounds white lead, 12 $\frac{1}{2}$ cents.....	.25
		3 pounds dry red lead, 10 cents.....	.30
		$\frac{1}{2}$ pound litharge.....	.08
		2 manhole gaskets, 50 cents.....	1. 00
			<hr/>
			18. 98
		Geo. F. Muth & Co.:	
Apr.	7.	1 dozen small hand mops.....	.70
		R. P. Clarke & Co.:	
June	14.	2 dozen Pears soap, \$1.35.....	2. 70
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:	
May	1.	Prison commissioners, Nashville, from Griggs, 24.....	.24
		Childers, Santa Fe, from Griggs, 33.....	.58
	4.	United States attorney, St. Paul, from Griggs, 23.....	.29
		United States attorney, Brattleboro, from Griggs, 19c.....	.20
		United States attorney, Madison, Wis., from Griggs, 16.....	.20
	5.	United States attorney, Pittsburg, from Griggs, 16.....	.20
	7.	Attorney-General, District of Columbia, from Sayers, Austin, 55.....	.83
		United States attorney, Buffalo, from Griggs, 70.....	.70
		United States attorney, Denver, from Griggs, 32.....	.48
		United States marshal, Little Rock, from Griggs, 26.....	.33
		Governor of Texas, Austin, from Griggs, 45.....	.68
		United States attorney, Pittsburg, from Griggs, 18.....	.20
		United States attorney, Buffalo, from Griggs, 16.....	.20
		United States attorney, New York, from Griggs, 37.....	.37
	8.	United States attorney, New York, from Griggs, 111.....	1. 11
		Sanger, Lincoln, from Griggs, 61.....	.76
		Attorney-General, District of Columbia, from Sanger, Lincoln, 40.....	.50
		Attorney-General, District of Columbia, from Sanger, Lincoln, 23.....	.29
		Attorney-General, District of Columbia, Frederick, Sitka, 41.....	.82
	10.	United States marshal, Louisville, from Griggs, 22.....	.22
	11.	United States attorney, Salt Lake City, from Griggs, 22.....	.60
		Nott, New Orleans, from Pradt, 26.....	.26
		United States attorney, Louisville, from Griggs, 19.....	.20
	12.	Superintendent prisons, Philadelphia, from Griggs, 35.....	.35
	14.	Warden, United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, from Griggs, 30.....	.38
		Eames, Atlanta, from Griggs, 31.....	.31
	15.	Griggs, District of Columbia, to Stark, Jefferson City, Mo., 28.....	.35
		Sutherland, Utica, from Griggs, 50.....	.50
		Warden Missouri Penitentiary, Jefferson City, from Griggs, 24.....	.30
	16.	United States attorney, Baltimore, from Griggs, 14.....	.20
		United States attorney, Grand Rapids, from Griggs, 30.....	.30
		United States attorney, Galveston, from Griggs, 35.....	.53
		United States attorney, Montgomery, from Griggs, 24.....	.24
		Chisholm, Birmingham, from Pradt, 14.....	.20

1900.		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.—Continued.	
May	17.	Richards, District of Columbia, from Gorham, Boston, 40.....	\$0. 40
		Baldwin, New York, from Griggs, 26.....	.26
		McClaughey, Fort Leavenworth, from Griggs, 16.....	.25
		United States attorney, St. Louis, from Griggs, 23.....	.27
		Collins, Chattanooga, from Pradt, 18.....	.20
		Gorham, Boston, from Richards, 31.....	.31
	19.	Attorney-General, District of Columbia, to Hartford, Nashville, 15.....	.20
		United States attorney, San Antonio, from Griggs, 25..	.38
		Warden prison, Nashville, from Griggs, 22.....	.22
		United States attorney, Atlanta, from Easby Smith, 21..	.21
	21.	United States marshal, Elmira, N. Y., from Richards, 19.	.20
		Sutherland, Rochester, N. Y., from Richards, 55.....	.55
		United States marshal, Sitka, Alaska, from Richards, 22	.44
	22.	United States marshal, Elmira, N. Y., from Griggs, 24.	.34
		Bohle, St. Louis, from Griggs, 23.....	.27
	23.	Rozier, St. Louis, from Griggs, 25.....	.31
		Shine, San Francisco, from Griggs, 17.....	.40
	26.	United States marshal, Denver, from Griggs, 16.....	.30
	28.	Collins, Fayetteville, from Pradt, 21.....	.21
		United States attorney, Portland, Oreg., from Griggs, 31	.62
		Eames & Young, St. Louis, from Griggs, 49.....	.61
		Pradt, District of Columbia, to Collins, Fayetteville, N. C., 35.....	.35
	29.	United States attorney, St. Louis, from Griggs, 46.....	.58
	31.	United States attorney, New Orleans, from Griggs, 15..	.25
		Marshal, Cleveland, Ohio, from Griggs, 20.....	.20
		United States attorney, Portland, Me., from Griggs, 24.	.24
		Erwin, Macon, from Easby Smith, 18.....	.20
			22. 73
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
May	2.	Roberts to First National Bank, St. Paul, 43.....	.54
		Griggs to United States marshal, Woodbury, N. J., 20....	.20
	3.	Griggs to Soper, Vinita, Ind. T., 26.....	.33
		Griggs to Burnett, New York, 29.....	.29
		Griggs to United States attorney, Woodbury, N. J., 22..	.22
		Sheibley to United States attorney, Vinita, Ind. T., 22..	.28
		Griggs to United States attorney, Fargo, N. Dak., 19....	.30
		Griggs to Corwin, Omaha, 37.....	.46
		Griggs to United States attorney, New York, 25.....	.25
	6.	Boyd to Rawlins, New York, 19.....	.20
		Boyd to Harrity, Philadelphia, 29.....	.29
		Boyd to West, St. Louis, 25.....	.31
		Griggs to Brown, Buffalo, 105.....	1. 05
	7.	Griggs to United States attorney, New Orleans, 24.....	.30
		Griggs to United States attorney, Cheyenne, 21.....	.32
	8.	Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, N. Dak., 46....	.69
	9.	Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, N. Dak., 29....	.44
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 21.....	.26
	10.	Griggs to United States attorney, San Antonio, 20.....	.30
	13.	Griggs to United States marshal, Guthrie, 15.....	.25
	14.	Attorney-General from Sheibley, Oyster Bay, N. Y., 66.	.61
		Griggs from superintendent Philadelphia prison, Philadelphia, 37.....	.37
		Griggs to United States marshal, Salt Lake City, 21....	.37
		Griggs to superintendent Philadelphia prison, Philadelphia, 20.....	.20
		Easby Smith to superintendent Philadelphia prison, Philadelphia, 20.....	.20
	15.	Griggs to Terrell, San Antonio, 44.....	.66
		Griggs to United States attorney, Fargo, 30.....	.45
		Griggs to Hunt, Helena, Mont., 44.....	.75
		Griggs to United States marshal, Kansas City, 63.....	.79
		Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 25..	.31
	16.	Meline to D., National Bank, Salt Lake, 37.....	.65

1900.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
May	16.	Griggs to United States attorney, South McAlester, 36..	\$0.45
		Smith to Dewitt, Tucson, 51.....	.89
	17.	Griggs from Jones, New York, 2626
		Griggs to Conell, Grand Rapids, 3131
		Griggs to Hunt, Helena, Mont., 23.....	.40
		Griggs to United States marshal, Salt Lake City, 27.....	.47
	17.	Binney from Morrissey, Philadelphia, 6464
		Pradt from Collins, Chattanooga, 2727
		Pradt to Collins, Chattanooga, 14.....	.20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Jacksonville, 15.....	.20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Montgomery, 17.....	.20
		Griggs to Burke, Cheyenne, 2741
	21.	Burch from Griggs, Delrio, Tex., 2538
		Richards to United States marshal, St. Louis, 21.....	.26
		Richards to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 3434
		Richards to Griggs, Delrio, Tex., 1830
		Roberts to D., National Bank, Salt Lake City, 4070
	22.	Griggs to Rozier, St. Louis, 75.....	.94
		Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 15.....	.20
		Griggs to Scathum, Kingfisher, 2025
		Griggs to Griggs, Delrio, Tex., 2538
		Griggs from Griggs, Delrio, Tex., 1030
		Griggs to Erwin, Macon, 2727
		Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, 2538
		Griggs to Coombs, San Francisco, 94.....	1.88
		Griggs to Rozier, St. Louis, 93.....	1.16
		Griggs to Rozier, St. Louis, 93.....	1.16
		Griggs to Rozier, St. Louis, 17.....	.25
	24.	Griggs to United States marshal, Salina, Kans., 21.....	.26
		Griggs to United States marshal, Ardmore, 3240
		Griggs to United States marshal, Los Angeles, 2142
		Griggs to United States attorney, Brattleboro, Vt., 30 ..	.30
		Griggs to United States marshal, Fargo, 15.....	.30
	25.	Richards to United States marshal, South McAlester, 20.	.20
		Roberts to First National Bank, St. Paul, 37.....	.46
	26.	Roberts to L., National Bank, Leavenworth, 38.....	.48
	28.	Pradt from Dougherty, Bay St. Louis, 51.....	.46
		Attorney-General from Coombs, San Francisco, 60.....	1.38
	29.	Richards from United States attorney, Cincinnati, 22...	.22
		Pradt from Smith, New York, 2323
		Griggs from United States marshal, South McAlester, 27.	.34
		Griggs to United States marshal, Louisville, 2222
	31.	Griggs to United States attorney, Ardmore, Ind. T., 11.	.25
		Griggs to United States attorney, South McAlester, 24.	.30
		Griggs to United States attorney, Guthrie, 24.....	.30
		Other lines toll.....	.59
			33.85
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
May	19.	Reeve to Rourke, Fargo, N. Dak., 54.....	.81
		A. C. Rome:	
June	20.	12 No. 6 polished copper underwriters' fire extinguishers, at \$12.	144.00
		Fannie Jackson:	
June	30.	Washing 95½ dozen towels during month June, 1900, at 12 cents.	11.44
		Theodore B. Lyman:	
June	30.	Services in assisting the librarian in arranging, classifying, and cataloguing books in the library of the Department of Justice, from June 1 to June 30, 1900, inclusive.....	75.00
		The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
Nov.	24.	1 call to Philadelphia, Pa	\$1.25
		1 call to Paterson, N. J	2.25
Dec.	14.	1 call to New York	2.40
	29.	1 call to New York	2.80
1900.			
Mar.	30	1 call to New York	2.00
			17.70

1900.		Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict:	
Apr.	14.	Adjusting typewriter No. 6-32698	\$0. 65
	21.	Adjusting typewriter No. 14-676 50
May	7.	Adjusting typewriters Nos. 6165, 24698, 3383, 3382	3. 10
June	1.	Adjusting typewriter No. 232243 70
	29.	Adjusting typewriter No. 6-27031	11. 20
	30.	Adjusting typewriter No. 7-3104 60
			<hr/>
			\$15. 75
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
June	30.	Use of electric current at 1435 K street NW. from June 1 to June 30, 1900, inclusive, as follows:	
		Motor meter, 286,800 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	17. 20
		Light meter, 1,136,400 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000	68. 18
			<hr/>
			85. 38
		United States Electric Lighting Co.:	
June	30.	Use of electric current at No. 8 Lafayette square from June 1 to June 30, inclusive, 570,400 Watt hours, at 6 cents per 1,000.	34. 22
		Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
June	30.	Exchange rental for—	
		Switchboard instruments, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		General agent, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Pardon attorney, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		File room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Stationery room, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Solicitor-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Colonel Hoyt's office, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Appointment division, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Chief of finance division, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Assistant Attorney-General, second floor, Lafayette square, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Second-floor hall, 8 Lafayette square, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Library, old Corcoran Gallery, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Colonel Boyd's office, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Disbursing clerk, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Fourth-floor hall, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		Private secretary, Attorney-General, at \$38 per annum	6. 25
		First-floor hall, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
		Third-floor hall, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
		Mr. Sheibley, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
		Captain Glover, at \$24 per annum	6. 00
			<hr/>
			1. 49
		Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co.:	
June	30.	Exchange rental for solicitor for Treasury from April 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900, at \$34.50 per annum	6. 25
		Knickerbocker Ice Co.:	
June	30.	Ice for June, 8,250 pounds, at 20 cents	16. 50
1900.		Washington Gaslight Co.:	
		5,500 cubic feet gas consumed in the month of June, 1900, at \$1 net per 1,000 cubic feet—	
		5,000 at 1435 K street NW	\$5. 00
		500 at 8 Jackson place 50
			<hr/>
			5. 50
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
May	14.	Repairing fan	4. 25
	15.	Repairing 5 fans	3. 00
	24.	Difference in price between 16 Crescent desk fan installed and 12 Crescent desk fan taken	5. 00
	28.	Repairing lights and fans	2. 75
June	1.	Repairing lights, No. 8 Lafayette square 90
	8.	1 P. 12-inch G. E. trunnion fan with cord and plug	14. 50

1900.		National Electric Supply Co.—Continued.	
June	11.	Repairing fan, Baltic Building.....	\$4. 25
	13.	Changing wires in room of Attorney-General.....	2. 10
	26.	Fuzing up and repairing lights, No. 8 Lafayette square.....	1. 97
			<hr/> \$38. 72
		National Electric Supply Co.:	
June	30.	Maintenance of 1 Observatory Department clock for quarter ended June 30, 1900.....	12. 00
		Rudolph, West & Co.:	
June	30.	1 anvil, 15 pounds.....	2. 50
		N. L. Burchell:	
June	13.	2 dozen Cashmere Boquet soap, at \$2.47.....	4. 94
		G. G. C. Simms:	
June	1.	2 pounds camphor.....	\$1. 50
		Moth balls.....	. 20
Mar.	24.	1 dozen bottles ammonia.....	1. 00
			<hr/> 3. 70
		Richard L. Lamb:	
June	30.	1 5-A font Reese's adjustable stencils.....	1. 75
		1 5-A font rubber type.....	1. 60
		1 dozen Universal stamp holders.....	. 45
			<hr/> 3. 80
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
June	1.	Faulkner to Gaines, Charleston, W. Va., 37.....	. 37
		Faulkner to Jackson, Charleston, W. Va., 80.....	. 80
		Faulkner to Jackson, Charleston, W. Va., 76.....	. 76
		Faulkner to Gaines, Charleston, W. Va., 49.....	. 49
		Faulkner to Jackson, Charleston, W. Va., 34.....	. 34
		Griggs to Harlan, Chicago, 41.....	. 41
		Griggs to Holt, Frankfort, Ky., 41.....	. 41
		Griggs to warden, Monosa, La., 38.....	. 48
		Clay to United States attorney, Philadelphia, 15.....	. 20
	3.	Roberts to assistant treasurer, St. Louis, 24.....	. 30
		Griggs to United States marshal, Batesville, Ark., 24.....	. 30
	4.	Griggs to United States marshal, Muscogee, Ind. T., 19.....	. 25
		Griggs to United States attorney, South McAlester, 28.....	. 35
		Griggs to United States attorney, Pensacola, 23.....	. 23
		Roberts to Bank of Cleveland National Association, Cleveland, Ohio, 40.....	. 40
	5.	Griggs to House Reformation, Cheltenham, Md., 24.....	. 24
		Easby Smith to warden, Moundsville, W. Va., 21.....	. 21
	6.	Griggs to Moore, Cadiz, Ohio, 17.....	. 20
		Griggs to Stripling, Jacksonville, 14.....	. 20
	7.	Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 24.....	. 30
		Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 30.....	. 38
		Pradt to Fowler, New York, 18.....	. 20
		Cooper from Griggs, Paterson, N. J., 50.....	. 50
	10.	Binney from Riley, Marietta, Ohio, 17.....	. 20
		Richards to United States attorney, Prescott, 30.....	. 53
		Pradt to Collins, Chattanooga, 15.....	. 20
	11.	Richardson to United States marshal, South McAlester, 35.....	. 44
		Richardson to United States attorney, St. Paul, 30.....	. 38
	13.	Griggs to United States marshal, Paris, Tex., 32.....	. 48
		Richards to United States attorney, Cleveland, Ohio, 25.....	. 25
	14.	Richards to United States marshal, Ardmore, 18.....	. 25
	17.	Rudy to Post, Columbus, Nebr., 21.....	. 26
	18.	Attorney-General from Sawyer, Lincoln, Nebr., 17.....	. 25
		Griggs to Sawyer, Lincoln, Nebr., 29.....	. 36
		Griggs to Post, Columbus, Nebr., 46.....	. 58
		Griggs to Stripling, Jacksonville, 24.....	. 24
	19.	Griggs to Kendrick, Providence, R. I., 16.....	. 20
		Griggs to United States marshal, Montgomery, 18.....	. 20
		Griggs to Perry, Dubuque, 36.....	. 45
		Rudy to Sulzbacher, Kansas City, 20.....	. 25
	20.	Sheibley to United States attorney, Boston, 36.....	. 36
	21.	Sheibley to United States attorney, New York, 23.....	. 23

1900.		Western Union Telegraph Co.—Continued.	
June	21.	Griggs to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 12	\$0. 20
	23.	Clay to Dempsey, Lockport, N. Y., 2222
		Cooper to Holt, Frankfort, Ky., 2121
	25.	Attorney-General from Hawk, Moundsville, W. Va., 21 ..	.21
		Attorney-General from warden, Columbus, Ohio, 4444
		Attorney-General from warden, Columbus, Ohio, 2828
		Attorney-General from warden, Moundsville, W. Va., 22 ..	.22
		Easby Smith from Wilkins, South McAlester, 1725
	26.	Griggs from Spooner, Madison, Wis., 8989
		Griggs to United States marshal, South McAlester, 2327
		Griggs to United States attorney, Louisville, 3232
		Griggs to United States attorney, St. Paul, 1725
		Griggs to United States marshal, Kansas City, 1525
		Griggs to United States marshal, Winston, N. C., 2525
		Griggs to United States attorney, Tyler, Tex., 1830
		Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 1940
	27.	Griggs from Spooner, Madison, 2121
		Griggs to Spooner, Madison, 2323
		Griggs to United States marshal, Madison, 1920
		Attorney-General from Faulkner, Parkersburg, W. Va., 67 ..	.67
		Attorney-General to Nixon, Nashville, Tenn., 3737
		Attorney-General to Bowman, Berwick, 5656
		Attorney-General to Pritchard, Marshall, 3535
		Attorney-General from Ward, Missoula, Mont., 1435
		Attorney-General to Ward, Missoula, Mont., 1835
		Attorney-General to United States marshal, Kansas City, 21 ..	.26
		Roberts to Nebraska National Bank, Omaha, 2848
	28.	Griggs from Wickersham, Tacoma, 2346
		Griggs to United States attorney, Madison, 1620
		Griggs to Wickersham, Tacoma, 2652
		Griggs to United States attorney, Seattle, 2346
		Griggs to Pritchard, Marshall, N. C., 6969
		Griggs to United States attorney, Buffalo, 3737
		Griggs to jailer, Atlanta, 2626
		Griggs to Burnett, New York, 2121
	27.	Griggs to Soper, Vinita, 3544
	14.	Thompson to superintendent, Booneville, 2430
	30.	Richards to United States attorney, Fort Smith, 2633
		Richards to United States attorney, Jacksonville, 3535
		Richards to Bryant, Sherman, Tex., 2436
		Richards to United States attorney, Woodbury, N. J., 31 ..	.31
	25.	Easby Smith to Mallory, West Baden, Ind., 2222
Feb.	19.	Attorney-General from Coffin, Columbus, Ohio, 2323
			<hr/> \$29. 35
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
June	5.	Reeve to assistant treasurer, New York, 3535
	11.	Reeve to Hess, New York City, 2828
	23.	O'Connell to Hill, Louisville, Ky., 2424
	27.	O'Connell to Summers, Omaha, Nebr., 3240
			<hr/> 1. 27
		Western Union Telegraph Co.:	
June	18.	Griggs to Conant, Habana, 1020
		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.:	
June	1.	United States marshal, Cleveland, Ohio, to Griggs, 27 ..	.27
	2.	Harlan, Chicago, Ill., to Griggs, 2929
		United States attorney, Kansas City, to Griggs, 3038
		United States marshal, Elmira, N. Y., to Griggs, 2323
		United States attorney, New Orleans, to Griggs, 2228
	4.	United States marshal, Montgomery, Ala., to Griggs, 23 ..	.23
	6.	Warden United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., to Griggs, 2633
	7.	Warden United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., to Richards, 4050
		United States attorney, New York, N. Y., to Sheibley, 26 ..	.26
		Attorney-General, Paterson, to Cooper, 4646

1900.		Postal Telegraph Cable Co.—Continued.	
June	8.	United States marshal, Helena, to Richards, 17.....	\$0. 35
	9.	United States marshal, Montgomery, to Richards, 18.....	. 20
	12.	Darby, Columbus, Ohio, to Richards, 20.....	. 20
		United States attorney, Louisville, Ky., to Richards, 16.....	. 20
	13.	United States attorney, Prescott, Ariz., to Richards, 25.....	. 44
	14.	Sheriff, Atlanta, Ga., to Thompson, 24.....	. 24
		Collins, Chattanooga, to Pradt, 11.....	. 20
	15.	United States attorney, Omaha, Nebr., to Richards, 16.....	. 25
		United States attorney, San Francisco, to Thompson, 43.....	. 86
		Warden, United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., to Thompson, 35.....	. 44
	16.	United States warden, Elmira, to Boyd, 24.....	. 24
		United States attorney, New York, to Boyd, 21.....	. 21
		Perkins, United States attorney, San Francisco, to Boyd, 20.....	. 40
	18.	Erwin, Macon, to Griggs, 52.....	. 52
		Wickersham, Tacoma, to Griggs, 26.....	. 52
		Wickersham, Tacoma, to Griggs, 53.....	1. 06
		Griggs, District of Columbia, to Wickersham, 75.....	1. 50
		United States attorney, Portland, Oreg., to Griggs, 20.....	. 40
		Noyes, Minneapolis, to Griggs, 55.....	. 69
		Brown, Sitka, via Seattle, to Griggs, 76.....	1. 52
		Henderson, Dubuque, Iowa, to Griggs, 64.....	. 80
		United States attorney, San Francisco, to Griggs, 21.....	. 42
		United States attorney, Omaha, to Richards, 20.....	. 25
	19.	Kingsley, Boston, to Griggs, 35.....	. 35
		Kingsley, Boston, to Griggs, 24.....	. 24
	20.	Eames & Young, St. Louis, Mo., to Griggs, 30.....	. 38
		United States attorney, Seattle, to Griggs, 19.....	. 40
		Wickersham, Tacoma, to Griggs, 51.....	1. 02
		Foster, Philadelphia, Pa., to Griggs, 27.....	. 27
	21.	Warden, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., to Griggs, 18.....	. 35
		Noyes, Minneapolis, to Griggs, 59.....	. 74
	22.	Warden, Fort Leavenworth, to Griggs, 20.....	. 25
	23.	Chisolm, New Orleans, to Pradt, 13.....	. 25
		Babcock, Chicago, to Griggs, 53.....	. 53
	25.	United States marshal, Omaha, to Griggs, 27.....	. 34
		United States attorney, Omaha, to Griggs, 18.....	. 30
		Warden, penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., to Griggs, 23.....	. 29
		Vawter, Seattle, to Griggs, 17.....	. 40
	26.	Kerens, New York, to Boyd, 24.....	. 24
		United States marshal, Spokane, to Griggs, 26.....	. 52
	27.	United States attorney, Madison, to Griggs, 16.....	. 20
		Keyser, Chicago, to Pradt, 21.....	. 21
	29.	Helling, Tacoma, to Griggs, 32.....	. 64
		United States attorney, Buffalo, to Griggs, 19.....	. 20
Jan.	29.	Estie, San Francisco, to Griggs, 47.....	. 94
		Griggs, Washington, D. C., from Busch, Philadelphia, 23.....	. 23
		Griggs, District of Columbia, from Vawter, Seattle, 15.....	. 40
	30.	Griggs, District of Columbia, from Darby, Columbus, Ohio, 28.....	. 28
		Beck, Philadelphia, to Richards, 50.....	. 50
		United States attorney, Buffalo, to Richards, 20.....	. 20
		United States marshal, Tacoma, to Richards, 35.....	. 70
		Warden penitentiary, Columbus, Ohio, to Richards, 23.....	. 23
			<hr/> \$26. 64
		Postal Telegraph Co.:	
June	4.	Allen, San Juan, P. R., to Griggs, 31.....	4. 19
		Francis Miller:	
June	11.	1 glass, 26 by 28, double glazed.....	1. 50
		A. H. Chase & Bros.:	
June	30.	Storage, Turkish rugs, from January 1 to June 30, 1900.....	2. 48
		Dulin & Martin Co.:	
June	9.	2 ash traps, 75 cents.....	1. 50

1900.		Woodward & Lothrop:	
June	28.	6 pieces of ribbon, at 75 cents	\$4. 50
		The American Watchman's Time Detective Co.:	
June	28.	1 ten-station watchman's clock, put up complete at 1435 K street, NW	140. 00
		J. T. Walker Sons:	
June	11.	1 box lime, 40 cents 40
		The Strowger Automatic Telephone Exchange Rental:	
June	30.	Rental of 1 automatic telephone and switch from April 1 to June 30, 1900, at \$6 per annum	1. 50

EXHIBIT G.—*Statement of the names and compensation of assistant and special assistant United States attorneys.*

ASSISTANTS TO THE ATTORNEYS OF THE UNITED STATES.

District.	Name.	Salary.	Time employed.
Alabama, northern.....	Shelby S. Pleasants.....	\$1,800	
Alabama, middle.....	Julius W. Davidson.....	1,200	
Alabama, southern.....	James H. Judkins.....	1,500	
Alaska.....	Julius Sternfeld.....	1,200	
Arizona.....	Alfred J. Daly.....	600	To May 27, 1900.
Arkansas, eastern.....	Thos. D. Bennett.....	1,800	
Arkansas, western.....	Ulysses S. Bratton.....	1,200	To July 1, 1899.
California, northern.....do.....	1,500	From July 1, 1899.
California, southern.....	Frank A. Youmans.....	2,000	
Colorado.....	Marshall B. Woodworth.....	2,500	
Florida, northern.....	Edward J. Banning.....	2,000	
Florida, southern.....	James R. Finlayson.....	1,500	
Georgia, northern.....	Thos. E. McClelland.....	1,500	
Georgia, southern.....	J. Emmet Wolfe.....	1,500	From Nov. 1, 1899, temporary (2 months).
Illinois, northern.....do.....	1,500	From Apr. 1, 1900, temporary (2 months).
Illinois, southern.....	Henry H. Buckman.....	1,200	To Feb. 2, 1900.
Indiana.....	Isidore A. Zacharias.....	1,000	From Feb. 2, 1900.
Indian Territory, northern.....	Walter L. Massey.....	2,250	
Indian Territory, central.....	George L. Bell.....	2,000	
Indian Territory, southern.....	William R. Leaken.....	1,800	
Iowa, northern.....	Oliver E. Pagin.....	2,500	
Iowa, southern.....	Clark J. Tisdell.....	2,200	
Kansas.....	Chas. B. Morrison.....	2,000	
Kentucky.....	Benjamin Davis.....	1,200	
Louisiana, eastern.....	Frank L. Hatch.....	1,500	
Maryland.....	Reason H. McAnulty.....	1,200	
Massachusetts.....	Jesse J. M. La Follette.....	2,000	
Michigan, eastern.....	Orlando Wilcox.....	2,000	To Mar. 1, 1900.
Michigan, western.....	Chas. H. Sawyer.....	1,200	Do.
Minnesota.....do.....	2,000	From Mar. 1, 1900.
Mississippi, northern.....	Jas. H. Huckleberry, jr.....	1,200	Do.
Mississippi, southern.....	D. M. Brown.....	1,200	
Missouri, eastern.....	James E. Humphrey.....	1,200	To Sept. 18, 1899.
Missouri, western.....do.....	1,500	From Sept. 18, 1899.
Montana.....	De Witt C. Cram.....	1,200	
Nebraska.....	George B. Stewart.....	1,200	
New Jersey.....	Harry J. Bone.....	2,000	
New Mexico.....	John G. Fitzpatrick.....	2,400	
New York, northern.....	Arthur M. Wallace.....	2,500	From Jan. 1, 1900, temporary (not exceeding 8 days).
New York, eastern.....	H. C. Gillis.....	1,800	From Feb. 24, 1900, temporary (36 days).
New York, western.....	Delos C. Mellen.....	2,500	To May 26, 1900.
North Carolina.....	Charles P. Cocke.....	2,500	From May 26, 1900.
North Dakota.....	Daniel R. Randall.....	1,200	To Jan. 1, 1900.
Ohio.....	Morris A. Soper.....	1,200	From Jan. 15, 1900.
Oklahoma.....	John H. Casey.....	2,500	
Oregon.....	Albert H. Washburn.....	1,800	
Rhode Island.....	James V. D. Willcox.....	2,000	
South Carolina.....	Dwight Goss.....	1,600	
South Dakota.....	Milton D. Purdy.....	2,000	
Texas.....	Joel M. Dickey.....	1,800	
Vermont.....	Albert C. Melchior.....	1,500	To July 23, 1899.
Virginia.....	William D. Frazee.....	1,200	From Aug. 12, 1899.
Washington.....	Albert C. Melchior.....	1,500	To July 23, 1899.
West Virginia.....	W. Bruce Banks.....	1,200	From July 24, 1899.
Wisconsin.....	William L. Morsey.....	2,000	
Wyoming.....	Oscar F. Sessinghaus.....	1,500	To Aug. 16, 1899.
Yukon.....	George C. Hitchcock.....	1,500	From Sept. 18, 1899.
	Abra S. Van Valkenburgh.....	2,000	
	John C. English.....	1,500	
	Sylvester R. Rush.....	1,500	
	Warren Dixon.....	800	To Feb. 8, 1900.
	Cortlandt Parker, jr.....	800	From Feb. 24, 1900.
	George P. Money.....	1,800	
	Wesley C. Dudley.....	2,500	To June 30, 1900.
	Charles H. Brown.....	2,500	To Oct. 31, 1899.
	S. Wallace Dempsey.....	2,500	From Dec. 7, 1899, to June 30, 1900.
	Herbert B. Brush.....	2,000	
	Lloyd M. Howell.....	1,200	
	Wesley C. Dudley.....	2,500	From June 30, 1900.
	S. Wallace Dempsey.....	2,500	Do.

EXHIBIT G.—*Statement of the names and compensation of assistant and special assistant United States attorneys—Continued.*

ASSISTANTS TO THE ATTORNEYS OF THE UNITED STATES—Continued.

District.	Name.	Salary.	Time employed.
New York, southern	Henry C. Platt	\$3,600	
	Ernest E. Baldwin	3,600	
	Jas. T. Van Rensselaer	2,500	To Aug. 28, 1899.
	Arthur M. King	2,500	
	D. Frank Lloyd	2,500	
	Harry P. Disbecker	2,500	
	Charles D. Baker	2,500	From Oct. 27, 1899.
	Clarence S. Houghton	1,800	
	Oscar J. Spears	1,250	
	E. Spencer Blackburn	2,000	
North Carolina, eastern	Edward S. Allen	1,200	
North Carolina, western	George R. McKay	1,800	To Jan. 8, 1900.
North Dakota	Robert Tucker	1,800	From Jan. 8, 1900.
Ohio, northern	Sherman T. McPherson	2,000	
Ohio, southern	Edward P. Moulinier	1,200	
	John W. Scothorn	2,000	To Nov. 18, 1899.
	B. S. McGuire	1,500	To Nov. 22, 1899.
	do	2,000	From Nov. 22, 1899, to Feb. 1, 1900.
	do	1,500	From Feb. 1, 1900.
	L. M. Keyes	1,500	From Nov. 22, 1899, to Feb. 1, 1900.
Oregon	John W. Scothorn	2,000	From Feb. 1, 1900.
	Edwin Mays	1,500	To June 23, 1900.
	Waldemar Seton	1,500	From June 23, 1900.
Pennsylvania, eastern	Francis Fisher Kane	2,500	
	Michael F. McCullen	2,000	To Dec. 9, 1899.
	William M. Stewart, jr.	2,000	From Mar. 14, 1900.
	David M. Miller	2,500	
Pennsylvania, western	John B. Myers	1,500	
	J. N. Langham	1,200	
	Benj. A. Hagood	1,500	
South Carolina	Ernest F. Cochran	1,200	
South Dakota	William G. Porter	1,500	
Tennessee, eastern	John M. Simerly	500	
Tennessee, middle	Lee Brock	1,600	
Tennessee, western	Frank P. Smith	500	
Texas, eastern	Henry B. Birmingham	750	
	Frank Lee	1,750	
Texas, western	Redford Sharpe	500	
	A. G. Foster	1,200	
	Pennel Cherrington	1,500	
Utah	J. D. C. De Jarnette	1,500	
Virginia, eastern	John C. Blair	1,500	
Virginia, western	Charles E. Claypool	1,800	
Washington	Emmet M. Showalter	500	
West Virginia	Samuel C. Burdett	1,400	
	Elliott Northcott	1,200	
	Charles McC. Anderson	1,500	
Wisconsin, eastern	Henry T. Sheldon	1,200	
Wisconsin, western	Benjamin F. Fowler	800	
Wyoming			

NAMES AND COMPENSATION OF SPECIAL ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS.

District.	Name.	Employment.	Appointed.	Compensation.
Alabama, northern.....	Lee Cowart.....	United States v. Melton, Price, et al.....	Oct. 10, 1899	To be determined by Attorney-General (nominal).
Arkansas, eastern.....	Charles F. Cole.....	In re condemnation of land for site of lock and dam in Upper White River.	Nov. 8, 1899	\$60 for services.
Delaware.....	Lewis C. Vandegrift.....	To argue the appeal in the case of the U. S. S. Laurada, pending in circuit court of appeals, third circuit.	Sept. 8, 1899	\$600 for services.
District of Columbia.....	Ernest Wilkinson.....	Sir W. G. Armstrong, Whitworth & Co., Limited, v. O'Neil et al., and Sir W. G. Armstrong, Mitchell & Co., Limited, v. O'Neil et al.	Dec. 18, 1899	To be determined by Attorney-General.
Florida, southern.....	Ernest L. Conant.....	To take testimony upon the question of damages due claimants for seizure as prize of war of certain Spanish fishing snacks.	Feb. 5, 1900	Do.
	Ezra P. Axtell.....	United States v. Kelly, Hough & Co., No. 146, pending in United States circuit court, southern district of Florida, to recover \$15,000 damages growing out of certain lumber trespasses.	Mar. 30, 1900	Do.
Indian Territory, northern.....	Charles Blood Smith.....	Cases No. 4724, United States v. Francis M. Davis et al.; No. 4725, United States v. Francis M. Davis et al.; No. 4726, United States v. Samuel B. Callahan, now pending in United States court at Muskogee, Ind. T.	Feb. 27, 1900	\$50 per day, and necessary expenses while away from office.
Michigan, western.....	Marsden C. Bureh.....	United States v. Michigan Land and Iron Company, Limited, et al.....	Apr. 20, 1900	To be determined by Attorney-General.
	do.....	United States v. State of Michigan (St. Mary's Falls Ship Canal tolls).....	Apr. 21, 1900	Do.
Missouri, western.....	William H. Wallace.....	United States v. D. V. Rieger, president, and R. D. Covington, cashier, Missouri National Bank.	Oct. 11, 1899	\$50 per day for each day actually employed in court in trial of case, and in addition thereto \$150.
	do.....	United States v. D. V. Rieger, in United States circuit court of appeals, eighth circuit.	Feb. 27, 1900	\$80 and necessary expenses.
Montana.....	William Wallace, jr.....	United States v. Louis H. Hershfield, and United States v. Thomas P. Bowman.	Mar. 13, 1900	To be determined by Attorney-General.
New Mexico.....	Warner P. Sutton.....	To obtain testimony and evidence and assist in preparation of the case of United States v. The Rio Grande Dam and Irrigation Company et al. in district court of the United States for third judicial district of New Mexico.	Oct. 9, 1899	\$10 per day and actual and necessary disbursements.
New York, northern.....	W. A. Sutherland.....	United States v. Wong Chung and Ma Thuey, pending in United States circuit court of appeals, second circuit.	Aug. 8, 1899	To be determined and paid by Secretary of Treasury.
	do.....	United States v. Porter, Clemishire, and Moy Loy.....	do.....	Do.
New York, southern.....	Edward K. Jones.....	In the matter of suits, etc., against Charles F. W. Neely and other officials and employees of government administered in Cuba under authority of the United States.	May 15, 1900	To be determined by Attorney-General.
	Marion Erwin.....	United States v. Greene, Gaylor, et al., pending in southern district of New York on application to remove defendants to the southern district of Georgia.	June 28, 1900	Do.
North Carolina, eastern	F. H. Busbee.....	United States v. Harry T. Greenleaf and Daniel S. Sanderlin, and United States v. Harry T. Greenleaf, pending in United States district court at Newbern, N. C.	Oct. 14, 1899	To be determined by Attorney-General (nominal).

NAMES AND COMPENSATION OF SPECIAL ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS—Continued.

District.	Name.	Employment.	Appointed.	Compensation.
North Carolina, western.	Spencer B. Adams	State of North Carolina v. George H. Priddy	Sept. 14, 1899	To be determined by Attorney-General.
	Marsden C. Burch	United States v. W. H. Thomas et al.	Feb. 7, 1900	Do.
	J. M. Gudger	To investigate facts and secure testimony with view to instituting proceedings against John Sutton for murder of Sheriff Dawson, agent of the Internal-Revenue Bureau.	Apr. 20, 1900	Do.
South Carolina	John T. Marchand	Violations of Interstate-commerce act.	Oct. 4, 1899	None other than already receiving as Government employee.
Vermont	T. W. Moloney	To aid in preparation and prosecution of case against Marvin A. McClure.	June 5, 1900	\$25 per day, but not to exceed \$200 for services.
Virginia, eastern	Francis L. Smith	To assist in defense of Government's interests in proceedings pending in county court of Alexandria County, entitled Commonwealth of Virginia v. Marshall and Pulney, trustees.	Dec. 18, 1899	\$250 for services.
Virginia, western	L. P. Summers	United States v. William Strouth and several others, charged with violating section 547, Revised Statutes of the United States.	Oct. 7, 1899	To be determined by Attorney-General (nominal).
	Daniel Trigg	do.	do.	Do.

EXHIBIT H.—*Report of the Solicitor of the Treasury.*

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR OF THE TREASURY,
Washington, D. C., November 13, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit herewith seven tabular statements exhibiting the amount, character, and results of the litigation under my direction for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, shown by the docket entries of this office.

The tables embrace, respectively:

1. Suits on transcripts of accounts of defaulting public officers, excepting those of the Post-office Department, adjusted by the accounting officers of the Treasury Department.

2. Post-office suits, embracing those against officers of the Post-Office Department, and cases of fines, penalties, and forfeitures for violation of postal laws.

3. Suits on custom-house bonds.

4. Suits for recovery of fines, penalties, and forfeitures under the customs revenue and navigation laws.

5. Suits against collectors of customs and other officers or agents of the Government, excepting internal-revenue officers, for refund of duties and acts done in the line of their official duty, including appeals from the decisions of the Board of General Appraisers.

6. Suits in which the United States is a party or is interested and not embraced in the other classes.

7. A general summary or abstract of all the other tables.

An examination of the tables will show that the whole number of suits commenced within the year was 2,977, of which 18 were of class 1, for the recovery of \$97,272; 425 were of class 2, for the recovery of \$28,917.68; 16 were of class 3, for the recovery of \$10,459.70; 113 were of class 4, for the recovery of \$77,713.66; 210 were of class 5; and 2,195 were of class 6, for the recovery of \$1,252,501.61.

Of the whole number of suits brought, 1,829 were decided in favor of the United States, 22 were adversely decided, and 270 were settled and dismissed, leaving 856 still pending.

Of those pending at the commencement of the year, 921 were decided for the United States, 250 were adversely decided, and 768 were settled and dismissed.

The whole number of suits decided or otherwise disposed of during the year was 4,060; the whole amount for which judgments were obtained, exclusive of decrees in rem, was \$567,046.84, and the entire amount collected from all sources was \$188,020.22.

The number of cases in which offers of compromise were pending and received during the fiscal year was 159, involving the sum of \$794,055.99.

The number of offers accepted was 107, involving the sum of \$162,052.31; amount accepted, \$61,431.89.

The number of offers rejected was 45, involving the sum of \$614,365.12; amount rejected, \$66,103.70.

The number of offers pending at the close of the year was 7, involving the sum of \$17,638.56; amount offered, \$1,793.85.

There has been collected during the fiscal year for rent of property, by law in charge of this office, the sum of \$1,059.25, less the sum of \$249.25, expended or authorized for custody and repairs thereof.

A statement of real property, by law in charge of this office, acquired and sold during the fiscal year is appended.

The number of letters and communications received by this office during the fiscal year was 9,267; the number of letters, etc., sent out was 5,479; the number of written opinions rendered on questions of law submitted by the Secretary of the Treasury, heads of bureaus of the Treasury Department, and others was 153; the original official bonds, contracts, leases, and deeds received for examination as to their correctness in form and legal sufficiency and returned was 1,044; the number of duplicates, triplicates, quadruplicates, etc., which required the same careful examination as the originals, was 1,234, making a total of 2,278 legal instruments examined and returned.

The foregoing represents only to a limited extent the business of this office. The various cases in charge of the office are entered in dockets kept for that purpose, and every step in the progress of each case, from its inception to its close, as well as all data relating thereto, are noted therein.

Constant vigilance and zeal is required upon the part of the individuals having charge of these cases in order to bring them to a successful issue for the Government, for as a general thing every power of ingenuity or technicality is employed to defeat the Government in its prosecutions.

The statistical statements herewith will demonstrate that efforts in this direction upon the part of the office have not been relaxed.

There are frequent consultations with the solicitor and the officials under him by the heads of bureaus, chiefs of divisions of the Treasury Department and other departments relative to cases pending, investigations made, and verbal opinions given, which require time, care, and research that can not be tabulated.

Within the year there was compiled in the office a digest of the laws and decisions relating to the Chinese exclusion acts, requiring much time, research, and labor, which was very valuable to the office, and the demand for the work at home and abroad would indicate that it had a general value; and also a Digest of Immigration Laws and Decisions, which is exceedingly useful.

Repeated efforts have been made to obtain a larger appropriation for the purchase of law books for the library of this bureau, but so far it has been unsuccessful. The Solicitor, in his official relation to the Secretary of the Treasury, is required to give legal opinions on questions arising in that Department, and in all parts of the country, concerning the business of the Government, and unless he has the statutes of the States and the decisions of the courts of the various States, he must be embarrassed in the performance of his duties.

Besides, the utility of the library is not confined to this office, for, it being the only law library in the Treasury building, the officers of that Department are dependent on it for the legal information often required in the performance of their official duties.

It would require several thousand dollars to bring the library up to a proper standard of completeness, and the longer the delay the greater the amount that will be required. Therefore, I most earnestly recommend that some suitable action be taken to bring this matter to the attention of Congress so that an appropriation may be made for an increase of the library.

It will be observed that nearly 3,000 suits have been commenced during the year and that more than 4,000 suits have been disposed of, as follows: 2,750 decided in favor of the United States and 1,038 settled and dismissed, while only 272 have been decided adversely to the Government.

It will not be questioned that the work of giving directions as to the management of these thousands of suits should be in the hands of lawyers of first-class attainments. Very many of the written opinions going from this office are of the greatest importance, and much time is necessarily consumed in their preparation, and I may say, in addition to this, more of the time of the Solicitor and Assistant Solicitor is occupied in giving oral opinions and advice than in the preparation of the written opinions.

Some of the best work on questions of law is done by a \$1,600 clerk, whose services are certainly worth \$2,400. He ought to be promoted and made a "law clerk" at a salary of not less than \$2,400.

His time is devoted to strictly legal work, and no part of it is employed in mere clerical work. During the last year he wrote and compiled two valuable works: A Digest of the Chinese Exclusion Laws and Decisions and a Digest of Immigration Laws and Decisions, which have already been worth more to the Government than his salary for two years.

Law clerks in the various departments receive salaries ranging from \$2,000 to \$3,000, and I feel justified in earnestly urging that the next appropriation bill provide for a law clerk in this bureau, with a salary of \$2,400.

There should be one more fourth-class clerk and one less second-class clerk in this office. The clerk who for many years has acted as private secretary to the Solicitor has, by his ability and faithfulness, well earned the promotion which he could receive if there should be an increase in the number of fourth-class clerks. This would enable him to be placed on a footing with clerks in other bureaus who are employed in similar work.

I would also invite your favorable consideration to the fourth-class clerks, who keep the principal dockets in this office and write a large number of very important letters in relation to the institution and conduct of suits and other business of the bureau. Men of ordinary ability or with limited experience could not do the work required of these clerks.

I regard the salaries paid them as inadequate, and would earnestly recommend that they be paid \$2,000 each per annum. The clerk doing similar work in the Department is paid \$2,500 per annum.

Very respectfully,

MAURICE D. O'CONNELL,
Solicitor.

Hon. JOHN W. GRIGGS,
Attorney-General.

No. 1.—Report of suits on Treasury transcripts, other than post-office cases, instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto.

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.						In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.										
	Number of suits.	Aggregate sued for.	Aggregate in judgment.	Collections.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Total number of judgments in favor of the United States.	Total number of suits disposed of.	Total judgments.	Total collections.
Alabama, northern.....	1	\$43.40						1		\$472.21					1		\$472.21
Alabama, middle.....										9,203.00					2		9,246.20
Arizona.....	1	42.20		\$43.20			1			1,304.20	2			2	1		1,452.45
California, northern.....	1	332.49		148.25					\$51,962.09	1,500.00	1			1	1		1,500.00
California, southern.....									1,128.95	250.00	1			1	1		1,587.30
Illinois, northern.....										103.73							250.00
Indiana.....																	103.73
Indian Territory, southern.....	2	1,356.83						2		422.00					1		422.00
Iowa, southern.....									25,000.00						1		25,000.00
Louisiana, eastern.....										221.44	1			1	1		221.44
Louisiana, western.....																	
Maryland.....	1	1,174.19						1									
Massachusetts.....	1	22,902.24															
Michigan, western.....	1	878.30		376.38			1								1		376.38
Minnesota.....	1	1,010.50															
Montana.....	1	419.08					1								1		419.08
New Hampshire.....	1	5,530.22					1										5,530.22
New Jersey.....	1																
New York, southern.....							1								1		
Oregon.....	2	9,131.58		287.54				1							3		287.54
Texas, northern.....	1	1,815.20						3									
Texas, southern.....	3	51,625.27							5,825.91	1,529.20	2		1	2	3		5,825.91
Utah.....																	
Washington.....	1	1,010.50						1									
Total.....	18	97,272.00		6,804.67			4	14	85,416.95	15,063.08	7	2	3	7	16	85,416.95	21,867.75

No. 2.—Report of post-office suits instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto.

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.						In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.						Whole number of judgments in favor of the United States.	Whole number of suits disposed of.	Total judgments.	Total collections.	
	Number of suits.	Aggregate sued for.	Aggregate in judgment.	Collections.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, etc.	Pending.	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.					Settled, dismissed, etc.
Alabama, northern.....	1		\$100.00		1					\$192.94				1	1	\$100.00	\$192.94
Alabama, middle.....	5		500.00		5									5	5	500.00	
Alabama, southern.....	2	\$1,116.91	367.95		1			1						1	1	367.95	
Alaska.....																	
Arizona.....																	
Arkansas, eastern.....	5		247.00	\$21.75	5					25.00				5	5	247.00	21.75
Arkansas, western.....	5		1,012.00	10.00	5					100.00				5	5	1,012.00	35.00
California, northern.....	5		500.00	100.00	5									5	5	500.00	200.00
California, southern.....																	
Colorado.....	7	3,709.25	165.00		6			1	\$250.00	398.20	2			8	8	415.00	398.20
Connecticut.....	10		2,151.00	414.88	9			1	50.00	102.47	1			10	11	2,201.00	517.35
Delaware.....									500.00	519.95	1			1	1	500.00	519.95
District of Columbia.....																	
Florida, northern.....	1		100.00		1					383.50				1	1	100.00	383.50
Florida, southern.....	5	2,379.49	301.00	52.68	4			1		899.47				4	4	301.00	952.15
Georgia, northern.....	14	624.87	1,000.00		12			2	438.07	59.93	2			14	14	2,038.07	59.93
Georgia, southern.....	13	2,426.00			13				646.43	716.79	1			14	14	646.43	716.79
Idaho.....	11	80.00	1,080.00		11									11	11	1,080.00	
Illinois, northern.....	27	9,000.00	3,763.38	4,722.68	22			4	500.00	2,625.04	1			23	27	4,263.38	7,848.72
Illinois, southern.....	12		735.00	446.03	12				579.10	738.24	4			16	16	1,314.10	1,184.27
Indiana.....	11		2,518.10		11				1,207.97	662.92	3			16	16	8,726.07	662.92
Indian Territory, northern.....	2		200.00		2				100.00	100.00	1			1	1	100.00	100.00
Indian Territory, central.....																	
Indian Territory, southern.....	4		400.00		4									4	4	400.00	
Iowa, northern.....	7	214.51	171.00	125.00	6									6	7	171.00	125.00
Iowa, southern.....	12		2,166.00	230.00	11			1	275.00	482.68	2			13	14	2,441.00	712.68
Kansas.....	13		853.00	646.42	12			1	225.00	324.61	2			14	16	1,078.00	971.03
Kentucky.....	11	17.00	1,940.00	2,026.48	11				736.95	1,043.62	4	1		15	16	2,676.95	3,070.10
Louisiana, eastern.....										116.80							116.80
Louisiana, western.....																	
Maine.....	2		60.00	60.00	2					25.00				2	2	60.00	85.00
Maryland.....	12	1,000.00	844.00	140.00	11			1		1.00				11	11	844.00	141.00
Massachusetts.....	6		530.00	96.46	3									3	7	530.00	96.46
Michigan, eastern.....	7		2,225.00	1,017.82	7					200.00			1	7	7	2,225.00	1,217.82

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

No. 2.—Report of post-office suits instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto—Continued.

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.						In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.						Total judgments.	Total collections.
	Number of suits.	Aggregate sued for.	Aggregate in judgment.	Collection.	Decided for the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.		
Michigan, western.....	5		\$579.50	\$250.00	5								5	5
Minnesota.....	5		350.00		5								5	5
Mississippi, northern.....	5		500.00		5								5	5
Mississippi, southern.....	22		3,294.97		22								22	22
Missouri, eastern.....	21	\$780.47	904.00	104.60	19	1							21	21
Missouri, western.....	2	1,950.43	5,800.00	100.00	2								2	2
Montana.....	6		1,615.00	1,489.10	6								10	10
Nebraska.....									3,720.00	10.00	4		5,335.00	
Nevada.....														
New Hampshire.....									6,500.00		1		6,500.00	
New Jersey.....	2		350.00	250.00	2				100.00	491.46	2		350.00	
New Mexico.....	3		655.00	199.74	3				25.00	40.00	1		755.00	
New York, northern.....	4		1,075.00	1,050.00	4								1,100.00	
New York, eastern.....	2		11.00	10.00	2					1.00			11.00	
New York, southern.....	7	5,379.49	10,704.69	501.00	5	2			1,531.00		3		12,265.69	
North Carolina, eastern.....	4	17.00	2,183.01		4				2,085.01	1,634.66	1		4,268.05	
North Carolina, western.....	4		728.88	133.45	2				100.00	890.75	1		828.88	
North Dakota.....	3		4,082.59		3		2		25.00	229.65	1		4,082.59	
Ohio, northern.....	10		991.48	915.91	10								11	11
Ohio, southern.....	23		5,198.25	738.85	23								23	23
Oklahoma.....														
Oregon.....	6		675.00	684.02	6					40.05			6	6
Pennsylvania, eastern.....	13		114.00	25.00	13				50.00	218.67	1		164.00	
Pennsylvania, western.....	10		112.36	130.06	10				5.12	71.27	3		117.48	
Rhode Island.....	3		220.00	283.51	3				400.00	400.00	1		620.00	
South Carolina.....	4		1,700.00		4				500.00		1		2,200.00	
South Dakota.....	5		510.00	10.00	5								510.00	
Tennessee, eastern.....	7		3,850.00	60.00	6		1		250.00		1		4,100.00	
Tennessee, middle.....	2				2									
Tennessee, western.....	1		100.00		1								100.00	
Texas, northern.....	5		515.00	405.00	5								5	5
Texas, eastern.....	1		100.00		1				3,427.68	150.00	3		3,942.68	
Texas, western.....	1				1				7.00				100.00	
Utah.....	3		16.00	10.00	3					654.67		1	16.00	

[illegible]

No. 3.—Report of suits on custom-house bonds instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto.

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.				In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.								Whole number of judgments in favor of the United States during the year.	Whole number of suits disposed of.	Total judgments.	Total collections.	
	Number of suits.	Aggregate sued for.	Aggregate in judgment.	Collections.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Collections in old suits.	Judgments in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.					Collections in old suits.
Alaska	10	\$8,633.00							10								
California, northern	1	295.49							1								
Louisiana, eastern																\$730.00	
New York, northern															1	271.65	
New York, southern	1	173.93							1						3	8.12	
Pennsylvania, eastern	4	1,357.28		\$708.27				4							6	708.27	
Total	16	10,459.70		708.27				4	12	171.08	1	4	1,029.77	1	10	171.08	1,738.04

No. 4.—*Report of suits for fines, penalties, and forfeitures under the customs-revenue and navigation laws, instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto.*

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.						In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.						Total number of judgments in favor of the United States.	Total number of suits disposed of.	Total judgments.	Total collections.
	Number of suits.	Aggregate sued for.	Aggregate in judgment.	Collections.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.			
Alaska	2		\$50.00	\$50.00	1			1			1			2	\$50.00	\$50.00
California, northern	2	\$1,000.00		150.00	2									2	100.00	150.00
Connecticut	2		100.00	165.46	2									2	100.00	165.46
Florida, southern	3		150.00	160.70	3					\$157.51				3	150.00	160.70
Georgia, southern																
Illinois, northern	9				1			5			3			8		157.51
Kentucky										62.90						
Louisiana, eastern	1		50.00	86.95	1									2	50.00	86.95
Maine	1		400.00	572.21	1				20.00		1			2	400.00	592.21
Maryland	1				1									1		
Massachusetts	5			4,499.84	2				744.00		1			3		6,243.84
Michigan, eastern	12		1,075.00	1,213.83	9			3	336.41		1			13	1,125.00	1,550.24
Missouri, eastern	2		1.00		1			1	66.00					2	1.00	66.00
New Jersey	8		450.00	939.44	6			2						7	450.00	939.44
New York, northern	6		375.00	750.00	6			1	783.50		1			7	1,108.50	2,633.50
New York, eastern	1		350.00		1									1		
New York, southern	32	69,713.66	350.00	7,848.18	14	1	5	12	1,846.03		1	2	15	43	350.00	9,694.21
North Dakota									50.00	418.55	2		2	2	50.00	418.55
Ohio, northern	3	2,000.00		200.00	2			1						3	200.00	200.00
Oregon	2		100.00	910.00	2									2	100.00	910.00
Pennsylvania, eastern	7				7				125.00					9		125.00
South Dakota																
Texas, western	7		700.00	1,317.64	7				933.64		1			7	700.00	2,271.28
Vermont	1		25.00		1									1	25.00	25.00
Virginia, eastern									373.98							373.98
Washington	5	5,000.00	300.00	142.00	4		1		100.00		1	1*		5	350.00	242.00
Total	113	77,713.66	4,126.00	19,031.25	73	1	15	24	883.50	7,067.52	12	6	45	152	5,009.50	26,118.77

No. 5.—*Reports of suits against collectors of customs and other Federal officers, including appeals from the decisions of the Board of General Appraisers, instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto.*

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.					Suits brought prior to fiscal year.			
	Number of suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Total judgments in favor of United States during the year.
California, northern	13				13	1			1
Florida, northern	1				1		1		1
Florida, southern	2				2				
Illinois, northern	3				3				
Louisiana, eastern	1				1				
Maryland	2				2		1		1
Massachusetts	6				6	2	6	4	2
Michigan, eastern	1				1				
New Jersey	5				5				
New York, northern						1	1	1	3
New York, southern	172	2	13		157	201	203	319	738
Pennsylvania, eastern	2				2		4		4
South Carolina	1		1						1
Washington	1				1		1		1
Total	210	2	14		194	205	217	324	762

No. 6.—Report of miscellaneous suits instituted during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, in the several United States courts, and of proceedings had during said period in suits which were instituted prior thereto.

Judicial district.	Instituted during fiscal year.					Instituted prior to fiscal year.					Whole number of judgments in favor of the United States.	Whole number of suits disposed of.	Total judgments.	Total collections.
	Number of suits.	Aggregate sued for.	Aggregate in judgment.	Collections.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.		
Alabama, northern.....	71	\$14,800.00	\$8,200.02	\$31.78	15	12	44	1	\$1,805.04	\$580.39	17	24	\$5,005.06	\$621.17
Alabama, middle.....	13	1,600.00	100.00	32.30	0	0	7	1	1,850.06	902.55	17	13	1,950.06	934.75
Alabama, southern.....	26	900.00	1,734.40	138.40	19	6	1	1	1,000.00	1,448.68	1	20	1,734.40	1,587.08
Alaska.....	23	7,000.00	2,300.00	364.40	19	1	3	1	3,000.00	3,347.64	1	20	2,600.00	3,712.04
Arizona.....	26	61,916.58	6,167.56	4,245.84	25	1	1	1	685.48	6,299.74	3	28	6,853.04	10,545.58
Arkansas, eastern.....	23	14,623.97	1,370.30	210.13	14	0	1	0	2,057.50	777.00	13	27	3,427.80	3,987.13
Arkansas, western.....	31	404,229.00	4,850.00	3,413.70	20	1	10	1	13,446.12	2,194.82	6	26	18,296.12	5,613.62
California, northern.....	13	8,653.99	824.00	320.00	9	1	4	1	100.00	727.00	1	10	1,324.00	1,047.09
California, southern.....	14	95,657.58	7,925.00	44.95	3	1	10	1	100.00	394.00	1	4	8,025.00	1,438.95
Colorado.....	8	55,000.00	1,210.00	56.50	4	1	3	1	100.00	394.00	1	4	1,210.00	56.50
Connecticut.....	4													
Delaware.....	4													
District of Columbia.....	46	19,208.25	8,485.00	3,159.61	42	1	3	1	1,850.25	2,150.28	6	48	9,885.25	303.57
Florida, northern.....	8	40,516.32	5.00	164.78	1	2	5	1	3,800.00	294.30	2	1	5,000.00	5,000.00
Florida, southern.....	191	37,100.00	3,250.00	129.20	11	56	124	1	3,800.00	717.23	16	27	6,550.00	846.43
Georgia.....	129		1,100.00		7	1	12	1	1,000.00		1	3	2,100.00	
Georgia, southern.....	14				2	1	6	1				3	1	
Idaho.....	13	13,705.47	1,150.00	250.00	9	7	2	4	57,135.11	255.15	25	4	1,150.00	605.15
Illinois.....	20	2,600.00	3,045.00	1,426.77	15	3	2	3		274.40	25	4	60,180.11	1,701.17
Illinois, northern.....	15		4,962.00	541.75	15	3	1	1	15.00		2	15	4,962.00	541.75
Indiana.....	43	45,375.25	326.00	115.00	28	16	15	1	10,286.00	1,762.70	92	30	341.00	115.00
Indian Territory, northern.....	205	7,699.00	9,093.00	685.00	189	16	1	1	2,500.00	881.43	33	281	19,379.00	2,437.70
Indian Territory, central.....	144	1,955.00	1,519.60	79.70	135	1	8	1	8,336.00	2,790.40	112	188	197	4,019.60
Indian Territory, southern.....	125	18,150.00	6,740.00	216.30	84	8	33	1	25.00		6	196	15,076.00	3,006.70
Iowa.....	3		600.00		5	2	1	1			1	6	625.00	
Iowa, northern.....	3	760.00		213.56		2	1	1			1	3		213.56
Kansas.....	8		333.00	122.50	7	1	1	1	25.00	28.50	1	8	358.00	151.00
Kentucky.....	24		5,158.00	1,427.94	20	1	4	1	3,950.00	1,031.95	10	30	9,103.00	9,459.89
Louisiana, eastern.....	4	708.25	800.00	312.50	2	1	1	1	800.00		3	5	1,100.00	312.50
Louisiana, western.....														
Maine.....	4		1,041.00	40.00	4		1	1		353.72		4	1,041.00	373.72

Maryland	26	2,688.00	1,520.87	25	1	1	10.15	25	26	2,688.00	1,581.02
Massachusetts	15	577.00	120.00	13	1	3	84.86	14	16	578.00	120.00
Michigan, eastern	4	502.50	77.07				1,095.92	2	4	1,050.00	161.93
Michigan, western							3,206.44	1	5	1,760.00	1,095.92
Minnesota	53	6,613.74	192.48	45	3	14	50.00	7	17	6,813.74	8,398.92
Mississippi, northern	24	3,004.34	910.00	11	1	2	22,307.00	11	22	23,217.00	
Mississippi, southern	14	6,100.00	104.83	16	1	6	203.36	18	24	3,687.36	304.00
Missouri, eastern	17	3,484.00	90.75	22	1	2	237.00	28	36	1,790.00	406.22
Missouri, western	28	1,553.00	118.60	7	1	13	600.00	6	1	1,910.00	554.90
Montana	21	783.65	443.23	20	1	1	2,684.00	25	26	4,994.00	443.23
Nebraska	20	3,300.00	200.00	27	1			27	27	3,300.00	200.00
Nevada	28	2,507.50									
New Hampshire	27	7,348.41	622.20	19	1	7	1,000.00	20	21	3,152.00	622.20
New Jersey	16	1,000.00	49.71	8	1	7	185.00	10	14	2,741.00	159.33
New Mexico	20	4,162.17	4,025.00	19	1	1	600.00	24	26	6,450.00	4,625.00
New York, northern	15	2,543.40	1,704.91	12	1	2	1,002.00	15	18	5,942.50	1,919.57
New York, eastern	53	62,041.58	1,699.63	31	10	12	9,000.41	35	35	13,702.41	3,296.57
North Carolina, eastern	58	12,957.20	30.55	16	10	32	2,780.00	28	37	5,240.00	1,967.50
North Carolina, western	124	23,880.00	192.80	29	30	65	6,490.00	75	75	11,550.00	1,359.77
North Dakota	19	200.00	4,411.00	19	3	4	83.90	19	19	4,411.00	122.15
Ohio, northern	4		38.25	3	1			3	4	215.00	
Ohio, southern	20	500.00	487.00	19	1	1	12,101.00	19	19	7,885.00	437.00
Oklahoma	77	119,097.50	80.02	46	4	27	100.00	1	90	15,750.00	427.51
Oregon	16	19,702.69	190.65	9	7	7	919.94	4	15	1,633.00	919.94
Pennsylvania, eastern	21	93.54		17	2	2	10,500.00	3	20	13,260.00	8,217.99
Pennsylvania, western	5			5	2			5	5		
Rhode Island	2		300.00	2	2		400.00	1	5	700.00	805.02
South Carolina	4		239.97	2	2			2	2	239.97	
South Dakota	13	1,326.51	50.00	11	2		100.00	12	12	1,150.00	60.00
Tennessee, eastern	22	3,000.00	696.25	12	10	6	250.00	8	31	3,205.00	1,079.09
Tennessee, middle	29	3,250.00	1,002.65	17	6	6	482.84	5	28	10,150.00	1,516.25
Tennessee, western	40	1,950.00	283.60	10	3	27	5,500.00	18	36	6,575.00	
Texas, northern	4	780.00	20.00	2	1	1	760.00	9	10	20,780.00	2,082.44
Texas, eastern	12	6,288.21	85.00	2	1	7	9,270.00	17	30	9,355.00	2,998.54
Texas, western	5	1,138.95	4.00	4	2	1	200.00	6	6	304.00	219.85
Utah	4	14,911.30	616.30	1	2	1	69.04	2	10	288.79	12,256.28
Vermont											
Virginia, eastern	3		35.00	3			40.00	1	4	75.00	5.00
Virginia, western	46	18,700.00	105.35	16	30	2,730.00	154.79	11	85	2,730.00	260.14
Washington	56	7,200.00	639.92	52	4		100.00	2	57	5,758.00	739.92
West Virginia	7		263.00				15.00	3	32	11,260.00	
Wisconsin, eastern	17	2,000.00	1.00	16	1		100.00	1	10	1,802.00	175.40
Wisconsin, western	58	2,398.00	575.00	56	2		2,158.62	11	18	3,821.00	2,728.62
Wyoming	24	67,817.50	477.85	19	4	1		1	25	965.00	477.85
Total	2,195	1,252,501.61	34,223.88	1,359	6	229	601	625	23	377,080.01	97,965.62

No. 7.—*Statistical summary of business arising from suits, etc., in which the United States fiscal year ending*

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.								
	Suits on Treasury transcripts.		Post-office suits.		Suits on custom-house bonds.		Suits for fines, penalties, and forfeitures under customs-revenue and navigation laws.		Suits against collectors of customs, etc.
	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.
Alabama, northern	1	\$43.40	1
Alabama, middle	5
Alabama, southern	2	\$1,116.91
Alaska	10	\$8,633.00	2
Arizona	1	42.20
Arkansas, eastern	5
Arkansas, western	5
California, northern	1	332.49	5	1	295.49	3	\$1,000.00	13
California, southern
Colorado	7	3,709.25
Connecticut	10	2
Delaware
District of Columbia
Florida, northern	1	1
Florida, southern	5	2,379.49	3	2
Georgia, northern	14	624.87
Georgia, southern	13	2,426.00
Idaho	11	80.00
Illinois, northern	27	9,000.00	9	3
Illinois, southern	12
Indiana	11
Indian Territory, northern	2
Indian Territory, central
Indian Territory, southern	2	1,356.83	4
Iowa, northern	7	214.51
Iowa, southern	12
Kansas	13
Kentucky	11	17.00
Louisiana, eastern	1	1
Louisiana, western
Maine	2
Maryland	12	1,000.00	1
Massachusetts	1	1,174.19	6	5	2
Michigan, eastern	7	12	6
Michigan, western	1	22,902.24	5	1
Minnesota	1	878.30
Mississippi, northern	5
Mississippi, southern	3
Missouri, eastern	22	2
Missouri, western	21	780.47
Montana	1	1,010.50	2	1,950.43
Nebraska	6
Nevada
New Hampshire	1	419.08
New Jersey	1	5,580.22	2	8	5
New Mexico	3
New York, northern	4	6
New York, eastern	2	1
New York, southern	7	5,379.49	1	173.93	32	69,713.66	172
North Carolina, eastern	4	17.00
North Carolina, western	4
North Dakota	3
Ohio, northern	10	3	2,000.00
Ohio, southern	23
Oklahoma
Oregon	2	9,131.58	6	2
Pennsylvania, eastern	13	4	1,357.28	7	2
Pennsylvania, western	10
Rhode Island	3
South Carolina	4	1
South Dakota	5
Tennessee, eastern	7
Tennessee, middle	2
Tennessee, western	1
Texas, northern	1	1,815.20	5
Texas, eastern	1
Texas, western	7
Utah	3	51,625.27	3
Vermont	2	1

is a party or has an interest, under charge of the Solicitor of the Treasury, during the June 30, 1900.

In suits brought during the fiscal year.									
Miscellaneous suits.		Total amount reported sued for.	Total amount reported in judgment.	Total reported collected.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Total number of suits commenced.
No.	Amount.								
71	\$14,800.00	\$14,843.40	\$3,300.02	\$31.78	16	12	45	73
13	1,600.00	1,600.00	600.00	32.20	7	4	7	18
26	900.00	2,016.91	2,102.35	138.40	20	6	2	28
.....	8,633.00	50.00	50.00	1	11	12
23	7,900.00	7,942.20	2,300.00	407.60	19	2	3	24
26	61,916.58	61,916.58	6,414.56	4,267.59	80	1	31
23	14,623.97	14,623.97	2,382.30	220.13	19	9	28
31	404,229.00	405,856.98	5,350.00	3,811.95	28	1	25	54
13	8,663.99	8,663.99	324.00	320.00	9	4	13
14	95,657.58	99,366.83	8,090.00	44.95	9	1	11	21
8	55,000.00	55,000.00	3,461.00	636.84	15	2	3	20
.....
4	4	4
46	19,208.25	19,208.25	8,585.00	3,159.61	43	1	4	48
8	40,516.32	42,895.81	456.00	378.16	8	2	8	18
191	37,100.00	37,724.87	4,850.00	129.20	23	56	126	205
14	1,100.00	15	12	27
13	13,705.47	13,785.47	2,230.00	250.00	18	6	24
20	2,600.00	11,600.00	6,808.38	6,149.45	38	10	11	59
15	5,697.00	987.78	27	27
43	45,375.25	45,375.25	2,844.10	115.00	39	15	54
205	7,599.00	7,599.00	9,293.00	685.00	191	16	207
144	1,955.00	1,955.00	1,519.60	79.70	135	1	8	144
125	18,150.00	19,506.83	7,140.00	216.30	88	8	35	131
5	214.51	771.00	125.00	11	1	72
3	760.00	760.00	2,166.00	443.56	11	3	1	15
8	1,186.00	768.92	19	1	1	21
24	17.00	7,093.00	3,454.42	31	4	35
4	708.25	708.25	850.00	399.45	3	1	2	6
.....
4	1,501.00	672.21	7	7
26	1,000.00	3,532.00	1,660.87	37	1	3	41
15	1,174.19	1,107.00	4,716.30	18	7	8	33
4	502.50	502.50	3,350.00	2,308.72	17	7	24
.....	22,902.24	579.50	250.00	5	1	6
53	3,004.34	3,882.64	6,613.74	568.86	45	4	5	54
24	5,100.00	5,100.00	12	3	14	29
14	900.00	900.00	1,410.00	14	1	2	17
17	6,779.97	104.83	39	1	1	41
28	1,300.00	2,080.47	2,457.00	195.35	41	1	7	49
21	753.65	3,714.58	6,110.00	218.60	9	1	14	24
20	3,925.00	1,932.33	26	26
28	2,507.50	2,507.50	3,300.00	200.00	27	1	28
.....	419.08	419.08	1	1
27	7,349.41	12,879.63	2,952.00	7,341.86	27	3	13	43
16	1,000.00	1,000.00	3,211.00	249.45	11	1	7	19
20	4,169.17	4,169.17	7,300.00	5,825.00	29	1	30
15	2,543.40	2,543.40	4,351.50	1,714.91	15	1	2	18
53	62,041.58	137,308.66	15,666.69	10,008.71	52	14	15	184	265
58	12,957.20	12,974.20	4,643.04	30.55	20	10	32	62
124	23,880.00	23,880.00	5,788.88	346.25	31	32	65	128
19	200.00	200.00	8,493.59	22	22
4	2,000.00	1,206.48	1,154.16	13	2	2	17
20	500.00	500.00	13,083.26	1,175.85	42	1	43
77	119,097.50	119,097.50	3,649.00	80.02	46	4	27	77
16	19,702.69	28,834.27	2,308.00	1,861.56	17	1	8	26
21	93.54	1,450.82	2,874.00	883.92	37	6	4	47
5	112.66	130.00	15	15
2	520.00	563.51	5	5
4	1,939.97	6	1	2	9
13	1,326.51	1,326.51	1,560.00	60.00	16	2	18
22	3,000.00	3,000.00	6,805.00	667.95	18	11	29
29	3,250.00	3,250.00	10,150.00	1,062.65	17	8	6	31
40	1,950.00	1,950.00	1,175.00	11	3	27	41
4	750.00	2,565.20	535.00	658.60	7	1	2	10
12	6,288.21	6,288.21	185.00	3	2	1	7	13
5	1,138.95	1,138.95	704.00	1,317.64	11	1	12
4	14,911.30	66,536.57	235.75	626.30	4	2	4	10
.....	27.00	25.00	3	3

No. 7.—*Statistical summary of business arising from suits, etc., in which the United States
fiscal year ending*

Judicial district.	In suits brought during the fiscal year.								
	Suits on Treasury transcripts.		Post-office suits.		Suits on custom-house bonds.		Suits for fines, penalties, and forfeitures under customs-revenue and navigation laws.		Suits against collectors of customs, etc.
	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.
Virginia, eastern			4						
Virginia, western			2	\$66. 10					
Washington	1	\$1,010. 50	5	156. 16			5	\$5,000. 00	1
West Virginia			10						
Wisconsin, eastern			2						
Wisconsin, western			2						
Wyoming			2						
Total	18	97, 272	425	28, 917. 68	16	\$10, 459. 70	113	77, 713. 66	210

is a party or as an interest, under charge of the Solicitor of the Treasury, during the June 30, 1900.—Continued.

In suits brought during the fiscal year.									
Miscellaneous suits.		Total amount reported sued for.	Total amount reported in judgment.	Total reported collected.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.	Pending.	Total number of suits commenced.
No.	Amount.								
3			\$1,945.00	\$70.83	7				7
46	\$18,700.00	\$18,766.10	20.00	191.45	1		17	30	48
56	7,200.00	13,366.66	6,233.00	967.20	60		1	7	68
7			445.00	70.00	17				17
17	3,000.00	3,000.00	1,704.00	2.00	18		1		19
58	2,598.00	2,598.00	675.00	625.00	58			2	60
24	67,817.50	67,817.50	1,005.00	542.03	20		5	1	26
2,195	1,252,501.61	1,466,864.65	250,332.34	78,802.59	1,829	22	270	856	2,977

No. 7.—Statistical summary of business arising from suits, etc., in which the United States is a party or has an interest, under charge of the Solicitor of the Treasury, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Judicial district.	In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.					Total number of judgments in favor of United States.	Total number of suits disposed of.	Total amount of judgments rendered in favor of the United States.	Total amount of collections from all sources.
	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.				
Alabama, northern.....	\$1,805.04	\$782.33	21	24	37	73	\$5,105.06	\$841.11	
Alabama, middle.....	1,850.06	1,374.76	17	13	24	41	2,450.06	1,406.96	
Alabama, southern.....		1,448.68	1	1	21	29	2,102.35	1,587.08	
Alaska.....	1,000.00		2	1	3	4	1,050.00	50.00	
Arizona.....	300.00	12,550.64	1	8	20	30	2,600.00	12,958.24	
Arkansas, eastern.....	685.48	6,299.74	3	3	33	36	7,100.04	10,567.33	
Arkansas, western.....	2,057.50	802.00	13	6	32	38	4,439.80	1,022.13	
California, northern.....	65,408.21	3,604.02	9	3	37	41	70,758.21	7,415.97	
California, southern.....	1,500.00	2,284.39	2	1	11	12	1,824.00	2,604.39	
Colorado.....	350.00	792.20	3		12	13	8,440.00	837.15	
Connecticut.....	50.00	102.47	1	1	16	19	3,511.00	739.31	
Delaware.....	500.00	519.95	1	1	1	2	500.00	519.95	
District of Columbia.....		303.57		1		1		303.57	
Florida, northern.....	1,350.25	2,513.78	6	1	49	51	9,935.25	5,673.39	
Florida, southern.....		1,193.77		2	8	12	456.00	1,571.93	
Georgia, northern.....	3,738.07	777.16	18	47	41	144	8,588.07	906.36	
Georgia, southern.....	1,646.43	874.30	2		17	17	2,746.43	874.30	
Idaho.....		255.15		4	18	22	2,230.00	505.15	
Illinois, northern.....	58,764.06	3,150.44	30	1	68	83	65,572.44	9,299.89	
Illinois, southern.....	579.10	738.24	4		31	31	6,276.10	1,726.02	
Indiana.....	1,222.97	766.65	7		46	46	4,067.07	881.65	
Indian Territory, northern.....	10,836.00	1,752.70	95		286	302	20,129.00	2,437.70	
Indian Territory, central.....	2,600.00	981.43	54		189	198	4,119.60	1,061.13	
Indian Territory, southern.....	8,336.00	2,790.40	112	5	200	213	15,476.00	3,006.70	
Iowa, northern.....	25.00		1		12	13	796.00	125.00	
Iowa, southern.....	275.00	904.68	2	1	13	18	2,441.00	1,348.24	
Kansas.....	250.00	353.11	3		22	24	1,436.00	1,122.03	
Kentucky.....	4,686.95	2,138.47	14	1	45	48	11,779.95	5,592.89	
Louisiana, eastern.....	25,300.00	866.80	4	1	7	9	26,150.00	1,266.25	
Louisiana, western.....									
Maine.....		378.72		1	7	8	1,501.00	1,050.98	
Maryland.....		232.59		1	37	39	3,632.00	1,893.46	
Massachusetts.....	1.00	744.00	4	6	22	41	1,108.00	5,460.30	
Michigan, eastern.....	1,050.00	621.27	2	1	19	24	4,400.00	2,929.99	
Michigan, western.....	1,760.00	1,095.92	1	1	6	10	2,339.50	1,345.92	
Minnesota.....	200.00	3,206.44	2		47	58	6,813.74	3,775.30	
Mississippi, northern.....	50.00		1	4	13	22	1,250.00		
Mississippi, southern.....	22,382.00		13	1	27	29	23,792.00		
Missouri, eastern.....	203.36	319.10	2		41	43	6,983.33	423.98	
Missouri, western.....	362.00	567.17	8		49	58	2,819.00	762.52	
Montana.....	600.00	446.30	6	1	2	15	6,710.00	664.90	
Nebraska.....	6,404.00		10		36	36	10,329.00	1,932.33	
Nevada.....					27	27	3,300.00	200.00	
New Hampshire.....	6,500.00		1		1	2	6,500.00	419.08	
New Jersey.....	1,000.00		2		29	32	3,952.00	7,341.86	
New Mexico.....	285.00	601.08	4	1	2	15	3,496.00	850.53	
New York, northern.....	1,353.50	2,795.15	8	1	37	42	8,658.50	8,620.15	
New York, eastern.....	1,002.00	215.66	3		17	18	5,353.50	1,930.57	
New York, southern.....	10,762.49	3,491.19	210	206	348	262	26,429.18	13,499.90	
North Carolina, eastern.....	4,865.01	3,601.61	24		28	44	9,508.05	3,632.16	
North Carolina, western.....	6,590.00	2,067.72	37		68	175	12,378.88	2,403.97	
North Dakota.....	50.00	648.20	2		24	24	8,543.59	648.20	
Ohio, northern.....	25.00	83.90	1		14	18	1,231.48	1,238.06	
Ohio, southern.....					42	42	13,083.26	1,175.85	
Oklahoma.....	12,101.00	387.54	44		90	95	15,750.00	467.56	
Oregon.....	100.00	1,168.61	6	3	4	23	31	2,408.00	3,030.17
Pennsylvania, eastern.....	10,550.00	3,192.34	4	9	7	41	63	13,424.00	4,076.26
Pennsylvania, western.....	5.12	71.27	3			18	18	117.78	201.32
Rhode Island.....	800.00	905.02	2		2	7	9	1,320.00	1,468.53
South Carolina.....	500.00		1			7	8	2,439.97	
South Dakota.....	100.00		1	1		17	18	1,600.00	60.00
Tennessee, eastern.....	500.00	482.84	2		8	20	39	7,305.00	1,150.79
Tennessee, middle.....		513.60			5	17	30	10,150.00	1,576.25
Tennessee, western.....	5,500.00		18		5	29	37	6,675.00	
Texas, northern.....	4,137.68	1,978.84	11		9	18	28	4,722.68	2,637.44
Texas, eastern.....	9,270.00	3,005.54	17	2	6	20	31	9,455.00	3,005.54
Texas, western.....	200.00	1,173.49	1			12	13	904.04	2,491.13

No. 7.—*Statistical summary of business arising from suits, etc., in which the United States is a party or has an interest, under charge of the Solicitor of the Treasury, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.*

Judicial district.	In suits brought prior to the fiscal year.					Total number of judgments in favor of United States.	Total number of suits disposed of.	Total amount of judgments rendered in favor of the United States.	Total amount of collections from all sources.
	Judgments in old suits.	Collections in old suits.	Decided for the United States.	Decided against the United States.	Settled, dismissed, etc.				
Utah.....	\$69.04	\$12,333.65	2	6	6	14	\$304.79	\$12,959.95
Vermont.....	50.00	30.00	1	4	4	77.00	55.00
Virginia, eastern.....	40.00	373.98	1	8	8	1,985.00	444.81
Virginia, western.....	2,910.00	210.59	20	58	21	96	2,930.00	402.04
Washington.....	5,875.91	8,329.02	3	4	5	63	73	12,108.91	9,296.22
West Virginia.....	325.77	466.95	3	1	20	21	770.77	536.95
Wisconsin, eastern.....	101.00	410.88	2	1	20	22	1,805.00	412.88
Wisconsin, western.....	2,957.50	2,155.62	11	4	69	73	3,632.50	2,780.62
Wyoming.....	5.00	1	1	1	21	28	1,010.00	542.03
Total.....	316,714.50	109,217.63	921	250	768	2,750	4,060	567,046.84	188,020.22

Statement of real property in charge of the Solicitor of the Treasury, acquired in the collection of debts due the United States during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

When and from whom acquired.	Description.	Where situated.
October —, 1899; W. W. Armitage.	A tract of 160 acres of land, described by metes and bounds.	Polk County, Tex.
December 18, 1899; J. J. Colvard.	A tract of 800 acres of land, being grant No. 11351 in district No. 9.	Graham County, N. C.
Do.	A tract of 800 acres of land, being grant No. 8748 in district No. 9.	Do.
February 26, 1900; Hiram Hogg.	A tract of 90 acres of land near Booneville.	Owsley County, Ky.

Statement of real property in charge of the Solicitor of the Treasury, sold under the provisions of section 3749, United States Revised Statutes, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

When and from whom acquired.	Description.	When and to whom sold.	Amount sold for.
May 24, 1894; J. W. Williamson.	A tract of 960 acres of land, described by metes and bounds, in Hays County, Tex.	May 5, 1900; Zack Williamson.	\$342.36
October 20, 1896; Aug. Schnell.	Lots 25 and 26 in block 70 of Calumet and Chicago Canal and Dock Co.'s subdivision at South Chicago, in secs. 5 and 6, T. 37 N., R. 15 E., third principal meridian, Cook County, Ill.	Aug. 25, 1899; Lottie Mette..	500.00

EXHIBIT I.—*Report of the librarian.*

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Washington, D. C., October 27, 1900.

SIR: I respectfully submit the following report on the library of the Department of Justice for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900:

This library has had in its possession for many years quite a collection of foreign books, but until recently they were seldom or never called for. The late Spanish-American war brought the Spanish books

into demand, and made it desirable for the Department to have a catalogue of all its foreign books—that is, books in languages other than English. Mr. Theodore B. Lyman, as you are aware, has been assisting me in this work, which is now well under way. New shelving has been erected, and the books collected, catalogued, and arranged on the shelves according to countries. The catalogue will show the publications of each country in the following manner: First, list of codes, laws, etc.; second, list of treatises, in alphabetical arrangement, according to the names of the authors; third, subject list of treatises.

It is believed that this arrangement will prove satisfactory. This catalogue, especially the subject part of it, is in the nature of a pioneer, as we were unable to find any other catalogue which was of the slightest assistance.

At the date of this report the manuscript is nearly all in the hands of the printer.

Each summer it is customary for me to go over the shelves, select such books as need rebinding, and send them to the bindery, together with the year's accumulation of periodicals. This I have been unable to do during the past summer, owing to the work on the foreign catalogue.

The library is receiving a thorough cleaning, which it has needed ever since it was moved into this building. The work is being done carefully and well.

It is earnestly hoped that Congress will take some action on Senate bill 3181, introduced during the last session. While the Superintendent of Documents has not yet refused to supply this Department with additional copies of Statutes at Large, etc., beyond the number indicated in the statute, yet he has no authority for so doing, and he feels that the law should be amended in such a manner as to allow him to supply the Department with the number of copies actually needed. With regard to current publications of the statutes, 500 copies are authorized, and each time he is obliged to furnish 200 additional copies. The new courts and officers of Hawaii and Porto Rico require 23 additional copies, and in view of the fact that at every session of Congress new courts are created, I suggest that 750 copies of each statute issued should be sent to this Department.

It is the librarian's duty to distribute these books to every Federal court and officer throughout the United States. The books have to be properly stamped and prepared for shipment and requests for receipts mailed to each person to whom they are sent; perhaps a second or third request is necessary before the receipt is received. The receipts are then filed. Obtain from each incoming officer an inventory of the statutes delivered to him by his predecessor, ascertain what has become of the missing books, and supply such as can not be found. This, it will be seen, necessarily takes considerable time.

Except as found in the library set, this Department has no copies of the Opinions of Attorneys-General prior to volume 13. They were printed as a private enterprise and can only be had by purchase. Some of the volumes are very scarce. Recently, by reason of the assignment of a local law-book dealer, I have had offered me at a remarkably low figure a large number of the early volumes in unbound form. They comprise practically all the stock known to be in existence, and are as follows: One hundred and fifty copies each of volumes 1 to 5, and fifty copies each of volumes 6 to 8, in all 900 volumes, for

§225. This Department is the proper depository for these books, and I should have purchased them at once if our regular appropriation could have been used for that purpose. I suggest that Congress be asked to appropriate \$225 for the purchase of these books, the same to be distributed in like manner as other volumes of the opinions published by this Government.

Last year I spoke of the desirability of purchasing the trinity series—the American Decisions, the American Reports, and the American State Reports, and suggested an addition to the regular appropriation for that purpose, which was not made. As it is quite out of the question for us to purchase the entire three series at one time out of the regular appropriation, it is my intention to buy each series separately. I have purchased at a moderate price a good secondhand set of the American Reports, and hope next year to add a set of the American Decisions.

Last year Congress appropriated as a deficiency for the purchase of books for this library \$500. I suggest that the same amount be appropriated for this year, and that the regular appropriation for the ensuing year be made large enough so that next year we will not be obliged to ask for a deficiency appropriation. To accomplish this, the appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1901, should be as follows:

For law books for the library of this Department	\$2, 250
For session laws and statutes of the States and Territories for the library of this Department.....	500

Very respectfully,

JAMES A. FINCH, *Librarian.*

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

PURCHASES.

	Volumes.
United States Supreme Court reports	38
United States circuit and district courts reports.....	87
State reports	171
English, Irish, and Canadian reports	26
Statutes of the United States, including citations and notes.....	5
Statutes, codes, and session laws of the several States	99
English and Canadian statutes	3
Digests (general) of all the courts, Federal and State.....	12
Digests of State reports, including notes.....	19
Digests of English and Irish reports	3
Digests of patent decisions	1
Works on jurisprudence	51
Cyclopedias, legal	30
Dictionaries.....	4
Trials	1
Opinions of the Attorneys-General	1
History	13
Biography	17
Works, writings, and correspondence.....	21
Scientific books	1
Atlas	1
Almanacs	17
Railway guides.....	3
Legal periodicals	17
Index to legal periodicals	1
Other periodicals.....	2
Spanish books, codes, and treatises	85
Total	729

REGULAR RECEIPTS.

Interstate, national, and foreign exchanges.

FROM STATES AND TERRITORIES.

Arkansas:		
Acts of Arkansas, 1899.....		1
Colorado:		
Laws of Colorado, 1899		1
Connecticut:		
Public Acts of Connecticut, 1899.....		1
Special Acts of Connecticut, 1899		1
Delaware;		
Laws of Delaware, 1899.....		1
Florida:		
Laws of Florida, 1899.....		1
Georgia:		
Laws of Georgia, 1899		1
Idaho:		
Laws of Idaho, 1899		1
Illinois:		
Laws of Illinois, 1899		1
Illinois Reports, vols. 178-183.....		6
Indiana:		
Laws of Indiana, 1899		1
Kansas:		
Kansas Reports, vol. 60.....		1
Kansas Court of Appeals Reports, vol. 7		1
Louisiana:		
Louisiana Annual Reports, vol. 50.....		1
Maine:		
Maine Reports, vol. 92.....		1
Thirty-first Annual Report of the Insurance Commissioners, 1899.....		1
Massachusetts:		
Acts and Resolves of Massachusetts, 1899		1
Michigan:		
Public Acts of Michigan, 1899		1
Private Acts of Michigan, 1899		1
Laws of Michigan, extra session, 1899-1900.....		1
Minnesota:		
Minnesota Laws, 1899		1
Minnesota Reports, vols. 71-74.....		4
Missouri:		
Laws of Missouri, 1899.....		1
Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1899, vols. 1 and 2		2
Montana:		
Laws of Montana, 1899		1
Nebraska:		
Laws of Nebraska, 1899 (2 copies).....		2
New Hampshire:		
Laws of New Hampshire, 1899		1
New Hampshire Reports, vol. 68		1
New Hampshire Journals, Senate and House, 1899		1
New Jersey:		
Laws of New Jersey, 1898, 1899		2
New York:		
Laws of New York, 1899, vols. 1 and 2.....		2
North Carolina:		
Public Laws of North Carolina, 1899.....		1
Private Laws of North Carolina, 1899		1
North Carolina Reports, vol. 123		1
North Dakota:		
Revised Codes of North Dakota, 1899		1
North Dakota Reports, vols. 7 and 8.....		2
Ohio:		
Ohio State Reports, vols. 59 and 60		2

Oregon:	
Laws of Oregon, 1898, special session	1
Laws of Oregon, 1899	1
Pennsylvania:	
Laws of Pennsylvania, 1899	1
Rhode Island:	
Rhode Island Public Laws, 1899, January and February	2
South Carolina:	
Acts of South Carolina, 1899	1
South Carolina Reports, vols. 52 and 53	2
Tennessee:	
Acts of Tennessee, 1899	1
Texas:	
General Laws of Texas, 1899	1
Special Laws of Texas, 1899	1
General and Special Laws of Texas, 1900, First Called Session Twenty-sixth Legislature	1
Utah:	
Laws of Utah, 1899	1
West Virginia:	
Acts of West Virginia, 1899	1
Wisconsin:	
Laws of Wisconsin, 1899	1
Wyoming:	
Revised Statutes of Wyoming, 1899 (2 copies)	2
Wyoming Reports, vols. 5 and 6	2
FROM THE UNITED STATES.	
Bureau of the American Republics:	
Monthly Bulletins, 1899-1900, vols. 7 and 8	2
Civil Service Commission:	
Fourteenth Report of the U. S. Civil Service Commission, 1896-97	1
Fifteenth Report of the U. S. Civil Service Commission, 1897-98	1
Sixteenth Report of the U. S. Civil Service Commission, 1898-99	1
Court of Claims:	
Court of Claims Reports, vol. 33 (23 copies)	23
Court of Claims Reports, vol. 34 (24 copies)	24
Department of the Interior:	
Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, 1897, 1898	2
Annual Report of the Commissioner of Patents, 1898	1
Report of the Commissioner of Railroads, 1899	1
Official Register of the United States, 1899, vol. 1 (18 copies)	18
Specifications and Drawings of Patents, May, 1896, parts 1 and 2	2
Geological Atlas of the United States, Nos. 52, 54, and 57	3
Department of Labor:	
Thirteenth Annual Report of the Commissioner of Labor, 1898: Hand and Machine Labor	1
Department of State:	
Local Acts of Alabama, 1898-99	1
Laws of New York, 1899, vols. 1 and 2	2
Bulletin of the Bureau of Rolls and Library, Department of State, No. 9 (October, 1897)	1
La Constitution du Grand-Duché de Finlande, 1899	1
Diète de Finlande, 1899: Repones	1
Gerechatelyke der Nederlanden, 1898	1
Fish Commission:	
Bulletin of the United States Commission of Fish and Fisheries, 1898, vol. 18	1
Government Printing Office:	
Congressional Record, vol. 32, index	1
Messages and Papers of the Presidents, vol. 10 (6 copies)	6
Interstate Commerce Commission:	
Thirteenth Annual Report of the Interstate Commerce Commission, 1899	1
Navy Department:	
Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Navigation, 1898	1
Post-Office Department:	
United States Official Postal Guide, 1900, vol. 22	1
Smithsonian Institution:	
Annual Report of the Smithsonian Institution, 1898	1

Superintendent of Documents:

Document Index, Fifty-fifth Congress, second session.....	1
Annual Report of the Supervising Surgeon-General, Marine-Hospital Service, United States, 1897.....	1
Thirteenth Annual Report of the Commissioner of Labor, 1898, vols. 1 and 2.....	2
Proceedings of the United States National Museum, vol. 21.....	1
Report of the Cruise of the United States Revenue Cutter Bear and the Overland Expedition, 1897-98.....	1
Decisions of the Comptroller of the Treasury, vol. 5.....	1
Decisions of the Department of the Interior relating to Public Lands, vol. 28.....	1
Index Catalogue of the Library of the Surgeon-General's Office, United States Army, vol. 4.....	1
Congressional Record, vol. 32, parts 1, 2, and 3, and index.....	4
United States Statutes at Large, vol. 30.....	1
Flags of Maritime Nations, 1899.....	1
United States Coast Pilot, Atlantic Coast, part 4.....	1
Internal-Revenue Laws, 1900.....	1
Official Congressional Directory, Fifty-sixth Congress, first session, third edition, April 18, 1900.....	1
Drill Regulations and Outlines of First Aid for the Hospital Corps, United States Army.....	1
Congressional Documents (Senate and House).....	203

Treasury Department:

Navigation Laws of the United States, 1899.....	1
Customs Laws of the United States, 1899 (6 copies).....	6
Decisions of the Comptroller of the Treasury, vol. 5 (2 copies).....	2
Report of the United States Marine-Hospital Service, 1897.....	1
Report of Fur-Seal Investigations, 1896-97, parts 1-4.....	4
List of Merchant Vessels of the United States, 1899.....	1
Annual Report of the Commissioner of Navigation, 1899, part 1.....	1
Estimates of Appropriations, 1901.....	1
Report of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 1899.....	1
Digest of Decisions of the Second Comptroller of the Treasury.....	1
Decisions of the Comptroller of the Treasury, vol. 6, part 1.....	1
Annual Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1899: Finance (2 copies).....	2
Treasury Decisions, 1899, vol. 2 (6 copies).....	6
Annual Report of the Light-House Board, 1899.....	1
Statistical Abstract of the United States, 1899.....	1
Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the United States, 1899, vol. 1.....	1

War Department:

Annual Report of the Major-General Commanding the Army, 1899, parts 1-3.....	3
Report of the War Department, 1899, vol. 1, parts 2, 3, 5, and 6.....	4
War of the Rebellion: Official Records of the Union and Confederate Armies, Series 3, vols. 2-5; Series 4, vols. 1-3.....	7

FROM CANADA.

Statutes of Canada, Eighth Parliament, 1899, 2 vols. in 1.....	2
Statuts du Canada, Huitième Parlement, 1899, 2 vols in 1.....	2
Annual Report of the Geological Survey of Canada, 1897.....	1

Total.....	441
------------	-----

SPECIAL RECEIPTS.

From miscellaneous sources, donations.....	11
From exchange.....	3
Total.....	14

SUMMARY.

Acquired by purchase.....	729
Acquired by regular receipts (interstate, national, and foreign exchange).....	441
Acquired otherwise (special exchanges and donations).....	14

Total number of accessions:

Books.....	1,174
Pamphlets.....	97

Receipt and distribution of United States Statutes.

	On hand July 1, 1899.	Received during fiscal year.	Total on hand and received during fiscal year.	Distributed during fiscal year.												Total distribution.	Remaining July 1, 1900.				
				United States circuit judges.	United States district judges.	United States attorneys.	United States marshals.	Clerks United States circuit and district courts.	United States Supreme Court.	District of Columbia court of appeals.	District of Columbia supreme court.	District of Columbia police court.	United States Court of Claims.	United States circuit courts of appeals.	Clerks United States circuit courts of appeals.			United States prisoners, Indian Territory.	Commission to Revise Penal Laws of the United States.	Office of the Solicitor of the Treasury.	Department of Justice.
United States Statutes at Large:																					
Volume 18.....	19	44	63	25	91	101	94	270	15	4	7	8	6	9	9	1	1	3	1	17	46
Volume 19.....	20	27	47	2	4	13	4	8	1	1	17	30
Volume 20.....	9	55	64	2	4	7	7	33	1	18	46
Volume 21.....	20	14	34	2	4	4	1	17	17
Volume 22.....	20	42	62	2	4	7	7	33	1	16	46
Volume 23.....	20	39	59	2	4	7	7	33	1	17	42
Volume 24.....	19	41	60	2	4	6	7	33	1	17	42
Volume 25.....	19	45	64	2	4	6	7	33	1	15	45
Volume 26.....	20	40	60	2	4	5	6	33	1	15	45
Volume 27.....	17	43	60	2	4	5	6	33	1	14	46
Volume 28.....	30	31	61	2	4	4	4	33	1	14	46
Volume 29.....	33	32	65	2	4	5	33	1	14	47
Volume 30.....	731	731	25	91	101	94	270	15	4	7	8	6	9	9	1	1	3	1	14	51
Revised Statutes of the United States, second edition, 1878.....	52	93	2	4	13	4	8	1	1	670	61
Supplement to the Revised Statutes of the United States:	41																			49	49
Volume 1, second edition, 1891.....	32	52	84	2	4	9	1	7	1	1	35	49
Volume 2, numbers 1-5.....	16	57	73	1	4	8	1	6	1	1	30	43
Volume 2, number 6.....	31	42	73	2	4	8	1	5	1	1	30	43
Volume 2, number 7.....	28	45	73	2	4	7	1	5	1	1	28	45

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

Receipt and distribution of publications of the Department of Justice.

	On hand July 1, 1899.	Received during fiscal year.	Total.	Distributed dur- ing fiscal year.	Remaining July 1, 1900.
Annual Reports of the Attorney-General:					
1870.....	20	20	20
1871.....	96	96	5	91
1872.....	9	9	9
1873.....	45	45	3	42
1874.....	45	45	5	40
1875.....	6	6	6
1876.....	10	1	11	11
1877.....	47	47	6	41
1878.....	51	51	3	48
1879.....	40	40	2	38
1880.....	48	48	3	45
1881.....	46	46	3	43
1882.....
1883.....	50	50	50
1884.....	6	1	7	7
1885.....	6	6	6
1886.....	19	19	19
1887.....	5	5	5
1888.....	102	102	3	99
1889.....	94	94	3	91
1890.....	138	138	1	137
1891.....	141	16	157	157
1892.....	127	127	4	123
1893.....	167	167	10	157
1894.....	209	209	5	204
1895.....	318	318	8	310
1896.....	74	74	8	66
1896, Appendix.....	445	445	5	440
1897.....	220	220	29	191
1898.....	300	300	101	199
1899.....	2,000	2,000	1,786	214
Registers of the Department of Justice:					
1871.....	3	3	3
1872.....	37	4	41	41
1873.....	1	1	1
1874.....	12	12	12
1876.....	19	19	1	18
1883.....	22	2	24	24
1884.....	17	17	17
1886.....	14	14	1	13
1891.....	72	72	3	69
1895.....	235	235	5	230
1897.....	2	2	2
1898.....	675	675	91	584
Opinions of the Attorneys-General:					
Volume 13.....	182	10	142	8	134
Volume 14.....	188	188	9	179
Volume 15.....	145	10	155	10	145
Volume 16.....	141	10	151	11	140
Volume 17.....	246	246	12	234
Volume 18.....	284	284	16	218
Volume 19.....	292	292	17	275
Volume 20.....	318	318	16	302
Volume 21.....	280	280	16	264
Volume 21, part 1 (pamphlet).....	118	118	118
Volume 21, part 2 (pamphlet).....
Digest of Opinions of Attorneys-General.....	4	4	2	2
Cousar's Digest.....	516	516	13	503

EXHIBIT J.—Detailed statistics of United States prisoners confined in prisons, penitentiaries, reformatories, etc., during the year ended June 30, 1900.

District.	Institution.	Location.	Superintendent or warden.	Districts from which prisoners were received during the year, with number from each district.	In prison July 1, 1899.	Received from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	Total in prison during the year.	Discharged from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	Remaining in prison June 30, 1900.	Discharged.			
										By expiration of sentence.	Died.	Pardoned.	By habeas corpus.
Arizona	Territorial prison	Yuma	Herbert Brown	Arizona (15)	15	38	53	11	42	9	2		Transferred to insane asylum.
California, northern	State prison	San Quentin	M. G. Aguirre	California, northern (12); California, southern (4); Idaho (10).	55	26	81	18	68	17	1		
Do.	do	Folsom	Thos. Wilkinson	California, northern (3)	13	3	16	6	10	6			
Colorado	State penitentiary	Canon City	C. P. Hoyt	Colorado (4)	4	4	8	4	4	3		1	
Do.	State industrial school	Golden	B. L. Olds		5		5	4	1	4			
Connecticut	State prison	Wethersfield	Albert Garvin	Connecticut (3)	12	3	15	2	13	2			
District of Columbia	Reform school	Washington, D. C.	G. A. Shallenberger	Alabama, northern (3); Georgia, northern (2); North Carolina, western (2); Tennessee, eastern (1); Texas, northern (4).	30	14	44	19	25	17		2	
Idaho	State penitentiary	Boise City	John Halley	Idaho (2)	10	2	12	4	8	3		1	
Illinois, northern	do	Joliet	E. J. Murphy	Illinois, northern (8); Illinois, southern (1); Illinois, northern (4); Illinois, southern (2); Illinois, southern (17).	27	9	36	7	29	7			
Do.	State reformatory	Pontiac	George Torrance		8	6	14	8	6	6		2	
Illinois, southern	Southern Illinois penitentiary	Chester	J. M. Tanner		29	17	46	11	35	11			
Indiana	State prison	Michigan City	Geo. A. H. Shideler		21		21	12	9	12			
Do.	Woman's prison	Indianapolis	Miss Sarah F. Keely		6	1	7	7		6			1
Do.	Industrial school	do	do	Kentucky (1)	1		1		1				
Do.	Reform school for boys	Plaintfield	Thomas J. Charlton	Indiana (1)	1	1	2	1	1		1		
Do.	Marion County workhouse	Indianapolis	Wm. L. Esmann	Indiana (16)	8	16	24	16	8	16			
Iowa, northern	State penitentiary	Anamosa	Wm. A. Hunter	Iowa, northern (4); Iowa, southern (2).	29	6	35	20	15	17		3	
Iowa, southern	do	Fort Madison	N. N. Jones	Iowa, southern (7)	25	7	32	15	17	15			

EXHIBIT J.—Detailed statistics of United States prisoners confined in prisons, penitentiaries, etc., during the year ended June 30, 1900—Continued.

District.	Institution.	Location.	Superintendent or warden.	Districts from which prisoners were received during the year, with number from each district.	In prison July 1, 1899.	Received from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	Total in prison during the year.	Discharged from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	Remaining in prison June 30, 1900.	By expiration of sentence.	Died.	Pardoned.	By habeas corpus.	Transferred to insane asylum.
Kansas	United States penitentiary.	Fort Leavenworth.	R. W. McClaghry.	Arkansas, eastern (34); Arkansas, western (22); Colorado (4); Indian Territory, northern (145); Indian Territory, central (83); Indian Territory, southern (104); Kansas (16); Oklahoma (19); Texas, eastern (14); Texas, northern (10); Texas, western (13); court-martial (28); Indian Territory, northern (2).	779	497	1,276	374	902	346	10	16	2	...
Do.....	State penitentiary.....	Lansing.....	J. B. Tomlinson..	4	2	6	3	3	3
Kentucky	School of reform	Louisville	P. Caldwell.....	12	...	12	10	2	9	...	1
Maine	State prison	Thomaston.....	Hillman Smith..	2	3	5	1	4	1
Maryland	State penitentiary.....	Baltimore	John F. Weyler..	12	11	23	2	21	1	1
Do.....	House of reformation.....	Cheltenham... ..	Nathan Thompson.	15	13	28	9	19	8	...	1
Do.....	House of refuge	Baltimore	J. Morris Fisher..	1	1	...	1
Do.....	St. Mary's industrial school.....	do	Bro. Dominac	1	1	...	1
Massachusetts	State prison	Boston	B. F. Bridges	18	2	20	4	16	3	1
Do.....	Massachusetts reformatory.....	Concord Junction.	Joseph F. Scott	10	3	13	3	10	3

[illegible]

EXHIBIT J.—Detailed statistics of United States prisoners confined in prisons, penitentiaries, etc., during the year ended June 30, 1900—Continued.

District.	Institution.	Location.	Superintendent or warden.	Districts from which prisoners were received during the year, with number from each district.	In prison July 1, 1899.	Received from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	Total in prison during the year.	Discharged from July 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	Remaining in prison June 30, 1900.	Discharged.			
										By expiration of sentence.	Died.	Pardoned.	By habeas corpus.
Ohio, southern	Ohio penitentiary	Columbus	Wm. N. Darby	Alabama, northern (40); Alabama, southern (5); District of Columbia (1); Florida, northern (7); Florida, southern (3); Georgia, northern (11); Georgia, southern (2); Indiana (18); Indian Territory, northern (7); Indian Territory, central (42); Indian Territory, southern (2); Kentucky (10); Mississippi (3); Ohio, northern (4); Ohio, southern (10); South Carolina (7); Tennessee (1); Virginia, eastern (6); Virginia, western (1); Oregon (7)	475	180	655	249	406	226	14	9
Oregon	State penitentiary	Salem	J. D. Lee	Alabama, northern (40); Alabama, southern (5); District of Columbia (1); Florida, northern (7); Florida, southern (3); Georgia, northern (11); Georgia, southern (2); Indiana (18); Indian Territory, northern (7); Indian Territory, central (42); Indian Territory, southern (2); Kentucky (10); Mississippi (3); Ohio, northern (4); Ohio, southern (10); South Carolina (7); Tennessee (1); Virginia, eastern (6); Virginia, western (1); Oregon (7)	9	7	16	8	8	8	Transferred to insane asylum.
Pennsylvania, eastern	Eastern penitentiary	Philadelphia	D. W. Bussinger	Pennsylvania, eastern (19); Pennsylvania, eastern (2); Pennsylvania, western (10)	47	19	66	23	43	22	1
Do.	House of refuge	Glen Mills	F. H. Nibbecker	Pennsylvania, eastern (19); Pennsylvania, eastern (2); Pennsylvania, western (10)	2	2	2	2	2	2
Pennsylvania, western	Western penitentiary	Allegheny	E. S. Wright	Pennsylvania, eastern (19); Pennsylvania, eastern (2); Pennsylvania, western (10)	37	10	47	24	23	24
Do.	Industrial reformatory	Huntingdon	T. B. Patton	Pennsylvania, western (1); Pennsylvania, western (1); Rhode Island (2)	1	1	1	1
Do.	Reform school	Morganza	J. A. Quay	Pennsylvania, western (1); Rhode Island (2)	1	1	1	1
Rhode Island	State prison	Howard	Nelson Viall	Pennsylvania, western (1); Rhode Island (2)	3	2	5	1	1	1
South Carolina	State penitentiary	Columbia	D. J. Griffith	South Carolina (1); North Dakota (1); South Dakota (9)	26	15	41	18	23	15	1	1
South Dakota	Do.	Sioux Falls	John A. Bowler	South Carolina (1); North Dakota (1); South Dakota (9)	26	15	41	18	23	15	1	1
Do.	Reform school	Plankinton	W. H. Tompkins	Utah (1)	4	4	4
Utah	State prison	Salt Lake City	G. R. Dow	Utah (1)	7	1	8	3	3	3
Vermont	Do.	Windsor	E. W. Oakes	Vermont (1)	2	1	3	3

Do.	House of correction	Rutland	D. L. Morgan	Vermont (18)	1	18	19	10	9	10																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				</
-----	---------------------	---------	--------------	--------------	---	----	----	----	---	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

[illegible]

Missouri, western.....	23	22	43	1	1	20	25	36	9	2	17	11	10	5	2	1	114	103
Do.....	40	6	82	14	22	1	68	66	2	68	1	1	1	11	2	3	1	6
Montana.....	1	1	4	1	1	1	14	19	2	1	1	5	4	11	2	3	1	17
Nevada.....	12	1	9	15	2	4	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15
New Hampshire.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	7
New Jersey.....	11	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	15
Do.....	6	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	15
Essex County penitentiary.....	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	15
Hudson County penitentiary.....	6	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	15
New Mexico Penitentiary.....	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	15
Anburn prison.....	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	2	10	6	15
New York, northern.....	30	1	27	4	9	22	26	5	3	25	3	1	1	1	1	1	17	1
Do.....	30	1	27	4	9	22	26	5	3	25	3	1	1	1	1	1	17	1
Clinton State prison.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Elmira reformatory.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Albany County penitentiary.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Monroe County penitentiary.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
New York, eastern.....	28	1	26	1	2	18	10	23	5	4	12	9	3	1	1	1	12	4
Do.....	28	1	26	1	2	18	10	23	5	4	12	9	3	1	1	1	12	4
New York, southern.....	31	10	23	1	18	26	15	41	2	5	14	4	11	7	1	2	35	23
North Carolina, eastern.....	8	1	8	1	1	5	3	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	82
Ohio, northern.....	128	47	5	111	2	67	84	96	154	26	20	71	45	20	24	82	17	90
Ohio, southern.....	7	1	7	1	1	2	5	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Oregon.....	19	2	19	1	1	14	5	13	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
Do.....	19	2	19	1	1	14	5	13	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
Pennsylvania, eastern.....	10	1	10	1	1	2	8	8	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
Do.....	10	1	10	1	1	2	8	8	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
House of refuge.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Western penitentiary.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Industrial reformatory.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Rhode Island.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
South Carolina.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
South Dakota.....	11	1	4	15	1	7	8	9	6	3	7	4	1	1	1	1	16	5
Do.....	11	1	4	15	1	7	8	9	6	3	7	4	1	1	1	1	16	5
Reform school.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
State prison.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Utah.....	12	6	17	1	1	6	12	15	3	1	10	1	6	1	1	1	8	3
Do.....	12	6	17	1	1	6	12	15	3	1	10	1	6	1	1	1	8	3
House of correction.....	42	8	51	1	12	25	39	60	4	2	18	26	10	8	1	1	68	3
Industrial school.....	6	117	135	1	43	62	116	176	2	25	97	33	15	8	58	4	143	37
United States penitentiary.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
West Virginia.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
State penitentiary.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
State prison.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
House of correction.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
State penitentiary.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Do.....	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Wyoming.....	1,019	336	1,189	1,147	45	352	617	927	1,391	183	280	603	342	185	134	264	100	496
Total.....	1,019	336	1,189	1,147	45	352	617	927	1,391	183	280	603	342	185	134	264	100	496

1 Of this number 29 are Chinese.

EXHIBIT K.—*Report of the warden of the United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, Kans.*

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY,
Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit herewith the annual report of this penitentiary for the year ending June 30, 1900, and to call attention to the accompanying reports of the chaplain, surgeon, chief clerk, record clerk, superintendent of farm, and superintendent of construction of the new prison.

PRISON POPULATION.

The population at the close of last fiscal year was 779. The gain during the year was 497, loss 374, leaving a total of 902 present at this date. Average population for the year, 791.8, against 608 the previous year.

MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATION.

The appropriation for the fiscal year was: Regular, \$149,912; deficiency, \$10,000; total, \$159,912. The amount expended under this appropriation was \$159,124.59, leaving an unexpended balance of \$787.41. The items of expenditure are fully shown in the accompanying tables.

SUBSISTENCE.

The net cost of subsistence for the year was \$33,890.57. A slight increase will be noticed in the average yearly cost of subsistence per man. This is due to the increased cost of supplies purchased during the last two quarters of the fiscal year. The average yearly cost of subsistence per prisoner was \$42.80. The average daily cost per prisoner was 11.72 cents. A decrease is shown, however, in the gross cost of maintenance per prisoner. It amounts to \$200.97 per man for the year, a fraction over 55 cents per man per day. Last year the gross cost per prisoner was \$218 per year or 59 cents per day.

HEALTH.

The health of the prison has continued uniformly good throughout the year, as will be shown more fully by the surgeon's report. An outbreak of smallpox, which threatened to become epidemic, was promptly checked by organizing an isolation camp, in which the patients were treated; the entire prison population was thoroughly vaccinated, and the ravages of the disease prevented.

CHAPLAIN'S REPORT.

The report of the chaplain shows that the work in his department has been prosecuted with commendable zeal, and the results have been very encouraging. It is a matter of regret that school work can not be carried on in this prison as it should be, for lack of room. A large number of prisoners who are listed as being able to read and write are

really very ignorant and in great need of primary instruction, such as will enable them to meet the ordinary responsibilities of citizenship after their release. Ample provision is made for this purpose in the plans of the new prison, and this fact alone constitutes a strong argument for early completion of the same.

RECORDS.

The report of the bookkeeper and record clerk shows that the measurements, descriptions, and records of the prisoners have been carefully made, properly tabulated, and corrected to date, so that reference to the same is rendered easy, and the identification of habitual and professional criminals thoroughly secured.

FARM AND GARDEN.

The work of the farm and garden has been successfully prosecuted, and a vast amount of transportation has been accomplished, as will appear more fully from the subjoined report of the superintendent of farm and transportation.

NEW PRISON.

Attention is called to the report of the superintendent of construction, showing amount of work performed in connection with the new prison. I can not emphasize too fully the importance of this work, nor recommend too strongly its completion to the point that the prisoners from the old may be removed to the new prison, at the earliest day possible. Over 50 per cent, on the average, of the working time of the prisoners is lost in marching back and forth. But this is not the most serious loss. The marching of such a mass of men together is, of itself, despite all precautions, a demoralizing influence that tells with fearful effect upon the morale of the prisoners. It is earnestly hoped by all who are familiar with the situation, that the continuance of this state of things may be made as short as possible, both for the interests of the prisoners and the honor of the Government. The foundations of the two interior cell houses will be completed by the 1st of January, 1901. If provision can be made for the completion of said cell houses during the year 1901, it will be possible to remove the prisoners to the new penitentiary by July 1, 1902, and continue the work of construction with their help alone until the entire institution is completed.

DISCIPLINE.

The discipline of the penitentiary has steadily improved during the year. No escapes have occurred since December last. Several escaped prisoners have been recaptured. The prisoners generally have performed their labors cheerfully, and manifested a willing obedience to the rules. Fewer punishments have been found necessary than might reasonably have been expected under the circumstances. My acknowledgments are due to the officers who constitute the staff of the penitentiary for their vigilance, industry, and cordial cooperation in the work of the past year. The guards, with few exceptions, have discharged their duties faithfully.

To yourself, sir, and the Department which you represent, I am under many obligations for support and assistance.

Respectfully, your obedient servant,

R. W. McClaughry, *Warden.*

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY,
Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit herewith a statistical statement of the records of this penitentiary for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

In addition, I would state that during said fiscal year original anthropometric measurements (according to the Bertillon system) were taken of 326 prisoners, and remeasurements were taken of 253 prisoners.

The measurements and descriptions of all prisoners who have been inmates of this institution since July 1, 1895, including those above mentioned, have been properly recorded in an appropriate book; classified, and filed in a cabinet constructed for the purpose, facilitating ready reference and the identification of criminals.

All prisoners received during the fiscal year have been photographed, the negatives properly marked by number, and filed for future use. Prints have been made in all cases from the negatives, and mounted on descriptive cards.

In addition, I have the honor to report that the descriptive cards, photographs, and corresponding negative plates of 1861 prisoners, who were inmates of this penitentiary prior to its transfer from the military to the civil authorities, have been classified, recorded, and properly filed for future reference.

Very respectfully,

M. W. McClaughry,
Bookkeeper and Record Clerk.

The WARDEN.

TABLE 1.—*Alterations in prison population since June 30, 1899, giving number of prisoners received, discharged, pardoned, escaped, died, transferred, or otherwise released, and number remaining in the penitentiary at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

Date.	Gain.				Loss.									
	From civil courts.	From military courts.	From escape.	Total.	Discharged.					Escaped.	Died.	Transferred.		
					Expiration of sentence.	Commutation of sentence.	Habeas corpus.	Order of United States court.	Pardoned.			To insane asylums.	To other penitentiaries.	To military authorities.
July, 1899	1	4	1	6	25	1	1	27
August, 1899	2	8	...	10	43	2	3	...	1	...	49
September, 1899	14	2	1	17	16	1	17
October, 1899	61	2	...	63	34	3	37
November, 1899	89	89	18	2	2	22
December, 1899	25	...	2	27	21	1	3	25
January, 1900	6	1	...	7	33	1	3	37
February, 1900	60	4	...	64	20	5	1	...	1	27
March, 1900	10	1	1	12	34	3	1	...	3	...	2	44
April, 1900	83	1	...	84	14	2	3	19
May, 1900	48	1	...	49	29	4	...	1	4	38
June, 1900	65	4	...	69	29	1	1	...	1	32
Total	464	28	5	497	316	17	1	1	16	7	10	1	2	374

TABLE 1.—*Alterations in prison population since June 30, 1899, giving number of prisoners received, discharged, pardoned, escaped, died, transferred, or otherwise released, and number remaining in the penitentiary at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900—Continued.*

RECAPITULATION.

Date.	In prison at begin- ning of month.	Gain.	Loss.	In prison at close of month.
July, 1899.....	779	6	27	758
August, 1899.....	758	10	49	719
September, 1899.....	719	17	17	719
October, 1899.....	719	63	37	745
November, 1899.....	745	89	22	812
December, 1899.....	812	27	25	814
January, 1900.....	814	7	37	784
February, 1900.....	784	64	27	821
March, 1900.....	821	12	44	789
April, 1900.....	789	84	19	854
May, 1900.....	854	49	38	865
June, 1900.....	865	69	32	902
Total.....		497	374	

In penitentiary at beginning of year.....	779
Gain.....	497
Loss.....	374
In penitentiary at close of year.....	902

TABLE 2.—*Districts and States from which prisoners were committed to the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.*

Arkansas:	
Eastern district.....	34
Western district.....	22
	56
Colorado.....	4
Indian Territory:	
Central district.....	83
Northern district.....	145
Southern district.....	104
	332
Kansas.....	16
Oklahoma.....	19
Texas:	
Eastern district.....	14
Northern district.....	10
Western district.....	13
	37
Military prisoners.....	28
Total.....	492
Escapes recaptured during the year.....	5
Grand total received.....	497

TABLE 3.—*Ages of prisoners in confinement in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

From 16 to 20 years of age.....	177
From 20 to 30 years of age.....	432
From 30 to 40 years of age.....	163
From 40 to 50 years of age.....	77
Over 50 years of age.....	53
Total.....	902

TABLE 4.—*Crimes, and number of convicts committed for each crime to the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

Crime.	Num-ber.	Crime.	Num-ber.
Adultery	6	Manslaughter	81
Aiding a felon	1	Murder	26
Arson	4	Perjury and subornation of perjury	12
Assault, etc	37	Rape	2
Attempt to murder	1	Receiving stolen property	13
Bigamy	1	Robbery	17
Burglary	20	Selling liquor to Indians	19
Carnally knowing a female under 16	4	Shooting at passenger train	2
Counterfeiting, having counterfeit coin, etc	49	Slander	1
Disposing of liquor	55	Smuggling, concealing smuggled property, etc	6
Disposing of mortgaged property	8	Trespass and injury to property	1
Embezzlement	17	Uttering forged instrument	2
False claim	3	Violation of act of March 18, 1884	1
False pretense	15	Violation of various articles of war	17
Forgery	13	Violation of postal laws and robbery of mail	51
Illicit distilling	35	Violation of revenue laws	9
Impersonating an officer	1	Violation of pension laws	1
Incest	2	Violation of section 3892, Revised Statutes	1
Introducing liquor	33	Violation of section 5478, Revised Statutes	1
Kidnaping	3		
Larceny	379		
Making false report	2	Total	902

TABLE 5.—*Educational attainments of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

Can read and write	663
Can read but not write	13
Can not read and write	226
Total	902

TABLE 6.—*Number of first and number of repeated convictions of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

First convictions	831
Known or admitted former convictions	71
Total	902

TABLE 7.—*Conjugal relations of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans.*

Married	333
Widowed	39
Divorced	11
Single	519
Total	902

TABLE 8.—*Length of terms and number of prisoners committed for each term to the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

Length of term.	Num-ber.	Length of term.	Num-ber.
6 months.....	1	5 years.....	276
1 year.....	9	5 years and 1 day.....	1
1 year and 1 day.....	99	5 years and 1 month.....	1
1 year and 1 month.....	15	5 years and 2 months.....	8
1 year and 2 months.....	5	5 years and 5 months.....	2
1 year and 3 months.....	10	5 years and 10 months.....	2
1 year and 6 months.....	45	6 years.....	12
2 years.....	132	6 years and 6 months.....	1
2 years and 2 days.....	9	7 years.....	10
2 years 3 months and 1 day.....	1	7 years and 6 months.....	8
2 years and 4 months.....	1	8 years.....	4
2 years and 6 months.....	37	9 years.....	1
2 years 6 months and 1 day.....	1	10 years.....	31
3 years.....	118	11 years and 1 day.....	1
3 years and 1 day.....	4	15 years.....	4
3 years and 3 days.....	1	20 years.....	8
3 years and 6 months.....	3	99 years.....	1
4 years.....	40	Life.....	12
4 years and 6 months.....	2		
4 years and 8 months.....	1	Total.....	902

TABLE 9.—*Nativity of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year, June 30, 1900.*

Where born.	Num-ber.	Where born.	Num-ber.
Alabama.....	28	Oregon.....	2
Arkansas.....	78	Pennsylvania.....	5
California.....	1	South Carolina.....	8
Colorado.....	2	Tennessee.....	41
Connecticut.....	3	Texas.....	138
District of Columbia.....	3	Vermont.....	2
Florida.....	2	Virginia.....	11
Georgia.....	25	West Virginia.....	2
Illinois.....	23	Wisconsin.....	4
Indiana.....	12	Wyoming.....	1
Indian Territory.....	255	At sea.....	1
Iowa.....	16	Austria.....	1
Kansas.....	21	Canada.....	3
Kentucky.....	30	China.....	1
Louisiana.....	11	England.....	8
Maine.....	1	France.....	7
Massachusetts.....	6	Germany.....	14
Michigan.....	5	Ireland.....	3
Mississippi.....	29	Mexico.....	6
Missouri.....	65	Nova Scotia.....	1
Nebraska.....	2	Scotland.....	1
New Jersey.....	1	West Indies.....	1
New York.....	12	Unknown.....	2
North Carolina.....	9		
Ohio.....	18	Total.....	902
Oklahoma.....	1		

RECAPITULATION.

Number born in United States.....	858
Number foreign born and nativity unknown.....	44
Total.....	902

TABLE 10.—*Occupation followed before conviction by prisoners in confinement in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.*

Occupation.	Num-ber.	Occupation.	Num-ber.
Accountant	1	Laundryman	1
Bakers	2	Lawyer	1
Banker	1	Lineman	1
Barbers	20	Liveryman	1
Blacksmiths	8	Machinists	2
Boiler maker	1	Marble cutter	1
Bookbinder	1	Medical student	1
Bookkeepers	8	Merchants	4
Bootblacks	2	Miners	9
Brakemen	4	Musician	1
Bricklayers	3	Newspaper man	1
Butchers	7	Painters	14
Carpenters	14	Photographers	2
Carriage maker	1	Physicians	3
Chiropodist	1	Plumbers	3
Cigar maker	1	Porters	3
Civil engineers	2	Printers	2
Clerks	13	Railroad man	1
Coachmen	2	Sailors	3
Cooks	16	Salesmen	5
Coopers	2	School-teachers	4
Cowboys	30	Shoemaker	1
Distiller	1	Showmen	2
Druggist	1	Soldiers	2
Electricians	2	Stockmen	5
Engineers	6	Stonecutters	3
Farmers	528	Stone masons	4
Firemen	7	Tailors	5
Glass blower	1	Teamsters	21
Harness maker	1	Telegraph operators	2
Horse trainers	6	Tinner	1
Hostlers	7	Waiters	5
Jeweler	1		
Laborers	77	Total	902

TABLE 11.—*Habits of life of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.*

Claim to be temperate	885
Admit use of intoxicants to excess	17
Total	902

TABLE 12.—*Average number of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., during fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.*

1899.	Average number.	1900.	Average number.
July	771.29	January	798.94
August	736.84	February	783.98
September	716.47	March	812.19
October	741.90	April	805.53
November	784.63	May	860.35
December	810.55	June	879

RECAPITULATION.

Average prison population, first six months	760.28
Average prison population, second six months	823.32
Average prison population for the year	791.80

TABLE 13.—*Race, and number of each race, of prisoners in the United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., at close of fiscal year. June 30, 1900.*

White	555
Colored	192
Indians	146
Mexicans	8
Chinese	1
Total	902

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY,
Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit herewith a report of the financial affairs of the penitentiary for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

While it will be noticed that the per capita cost of subsistence is slightly greater than during the previous fiscal year, this increase is due to the increased cost of subsistence supplies purchased during the last two quarters of the fiscal year.

The per capita cost of maintenance, as will be seen from the report, is considerably less than during the previous fiscal year, due to the increase in the average number of prisoners.

Respectfully,

C. K. MACEY, *Chief Clerk.*

The WARDEN.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT—UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY, FISCAL YEAR 1900.

TABLE 1.—*Total appropriation and expenditures, United States penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kans., fiscal year 1900.*

Appropriation:	
By sundry civil bill	\$149,912.00
By urgent deficiency bill	9,000.00
By general deficiency bill	1,000.00
Total	159,912.00
Expended	159,124.59
Balance unexpended	787.41
Total	159,912.00

Subappropriations.

	Appropriated.	Expended.
Subsistence, tobacco, seeds, farm implements, etc.	\$37,000.00	\$36,904.42
Clothing, gratuities, transportation, and rewards	20,000.00	19,965.39
Fuel, forage, light, and general supplies	33,000.00	32,971.19
Hospital supplies	1,800.00	1,798.49
Salaries	60,912.00	60,285.10
Industries (pay of foremen)	7,200.00	7,200.00
Total	159,912.00	159,124.59

TABLE 2.—*Amount expended for subsistence during the fiscal year 1900.*

Value of subsistence supplies on hand July 1, 1899.....	\$1, 939. 46
Expended for subsistence supplies, fiscal year 1900.....	32, 373. 73
Total.....	34, 313. 19
Value of subsistence supplies on hand June 30, 1900.....	422. 62
Net cost of subsistence.....	33, 890. 57
Average number of convicts fed.....	791. 80
Average yearly cost per man.....	\$42. 80
Average daily cost per man.....	\$0. 1172

TABLE 3.—*Total amount expended for maintenance, gross cost per year and per day, during fiscal year 1900.*

Gross expenditures for year.....	\$159, 124. 59
Average number of prisoners.....	791. 80
Average cost per man per year.....	\$200. 977
Average cost per man per day.....	\$0. 5562

TABLE 4.—*Comparison of expenditures for the fiscal years 1899 and 1900.*

Items.	Expended in fiscal year—		Increase.
	1899.	1900.	
Subsistence, etc.....	\$28, 424. 10	\$36, 904. 42	\$8, 480. 32
Clothing, transportation, etc.....	10, 283. 27	19, 965. 39	9, 682. 12
Fuel and general supplies.....	25, 411. 47	32, 971. 19	7, 559. 72
Hospital supplies.....	1, 370. 98	1, 798. 49	427. 51
Salaries.....	55, 693. 08	60, 285. 10	4, 592. 02
Industries (pay of foremen).....	6, 965. 38	7, 200. 00	234. 62
Increase for 1900 over 1899.....			80, 976. 31

In explanation of this increase it is only necessary to state that during the prior year the average number of prisoners confined was 608, while during the current year the average was 791.80, an increase of nearly 200 prisoners, and also to the fact that the cost of supplies has been greater during the present fiscal year than in 1899.

CHAPLAIN'S OFFICE, U. S. PENITENTIARY,
Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.

The WARDEN:

In compliance with your instructions, I take pleasure in presenting my report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900:

As the preaching of the Word is of primary importance in the chaplain's work, your attention is called, first, to the—

Preaching service.—This has been held regularly each Sunday, with fairly encouraging results. It is gratifying to state that the attendance has been usually good. Out of a population averaging 792, fifty per cent, at least, were habitual attendants upon these meetings. Our chapel will seat 600, and at times it was well filled. I have endeavored to preach such sermons as would arouse the conscience and lead to the reformation and salvation of the man. As to the results, eternity alone can fully reveal. The song service has been in charge of Mr.

Hon, a prison guard, during the latter part of the year, and has been a valuable aid to the preaching of the Word. The choir meets regularly once a week for practice. The orchestra has rendered good service, and has improved in its work.

The Bible class.—This class meets immediately after the preaching service and continues one hour. The course of study is the International Sunday School Lessons. Forty-eight sessions were held this year, the attendance averaging 127. The chaplain has personally conducted these studies. He has enjoyed the work and believes good has been done. Many of the members take great interest in Bible study, and some appear to have a fairly good knowledge of God's Word. Having no lesson helps, and only 83 Bibles and 193 Testaments, we have labored under some disadvantages. I am glad to say, however, that this condition will be improved, as instructions have been given for the purchase of a large number of Bibles. While we are pleased with what has been accomplished, we hope for better results the ensuing year.

The sick have been regularly visited and such comfort and encouragement given as opportunity afforded. Ten inmates died during the year and were buried in the prison cemetery after brief and appropriate services were held in the chapel.

The library.—The catalogue shows 4,981 volumes, which is rather misleading. Some of these volumes are made up of several parts, and each part is numbered as a book. There are nearly 200 books not catalogued. Number condemned because unfit for repair, 81, which includes 13 Bibles. Books are exchanged each week for those who make application. The average number exchanged per week was 500, or 26,000 for the year. Three-fourths of the books issued belong to the light-literature class. The rest are religious, scientific, historical, and poetical works. Eight hundred and fifty-three schoolbooks, not included in the figures given above, are kept by the men for private use in their cells; also 179 slates. It may be proper to state that a large number of books are old and much worn, and can be of service but a short while. It would be a splendid thing if 100 books of good, wholesome literature were added annually to the library. No purchase of books has been made during the year.

Friends interested in prison reform have favored the institution with papers, magazines, good books in cheap binding, etc., for which we are exceedingly grateful. I desire to make special mention of religious and church papers that come weekly to this office. They are among the best and ablest published, and are furnished gratuitously. To insure the regular receipt of these papers, I recommend that they be annually subscribed for, as this gratuity may cease at any time.

Prisoners' mail.—Since August 15, 1899, I have had the superintendence of the prisoners' mail. Convicts are permitted to write twice a month. Three mails are received each day, except Sundays, when no mail work is done in this office. A faithful record of all letters and valuables has been kept. Number of letters mailed during this time, 16,316; number of stamps received, 16,421; stamps on hand this date, 105.

I have carried out, as far as possible, rule 2, under instructions governing the chaplain in his duties, and would refer you to the tabulated statement given below for information.

Number of prisoners interviewed, 329. Of these—

Married	141
Unmarried	188
Illiterate	98
Having primary instruction only	98
Fairly educated in common schools	108
Academies and collegiates	15
Total abettors	180
Addicted to the moderate use of strong drinks	106
Intemperate	24
Reared in Christian homes	273
Not thus reared	51
In prison Bible school	229
Not attending Bible school	100

The foregoing table includes some whose term of imprisonment expired during the year. Moneys received for prisoners in mail, \$1,811.39. The same has been turned over to the chief clerk. The interior of the chapel has been improved in the way of repainting the balcony and decorating the walls, which has added much to the appearance and attractiveness of the auditorium.

In conclusion, permit me to thank you for your confidence and support which has been cheerfully given me in my work. I am also grateful to the deputy warden and the other prison officials and guards for their uniform courtesy and kind treatment shown me in the discharge of my official duties.

Respectfully submitted.

F. J. LEAVITT,
Chaplain.

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY,
FORT LEAVENWORTH, KANS., *June 30, 1900.*

I have the honor to submit herewith my report as physician for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

As shown by the accompanying tabular statement, there were 18 cases remaining under treatment from the previous fiscal year; 352 cases were received during the year; 335 were discharged; 11 died, and there were 24 cases remaining under treatment at the end of the year. There were 12,385 cases reported on morning sick call, of which 9,175 were returned to duty, 2,858 were sent to quarters, and the remainder, 352, were admitted to the hospital for treatment.

On December 20, 1899, one of the paroled prisoners developed a case of smallpox. He was promptly isolated and all his clothing and bedding burned. A pest camp was established on the prison farm about three-fourths of a mile from any habitation, to which the patient was removed, and a strict quarantine enforced. The building in which the case had developed (parole quarters) was also rigidly quarantined from the rest of the prison, the inmates being confined there until danger from contagion had passed. The prisoners, as well as the officers and their families, were vaccinated, and in cases where the vaccine did not take at first they were revaccinated.

There was no further outbreak of the disease until January 6, 1900, when two cases of varioloid developed, and there were two additional cases on the 10th of January. These cases were at once removed to the pest camp, and their clothing and bedding burned. All of these

patients recovered, with no serious complications, and no other cases developed. The pest camp was abandoned February 9, 1900, the entire camp being afterwards destroyed by fire.

Upon removing the quarantine from the parole building the quarters were thoroughly fumigated and disinfected in the following manner: First, by sulphur fumes for twenty-four hours; second, by generous application of carbolic acid; third, by cleansing with soap and hot water; fourth, by coating the interior of the quarters with lime wash and paint.

On July 2, 1899, a case of measles developed, and from that date up to and including August 7, 1899, there were 49 additional cases, making 50 in all. These cases were all isolated, as far as possible, in the hospital and adjacent building, and all recovered with no serious results.

During the year there were 14 cases of tuberculosis developed, and 2 cases of this disease were carried over from the previous year. These cases were isolated as far as it was possible to do with our limited facilities for isolation.

The following tabular statement shows the number of medical, surgical, and mortuary cases, and also a recapitulation of these cases:

Medical cases treated in hospital.

Admitted	314
Discharged	285
Resulting in death	11
Remaining	18
Total	314

Surgical cases treated in hospital.

Admitted	56
Discharged	50
Resulting in death	0
Remaining	6
Total	56

Mortuary cases, with personnel and diagnosis.

Name.	Race.	Age.	Date of death.	Diagnosis.
Alex Brown	Negro	37	Aug. 1, 1899	Pulmonary consumption.
Tom Bloodworth	White	27	Jan. 7, 1900	Pneumonia complications (typhoid).
Wm. Hudson	White	45	Jan. 8, 1900	Acute Bright's disease.
Wm. Stringer	White	38	Jan. 8, 1900	Do.
J. H. Shull	White	33	Feb. 10, 1900	Double pneumonia.
F. M. Liner	White	54	Mar. 23, 1900	Influenza.
Jeff Hawkins	Negro	21	Mar. 28, 1900	Acute mania, pneumonia, left.
Shell Walt	White	22	Mar. 29, 1900	Double pneumonia.
George Jackson	Negro	20	Apr. 5, 1900	Typhoid fever.
John Strickland	Negro	38	Apr. 8, 1900	General tuberculosis and dropsy.
E. E. Haynes	White	29	Apr. 27, 1900	Remittent fever, pernicious anæmia.

Recapitulation of medical, surgical, and mortuary reports.

Total morning sick call	12,385	
Treated and returned to duty	9,175	
Treated and sent to quarters	2,858	
Number remaining under treatment from last year.....	18	
Number admitted and treated during the year.....	352	
Number discharged from hospital	335	
Number remaining in hospital	24	
Mortuary	11	
	370	
Less number remaining under treatment from last year	18	352
Total		12,385

Respectfully submitted.

F. M. THOMAS, *Physician.*

The WARDEN.

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY,
Fort Leavenworth, Kans., June 30, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit herewith my report as superintendent of farm and transportation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, showing the acreage under cultivation and the estimated yield of the several items of farm produce.

In this report I have not attempted to show the amount of labor that has been performed nor the teaming that has been done both in hauling ice for the use of the penitentiary and the hauling of sand, cement, logs, lumber, cord wood, tiling, etc., for use at the new site.

The amount of sand hauled from the river bed to the new site, a distance of nearly 5 miles, was about 2,300 loads. The cottonwood lumber that was gotten out and hauled to the sawmill and from there to the new site amounted to about 65,000 feet. There were about 1,200 loads of cord wood hauled to the site. The amount of ice hauled and stored in the ice houses was about 1,500 tons.

In addition to the regular farm work, there was dug about 3 miles of ditch, 3 feet deep by 6 feet wide, for the purpose of draining certain portions of the bottom land, in order that it might be placed under cultivation; about 700 stumps were cleared off the farm land, the lane leading through the farm was cleared and fenced, and a large part of the farm was plowed two or more times to get it in proper shape for putting in the crops.

The entire work under my charge has been performed with an average of 25 paroled prisoners a day, with one guard and one teamster.

Respectfully,

GEO. T. HOLYBEE,
Superintendent Farm and Transportation.

The WARDEN.

Prison farm—Statement showing crop acreage and estimated yield.

Item.	Acreage.	Estimated yield.
Wheat.....bushels..	165	4,500
Corn.....do.....	225	10,000
Potatoes.....do.....	50	5,000
Oats.....do.....	100	3,200
Broom corn.....tons..	8	8
Onions.....bushels..	9	3,000
Spinach.....do.....	1½	200
Cabbage.....heads..	10	50,000
Tomatoes.....bushels..	6	3,000
Sweet potatoes.....do..	4	1,000
Sweet corn.....do.....	3	150
Turnips.....do.....	1	250
Radishes.....do.....	1	300
Lettuce.....do.....	½	200
Beans.....do.....	1½	300
Pease.....do.....	1	150
Carrots.....do.....	1	200
Parsnips.....do.....	1	200
Beets.....do.....	½	150
Peppers.....do.....	½	10
Pumpkins.....do.....	1	1,000
Timothy hay.....tons..	100	150
Total.....	690

UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY,
Fort Leavenworth, Kans., August 16, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit herewith my report as superintendent of construction at the new penitentiary site, showing the amount of labor performed and material used in the construction of walls and buildings during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1900.

Respectfully,

F. E. HINDS,
Superintendent of Construction.

The WARDEN.

Estimate of labor performed and material used in the construction of the new United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, Kans., for the twelve months ending June 30, 1900.

Excavating and filling grounds.....yards..	56,296
Concrete footings.....do.....	17,321
Rough stone laid.....perch..	9,620
Stone quarried.....do.....	14,864
Transporting stone from quarry.....loads..	13,562

CUT-STONE WORK.

Water table cut.....feet..	2,564
Ashlar, 8-inch, cut.....do.....	13,212
Stone sills cut.....do.....	411
Stone caps cut.....do.....	480
Stone coping cut.....do.....	300
Water table set.....do.....	1,795
Curbing.....do.....	480
Crossings.....do.....	1,560
Hanger blocks.....do.....	126
Pointed work, boiler house.....do.....	15,744
Pointed work, laundry.....do.....	3,600
Footings for sewers.....do.....	72

BRICKWORK.

Brick manufactured	3, 840, 000
Brick laid in walls	2, 575, 000

EXCAVATION WORK FOR TRENCHES.

Foundations for boiler house, cell house, and walls	yards.. 11, 803
Water pipe	do.. 3, 570

TEAM HAULING.

Sand	loads.. 1, 900
Cinders	do.. 1, 720
Cement	do.. 378
Crushed rock	do.. 695

MISCELLANEOUS.

Rock crushed on grounds	loads.. 642
Labor:	
New quarry developed	days.. 600
Tramway relaid	do.. 583
Roads made and repaired	do.. 198
Fences made and repaired	do.. 62
Stone shed	do.. 49
Cable drum	do.. 30
Turntable	do.. 42
Laying incline track	do.. 192
Carpenter work	do.. 795
Repairs on buildings	do.. 150
Car repairing	do.. 98
Sewers laid	linear feet.. 1, 730
Lumber hauled	loads.. 60

EXHIBIT L.—*Report of the board of trustees of the Reform School,
District of Columbia.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., November 7, 1900.

SIR: In accordance with law, I have the honor to submit the report of the board of trustees of the Reform School of the District of Columbia for the fiscal year 1900.

At the beginning of the fiscal year there were in the school 206 boys; there were received during the year 103, a total of 309, as against 313 for the previous year. Eighty-four of those received were committed by the police court and 2 by the supreme court of the District of Columbia, 14 by United States courts outside of the District, and 3 by the president of the board of trustees.

There were discharged during the year 93 by order of the trustees, 17 by expiration of sentence (United States courts), 2 by pardon of the President of the United States, and 5 escaped and are still absent, leaving in the school June 30, 1900, 192. There were no deaths during the year, and the general health of the inmates was and is good.

The accompanying table shows the estimates of appropriations for the next fiscal year, ending June 30, 1902, which, under the law, have been submitted to the Commissioners of the District of Columbia before insertion in the act making appropriations for the District. The amount appropriated for salaries for the present fiscal year was \$16,452. The amount of the present estimate for salaries is the same. The amount estimated as necessary for the support of the inmates is

the same as was appropriated for the present fiscal year and has been appropriated for a number of years past. The estimate of \$3,000 for an additional boiler, including all expenses of installation, foundation, brickwork, connections, etc., is for the purpose of so increasing the heating and power plant as to render the school independent of accident. The present boiler capacity barely suffices for the needs of the school, and is taxed to its utmost capacity during cold winter weather, and should an accident or any other cause prevent the use of one of the present boilers there would not be left enough to do the work required. The putting in of this additional boiler is simply a matter of wise economy, which is recommended as a sensible business proposition.

Congress, at its last session, passed an act changing the age of admission to the school from under 16 years to under 17 years, and authorizing the board of trustees to grant temporary or conditional discharges, thereby making it possible for the board to exercise supervision over the boys after discharge, and to return to the school those who, after discharge on parole, lapse into vicious ways. This legislation ought to reduce the number of commitments of boys to the jail and work-house, where they are frequently sent now because their parents prefer a short sentence there to the possibility of a long stay at the Reform School.

Congress, at its last session, made an additional appropriation for an assembly hall at the school, the appropriation made at the previous session having turned out to be too small, because of the rise in the cost of building material, etc. A contract for the erection of the building was made, and work begun upon it September 14, and, at the date of this report, the brickwork of the lower story has been completed and the rafters are now being put in place upon the superstructure. It is expected that the building will be under roof before bad weather sets in, and completed for use by the middle of the winter.

During the year the necessary repairs for the proper care and preservation of the buildings and personal property belonging to the school have been made, including those to the workshops, family buildings, barns, stables, fencing, sewers, roadway, steam plant, etc., in all of which work the boys have been employed whenever practicable. The boiler house and coal vaults were thoroughly overhauled, the walls repaired, and the vaults lined with cement, to exclude dampness. The green-houses were almost entirely rebuilt, and are now in excellent condition. The use of the old bathing pools, one of which was located in each family building, has been discontinued and their place has been taken by shower baths, a measure conducive to good health and cleanliness. The character of the work in the schoolroom, the manual-training school, and the instruction given in the various shops, as well as in the outdoor work, has perhaps been better than usual. Owing to the season, the farm crops were not as good as usual.

On the day before the date of this report a fire broke out in the lower story of the principal shop building, which destroyed some hundreds of dollars' worth of paper boxes, in storage awaiting delivery, and injured the building to the amount of possibly \$200. The fire was controlled by the school authorities without assistance from the District fire department. The school is supplied with water by a steam pump located at the power house. There is a brick water tower in which are two 15,000-gallon wrought-iron tanks, the base of the lower tank being

60 feet above the ground level. There is a system of pipes running through the grounds, connected with the tanks in the water tower and with fire plugs located at points best suited for use. There are two hose reels, suitably housed, and a hook and ladder truck all ready for immediate service. The height of the water tank makes it possible to throw a stream upon the roof of any of the buildings by gravity alone. With this apparatus the employees of the school were able to handle the fire without outside assistance, and to confine it to the room in which it started. The conditions are such as to reduce the danger from fire to the boys and other inmates of the school to a minimum.

The superintendent, in his report which accompanies this as an exhibit, suggests the erection of another family building. The present maximum capacity of the school is 250 boys, if the number of white and colored boys is such as to utilize the provision made for each. In 1898 the average number in the school during the year was 236; in 1899, 224, and in the year covered by this report, 197. In the eleven years from 1887 to 1898 the average number of boys increased from 157 to 236. There are a number of reasons for the falling off in the last two years; one of these is the tendency to the multiplication of agencies for the care of boys. The Board of Children's Guardians would seem to be intended primarily for charitable work, but it is a question whether it does not obtain through the courts control of many boys who should perhaps more properly be sent to the Reform School, the reasons for their commitment being based upon acts committed or general condition of behavior calling for reformation rather than for charitable support, the object of the school being the reformation and a supplemental carrying out of control where parents are no longer able to exercise it. It would seem, as a mere question of economy, to be wiser to utilize this school to the limit of its capacity rather than to distribute boys to farms and other private places where, possibly, they get little or no instruction, the persons to whom they are committed getting not only their services, but compensation, making an additional expense to be paid from the public treasury.

The reports of the superintendent, treasurer, and physician are submitted as exhibits.

Very respectfully,

CECIL CLAY,
President Board of Trustees.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL,
Washington, D. C.

Estimates of appropriations for Reform School, District Columbia, fiscal year ending June 30, 1902.

One superintendent.....	\$1,500.00
One assistant superintendent.....	900.00
Teachers and assistant teachers.....	5,040.00
Matron of school.....	600.00
Four matrons of families, at \$180 each.....	720.00
Three foremen of workshops, at \$660 each.....	1,980.00
One farmer.....	480.00
One engineer.....	396.00
One assistant engineer.....	300.00
One tailor, one cook, one shoemaker, at \$300 each.....	900.00
One baker.....	300.00

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

233

One laundress	\$180.00
Two dining-room servants, one seamstress, and one chambermaid, at \$144 each	576.00
One florist	360.00
Watchmen, not exceeding six in number	1,620.00
Secretary and treasurer to board of trustees	600.00
Total	16,452.00
Support of inmates, including groceries, flour, feed, meats, dry goods, leather, shoes, gas, fuel, hardware, furniture, tableware, farm implements, seeds, harness and repairs to same, fertilizers, books, stationery, plumbing, painting, glazing, medicines and medical attendance, stock, fencing, repairs to buildings, including material for same and for shop use, and other necessary items, including compensation, not exceeding \$900, for additional labor or services, and for transportation and other necessary expenses incident to securing suitable homes for discharged boys, not exceeding \$500, all under the control of the Commissioners..	26,000.00
One additional 100-horsepower boiler, including all expenses of installation, foundation, brickwork, connections, etc.	3,000.00

REPORT OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

REFORM SCHOOL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,

November 1, 1900.

I have the honor to present herewith my annual report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900:

Total number of boys received since opening	2,559
Total number of deaths since opening	13
Rate of mortality, about one-half of 1 per cent.	
Average age of boys received since opening (years)	13.3
Number of boys in school June 30, 1899	206
Received during the year:	
By commitment from police court of District of Columbia	84
By commitment from the supreme court of District of Columbia	2
By commitment from the United States district courts	14
By commitment from the president of the board of trustees	3
	103
Total number during the year	309
Number discharged during the year:	
By order of the board of trustees, "honor"	81
By special order of the board of trustees	12
By expiration of sentence (United States courts)	17
By pardon of the President of the United States	2
By death	0
By escape and still absent	5
	117
Number remaining in school June 30, 1900	192
Maximum number during the year	215
Minimum number during the year	179
Average number of boys during the year	197
Average age of boys received during the year (years)	13.9
Time in which honorable discharge may be secured (years)	2
Possible reduction by "good time" allowance (months)	4
Number received on first commitment	95
Number received on second commitment	8
Total number received	103

Record as to personal habits before commitment:	
Number having kept bad company and used tobacco	54
Number having a doubtful record	34
Number having a doubtful record but coming from a good home	15
Total number	103
Employment prior to commitment:	
Number not employed in any way	45
Number employed past the time	30
Number attending school regularly	11
Number attended school past the time	17
Total number	103
Cause of commitment:	
Assault	1
Larceny	45
Minor larceny	5
Grand larceny	1
Incorrigibility	27
Vagrancy	1
Housebreaking	1
Depredation on property	1
Being suspicious characters	7
Violation of revenue laws	2
Violation United States postal laws	11
Forgery of United States Treasury notes	1
Total	103
Religious associations of boys before commitment:	
Parents attended Baptist Church services	47
Parents attended Catholic Church services	16
Parents attended Methodist Church services	27
Parents attended Lutheran Church services	2
Parents attended Presbyterian Church services	1
Parents attended Christian Church services	2
Parents not attending any religious services	8
Total	103
Nationality of boys received during the year:	
Parents born in the United States	95
Parents born in Germany	3
Parents born in Ireland	3
Parents born in Italy	2
Total	103
Parental relations when received:	
Number having both parents living	49
Number having both parents living but separated	16
Number having lost father by death	19
Number having lost mother by death	18
Number having lost both parents by death	1
Total	103
Educational standing of boys when received:	
Number who did not know alphabet	7
Number who knew the alphabet only	13
Number who could read in the primer	32
Number who could enter the second school grade	37
Number who could read tolerably well	14
Total	103

Educational standing of boys when received—Continued.

Number who had no knowledge of penmanship	49
Number who could write name only	20
Number who could write imperfectly	19
Number who could write fairly well	15

Total	103
-------------	-----

Number who had never studied arithmetic	52
Number who had practical examples in addition	29
Number who had advanced to division	15
Number who had practical examples in decimal fractions	7

Total	103
-------------	-----

The superintendent has received from various sources and has turned the same over, monthly during the year, in lawful money of the United States, to Samuel W. Curriden, treasurer of the school, who has carried the same into the United States Treasury for the credit of the United States and the District of Columbia jointly, as follows, viz:

From gains in paper-box industry	\$3, 200. 00
From sales of plants and flowers from greenhouse	703. 11
From sales of surplus from products	37. 93
From miscellaneous sources	233. 97

Total cash receipts	4, 175. 01
---------------------------	------------

By reference to the foregoing tables it will be seen that our average population has been decreasing for several years. The school, however, is in no way responsible for this decrease in number. Two or three years ago, it will be remembered, we were overcrowded, and you were compelled under existing laws to ask for temporary suspension of commitments by the District courts. Had your appeal to Congress at that time for another family building been favorably considered, our number would have increased rather than diminished, and many boys would have been cared for here instead of by private institutions outside the District of Columbia.

The conditions referred to above must be considered from a purely local standpoint both in their relation to the school and in their exceptional bearing upon its reduced population. Other and very natural agencies have helped to secure these results and may be found without difficulty in the increased opportunities for employment which have followed in the wake of the general revival of all business industries throughout the country.

It is not claimed by the committing authorities that any advantage has been gained either financially or otherwise by such disposition of these juvenile delinquents. It simply became a necessity to have them in some way cared for, and there was no other means at their command. This would clearly indicate our need for another building, with additional means to not only provide against contingencies of this kind, but to enable you to meet all demands made by reason of the continued increase of population in the District. It would seem to be but a practical business transaction upon the part of the Government to thus concentrate rather than scatter its efforts in furthering this important work. Our general plant is practically complete and well equipped to provide for a much larger population. Expansion numerically, under these conditions, would be in the line of a true economy and eventually prove a large factor in reducing the per capita cost of maintenance.

The large tract of land upon which our school buildings are located belongs in fee simple to the United States. It is "beautiful for situation," within a few miles of and overlooking the city, which is easily reached by means of both steam and electric railways. Our cultivated fields are already broad and invite the labor of busy hands. Many acres are yet waiting to be cleared and made ready for a large increase of the varied products which have helped to supply our tables annually for many years. The labor required is both healthful and inviting to the average boy, knowing that he will share liberally in all he thus helps to produce. I therefore beg to repeat, in order to further impress, if possible, my suggestion as to another family building, hoping that you will make an effort to secure from the next Congress an appropriation to cover its cost.

Whatever may be claimed or even admitted as to the higher character and general progress of our school work, it is always safe to count the cost and thus to be prepared to minimize, as far as possible, expenditures in each separate department. A somewhat larger outlay than usual has been required on account of necessary repairs, but as will be seen from the report of the treasurer this entire expense has been more than met by increased gains in our several industries and by him covered into the United States Treasury. The pro rata cost for maintenance has been about 10 per cent more than for the preceding fiscal year, owing to a marked advance in the price of all food and other supplies. Our total expenditures, however, have been kept within the regular appropriations made by Congress. It may be noted further that the school has not appeared as a claimant in any deficiency bill within the past eighteen years.

Fairly good results have followed another year of "farming for profit"—profit to the school in many ways, but more directly as a manual training for well-grown boys, who have been greatly benefited by what they have learned practically in this branch of honorable labor, and also in a healthful development of brawn and muscle. The season did not favor a large crop either of cereals, vegetables, or fruit; enough, however, to satisfy all reasonable expectations. Much care is given daily to the quality and preparation of all table supplies, nor is the quantity restricted except by order of the physician. Three wholesome meals are provided daily to each and every boy. After many years of experience we still discard the "bread and water line" as a means of punishment for any misdemeanor. A bad boy is often hard to control, but a hungry bad boy increases the difficulty. Feed him well, as a natural antidote for his too hasty temper and boyish pugnacity, and you prepare him in a large measure to accept, often willingly, any reasonable and just punishment he may have deserved.

Our boys' dining room is still made an object lesson and a standard of cleanliness and comfort for the entire school. It is also a place for discipline—not rigid, but of a character to impress upon every boy the importance, especially to himself, of cultivating a manly and manly behavior when at meals. An officer connected with each family is always present to aid in securing the best results possible.

Manual training of some kind, with its just equivalent of recreation, is still the order for every working day. During the fall and winter months, however, the daily school sessions are considered of first importance. The senior officer of each family is also the teacher, having charge of both morning and afternoon sessions. As a rule

Educational standing of boys when received—Continued.

Number who had no knowledge of penmanship	49
Number who could write name only	20
Number who could write imperfectly	19
Number who could write fairly well	15
Total	103
Number who had never studied arithmetic	52
Number who had practical examples in addition	29
Number who had advanced to division	15
Number who had practical examples in decimal fractions	7
Total	103

The superintendent has received from various sources and has turned the same over, monthly during the year, in lawful money of the United States, to Samuel W. Curriden, treasurer of the school, who has carried the same into the United States Treasury for the credit of the United States and the District of Columbia jointly, as follows, viz:

From gains in paper-box industry	\$3,200.00
From sales of plants and flowers from greenhouse	703.11
From sales of surplus from products	37.93
From miscellaneous sources	233.97

Total cash receipts 4,175.01

By reference to the foregoing tables it will be seen that our average population has been decreasing for several years. The school, however, is in no way responsible for this decrease in number. Two or three years ago, it will be remembered, we were overcrowded, and you were compelled under existing laws to ask for temporary suspension of commitments by the District courts. Had your appeal to Congress at that time for another family building been favorably considered, our number would have increased rather than diminished, and many boys would have been cared for here instead of by private institutions outside the District of Columbia.

The conditions referred to above must be considered from a purely local standpoint both in their relation to the school and in their exceptional bearing upon its reduced population. Other and very natural agencies have helped to secure these results and may be found without difficulty in the increased opportunities for employment which have followed in the wake of the general revival of all business industries throughout the country.

It is not claimed by the committing authorities that any advantage has been gained either financially or otherwise by such disposition of these juvenile delinquents. It simply became a necessity to have them in some way cared for, and there was no other means at their command. This would clearly indicate our need for another building, with additional means to not only provide against contingencies of this kind, but to enable you to meet all demands made by reason of the continued increase of population in the District. It would seem to be but a practical business transaction upon the part of the Government to thus concentrate rather than scatter its efforts in furthering this important work. Our general plant is practically complete and well equipped to provide for a much larger population. Expansion numerically, under these conditions, would be in the line of a true economy and eventually prove a large factor in reducing the per capita cost of maintenance.

The large tract of land upon which our school buildings are located belongs in fee simple to the United States. It is "beautiful for situation," within a few miles of and overlooking the city, which is easily reached by means of both steam and electric railways. Our cultivated fields are already broad and invite the labor of busy hands. Many acres are yet waiting to be cleared and made ready for a large increase of the varied products which have helped to supply our tables annually for many years. The labor required is both healthful and inviting to the average boy, knowing that he will share liberally in all he thus helps to produce. I therefore beg to repeat, in order to further impress, if possible, my suggestion as to another family building, hoping that you will make an effort to secure from the next Congress an appropriation to cover its cost.

Whatever may be claimed or even admitted as to the higher character and general progress of our school work, it is always safe to count the cost and thus to be prepared to minimize, as far as possible, expenditures in each separate department. A somewhat larger outlay than usual has been required on account of necessary repairs, but as will be seen from the report of the treasurer this entire expense has been more than met by increased gains in our several industries and by him covered into the United States Treasury. The pro rata cost for maintenance has been about 10 per cent more than for the preceding fiscal year, owing to a marked advance in the price of all food and other supplies. Our total expenditures, however, have been kept within the regular appropriations made by Congress. It may be noted further that the school has not appeared as a claimant in any deficiency bill within the past eighteen years.

Fairly good results have followed another year of "farming for profit"—profit to the school in many ways, but more directly as a manual training for well-grown boys, who have been greatly benefited by what they have learned practically in this branch of honorable labor, and also in a healthful development of brawn and muscle. The season did not favor a large crop either of cereals, vegetables, or fruit; enough, however, to satisfy all reasonable expectations. Much care is given daily to the quality and preparation of all table supplies, nor is the quantity restricted except by order of the physician. Three wholesome meals are provided daily to each and every boy. After many years of experience we still discard the "bread and water line" as a means of punishment for any misdemeanor. A bad boy is often hard to control, but a hungry bad boy increases the difficulty. Feed him well, as a natural antidote for his too hasty temper and boyish pugnacity, and you prepare him in a large measure to accept, often willingly, any reasonable and just punishment he may have deserved.

Our boys' dining room is still made an object lesson and a standard of cleanliness and comfort for the entire school. It is also a place for discipline—not rigid, but of a character to impress upon every boy the importance, especially to himself, of cultivating a manly and manly behavior when at meals. An officer connected with each family is always present to aid in securing the best results possible.

Manual training of some kind, with its just equivalent of recreation, is still the order for every working day. During the fall and winter months, however, the daily school sessions are considered of first importance. The senior officer of each family is also the teacher, having charge of both morning and afternoon sessions. As a rule

our boys are not far advanced in their school grades when received, coming to us often because they have been delinquents at home. As their stay here is limited, we try to formulate in their interest a practical course of study, by which they can be advanced in the primary branches, commencing, it may be, with the alphabet, and from that to long division in written arithmetic. Short daily recitations are also required in geography and history. We have always, however, a number of boys who are, when received, prepared to take positions in the higher grades and corresponding with those taught in the District public schools. Altogether, the work in our schoolrooms has been very satisfactory, considering the average mental capacity of those with whom our teachers have had to deal.

Our manual-training school is now fairly well established, and has made good progress during the year. It is comparatively a new industry with us, but must soon become very beneficial to all boys naturally inclined to mechanical rather than to out-door labor of any kind. No one, in fact, can practice the lessons taught them in this department and not find them both helpful and profitable.

There has also been recently inaugurated a modified exercise in military tactics, to include the "setting-up drill," with other and modern athletics as taught in the public schools of our city. This is all in the line of a correct and healthful development, and the hour thus spent is welcomed almost as much by the boys as one given to a favorite game on the ball ground. A competent military instructor has charge of these exercises, in which at stated intervals every boy, young and old, is expected to take his part.

Much interesting information could be given concerning our many honorably discharged boys. Enough here to state that as a rule they are doing well, while a large number are deserving of special commendation. It would be largely in the interest of these boys, however, could they have some direct oversight, including limited pecuniary aid, when found worthy, after leaving the school. All experience teaches the direct advantage gained by such oversight and constant supervision as can be secured only through the personal efforts of a vigilant and competent agent employed and paid for such service. Our regular appropriations should be made to cover such an expenditure, the same as for other employees.

Every legal holiday has been observed by the school, but more especially Independence Day and Christmas. Thanksgiving turkeys, sweet potatoes, and pumpkin pies were plentifully provided for all at the noon hour on that particular day. We try to make the most of these recurring festivals, and are always ready to welcome their return.

No death has occurred, and general good health has been prevalent throughout the year. Special care has been taken, under the advice of the visiting physician, to secure the best sanitary conditions within and without every separate building.

New shower baths have been placed in the basement of each of the four family buildings, for use of the boys, which will certainly prove a blessing to them, both as to health and comfort. Our visiting physician, Dr. Charles A. Wells, has always responded faithfully and most efficiently to our medical needs. His annual report will appear on another page.

Many visitors from far and near have been received and entertained at the school within the year. All have been welcome, as through

such friendly visitations opportunities are given for pleasant interchange of social and business experiences. While we have greatly enjoyed these visits personally, it may be frankly admitted that we have taken a still further interest in them, as they so often bring to us, or influence the delivery through other sources, of many choice and well-selected contributions to our boys' library. These consist generally of books, magazines, and miscellaneous publications—all acceptable, and hereby thankfully acknowledged. Could the generous donors know how much these gifts have been enjoyed similar favors would not be withheld in the future.

Religious services have been conducted by ministers of the gospel and others, with but rare exceptions, on Sunday afternoons at the usual hour, 3 o'clock. We hereby express our continued obligations to all and to each, personally, who have thus aided us in these stated religious exercises.

A Sunday-school session has also been held in each family school-room at 10.30 a. m. The "International" lesson papers still furnish the scriptural texts for these Sunday morning studies.

The past school year, in many of its most essential features, has been but a duplicate of others that have already been given to the public. It is gratifying to know that our work has been safely and satisfactorily advancing in every separate department, and that our united efforts, under Providence, have not been in vain.

Few changes have been made, as few have been required, in our working force, while a commendable harmony has been prevalent among all. To all who have been associated with me in the daily progress of these official duties my thanks are due, and thus freely and openly expressed. To these who, because of long and faithful service, have been advanced to their present higher and more responsible positions I am doubly grateful.

In closing this my nineteenth annual report permit me, gentlemen, members of the board of trustees, to again thank you very sincerely for your ever present aid and counsel through all these years of service.

Respectfully submitted.

GEORGE A. SHALLENBERGER,
Superintendent.

REPORT OF THE TREASURER.

REFORM SCHOOL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
Washington, D. C., October 5, 1900.

SIRS: I have the honor to submit with this my report in detail of my receipts and disbursements as treasurer of the Reform School of the District of Columbia for the fiscal year ended June 30 last:

Receipts:

From appropriation for salaries.....	\$16,452.00
From appropriation for support of inmates	26,000.00
From Department of Justice, support.....	3,102.00

Total	45,554.00
-------------	-----------

Disbursements:

For salaries, support, repairs, etc., as per statement in detail herewith.	45,166.34
---	-----------

Leaving unexpended	387.66
--------------------------	--------

For the construction of an assembly hall, of \$9,750 appropriation, none was expended.

The only account outstanding is that of the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company for difference between the rates allowed and paid under existing law and those claimed by the company, amounting, to June 30 last, to \$291.66.

I have also received from the superintendent of the school during the year, being income derived from the labor of inmates, sales of farm products, etc., the sum of \$4,174.02. In accordance with the act of Congress approved February 25, 1885, I have paid the same into the Treasury of the United States to the credit of the United States and the District of Columbia in equal parts.

Very respectfully,

SAMUEL W. CURRIDEN,
Treasurer.

The BOARD OF TRUSTEES.

REPORT OF THE ATTENDING PHYSICIAN.

REFORM SCHOOL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
Washington, D. C., June 30, 1900.

DEAR SIR: I am pleased to report that the health of the school for the past year has been rather better than the average. We had one case each of diphtheria and typhoid fever; 16 cases of measles, 3 of which were complicated with pneumonia. It is interesting to note that all of these three cases were boys from the South, who had not become fully acclimated. There have been no deaths.

Unremitting attention is given to matters of cleanliness and ventilation from basement to garret, and I have always had the courteous assistance of everyone connected with the school in carrying out my suggestions.

Very respectfully,

CHAS. A. WELLS, M. D.,
Attending Physician.

Col. C. CLAY, *President.*

EXHIBIT M.—*Report of the board of trustees of Reform School for Girls of the District of Columbia.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., *October 1, 1900.*

SIR: Presenting herewith the eighth annual report, for the year ending June 30, 1900, with the accompanying exhibits and reports of the superintendent, treasurer, and visiting physician, the board of trustees beg to say that the number of inmates of the school has been on the average greater than in any previous year of its existence. For a large part of the year the number has really been greater than the convenient capacity of the building. The conduct of the girls at the school and the general condition of the school have been satisfactory during the year. The number of girls discharged, released, or indentured, and of new girls received into the institution, with the other details as to the number, movement, and status of inmates, are shown on the first three pages of the superintendent's report, which covers sufficiently the topics of the work done and the training and teaching imparted at the school.

It is desirable to emphasize again, as was done in last year's report, the number of girls between 18 and 21 years of age who have been inmates for several years. Some of these girls have been out under indenture and on probation once or twice, and have been returned because of insubordination or untidiness, or unsatisfactory or depraved conduct in other respects in the places which were found for them. The record of these girls at the school has, however, been, on the whole, excellent. No one of them could fairly be classed as a criminal. In the school they are faithful, willing, and efficient workers, and they are quite capable of earning their living outside by housework and needlework. In retaining such girls in the school, for a successful conduct of which a due and steady movement in and out is requisite, many children who might be benefited by the care and training are excluded. We believe that such girls as have been referred to would work as they ought and would behave themselves in the places found for them if they knew that instead of being sent back to the school for misbehavior under their indentures they would be sent to the jail or the workhouse after they reached the age of 18 years. Something may be done in the solution of the problem by the imposition of a more continuous industrial discipline when we are able to enlarge the scheme of work of the institution so as to include some simple forms of systematic and productive industry.

But in addition to this remedy it is obvious that the board of trustees should be able, in a proper case and after due deliberation, to obtain a transfer to the workhouse or the jail, either by their own motion or order or upon an order of court, of a girl over 18 who, by reason of criminality or indelible incorrigibility, is no longer a proper subject for the school, and who may contaminate the other inmates. On this point we also renew our recommendation, contained in the report for last year, that the age limit of admission to the school should be reduced from 18 to 16 years; and it seems to us that the courts should be more careful in ascertaining the age of girls committed, since there is almost always dispute and disagreement upon that subject between parents or guardians and girls themselves, and the misrepresentation to the court of a girl's age may work and often does work serious disadvantage to the school, the result being that girls are admitted and entered on the records as several years younger than they really are, and of course must be maintained at the school that many years longer. We think, therefore, that scrupulous care should be taken to have the court's action and the records based upon accurate facts regarding age.

In this connection we bring to your attention the fact that on June 5, 1900, an act was passed enlarging the powers of the board of trustees of the Boys' Reform School so as to provide, both in the way of release on parole for good conduct and by disciplinary regulations for bad conduct, for fuller authority and power of the board over the inmates committed to their charge. This act, either in terms or with such modifications as the inherent differences between the two schools may suggest, and as are herein suggested concerning a transfer to a more distinctly penal institution after the age of 18 years is reached, should be extended to this school, and we have to request your earnest and active efforts to aid us in obtaining the needed legislation from Congress.

We repeat, too, the suggestion of previous reports that an amendment to the acts affecting the Girls' Reform School be enacted by which the approval requisite to an appointment of an officer by the trustees shall come from yourself rather than from the District Commissioners, as the law provides at present.

The new building is now completed so far as the structure is concerned, but the brick wall to inclose the yard, the connecting corridor between the two buildings, and some items of equipment remain to be built and supplied by the District Commissioners before the building will be finished and ready to be opened and occupied. The expectation is that this work will be done so that the new building may be put into use not later than January 1 next. Some moderate repairs and renovation of the old building will be necessary when the present inmates of the school are moved into the new building, in order to fit the old building for the reception of the similar class of white girls who have not heretofore been received because there was no room for them. No doubt the class of white girls in the District liable to be sent to the school is less in number than the corresponding class of colored girls, and the old building, having about half the capacity of the new one, will be adapted and used for the white girls whom we should receive.

The superintendent's report shows in detail the necessary repairs and improvements which have been made during the year. The farm has been more productive than in the past and all the vegetables used at the school have been raised there, as well as chickens, eggs, and sundry stock products.

Dr. W. W. Johnston, whose connection with and professional and other services to the school have been of great value, resigned from the board of trustees on November 22, 1899, owing to the demands of his private affairs, and the vacancy so caused was filled by the election of Mr. Fairfax Harrison.

The superintendent of the Washington Aqueduct has granted our request for an allotment of Government land in the vicinity of the school for additional pasturage for the cattle, upon condition that we should fence and care for the tract assigned, and we have now a lot of about 14 acres, less than a half mile away from the school, up the Conduit road, which has been properly fenced and cleared up and will be useful, not only for ample pasturage, but also for additional planting ground for corn and potatoes.

The board is much pleased to report a continuance of efficient and faithful service from the superintendent and her subordinates. To them is largely due the good and improving record made in the administration and morale of the institution. With the occupation of the new building we are confident that further and rapid advances will be made, and that much will be achieved in the way of reduction of per capita cost and of enlarging industrial and other wholesome influences upon the girls.

The estimate of appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1902, is appended hereto as Exhibit B.

All of which is respectfully submitted for the board of trustees.

HENRY M. HOYT, *President.*

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

EXHIBIT A.—*Appropriations for the years ending June 30, 1899, 1900, 1901.*

(1) YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1899.

Superintendent	\$1,000
Treasurer	300
Matron	600
Two teachers, at \$480 each	960
Overseer	720
Engineer	480
Night watchman	365
Laborer	300

Total 4,725

For groceries, provisions, fuel, soap, oil, lamps, candles, clothing, shoes, forage, horseshoeing, medicine, medical attendance, hack hire, freight, furniture, beds, bedding, sewing machines, fixtures, books, horses, stationery, vehicles, harness, cows, stables, sheds, fences, repairs, and other necessary items	6,000
For additional building	25,000

Total 35,725

(2) YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1900.

Superintendent	\$1,000
Matron	600
Two teachers, at \$480 each	960
Overseer	720
Engineer	480
Night watchman	365
Laborer	300
Treasurer	600

Total 5,025

For groceries, provisions, light, fuel, soap, oil, lamps, candles, clothing, shoes, forage, horseshoeing, medicines, medical attendance, hack hire, transportation, labor, sewing machines, fixtures, books, stationery, horses, vehicles, harness, cows, pigs, fowls, sheds, fences, repairs, and other necessary items	6,000
For additional building (\$50,000 authorized, \$25,000 appropriated) ..	\$25,000
For furnishing and equipping same	2,500
For porch on present building	600

For balance salary due treasurer from July 1, 1898, to June 30, 1899

Total 39,425

(3) YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1901.

Superintendent	\$1,000
Treasurer	600
Matron	600
Two teachers, at \$480 each	960
Four industrial teachers, at \$250 each	1,000
Observer	720
Engineer	480
Assistant engineer	360
Night watchman	365
Laborer	300

Total 6,385

For groceries, provisions, light, fuel, soap, oil, lamps, candles, clothing, shoes, forage, horseshoeing, medicines, medical attendance, hack hire, transportation, labor, sewing machines, fixtures, books, stationery, horses, vehicles, harness, cows, pigs, fowls, sheds, fences, repairs, and other necessary items ..	8,000
For furnishing and equipping new building	5,000

Total 19,385

EXHIBIT B.—*Estimate of appropriations for the salaries of officers and employees and for maintenance of the Reform School for Girls for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1902.*

Salaries:

Superintendent.....	\$1,000
Treasurer.....	600
Matron.....	600
Two teachers, at \$480 each.....	960
Four teachers of industries, at \$250 each.....	1,000
Overseer.....	720
Engineer.....	480
Assistant engineer.....	360
Night watchman.....	365
Laborer.....	300

Total..... 6,385

Maintenance:

For groceries, provisions, light, fuel, soap, oil, lamps, candles, clothing, shoes, forage, horseshoeing, medicines, medical attendance, hack hire, transportation, labor, sewing machines, fixtures, books, stationery, horses, vehicles, harness, cows, pigs, fowls, sheds, fences, repairs, and other necessary items.....	11,000
---	--------

Total..... 17,385

(NOTE.—This estimate is based upon an average addition to the inmates of the present number thereof, say 30, for the appropriation year in question, allowing, however, for a substantial reduction in per capita cost. The total capacity of the new building, however, will give ultimately an addition of over twice the present number of inmates.)

EXHIBIT C.—*List showing by whom the girls were committed to the school since its opening.*

Year.	By police court.	By president board of trustees.	By supreme court, District of Columbia.	Total.
1893-94.....	32	5	1	38
1894-95.....	9	4	1	14
1895-96.....	10			10
1896-97.....	7	5		12
1897-98.....	11	3		14
1898-99.....	1	4		5
1899-1900.....	4	3	1	8
Total.....	74	24	3	101

EXHIBIT D.—*List of offenses for which girls were committed to the school since its opening.*

Year.	Larceny.	Incorrigibility.	Malicious mischief.	Assault.	Vagrancy.	Horse stealing.	House-breaking.	Total.
1893-94.....	14	16	1	1	6			38
1894-95.....	3	5			5	1		14
1895-96.....	2	6			2			10
1896-97.....	2	10						12
1897-98.....	4	10						14
1898-99.....	1	4						5
1899-1900.....	2	5					1	8
Total.....	28	56	1	1	13	1	1	101

EXHIBIT E.—*Number of inmates released from the school since its opening, and to whom committed.*

Year.	Released to Board of Children's Guardians.	Released to parents or family.	Apprentice.	Sent to Government Insane Asylum.	Indicted for arson and sent to penitentiary.	Apprenticed or released but returned to school.	Deceased.	21 years of age.	Total.
1893-94.....	3	1	1	1	6
1894-95.....	8	2	1	2	3	13
1895-96.....	1	14	4	2	19
1896-97.....	3	8	6	1	2	13
1897-98.....	7	10	1	1	19
1898-99.....	4	2	4	1	6
1899-1900.....	2	6	3	1	2	10
Total.....	12	33	32	1	3	118	13	5	86

¹ Not included in total.

REPORT OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

REFORM SCHOOL FOR GIRLS, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, *Washington, D. C., June 30, 1900.*

The PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES,
Reform School for Girls, District of Columbia.

SIRS: I have the honor to present this, the eighth annual report for the Reform School for Girls of the District of Columbia.

July 1, 1899, there were present in this school 27 girls; June 30, 1900, 28 girls. The smallest number at one time was 21 girls (December 1) and the largest number 30 girls (on April 17, 1900).

As we have room for only 27 girls and not wishing to have two in a single room, we have had to use the little hospital room and punishment cell as bedrooms, and one little girl slept on a mattress on the floor in the superintendent's bedroom.

We are glad to say the general conduct of the girls has been very good during the past year, and there has been no spirit of mutiny shown in any case. Of course, there have been instances where girls who have misbehaved have had to be punished, but the result has been better behavior afterwards.

Five new girls have been received from the courts; three were committed by the president—former charges of the Board of Children's Guardians.

One girl reached her majority May 25, and was finally released. Two were released to parents or guardians. Six were indentured; of these, one was returned for incorrigibility and two left the homes provided for them and were afterwards taken by the police and returned to the school. June 9 two girls who were working in the garden absconded, but were captured and returned in six hours.

Of the girls indentured, I wish to call attention to the three who were returned. One was released August 2, and returned February 15 because of impudence and bad manners. This girl was nearly 20 years of age and would in a few weeks have received wages, but she said it was so lonely out in the country and she would rather be back at the school. She will have to remain here till next May. This is her sec-

ond term of probation outside, and both times returned because of her insolence and ugly manners. She has been at the school since January, 1895, and has never been guilty of anything criminal, is honest, and a capable houseworker, besides being an excellent needlewoman.

Another, who was admitted December, 1895, who had a bad reputation outside, but who made an excellent record here, was apprenticed to a family in the city October 25, 1899. She seemed contented, but the people did not seem to keep her up to the standard of neatness maintained at the school. A sister enticed the girl away, and in a few days she was found in one of the city alleys in an apparently unconscious condition. She was visited by the school physician, who ordered her sent to Freedmen's Hospital, where she remained ten days, when she was returned to the school. She seemed glad to get back. This girl is 18 years old and is one of the best girls in the school—a quiet, steady, willing worker, always polite; rather frail, to be sure, but never sick.

The third girl had been in the school fifteen months; made a good record; was placed in a house on a large farm. We heard only the best reports from and about her, and felt that this one at least was doing very well. The last of January she left her home by night, and February 22 was returned to the school pregnant. This is the first case of this kind we have had in four years, and in the present condition of the school she could not be kept entirely apart from the other girls. On May 13 she was taken sick, moved to Garfield Hospital, where she was delivered next day of a stillborn child, and after much suffering died that evening. Her sudden death was a great shock to our girls, and we believe it taught a lesson no words could ever do. The body was brought back to the school, and a simple but appropriate funeral service was held by Reverend Wiseman, and her body was buried in the little graveyard, where we purchased a lot over a year ago.

Within the past month a girl of 19, who has been in a home in the city since November 12, 1898, and who was paid \$10 per month wages, has been returned.

These are the only girls who have been returned during the year, but each and every one was capable of earning an honest living, and not one of them could be classed as a criminal, yet they are back at the school to remain till they reach their majority in one, two, or three years' time. Many of our girls have no one who would support them, and if they knew they had to work, or if they did wrong would have to be sent to the jail or workhouse, they would be more anxious to do well in earning an honest and respectable living. Surely the cases just cited should prove an argument against keeping girls here until they are 21 years of age.

We do not wish to shirk our responsibility, and we realize that it is a serious one, but we have no means at present by which to occupy the time and attention of the girls, except the cooking, housework, laundry, and sewing, and day school; and the law says these girls must remain here until reformed or until they reach the age of 21 years, and no girl is ever dismissed on probation until she has shown marked improvement in her ways, yet nearly one-third of those dismissed are returned.

I would respectfully suggest that for these girls between 18 and 21 years of age who have been released on probation and returned by reason of misconduct, some branch of industry—laundry work, fine sewing, paper box, or mattress making—be adopted by which they

would earn at least part of their support, and that this class be kept apart from the other inmates of the school. The new building is nearly completed, and I believe that the time has now come when such a part of the work could be successfully begun and carried forward.

We have had no case of illness during the year. Each new girl received has been vaccinated. Dr. Brightwell, dentist, has visited the school several times, examining the teeth of each girl and filling or extracting as necessary. So we have had no suffering from toothache.

The work of the school has gone steadily forward, each officer and employee doing her or his best to advance the standard of work done in all departments and in working harmoniously together.

Good work has been done in the laundry, and an average of 90 pieces for the officers and 400 for the girls has been laundered each week.

In the kitchen plain cooking of meats and vegetables, bread making, preserving fruits and pickles, and caring for milk and making butter are taught by the matron; also waiting on table, dishwashing, and cleaning.

Through the kindness of Mrs. Baxter, of the house committee, a teacher was sent out each week to teach the girls knitting, and nearly every one has shown much interest in learning how to knit wash rags, stockings, mittens, plain and fancy slippers, etc. A list of knit articles is appended.

The knitting was of great service in furnishing employment and preserving order. During the long winter evenings we spent many pleasant hours, the girls with their knitting, and one of the teachers or a girl reading aloud some interesting book or story. The same plan worked well in the sewing room, and many girls enjoyed good books by hearing them read who either would not or could not read for themselves.

In the sewing room the girls are taught the different kinds of hand sewing—hemming, darning, buttonholes, hemstitching, etc.—and later how to use a machine. A list of articles made is given below:

List of work done in sewing room.

Dresses	46	Skirts	28
Chemises	49	Corset waists	15
Drawers	51	Towels	24
Gowns	37	Flannel skirts	24
White aprons	30	Doylies	15
Gingham aprons	5	Napkins	28
Waists	39	Spreads	12
Table covers	15		

Work done in knitting class.

Wash cloths	60	Hoods	24
Mittens, pairs	31	Stockings, pairs	2
Slippers, pairs	9		

Five days in each week we have day school in the afternoon, in which reading, writing, spelling, arithmetic, history and geography, and letter writing are taught. The majority of our girls come to us not knowing even their letters sometimes, but usually in the first or second grade. Very few are as far advanced as the fourth or fifth grades.

During the season the girls who work well in the house are allowed

to assist with the garden work, and it is gratifying to know the interest taken and the labor bestowed to keep the weeds down and to help the vegetables and fruit to grow, and they are proud to bring the good things in.

With the exception of half an hour after breakfast and dinner, the girls are kept busy all day, but after supper, at 6 p. m., they have recreation for an hour and a half. In pleasant weather this time is spent in the yard, where each one has a little flower bed, or in games. The rustic summerhouse, built last year, has been a great comfort.

We had a nice Christmas tree and entertainment; besides this we have had several concerts or entertainments, and as special rewards for good behavior several trips to the Zoological Park; one to Great Falls, chestnutting; several picnics in Virginia, etc. Frequently good girls accompany the superintendent and other officers on trips to town or market, and we take great pride in the fact that our girls have proved trustworthy on these occasions. Some people might say these girls have too good a time and too much liberty. We answer, we believe in work for everybody, but we also believe in play of the right sort after work has been finished, and though our girls are often noisy over a game of football or croquet, the order in the house is better for having had the vent.

We have a short religious service morning and evening, consisting of songs, prayer, memory verses, or the Sunday-school lesson, and the best token that these services are of value is that they are missed when a girl goes away.

We have had three changes in our staff during the year. John G. Shaeffer, who had been overseer since March, 1896, resigned last December, and Christian Nielsen was appointed in his place. The engineer was changed in March. In May, Mrs. Barry, matron, resigned, in order to be constantly with her sick sister, who died in a few weeks, and Miss Neale was appointed in her place.

We are looking forward to occupying the new building with great interest, and feel confident that with the increased capacity which it will afford the cost per capita will be very materially reduced.

Some time since, the use as pasture of a piece of ground belonging to the Washington Aqueduct was granted to us on condition that we fence it properly. This will be done at once, and will be a great comfort, as we have never had pasture sufficient for the cows, and none at all for the three horses.

We have not made many repairs or improvements during the year, as the building we now occupy needs many things to be done before we take the new girls in, and after we move into the new building this can be better accomplished while this one is empty. All walls need pointing up and painting; woodwork needs painting, and floors need repairing. There is considerable plumbing to be done in order to put this building in the condition we would like to see it in.

The few improvements made are as follows: Electric lights in the summerhouse, put in by our engineer. The shed built last summer inclosed on the fourth side and a floor put in. The pump house has been painted. Our ice house has been reconstructed and enlarged.

Our supplies of dry goods, shoes, blankets, bedding, etc., are in good condition and amount; also stock, farm implements, etc.

We raised all vegetables used during the past year and slaughtered for use 15 hogs, 50 chickens, 4 calves.

During the year we had 500 dozen eggs, besides all the milk we needed for the whole family.

We return grateful acknowledgment for the following favors and donations to the school:

To the Commissioners of the District of Columbia and Fire Chief Parish for two 2-horse wagon loads of manure each week, from Station 5 and Truck B. This is of value, as our land is very poor in quality.

To Major Sylvester and his assistants for prompt work in capturing girls who have absconded from the school or from homes provided for them; also in looking up the records and characters of persons wishing to take our girls; also their relatives.

To Miss Briscoe and the faithful Christian workers from the different churches in the city who come each Sunday afternoon to hold religious services. It is not always easy for them to get here, 4 miles from town, especially during the winter, and sometimes irregularity in the electric-car service.

These services are much enjoyed, and, we believe, do much to help make our girls better, both now and in time to come.

To Mrs. Baxter, for many things besides her duties as a trustee. She has liberally donated books, songs, dolls, goods, etc., and in April she presented the school with a new organ, to the great delight of the girls; also a croquet set.

To members of the board, for money, books, gifts, dress patterns, games, workbaskets, football, etc., at Christmas time, given to the school.

Very respectfully yours,

AMY JEAN RULE,
Superintendent.

TREASURER'S REPORT.

WASHINGTON, D. C., *October 1, 1900.*

The BOARD OF TRUSTEES:

I have the honor to submit herewith report, in detail, of my receipts and disbursements as treasurer of the Reform School for Girls, District of Columbia, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Receipts:

July 13, 1899.....	\$2, 756. 25	
October 24, 1899	2, 756. 25	
January 16, 1900	2, 756. 25	
April 18, 1900.....	2, 756. 25	
		\$11, 025. 00

Disbursements:

For salaries, as per statement.....	5, 025. 00	
For support, as per statement	5, 995. 60	
		11, 020. 60

Balance unexpended		4. 40
Expenditures for salaries:		
Pay rolls	4, 425. 00	
Treasurer's salary	600. 00	
		5, 025. 00

Statement showing amounts expended for provisions, clothing, fuel, medical attendance, etc., separately.

Provisions:		
Meats	\$288. 97	
Butter.....	64. 67	
Groceries	851. 01	
Vegetables.....	53. 70	
	<hr/>	\$1, 258. 35
Clothing:		
Dry goods	942. 32	
Shoes	331. 20	
	<hr/>	1, 273. 52
Medical attendance.....		65. 90
House furnishings.....		32. 61
Fuel, coal		871. 13
School expenses, stationery		29. 45
Stable expenses:		
Feed	482. 91	
Horseshoeing	45. 83	
Hay and straw.....	112. 83	
Repairing farm implements.....	15. 50	
Repairing carriage and harness.....	38. 55	
	<hr/>	695. 62
Building repairs:		
Repairing building	213. 50	
Remodeling and enlarging ice house.....	759. 00	
	<hr/>	972. 50
Necessary items:		
Insurance.....	112. 60	
Oils, paints, etc	105. 89	
Illuminating building.....	116. 97	
Hardware	145. 23	
Needles.....	2. 42	
Plumbing.....	5. 49	
Engine and boiler materials and repairs.....	120. 59	
Printing	72. 25	
Labor	47. 65	
Engraving plate of building.....	3. 00	
Plants and seeds	35. 79	
Car tickets.....	2. 75	
Funeral expenses.....	22. 50	
Express cost	3. 39	
	<hr/>	796. 52
Total.....		5, 995. 60

Respectfully submitted.

ANNA F. DEAN, *Treasurer.*

PHYSICIAN'S REPORT.

WASHINGTON, D. C., *August 11, 1900.*

The BOARD OF TRUSTEES:

It gives me pleasure to be able to report to you the continued good health of the inmates of the school.

A recent inspection of the premises showed them to be in excellent sanitary condition; and, further, the personal hygiene of each girl is evidently most carefully and intelligently looked after by the superintendent, Miss Rule.

Very respectfully,

F. P. VALE.

EXHIBIT N.—*Report of the warden of the United States jail in the District of Columbia.*

UNITED STATES JAIL,
Washington, D. C., October 31, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to submit herewith my annual report of this jail for the year ending October 31, 1900, and to call attention to the subjoined report of the physician to the jail, and that of the clerk.

In reporting the sanitary condition of the jail, the health of the inmates, deaths, etc., I take pleasure in referring you to the report of Dr. D. K. Shute, physician to the jail. I also refer you to tabulated statement showing the number of prisoners sentenced to jail for long terms, and I still believe that the law should be so changed that such prisoners could be sent to the penitentiary, so that they might have work to perform, believing that it would be better for their well-being and physical condition.

FINANCIAL.

Notwithstanding the prevailing high prices for supplies for the jail during the present year, we believe the economy practiced will overcome the prevailing high prices so that we may be able to keep within the appropriation for the same. During the past year the daily cost per capita for food for prisoners was 9 cents. During the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900, the daily per capita cost, including subsistence, repairs, forage, live stock, sanitary, advertising and printing, clothing, tobacco, bedding, stationery, convict stationery, fuel, light, officers' salaries, etc., was 34½ cents, and the annual per capita cost was \$127.29. It is almost without exception the rule that when a prisoner remains in jail for any length of time he goes away weighing more than when he came in.

During the past two years small sums of money have come into my hands from time to time from the sale of old barrels and bones, aggregating the sum of \$100, which sum I have turned over to the United States Treasurer, taking his receipt for same.

VISITING.

Under the rules of my predecessor visiting was allowed in the jail to the extent that, in my judgment, it became a system of loafing with the prisoners. It will be noticed by former reports that visitors to the number of 17,500 were permitted to visit the jail during the year. There have been during this year 3,029 prisoners visited and 2,539 visitors to see prisoners. The rule now is that persons can visit prisoners in the jail provided they do so upon a matter of business of some sort. The management reserves the right to judge whether the business is of any importance to the prisoner or to the visitor. The management only requires the visitor to state the nature of the business, and not the particulars. We are confident that since this rule has been enforced, the prisoners are more obedient, and less punishment of prisoners has been necessary.

RELIGIOUS SERVICES.

I wish to inform the Department that religious services are being conducted in the jail on each Sabbath by three distinct denominations,

the Catholic denomination holding services during the morning hours, the Colored Baptist Association holding services from 12 m. until 1 p. m., and the Wesley Chapel Association holding services from 3 until 4 p. m. We believe these services are productive of good results, and in this connection we desire to thank all those who have taken such deep interest in the spiritual welfare of those who are confined in the jail, and to invite a continuance of these services.

CONCLUSION.

With rare exceptions all subordinate officers have performed their several duties faithfully and well. I am under deep obligations to the chief justice and the associate justices of the supreme court of the District of Columbia for exceedingly kind treatment; and also Gen. Thomas H. Anderson, United States attorney for the District of Columbia, and officers connected with his office, for most respectful treatment; and I desire to thank the officers of the Department of Justice for uniform courtesies shown me.

Very respectfully,

JAMES H. HARRIS,

Warden United States Jail, District of Columbia.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL,

Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.

PHYSICIAN'S REPORT.

UNITED STATES JAIL,

Washington, D. C., October 31, 1900.

DEAR SIR: I respectfully submit my report for the year ending October 31, 1900, on the medical conduct of the jail.

There were 3 deaths by legal hangings and 1 death due to pulmonary tuberculosis. One prisoner had a severe attack of erysipelas, from which he recovered. Large numbers of prisoners came to the jail with quite severe forms of venereal diseases, the majority of whom are cured and all greatly relieved. There were reports on the physical condition of 31 of the prisoners, and the mental condition of 16 of the prisoners was reported upon. Seven prisoners were removed to the Government Hospital for Insane on account of insanity. Three prisoners were removed to a hospital for surgical operation on account of the severity of the cases and lack of facilities for operating in the jail. The operations were entirely successful. Several operations of minor character were performed in the jail with successful results. Many other prisoners were treated for minor complaints.

I am pleased to report that the malarial fever among the officers and prisoners of the jail during the past year has not been nearly so severe as during preceding years, although a great many cases occurred in the jail. It is probable that this is due, to a large extent, to the fact that screens have been put in all the lower portions of the windows throughout the jail, thereby excluding large numbers of mosquitos, which hitherto have been the carriers of malaria. Since there has been so great a reduction in the malarial fever, due to this simple procedure,

I would suggest that it would be wise, some time before next spring, to put screens in the upper part of the windows also, thus making the exclusion of mosquitos and flies still more effective, and thus tending to promote the health and welfare of officers and prisoners alike.

It gives me pleasure to report that under the unremitting care and diligence of the warden the cleanliness and sanitary condition of the jail throughout the year have been in exceedingly first-class condition. The greatest care has been exercised in cleansing the cells and corridors by whitewashing them, and other procedures. There can be no doubt that the good health of a large majority of the prisoners and officers while in the jail is due to the thorough care that has been exercised in supervising the sanitary condition of the jail.

Very respectfully,

D. K. SHUTE, M. D.,

Physician to the United States Jail, District of Columbia.

Capt. JAMES H. HARRIS,

Warden United States Jail, District of Columbia.

Persons committed to jail, and offenses charged against them, during the year ending October 31, 1900.

Offense.	White male.	Colored male.	White female.	Colored female.	Total.
Assault to ravish and carnally know.....	1				1
Abandoning infant child.....				1	1
Attempt at rape.....	1	2			3
Assault to rape.....		4			4
Attempt at highway robbery.....		7			7
Assault and battery.....	122	730	3	142	997
Assault.....	62	247	1	34	344
Assault to kill.....	11	38		1	50
Affray.....	8	47		5	60
Adultery.....	6	12	3	9	30
Arson.....	2	2		1	5
Abandoning child.....				3	3
Attempt at arson.....	1				1
Attempt at larceny.....	1	3			4
Attempt at larceny from United States.....	1				1
Bench warrant.....	17	9		1	27
Bigamy.....		4			4
Contempt of court.....		3		1	4
Carrying concealed weapons.....	32	123		5	160
Carrying concealed weapons (second offense).....		1			1
Carnal knowledge.....	4	3			7
Depredation on property.....		26			26
Depredation on property (second offense).....		1			1
Destroying private property.....	1				1
Default of alimony.....	1				1
Embezzlement.....	13	5			18
False pretenses.....	39	10	1	1	51
Fornication.....	4	43	6	44	97
Forgery.....	4	4			8
Grand larceny.....	49	38	2	8	97
Housebreaking.....	32	77		1	110
Housebreaking with intent to rape.....		1			1
Highway robbery.....	6	7			13
Having in possession an obscene picture.....	1				1
Incorrigibility.....	1	4			5
Incest.....	1		1		2
Indecent exposure.....	1				1
Keeping a disorderly house.....	9	12	5	17	43
Keeping an unlicensed bar.....		20		6	26
Keeping an unlicensed bar (second offense).....		1			1
Keeping a bawdyhouse.....	1	1		6	8
Keeping an unlicensed dog.....	2	2	1		5
Larceny from the person.....	12	31	1	3	47
Larceny from the United States.....	3				3
Libel.....	2				2
Murder.....	8	6			14
Money larceny.....	4	30		6	40

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

253

Persons committed to jail, and offenses charged against them, during the year ending October 31, 1900—Continued.

Offense.	White male.	Colored male.	White female.	Colored female.	Total.
Malicious mischief	2				2
Malicious trespass	1	2			3
Manslaughter	1				1
Petit larceny	201	787	17	140	1,145
Petit larceny (second offense)	4	26		3	33
Perjury		4		1	5
Practicing medicine without license		2			2
Rape	1	6			7
Receiving stolen property	7	3			10
Receiving stolen money		1			1
Resisting Metropolitan police officer		1			1
Refusing to pay hack hire	1				1
Selling liquor without a license			1		1
Seduction		1			1
Threats	22	41		1	64
Threats, assault, and larceny		1			1
United States witness		2		1	3
Violating the policy law	1	42		1	44
Violating the game law		1			1
Violating the gaming law	10	19		1	30
Violating water and sewer law	1			1	2
Violating pension law		2			2
Violating fish law		2			2
Violating section 5392, Revised Statutes United States		3			3
Violating section 5480, Revised Statutes United States	1				1
Violating section 5456, Revised Statutes United States	1				1
Total	717	2,500	42	444	3,703
Total number in jail during the year					4,087

Persons sentenced to jail, for the offenses named, during the year ending October 31, 1900.

Offense.	White male.	Colored male.	White female.	Colored female.	Total.
Assault and battery	106	685	3	136	930
Assault		1			1
Affray	8	40		5	53
Attempt at larceny		2			2
Attempt at larceny from United States	1				1
Abandoning infant child				1	1
Contempt of court		3		1	4
Carrying concealed weapons	27	93		4	124
Depredation on property		13			13
Embezzlement	2	1			3
Fornication	4	31	5	32	72
Housebreaking	3	9			12
Keeping an unlicensed dog	2	2	1		5
Keeping an unlicensed bar				1	1
Keeping a bawdy house	1			2	3
Keeping a disorderly house	4	9	1	10	24
Libel	1				1
Larceny from the person	1	2			3
Malicious trespass		1			1
Petit larceny	116	488	9	93	706
Practicing medicine without license		1			1
Receiving stolen property	1	3			4
Selling liquor without license			1		1
Threats	18	35		1	54
Violating the policy law		24		1	25
Violating the gaming law	10	16			26
Violating the game law		1			1
Violating the water and sewer law	1			1	2
Violating the fish law		2			2
Violating the postal law		1			1
Violating section 5459, Revised Statutes United States	2				2
Total	308	1,463	20	288	2,079

Prisoners sent to the penitentiary during the year ending October 31, 1900.

Offense.	White male.	Colored male.	White female.	Colored female.	Total.
Assault to rape	1	1			2
Assault to kill	2	8			10
Adultery	1	3		1	5
Bigamy		3			3
Carnal knowledge	2	2			4
Carrying concealed weapons (second offense)		1			1
Embezzlement		2			2
Embezzlement and false pretenses		1			1
False pretenses	4	3			7
Forgery	2	4			6
Grand larceny	8	9		6	23
Grand larceny and petit larceny (second offense)	1				1
Housebreaking	4	24			28
Housebreaking and assault to kill		1			1
Housebreaking and larceny		3			3
Highway robbery	1	1			2
Larceny from United States		2			2
Larceny from the person	1	12		1	14
Murder	1	4			5
Manslaughter	1	1		2	4
Petit larceny (second offense)	3	24		1	28
Petit larceny (second offense) and larceny from the person		1			1
Robbery		2			2
Rape		10			10
Violation section 5392, Revised Statutes United States		2			2
Total	32	125		11	168

Prisoners committed and released during the year, with daily average, etc.

Number in jail:	
November 1, 1899	334
At close of year ending October 31, 1900—	
White males	52
White females	5
Colored males	267
Colored females	49
	373
Received during the year	3,703
Discharged during the year	3,330
Largest number in any one day	406
Smallest number in any one day	300
Average daily number for 1900	357.41
Average daily number for 1899	317.88
Number of prisoners removed:	
To the West Virginia State penitentiary	168
To the Government Hospital for Insane	7
To the Reform School, District of Columbia	4
To the workhouse, District of Columbia	265
To the penitentiary, for life	4
Number of prisoners—	
Pardoned by the President	3
Commuted by the President	3
Released under the poor-convict act	1
Deaths during the year:	
By execution	3
Due to natural causes	1
Persons committed during the year under the age of 17:	
White males	21
White females	1
Colored males	188
Colored females	22
	232
Number of visitors to see prisoners during the year	2,539
Number of prisoners visited during the year	3,029
Number of visitors to see the jail	439
Number of visitors to conduct religious services	2,879

Long sentences imposed during the year ending October 31, 1900.

Term of sentence.	White male.	Colored male.	White female.	Colored female.	Total.
11 months and 29 days.....		3			3
360 days.....	3	7		4	14
364 days.....	2	20		4	26
390 days.....		1			1
394 days.....		1			1
420 days.....		1			1
439 days.....		1			1
454 days.....	1				1
484 days.....		1			1
544 days.....		5			5
570 days.....	1				1
720 days.....		1			1
724 days.....		1			1
Total.....	7	42		8	57

Long sentences (now being served) imposed prior to November 1, 1899.

Term of sentence.	White male.	Colored male.	White female.	Colored female.	Total.
720 days.....	1	1			2
900 days.....		1			1
908 days.....		2			2
1,080 days.....		1			1
Total.....	1	5			6

EXHIBIT O.—Report of the Architect of the Capitol.

ARCHITECT'S OFFICE, UNITED STATES CAPITOL,
Washington, D. C., September 29, 1900.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit the following in relation to repairs to court-house, District of Columbia, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900:

General repairs have been made to this building during the past year consisting of work on the roof and a considerable amount of painting and touching up in the various rooms; papering the rooms of the court of appeals and the private room attached thereto; papering the district attorney's room; repairing the heating and ventilating apparatus and plumbing throughout the building.

Many doors and windows have been repaired. Standpipes with water-supply connections and suitable amount of hose for each floor have been installed for use in case of fire.

The general condition of the court-house, owing to the insufficient appropriations for its care, led this office to recommend and urge the appropriation of \$4,348.50, to be made immediately available, for the correction of the deficiencies in ventilation of the court-house rooms, painting corridors and rooms, and for the necessary care and painting of the exterior portion of the old structures. This recommendation resulted in an appropriation for the immediate needs of the court-house, and at the date of this report arrangements have been made to carry on the work above mentioned, some of which is now under way.

A detailed report will be submitted with my next annual report. I would most earnestly recommend that an appropriation of \$3,000 be

made for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1902, to continue the repairs of the court-house in a suitable and substantial manner.

Very respectfully submitted.

ELLIOTT WOODS,
Architect United States Capitol.

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.

EXHIBIT P.—*Report of the attorney in charge of pardons.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., July 1, 1900.

SIR: I respectfully submit the following report of the work of this office during the year ending June 30, 1900:

During the year 728 pardon cases were acted upon, which arose as follows:

Applications filed during year.....	660
Cases pending June 30, 1899	51
Cases reopened from former years	17
	<hr/> 728

These cases have been disposed of as follows:

Reported adversely by district attorneys and judges and not considered by the President.....	332
Acted upon by the President.....	351
Pending June 30, 1900.....	45
	<hr/> 728

Of the 351 cases acted upon by the President he denied 131 and exercised Executive clemency in 220. The following table shows the classes of cases in which the President's action was favorable and the nature and extent of such action:

Offense.	Par-doned.	Pardoned conditionally.	Pardoned to restore civil rights.	Sen-tences com-muted.	Fines remitted.	Forfeited recogni-zances remitted.	Re-prieved.	Total acts of clemency.
Violation of national banking laws.....	2		3	7				12
Violation of pension laws.....			2	4				6
Violation of internal-revenue laws.....	16		5	18	6			45
Introducing and selling liquor in Indian Territory.....	2	1	1	8				12
Selling liquor to Indians.....	5			1	1			7
Buying cattle from Indians.....				1				1
Violation of postal laws.....	6		7	9	2			24
Post-office robbery.....	2		1					3
Mail robbery.....	1			3				4
Counterfeiting.....	5		7	3				15
Smuggling.....	1		1					2
Larceny.....	9		5	3				17
Larceny of property of United States.....	1							1
Larceny of horses.....	6			4				10
Larceny of cattle.....	1			2				3
Murder.....				4			4	8
Manslaughter.....	1							1
Assault with intent to kill.....			2					2
Assaulting and resist-ing a United States officer.....	1							1

Offense.	Pardoned.	Pardoned conditionally.	Pardoned to restore civil rights.	Sentences commuted.	Fines remitted.	Forfeited recognizances remitted.	Re-prieved.	Total acts of clemency.
Conspiracy to injure a United States officer ..				1				1
Robbery	1			1				2
Burglary	1		1					2
Housebreaking	1			1				2
Arson			1					1
Perjury	1		3	1				5
Forgery			1					1
Embezzlement			1	1				2
Obtaining money under false pretenses				1				1
Conspiracy to defraud the United States			1					1
Conspiracy to utter false certificates of naturalization					2			2
Desertion United States Army			1					1
Desertion United States Navy	17	4						21
Violation of articles for the government of the Navy	1							1
Default and forfeiture of bail bond						3		3
Total	81	5	43	73	11	3	4	220

Of the 81 unconditional pardons granted 32 were granted solely on account of the ill health of the applicants.

The pardons for desertion from the Navy were granted upon the recommendations of the Secretary of the Navy.

Applications for pardon to restore civil rights are not considered until after the applicants have complied with the sentences of the court. In each of the 43 cases where pardons were granted to restore civil rights a considerable period had elapsed after the applicant had been discharged from prison, or had otherwise complied with the sentence of the court, and before his application was considered, and his good conduct was certified to by the people among whom he had lived after his release from prison.

The statement immediately following shows the names of all persons convicted in the United States courts who were the recipients of Executive clemency during the fiscal year. This statement shows the districts where the applicants were tried, when and of what offenses convicted, the recommendations made by the Attorney-General, the nature of the action of the President, and the dates of such action.

Respectfully,

JAMES S. EASBY-SMITH,
Pardon Attorney.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

H. Doc. 9—17

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
John Murphy	United States Navy.	Desertion.	(Not convicted.)		The Secretary of the Navy recommended that pardon be granted on condition that applicant, within 30 days of its date, present himself for enlistment, and if accepted, enlist in the Navy.	Pardoned on conditions indicated by Secretary of Navy.	1899 July 5
William Emerson	Texas, eastern.	Robbery	5 years in Reform School, District of Columbia.	Jan. 2, 1898	This lad has served 3½ years in the Reform School of District of Columbia for robbery. He has now developed pulmonary tuberculosis, and the physician at the Reform School advises that he be pardoned, both for his own sake and also to avoid danger of infecting other boys. I advise a pardon.	(Granted)	July 4
Ed. Jones, John Dun- don, and John Doyle.	Georgia, northern.	Selling and offer- ing for sale ci- gars not prop- erly stamped.	6 months in Fulton County jail.	May 22, 1899	These men were sentenced to 6 months imprisonment for selling and offering for sale cigars not properly stamped. The offense was an isolated one. The minimum penalty is 6 months. Upon the advice of the trial judge and district attorney, I recommend that the sentence be commuted to 3 months imprisonment.	Commuted to 3 months.	July 10
Thomas Hernal	Indian Territory, northern.	Introducing and disposing of liq- uor in the Indian Territory.	3 years in Ohio peni- tentiary, and to pay a fine of \$200 and costs.	Mar. 10, 1898	The petitioner was sentenced to 3 years imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$200 for introducing and selling liquor in the Indian Territory. This sentence seems to be excessive, as the facts do not indicate that the offense was committed on a large scale or was in any other respect especially aggravated. I recommend that the fine be remitted and that the sentence of imprisonment be commuted to expire Sept. 10, 1899, which will cause him to have served 18 months in prison for his offense.	Commuted to expire Sept. 10, 1899, and fine remitted.	July 10

Green Slone	Kentucky	Retailing liquor without having paid the tax.	2 years in Industrial School of Reform, Louisville, Ky., and to pay a fine of \$100.	Dec. 10, 1898	The petitioner was sentenced to 2 years' imprisonment in a reform school and to pay a fine of \$100 for retailing liquor without having paid the special tax. A pardon is urgently recommended by the authorities of the school, including the physician, on account of the prisoner's physical condition. In view of the representations made, I advise that he be pardoned.	Granted	July 10
W. D. Westmoreland.	Virginia, western	Assaulting and resisting a United States officer.	5 years in Ohio penitentiary.	Dec. 9, 1897	The petitioner was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for assaulting and resisting a United States deputy marshal, who was attempting to enforce the revenue laws. He shot and dangerously wounded the officer. Under ordinary circumstances he should be compelled to serve out his sentence. It is, however, shown that his physical condition is very precarious, and he is liable to die if his imprisonment is continued. For this reason alone I recommend that he be pardoned.do	July 10
Harris Bramblett	Georgia, northern	Illicit distilling	2 years in North Carolina penitentiary, fine of \$100 and costs.	Apr. 1, 1898	The petitioner was convicted on 4 counts of illicit distilling and sentenced to 2 years' imprisonment and a fine of \$100. He has served more than 15 months of his sentence. His health is very precarious, and under the circumstances I think clemency would not be misplaced. I advise a pardon.do	July 10
Fred Richards	Ohio, northern	Having in possession, and attempting to pass counterfeit coin.	3 years in Ohio penitentiary and costs.	Dec. 9, 1897	The prisoner pleaded guilty to having in his possession and attempting to pass counterfeit money. Undoubtedly he was extensively concerned in this dangerous enterprise. The acting Chief of the Secret Service states, however, that Richards, both before and after his sentence, furnished the Government valuable information as to counterfeiters, and that few of this it would seem just that some clemency be extended to him. I advise that his sentence be commuted to 2 full years' imprisonment.	Committed to 2 years.	July 12

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1901—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
John Laverly	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted).....		(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)	Granted	1899 July 17
Alex. Brown.....	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny of cattle.....	3 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Oct., 16, 1898	Alex. Brown, the applicant for Executive clemency, as will be seen, has served 9 months of a 3 years' sentence for larceny. The prison physician of the United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, Kans., where Brown is undergoing sentence, reports under date of July 13 instant in regard to Brown, as follows: "This man has pulmonary consumption, and in my judgment can not live longer than 4 weeks." I therefore recommend the pardon.	do	July 21
James Powell.....	District of Columbia.	Murder	To be hanged Aug. 18, 1899.	May 11, 1899	Upon the recommendation of the district attorney, and for the reasons stated by him, I advise that a respite be granted in this case to Nov. 17, 1899.	do	July 25
Pedro A. Ponsetti.....	Louisiana, eastern.	Taking letters from a post-office, and receiving letters stolen from a post-office.	3 years in Southern Illinois penitentiary and \$1,000 fine.	Mar. 11, 1897	An unpaid fine of \$1,000 imposed in 1887, stands against petitioner. He offers to pay \$150 to settle it. As there is no obvious way of collecting the whole sum, and all the officials having knowledge of the case advise it, I recommend that upon payment of \$150 the balance of the fine be remitted.	Remit fine in excess of \$150.	July 25
Andreas Chapas.....	New York, northern.	Altering a \$2 certificate and passing the same.	1 year and 6 months at hard labor in Auburn State prison and \$25 fine.	Jan. 23, 1899	This prisoner was convicted of passing a raised United States note. The assistant district attorney and Judge (at the trial) Chas. now advise that they think Chas. was really a very fully convicted; that he is a Greek ignorant of our language and was at the trial unable to understand what was going on. They advise that he be pardoned, and I con-	Granted	July 25

William K. Reed.....	District of Colum- bia.	Murder.....	To be hanged Aug. 4, 1890.	May 3, 1890	Sentence com- mitted to im- prisonment for life.
			</		

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
William K. Reed.....	District of Columbia.	Murder	To be hanged Aug. 4, 1899.	May 3, 1899	<p>is now an insane inmate of an asylum. Undoubtedly the prisoner has an hereditary tendency to unsound mind, and his faculties are undoubtedly to some degree unbalanced. It was proven, however, on the part of the Government, that Reed was able to pursue his work as a carpenter in a satisfactory way, and that in his ordinary conduct and conversation he exhibited no evidences of unsound mind. While, as before stated, he was unquestionably in the contemplation of law, responsible as a sane person for his crime and was properly convicted of murder, yet, in administering punishment for an offense of this kind, it is proper to take into consideration mental tendencies and characteristics of temperament which affect the ability of the convict to restrain his conduct within lawful limits and to appreciate with adequacy the mandates of the law. The prisoner, in my judgment, is entitled to some mitigation of the extreme punishment fixed by statute for this offense. A reasonable degree of leniency for mental and moral deficiency caused by disease should properly be exercised in cases of this nature. It appears that some such consideration as these must have been in the minds of the jury because their verdict was accompanied with a recommendation to mercy. Under the law no force or effect could be given to such a recommendation except</p>	Sentence commuted to imprisonment for life.	1899. July 25

John D. Harles	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of cattle and receiving stolen cattle.	2 years and 6 months in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, fine of \$50 and costs.	July 20, 1887	<p>by Executive clemency, and it is to be assumed that the opinion of the jurors was that some mitigation of the extreme penalty of the law might properly be interposed, and was, perhaps, called for under the evidence. The question is what this mitigation should be. I do not think it should be less than imprisonment for life. It has been represented to me that imprisonment for a term of 10 or 15 years would be adequate under the circumstances. I do not think so. The violent tendencies of the prisoner on this and other occasions, as demonstrated by the evidence, and the enormity of his offense, call for his perpetual restraint for the remainder of his life. I therefore recommend that the sentence of death be commuted to life imprisonment.</p> <p>Prisoner was sentenced July 20, 1887, to 2½ years imprisonment. Appellate proceedings stayed the execution of the sentence until June 1, 1888, but in the meantime prisoner was kept in jail at McAlester. As the proceedings on appeal appear to have been taken in good faith and not for delay, conviction by the judge and district attorney in thinking he should have his time in jail commuted to him. I therefore advise that upon payment of the fine and costs sentence be commuted to expire Aug. 11, 1889.</p> <p>On the 5th of July instant Dr. F. A. Wagenhals, physician of the Ohio penitentiary, reported the condition of John Jamison as follows: "He is in the last stages of consumption and can not possibly live but a few months." Again on the 10th instant the assistant physician to the penitentiary reported as follows: "For his phys-</p>	July 25	Sentence commuted to expire Aug. 11, 1889, upon payment of fine and costs.
John Jamison	Ohio, southern	Making, having in possession, and passing counterfeit coin and making and having in possession molds for counterfeit money.	10 years in Ohio penitentiary and \$50 fine.	Dec. 20, 1884	Granted	July 25	

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
John Jamison	Ohio, southern	Making, having in possession, and passing counterfeit coin, and making and having in possession molds for counterfeit money.	10 years in Ohio penitentiary and \$50 fine.	Dec. 20, 1894	ical condition is such that he can scarcely walk. There is entire consolidation of the left lung and the lower lobe of the right lung. In my opinion he will not live 3 months in confinement." Upon these facts the pardon is recommended.	Granted	1899. July 25
W. I. Gill	Kentucky	Retailing liquor without having paid the special tax.	4 months in county jail at Covington, Ky., and fine of \$400.	May 11, 1899	Prisoner was guilty of selling liquor at retail without having paid the special tax. He was sentenced to 4 months' imprisonment. The judge and district attorney recommended that he be pardoned because of the fatal illness of his wife. I advise that sentence of imprisonment be commuted to expire Aug. 1, 1899.	Sentence commuted to expire Aug. 1, 1899.	July 25
Virgil L. Burton	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny of a horse.	5 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	May 6, 1898	Petitioner, a youth of 17 or 18 years, pled guilty to the larceny of a pony in Indian Territory. The minimum penalty for horse theft in that jurisdiction is 5 years, which sentence he received. The pony was worth less than \$5. It is probable that the youth took the pony more upon the suggestion of another person than from his own impulse to steal it. He is said to be somewhat feeble minded. He has now been in prison a year and 3 months. I think his punishment has been sufficient and advise that he be pardoned.	Granted	Aug. 12
Charles Anderson	Washington	Selling liquor to Indians.	2 years in United States penitentiary at McNellis Island and fine of \$100 and costs.	Nov. 3, 1898	Petitioner is recommended for a pardon on the ground that he is now very ill with consumption and is liable to die in a short time.	do	Aug. 12
Santiago Emerson	New Mexico	Perjury	1 year in New Mexico penitentiary.	Jan. 14, 1899	Prisoner, an Indian boy 17 years old, pled guilty to an indictment for perjury and was sentenced to a year's imprisonment Jan. 14, 1899. The superintendent of In-	do	Aug. 12

S. J. Brown	Kentucky	Removing distilled spirits.	3 months in county jail at Frankfort and \$200 fine.	June 12, 1899	<p>dian school service recommends that he be now pardoned, believing that his punishment has been adequate and the example to others sufficient. The trial judge and district attorney concur, and I so advise.</p> <p>Petitioner was convicted of removing distilled spirits in violation of sec. 3257, R. S. The minimum punishment for this is 3 months imprisonment and a fine of \$200, which the court imposed. The trial judge and district attorney report that Brown, while technically guilty, did not willfully violate the law, and recommend that he be pardoned. I so advise.</p> <p>I advise a pardon in this case because of prisoner's ill health.</p> <p>The petitioner plead guilty to an indictment for mailing an obscene letter and was sentenced to imprisonment for 1 year, of which time he has served 4 months.</p> <p>It appears from the report of the district attorney that the letter was written in response to one received by the prisoner, which contained matter of great provocation, to which he replied in the heat of his passion, without having in mind the fact that the transmission of such matter through the mails was criminal. The defendant is not an habitual lawbreaker, and does not appear to have been before guilty of this or any other offense against the laws. I think he has been sufficiently punished, and that the mitigating circumstances reported by the district attorney entitle him to a pardon, and I so recommend.</p>	do	Aug. 12
Preciliano Beltran .. Joshua Audette	Texas, western .. California, northern. ern.	Smuggling	12 months in Bexar County jail. 1 year in Alameda County jail and fine of \$300.	Nov. 14, 1898 Apr. 15, 1899	<p>do</p> <p>do</p>	Aug. 12 Aug. 12	
Wm. T. Givens	North Dakota	Buying cattle of Indians.	6 months in county jail at Mandan, N. Dak., and fine of \$500.	May 20, 1899	<p>Commuted to exile Sept. 1, 1899.</p>	Aug. 12	

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Wm. T. Givens.....	North Dakota.....	Buying cattle of Indians.	6 months in county jail at Mandan, N. Dak., and fine of \$500.	May 20, 1899	prisoner has always been a respectable, law-abiding man, and that the judge, while obliged to impose the minimum sentence, has expressed the opinion that it was too severe. Under the circumstances I recommend that the sentence be commuted to exile Sept. 1, 1899.	Committed to exile Sept. 1, 1899.	1899. Aug. 12
Simon Tollpash.....	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny.....	2 years and 6 months in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth. (Not convicted.)		I think the within report of war-den and prison physician sufficient to authorize pardon in this case.	Pardon granted.	Aug. 16
Abraham Tabler Angell, alias William B. Reeves. Miles McCoy, alias Abram McCoy. Budd Bickie.....	United States Navy. do..... Indian Territory, central.	Desertion..... do..... Disposing of intoxicating liquors in Indian Territory.	do..... do..... 1 year and 1 day in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	May 19, 1899	(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.) do..... In consideration of the reasons suggested by the United States district attorney in accompanying letter, to the effect that the prisoner, Budd Bickie, who is now undergoing a 1 year's term of imprisonment in the United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, Kans., for selling intoxicating liquors in the Indian Territory, is needed as a witness in an important case in which the United States is a party, I recommend that he be pardoned. (The Secretary of the Navy recommended that pardon be granted on condition that applicant, within 20 days of its date, present himself for enlistment, and, if accepted, enlist in the Navy.)	do..... do..... do.....	Sept. 2 Sept. 7 Sept. 11
Robert A. Miller.....	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted.)		Petitioner served out his sentence more than 3 years ago, and being sufficiently certified to have lived an honest life since, I advise that he be pardoned in order to restore his civil rights.	do.....	Sept. 18
Jefferson May.....	Illinois, northern.	Making and passing counterfeit coin.	1 year and 1 day in Illinois penitentiary at Joliet and fine of \$1.	May 31, 1895		Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Sept. 19

Walker Wilson	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny	2½ years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	May 16, 1896	Petitioner served out his sentence more than a year and a half ago, and being sufficiently certified to have lived an honest life since, I advise that he be pardoned in order to restore his civil rights.do.....	Sept. 19
Claude B. Alverson	Iowa, southern	Mailing an obscene letter.	18 months in Iowa penitentiary at Fort Madison and fine of \$500 and costs.	May 7, 1896	Petitioner served out his sentence more than 2 years ago, and his subsequent good conduct is sufficiently certified to by his neighbors. I advise that he be granted a pardon to restore his civil rights.do.....	Sept. 19
W. T. Henderson	Texas, western	Smuggling	2 years in Kings County penitentiary.	Oct. 28, 1893	Petitioner served out his term in 1895. Being sufficiently certified to have led an honest life since, I advise that he be pardoned to restore civil rights.do.....	Sept. 19
E. L. Weatherington	North Carolina, eastern.	Having in possession a package of distilled spirits on which the tax had not been paid.	6 months in county jail at Greenville, N. C., and fine of \$200.	Apr. term, 1899.	Petitioner is undergoing a 6 months' term of imprisonment for violating the internal-revenue laws. He is very ill with typhoid fever. The jail where he is confined is unsanitary, and he is not able to have the proper care and nursing. I advise on these grounds that he be pardoned in order that he may be removed to a more safe and comfortable place.	Pardon granted	Sept. 20
G. H. Herring	Arkansas, western.	Illicit distilling	3 years and 3 days in United States penitentiary.	Feb. 23, 1898	Prisoner has now been in confinement nearly 2 years for violating the internal-revenue laws. There were no special features of his case calling for unusual severity. I think he has been sufficiently punished, and advise that he be pardoned.do.....	Sept. 21
Samuel Dorr	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny of a horse and receiving stolen property.	5 years in Detroit House of Correction.	Dec. 13, 1897	Petitioner was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for stealing a horse. This was under the law prevalent in Indian Territory, where 5 years is the minimum for that crime. The prisoner was only 17 years old at the time, and had not previously been a bad boy. He has served nearly 2 years, and has respectable relatives, who promise to look after him if he is released. I think clemency in this case would be well exercised, and advise that he be now pardoned.do.....	Sept. 21

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Annie Askins.....	District of Columbia.	Larceny.....	Fine of \$270, or imprisonment for 870 days in United States jail, District of Columbia.	Mar. 8, 1899	Petitioner, a colored woman, was convicted on ten different charges of shop-lifting. She received cumulative sentences amounting in all to 870 days imprisonment. The justice and district attorney advise that a part of this be remitted on the ground of the previous good character of the woman, the fact that she has a dependent family of children, and that less than the full term imposed will be adequate for her punishment and reformation. I advise that her sentence be commuted so as to require 1 full year imprisonment.	Committed to 1 full year's imprisonment.	1899. Sept. 21
Andrew J. Drake.....	South Dakota	Breaking into and stealing from a post-office.	2 years in South Dakota penitentiary.	Feb. 9, 1898	The petitioner is undergoing a 2 years sentence for breaking into and robbing a post-office. His time will expire Oct. 9, 1898. It is represented by many estimable people from the locality from which he comes that he is a boy of full comprehension and very foolish and erratic in behavior, and not naturally a criminal. Many of the people who have written on this subject believe that he is of unsound mind. He is respectably connected and as he has served almost the whole of his time, a pardon is asked for in order to remove the stigma of the disgrace that rests upon him and his family, and to encourage him to better things hereafter. I recommend that it be granted.	Pardon granted.	Sept. 22
Ben Nowlin.....	Indian Territory, central.	Killing stock with intent to steal, and disposing of intoxicating liquor.	2 years and 2 days in United States penitentiary and fine of \$10.	Dec. 10 and 16, 1898.	The prisoner was convicted of 2 offenses in Indian Territory, one of selling intoxicating liquor and the other of killing a hog with intent to steal it. He was sentenced	Committed to expire Dec. 16, 1898.	See pt. 2

H. T. Smith.....	Texas, northern....	Forgery in connection with a pension claim.	8 years in Detroit House of Correction and fine of \$100.	Sept. 24, 1897	<p>The petitioner was convicted of forgery in connection with a pension claim, and sentenced to 3 years' imprisonment, of which he has served 2 years. He was a young man holding a responsible position as city judge at Fort Worth, Tex., and was a member of the bar. His crime has no circumstance of palliation, but being a man of intelligence and former good standing in the community, with a wife and respectable family connections, his punishment as a matter of degradation has already been severe. I think that some clemency can be exercised in his case as an inducement to reform and future good conduct. His sentence will expire Mar. 11, 1900. I advise that it be commuted to expire Dec. 24, 1899.</p>	Committed to expire Dec. 24, 1899.	Sept. 22
William Joyce.....	District of Columbia.	Obtaining money under false pretenses.	4 years in New Jersey State prison.	July 20, 1897	<p>This petitioner was indicted in the District of Columbia, Oct. 30, 1896, for obtaining money under false pretenses, the means being the passing of worthless checks. He plead guilty, and sentence was suspended during good behavior. On July 20, 1897, he came before the court under accusation of other offenses of the same kind, and was then sentenced to 4 years' imprisonment. His term will expire Nov. 1, 1900. There are in this case all the usual expressions of repentance and desire to reform, with the command of accomplices of a sick and helpless mother needing the assistance of her son. The case is</p>	do	Sept. 22

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
William Joyce.....	District of Columbia.	Obtaining money under false pretenses.	4 years in New Jersey State prison.	July 20, 1897	one in which it is difficult to know what to do. The petitioner was once put upon his good behavior under the suspension of sentence, and was unable to resist the temptation of getting money by fraudulent means. It is doubtful whether it now released he would have any stronger power of resistance to temptation than he had, and yet the punishment of 4 years seems to be rather long for such an offense. Under the circumstances, I advise that the sentence be commuted to expire Dec. 24, 1899, trusting that the clemency exercised in this case will be rightly interpreted as intended to afford an opportunity to the prisoner to show the sincerity of his professions of repentance and reform.	Committed to expire Dec. 24, 1899.	1899 Sept. 22
Albert Hunt.....	North Carolina, western.	Retailing, removing, and distilling liquor.	18 months in North Carolina penitentiary and fine of \$100.	Apr. 11, 1899	This petitioner was convicted of violating the Internal Revenue laws and sentenced to 18 months imprisonment, of which he has served about 7 months. It is stated that he is a farmer who, under the stress of mortgage and other debt, resorted to these means of repaying his fortune. There are no circumstances of aggravation in the case, and I think that 9 full months' imprisonment would be adequate punishment. I therefore recommend that the sentence be commuted to expire at the expiration of 9 months' actual imprisonment.	Committed to expire after 9 months actual imprisonment.	Sept. 22
Charles Stuart.....	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted)	-----	(The Secretary of the Navy recommended a full and unconditional pardon.)	Pardon granted.	Sept. 23

Gabriel Johnson.....	Iowa, southern.....	Conspiring to injure an officer of the United States.	6 years in the Iowa Penitentiary at Ames, and fine of \$2,000.	Oct. 28, 1895	This prisoner is undergoing a sentence of 6 years for conspiring to injure a deputy United States marshal, Judge Woolson, who sentenced him, states that by error in entry of the sentence the imprisonment of Johnson is made to extend several months beyond what was his intention, and recommends that the sentence be commuted to expire Jan. 28, 1900, instead of Apr. 1, 1900. I so advise.	Commuted to expire Jan. 28, 1900.	Sept. 22
James R. Jones.....	Arkansas, western.....	Illicit distilling....	2 years and 2 days in the United States penitentiary and fine of \$1,100.	Sept. 20, 1898	The petitioner plead guilty to an indictment for illicit distilling, and was sentenced to 2 years' imprisonment, 1 year each on 2 separate counts, the terms to be cumulative. The district attorney and trial judge now advise that punishment be reduced to 1 year's imprisonment. As prisoner has already served more than 1 full year of his term, I advise that he be pardoned.	Pardon granted.	Sept. 23
Elder W. Hanks.....	Maine.....	Embezzling money-order funds (\$1,373).	8 months in the county jail at Augusta, Me., and fine of \$1,373.	Mar. 7, 1899	Petitioner plead guilty to embezzling postal money order funds to amount of \$1,373, and was sentenced to 8 months' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$1,373. The amount of his defalcation was made good by his wife. I advise therefore that the fine be remitted, but think the imprisonment was very brief considering the aggravated character of the offense, and advise that he be required to serve it out.	Fine remitted....	Sept. 29
Charles Winters.....	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted).	-----	(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)	Pardon granted.	Oct. 2
Frank H. Sparks.....	Ohio, southern.....	Embezzling post-office funds (\$800.98).	2 years in Ohio penitentiary and fine of \$100.	Mar. 23, 1895	The petitioner served out his sentence 3 years ago, and is certified by his neighbors to have lived an honest life since. I advise that he be granted a pardon, to restore his civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Oct. 4

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
James F. Davis and Wes. Chapman.	Arkansas western.	Illicit distilling....	Davis, to 4 years in United States penitentiary and fine of \$100; Chapman, to 2 years in the same penitentiary and fine of \$100.	Nov. 19, 1898	Davis was sentenced to 4 years' and Chapman to 2 years' imprisonment for illicit distilling. At the time sentence was imposed the trial judge was under a misapprehension as to their character, believing that they were dangerous men and obstinate offenders. The judge now recommends that their terms of imprisonment be shortened. I therefore recommend that the sentence in both cases be commuted to 15 months' imprisonment.	Commuted to 15 months' actual imprisonment.	1899. Oct. 4
W. C. Doyle	Indian Territory, southern.	Selling liquor in Indian Territory.	2 years in United States penitentiary.	Dec. 20, 1898	The statement of the prison warden and physician now clearly show that the prisoner is afflicted with a mortal disease, and can survive only a short time. To enable him to spend his last days with his family I advise that a pardon be granted to him.	Pardon granted.	Nov. 1
George W. Horton	District of Columbia.	Murder	To be hanged Nov. 10, 1899.	June 6, 1899	I advise that the prisoner, George W. Horton, be granted a respite until Friday, Dec. 8, 1899, in order to enable him to be heard upon his application to the Supreme Court of the United States for a certiorari.	Respite granted.	Nov. 9
W. E. Foster	Alaska	Larceny	18 months in Sitka jail and \$1,000 fine.	Dec. 19, 1898	Petitioner is serving a term of imprisonment in the jail at Sitka. He has pulmonary consumption in an advanced stage. The prison is unsanitary and not adapted for the care of sick persons. Petitioner has served out 1 term of a year and is entered upon service of 6 months' sentence on another conviction. I advise that on account of ill health he be released through a pardon.	Pardon granted.	Nov. 10
Charles Goldstein	Pennsylvania, eastern.	Conspiracy to utter false certificates of naturalization.	6 months in Philadelphia county prison, fine of \$100 and costs.	May 15, 1899	Petitioner was sentenced to 6 months' imprisonment and a fine of \$100 for fraudulent naturalization. He has nearly served out	Fine and costs remitted.	Nov. 10

James Powell.....	District of Columbia.	Murder	To be hanged Aug. 19, 1899. July 25, 1899, respited by the President till Nov. 17, 1899.	May 11, 1899	<p>his term of imprisonment. It is shown that he is poor and has a dependent family. I advise that the fine and costs be remitted. I have carefully considered all the evidence given on the trial of this prisoner, and am satisfied that while the prisoner was properly convicted of murder, the circumstances make out a case which justifies the commutation of the death penalty. The homicide was the result of a street affray occurring near midnight, in the city of Washington. The participants in the affray, including the prisoner and the deceased, were all young colored lads, who had just come away from a ball, and were more or less under the influence of liquor. The prisoner had been before this event a boy of good character and reputation. I think, by commuting the punishment to imprisonment for life, the demands of justice will be fully satisfied. In this opinion I am confirmed by the judgment of the trial judge and the district attorney.</p>	Sentence commuted to imprisonment for life.	Nov. 10
Joseph Roan.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny	5 years and 1 month in Ohio penitentiary.	Aug. 3, 1897	<p>Petitioner was sentenced to 5 years and 1 month imprisonment for stealing a horse in Indian Territory, where the minimum sentence for this offense is fixed by law at 5 years. Prisoner was previously to this occurrence a man of good character. He has served 2 years and 34 months of his term. He has contracted pulmonary consumption during his confinement. I think it is a proper case for a pardon.</p>	Pardon granted.	Nov. 18
Lorenzo V. Peek.....	New York, northern.	Abstracting and misapplying funds of a national bank (\$500)	5 years in State prison at Auburn, N. Y.	May 30, 1899	<p>Petitioner was convicted of misapplying funds of a national bank of which he was the cashier. The offense consisted of his cashing his own check for \$500 to pay a pressing personal obligation when he had less than that sum to his credit, thus overdrawing</p>	Committed to fine of \$500.	Nov. 30

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900.—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Lorenzo V. Peek.....	New York, northern.	Abstracting and misappropriating funds of a national bank (\$500)	5 years in State Prison at Auburn, N. Y.	May 30, 1899	his account about \$500. The check was openly carried in the drawer as a cash item. He seems to have had an expectation of reimbursing the bank, but failed in that expectation, and subsequently secured the bank by a mortgage on his property. This appears to have been the only illegal act of the petitioner. The bank was not wrecked nor does it seem to have lost anything by this transaction. The offense is the most trivial of any arising under the national bank act that has come to my attention as Attorney-General. The jury that convicted the petitioner unanimously request an unconditional pardon, and the district attorney who tried him advises it. The petitioner is now 80 years of age, is penniless, and, living on the bounty of a poor clergyman whom he once befriended. Actual imprisonment would probably be instrumental in hastening the old man's death. I think this case exceptional among cases arising under the banking acts, and that the President can justly intervene to spare the petitioner from disgrace and excessive punishment. I advise that the sentence of imprisonment be commuted to a fine of \$500, upon payment of which the defendant shall be released.	Commuted to fine of \$500.	1899. Nov. 23
Felix Hauk	Alaska	Selling liquor to an Indian.	5 months in Sitka jail. (Reprieve granted by governor of Alaska.)	Aug. 10, 1899	This is a case where a reprieve has been granted by the governor of Alaska, who submits it for the approval of the President. The defendant was convicted of selling liquor to an Indian. It ap-	Action of government approved.	Nov. 23

William Crockett	California, southern.	Using the mails to further a scheme to defraud.	18 months in county jail at Los Angeles and fine of \$20.	Mar. 28, 1899	<p>Pears that the offense was an isolated one, and no intention of violating the law for profit or advantage existed. Defendant is a soldier. I advise a pardon.</p> <p>The petitioner pleaded guilty to 2 indictments for using the mails to further a scheme to defraud, and was sentenced to 9 months' imprisonment on each the terms to be cumulative. The fraudulent scheme which he carried on was not especially calculated to securing large sums of money, and was resorted to by the petitioner, who is of respectable antecedents, having come from Scotland and a little over 2 years ago, under stress of privation. I am assured that he will, if released, leave the country and go to Africa to join a brother there. I advise that he be allowed to serve out a 9 months' sentence upon one indictment and that as to the other he be pardoned.</p>	Commuted to 9 months.	Nov. 23
Rosetta Horton.....	Tennessee, middle.	Violation of the pension laws.	1 year and 5 days in Tennessee State prison.	Oct. 25, 1899	<p>This prisoner is a negro woman over 90 years of age. She was convicted of pension frauds. She is absolutely helpless from illness and debility, and sentiments of humanity require her to be relieved from further imprisonment. I advise that her term of imprisonment be commuted so as to expire November 30.</p> <p>(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)</p>	Commuted to expire Nov. 30, 1899.	Nov. 23
Andrew B. Morton	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted)			Pardon granted.	Dec. 4
William H. Dawson, Thomas Hoffman, alias James Halli- han.	do do	do do	do do		(The Secretary of the Navy recommended that pardon be granted on condition that applicant, within 20 days of its date, present himself for enlistment, and, if accepted, enlist in the Navy.)	do do Pardoned on conditions indicated by Secretary of the Navy.	Dec. 5 Dec. 7
Jacob Longenbaugh	Ohio, southern	Making and presenting fraudulent pension claims.	5 years in Ohio penitentiary.	June 13, 1892	Petitioner served out his sentence 4 years ago. His neighbors certify to his good conduct since. I advise a pardon in order to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Dec. 9

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
John M. Collins.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny	2 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth. (Not convicted)	Nov. 27, 1898	Petitioner served out his sentence a year and a half ago. His neighbors certify to his good conduct since. I advise a pardon in order to restore civil rights. The Secretary of the Navy recommended that pardon be granted on condition that applicant, within 20 days of its date, present himself for enlistment, and, if accepted, enlist in the Navy.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	1899. Dec. 7
William Fleming.....	United States Navy.	Desertion			The petitioner was a clerk in a national bank. He was convicted and sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for making a false report of the condition of the bank to the Comptroller of the Currency. It is conceded that he made up the report wherein he concealed certain facts which would show misconduct on the part of the officers of the bank under the direction of those officers. Indictments against the main officers of the bank have failed to result in their conviction, because of technical defects in the indictment or other causes not consequent upon the trial of the merits of the case, so that the main offenders have escaped their just punishment. The petitioner was unquestionably guilty, and deserves to be punished accordingly. The fact that others more guilty than he have escaped is no reason why he should escape also, but it seems to me that the degree of guilt in his case is not as great as in the case of those who violated the laws for their own gain. In view of all the facts, I recommend that the sentence be commuted to 3 years' imprisonment.	Pardon granted on conditions indicated by Secretary of the Navy.	Dec. 12
Chas. Kunsemiller, jr.	Colorado.....	Making false report to Comptroller of the Currency.	5 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Dec. 22, 1897		Sentence commuted to 3 years' imprisonment.	Dec. 18

John S. Hosball	Maryland	Forging postal money orders.	1 year in Baltimore city jail.	Apr. 7, 1889	ment, with all allowance for good conduct. The petitioner was a student in the College of Physicians and Surgeons, at Baltimore, having access to the mail brought to that institution. He abstracted letters containing money orders, and by means of forgery obtained the money on them. For this offense he was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for 1 year. Prior to this offense he was a young man of good reputation—sober, industrious, and of good morals. The faculty of the college unite in asking that clemency be exercised in his behalf upon the ground that while he deserved punishment, yet the punishment already inflicted is sufficient, and that clemency shown him now will be an inducement and inspiration to his leading an honest and useful life in the future. Yielding to these views of the case, and in the hope that this result will follow from the degree of mercy shown to him, I recommend that his sentence be commuted to expire Dec. 24. He will have served then all but about 24 months of his term of sentence.	Dec. 16
					Sentence commuted to expire Dec. 24, 1890.	Dec. 16
William Peters, alias William Stewart.	United States Navy.	Desertion	(Not convicted)		(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.	Dec. 19
James H. Bacon	Utah	Violation of the banking laws.	7 years in Utah penitentiary.	Dec. 17, 1887	The petitioner was convicted of making a false report to the Comptroller of the Currency of the condition of the American National Bank, of Salt Lake City, Utah, of which he was president, with intent to deceive the Comptroller, etc. These statements making up the statement of the condition of the bank for Dec. 28, 1883, under the head of "Assets," was included the sum of \$3,723.69 among "loans and discounts." This sum represented overdrafts, but the bank had taken and then held the notes of	Dec. 28
					do	Dec. 28

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
James H. Bacon.....	Utah.....	Violation of the banking laws.	7 years in Utah penitentiary.	Dec. 17, 1897	<p>the various persons whose accounts were overdrawn to the extent stated. These notes were of a peculiar form, furnished to the bank by the United States bank examiner or through the Comptroller of the Currency, and were such as were commonly used by national banks in the West, and were commonly known as overdraft notes. The indictment was not found until within a few days before the bar of the statute of limitations would have interposed. The bank lost nothing by the misstatement, if it was a misstatement, but went out of business in 1894, paying its creditors and stockholders in full. In order to convict, the evidence must have been sufficient to justify a finding that the action of the petitioner in returning the amount stated as "loans and discounts" instead of "overdrafts" was an intentional deception. It appears from the evidence on this point, which I have read, and from the statements of the present Comptroller of the Currency and of his predecessor, that the method observed by Bacon in this case was one commonly followed, well understood by the Department, and not in other instances grounds of official complaint. Mr. Roberts says that he does not believe Mr. Bacon should have been convicted and that the practice followed by him was followed quite generally in the Western countries. The present Comptroller says: "In my judgment not only is this man absolutely</p>	Pardon granted.	1899. Dec. 20

William Johnson, alias John Osterberg. Clark Hadley	United States Navy. Georgia, southern.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted).	innocent of any moral wrong, but he is, moreover, innocent of any technical offense against the banking laws of the United States. He further says that the custom is well-nigh universal among bankers of this country to make report in the same manner as that followed in this instance by Mr. Bacon. Under the circumstances, notwithstanding the verdict of the jury, I am convinced to say that the evidence adduced to justify the finding of "guilty," in this case, "There is a total failure to establish any facts which to any reasonable mind would create the belief that the report as made was intended to deceive. It would be most unjust to visit upon the petitioner the severe penalty denounced by the statute upon offenders against the national banking laws for a mere failure to follow with strict legal accuracy the debatable requirements of the department of the currency in a purely technical detail such as this was. I advise that the petitioner be granted a pardon (Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)do.....	Dec. 21
		Breaking and entering a post-office.	3 years in North Carolina penitentiary, fine of \$1 and costs.	Mar. 5, 1899	This prisoner is undergoing a 3 years' term of imprisonment, of which he has served 1 year and 9 months. He has pulmonary consumption, involving both lungs. The physician certifies that the confinement will hasten his death. On this ground I recommend that he be pardoned.do.....	Dec. 21
Ambrose Beezley	Arkansas, eastern.	Illicit distilling....	1 year and 1 day in United States penitentiary, fine of \$100 and costs.	Oct. 17, 1899	Petitioner was sentenced to 1 year's imprisonment for illicit distilling. The trial judge now recommends that sentence be commuted to 6 months' imprisonment, and I so advise.	Sentence commuted to 6 months' imprisonment.	Dec. 22
John B. Parkins	Virginia, eastern ..	Violation of the postal laws.	1 year in Ohio penitentiary.	July 8, 1899	Because the trial judge urgently advises it, I recommend that sentence in this case be commuted to 6 months' imprisonment.do.....	Dec. 22

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
John J. Kelly.....	New York, southern.	Embezzling letters	18 months in Kings County penitentiary.	Mar. 19, 1894	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	1899. Dec. 22
William Farris.....	Arkansas, western	Robbery.....	20 years in Detroit House of Correction.	Nov. 30, 1894	Petitioner was sentenced to 20 years' imprisonment for robbery committed in Indian Territory. In consideration of his youth and respectable connections, and in the hope that the leniency now shown him will lead to his reformation and good conduct in the future, I advise that sentence be commuted to 10 years' imprisonment, with all lawful allowances for good behavior.	Sentence commuted to 10 years' imprisonment.	Dec. 22
Bart Curtis.....	Colorado.....	Robbing the mails.	Imprisonment for life in the Detroit House of Correction.	Jan. 30, 1892	Petitioner was sentenced to imprisonment for life for train robbing. His crime was a most serious one and deserving of severe punishment. At the time of its commission he was a young man, about 21 years of age. I think the ends of justice will be served by a somewhat less severe punishment, but it is necessary to mark this species of offense with adequate severity. I advise that sentence be commuted to 20 years' imprisonment, with all lawful allowances for good behavior.	Sentence commuted to 20 years' imprisonment.	Dec. 22
Harry Warner alias Robert A. Williams.	Indiana.....	Violation of the postal laws.	4 years in Ohio penitentiary, fine of \$100 and costs.	June 1, 1898	This prisoner is a youth under 20 years of age. He is suffering from permanent dementia. There seems to be no good object attainable by longer detaining him as an insane prisoner, and I advise that on account of his insanity he be pardoned, unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.	Pardon granted.	Dec. 22
Patrick Kane, alias Patrick McAnnulty.	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted.)			do.....	Dec. 28
Charles B. Herbert...	District of Columbia.	Petty larceny.....	240 days in United States jail District of Columbia.	Sept. 13, 1898	Prisoner is very old man, nearly 90 years of age. He is undergoing a sentence of imprisonment	Pardon granted.	Dec. 30

Frank Smith	do	do	6 months in United States jail, District of Columbia.	Oct. 17, 1899	<p>for petty larceny. It appears from the certificate of the prison physician that his further detention will cause his death. I therefore advise that he be pardoned.</p> <p>This man was sentenced for 6 months' imprisonment for the crime of embezzling the goods of his employer. Solely for his own sake I would not be willing to release him until he has served out his term; but his wife is in childbed, and destitute besides. For humanity's sake I am willing to advise that he be pardoned in order that he may go to her assistance. He has served about half his term.</p> <p>(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)</p>	Pardon granted.	1900. Jan. 4
Adam Denzler	United States Navy.	Desertion	(Not convicted)	<p>The petitioner was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for violation of national banking laws. His term will expire July 8, 1900. Former applications for clemency, urged with much earnestness by very numerous and influential citizens of his State and neighborhood, have been refused because it was deemed essential that the criminal provisions of the national banking laws should be sternly enforced against bank officers who had intentionally violated them, especially where loss to stockholders and depositors had ensued. In this case the prisoner is advanced in years, enfeebled in mind and body, and the time he will have spent in prison will be much more wearisome and punitive than in the case of a younger and stronger man. I think that it will be adequate to require 8 full years' imprisonment. That period will expire in March, 1900. I recommend that his sentence be commuted accordingly, thus remitting 4 months of the time he would otherwise be required to serve.</p>	Pardon granted.	Jan. 6
Enoch Walter Agnew	Florida, southern.	Misapplying funds of a national bank.	5 years in Ohio penitentiary.	Jan. 14, 1896	<p>Sentence commuted to expire Mar. 1, 1900.</p>	Sentence commuted to expire Mar. 1, 1900.	Jan. 8

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Eugene J. Lindsay . . .	Pennsylvania, eastern.	Conspiring to utter as true certificates of citizenship.	2 years in Eastern Penitentiary, fine of \$2,000 and costs.	Mar. 17, 1898	Petitioner's term of imprisonment will expire Jan. 17, 1900. He is also subject to a fine of \$2,000 and costs. Inasmuch as he is entirely without means to pay the fine or any part thereof, it would seem to be unnecessarily severe to keep him imprisoned in default of payment, and I advise that the fine and costs be remitted.	Fine and costs remitted.	1900. Jan. 8
Charles S. Bias	Indian Territory, southern.	Murder	To be hanged Jan. 19, 1900.	Nov. 17, 1899	I have given this application careful consideration, reading and weighing all the papers, recommendations and affidavits, including the bill of exceptions containing the proceedings and evidence on the trial, and I am satisfied that the sentence should be commuted to imprisonment for life. The murder was, in certain respects, a brutal one, but, in my opinion it was not shown to be either deliberate or premeditated. It was the immediate outcome of a "fast fight," following a quarrel between two young and ignorant negroes, living in a rough neighborhood in the Indian Territory. The prisoner was about 17 years old at the time of the homicide. The youth of the prisoner, his ignorance, the circumstances surrounding the homicide, and the manner in which the jury reached their verdict, as shown by the affidavit of the jurors filed with the application, make this a proper case for clemency. The trial judge and the district attorney make no recommendation. Several United States officers of the district where the case was tried and	Sentence commuted to imprisonment for life.	Jan. 16

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
James Malone	District of Columbia.	Default and forfeiture of bail bond.	Dismissed. (Judgment on bail bond.)	The object of this application is to secure the release of a judge arrested on a forfeited recognizance entered in the District of Columbia in 1884 in order to clear title. It appears that a nolle proes was entered in the case, and the judgment being very old it is probable that it was originally disposed of to the satisfaction of the Government. I advise that it be remitted.	Forfeiture remitted.	1900. Jan. 22
David Hood	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of a horse.	5 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Dec. 23, 1897	Petitioner pleaded guilty to the crime of stealing a horse in Indian Territory. Under the law of that Territory the least penalty for that offense is 5 years' imprisonment. The trial judge and district attorney recommended that his sentence be commuted to 2 years' actual imprisonment. I would so advise were it not that the applicant has on three occasions been guilty of infractions of the prison rules. Such ill conduct can not be rewarded with clemency. I advise that his term be commuted to 2 years and 3 months' actual imprisonment.	Sentence commuted to 2 years and 3 months.	Jan. 29
George Viles	Idaho	Selling intoxicating liquor to Indians.	20 months in Idaho penitentiary; fine of \$240 and costs.	May 12, 1899	Applicant is an Indian who was convicted of selling liquor to Indians. He has now been imprisoned about 9 months. The district attorney, the trial judge, and the Indian agent all report that in their opinion he has now suffered sufficient punishment, and advise his pardon. I accordingly recommend it.	Pardon granted.	Jan. 29
Ettie Johnson	Iowa, southern	Retailing liquor without having paid the special tax.	20 months in Iowa penitentiary at Anamosa and fine of \$250.	Dec. 10, 1898 (Suspended.)	Petitioner is a married woman and is undergoing a sentence of imprisonment for violating the internal-revenue laws. She is in a condition of pregnancy anddo	Jan. 29

John D. Host	Illinois, northern	Embezzling let- ters from a post- office.	2½ years in Detroit House of Correc- tion.	Apr. 20, 1898	approaching the period of parti- tion. I advise a pardon. It is now made satisfactorily to appear that petitioner has pulmo- nary tuberculosis, and, in the opinion of reputable physicians, can live only 3 or 4 months. Solely on this ground I advise his pardon. On the recommendation of the trial judge and district attorney, I ad- vise that sentence in this case be commuted to 9 months' impris- onment, with all allowances for good conduct.	Jan. 29 do
J. H. Shull	Arkansas, eastern	Illicit distilling	1 year and 1 day in United States pen- itentiary at Fort Leavenworth; fine of \$50 and costs.	Oct. 20, 1899	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Jan. 29 Sentence com- muted to 9 months' im- prisonment.
William W. Roberts	New York, north- ern	Embezzling money- order funds.	2 years in Erie County Peniten- tiary and fine of \$1,500.	Sept. 19, 1898	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Jan. 29
Solomon Alston	District of Colum- bia	Conducting un- licensed bar.	Fine of \$400, and in default 120 days in the workhouse, District of Colum- bia.	Dec. 4, 1898	Pardon granted	Jan. 30
G. R. Waggoner, jr.	Arkansas, eastern	Illicit distilling	1 year and 1 day in United States pen- itentiary at Fort Leavenworth; fine of \$50 and costs.	Oct. 30, 1899	This is a case of illicit distilling. The district attorney advises that sentence be commuted to 9 months' imprisonment, and I so recommend.	Feb. 1 Sentence com- muted to 9 months' im- prisonment.
Charles Bell and El- more Rippey	Tennessee, middle	do	1 year in Tennessee State prison and fine of \$100.	Oct. 20, 1899	This is a case of illicit distilling of the usual kind. The trial judge advises that the sentence of the petitioners be commuted from a year to 6 months. I so advise.	Feb. 1 Sentence com- muted to 6 months' im- prisonment.
Z. M. Wimberly	North Carolina, eastern	do	18 months in North Carolina peniten- tiary and fine of \$200.	Dec. 16, 1898	Petitioner's term of imprisonment will expire March 5, 1900. I see no reason to intervene to shorten it. In order that he may be then discharged, I advise that the fine imposed be remitted, as petitioner is without means to pay it.	Feb. 1 Fine remitted

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Robinson Crusoe.....	Oklahoma.....	Disposing of spirituous liquors to Indians.	1 year and 1 day in United States penitentiary; fine of \$100 and costs.	May 4, 1899	Petitioner is undergoing a sentence of a year imprisonment for selling liquor to Indians. His term will expire March 7, 1900. He is also subject to a fine of \$100, which he is entirely unable to pay. I advise that the fine be remitted.	Fine remitted...	1900. Feb. 1
Elias M. Hopper.....	Arkansas, western.	Larceny.....	1 year in United States jail at Fort Smith, Ark.	Nov. 10, 1893	Petitioner served out his sentence in 1894. His petition is to be pardoned to restore citizenship. I advise that it be granted.	Pardon granted to restore citizenship.	Feb. 1
W. Clinton Silvey....	Indian Territory, northern.	Selling liquor to an Indian.	13 months in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth; fine of \$25 and costs.	Oct. 25, 1899	Prisoner was sentenced to 13 months imprisonment for selling intoxicating liquor to an Indian. It appears that the beverage was known as "mist," and was put upon the market as a nonintoxicant, and that the petitioner dealt in it under the belief that it was not unlawful. I advise that sentence be commuted to 4 months imprisonment.	Sentence commuted to 4 months' imprisonment.	Feb. 1
Frederick Miller.....	New York, northern.	Opening and embezzling letters.	3 years in Erie County Penitentiary and fine of \$50.	Mar. 18, 1887	Petitioner for pardon to restore civil rights. A proper case appears, and I advise that pardon be granted.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Feb. 1
Frank Rossi.....	New Jersey.....	Having in possession and passing counterfeit money.	5 years in New Jersey State prison and fine of \$1,000.	Nov. 15, 1897	Petitioner has served nearly 2 years and 3 months of a 5-years' term for passing counterfeit money. It is represented by the chaplain of the prison and the clerk of the United States district court at Trenton that his wife is a cripple, and with her children is absolutely destitute, one of the children having already died from privation and exposure. The district attorney and trial judge express the opinion that it would be wise to pardon the prisoner, considering the pitiable condition of the family. I think so, too.	Pardon granted.	Feb. 1

Henry Winans	Indian Territory, southern.	Introducing and selling intoxicat- ing liquors in In- dian Territory.	2 years in United States at Fort Leavenworth, and fine of \$50.	Dec. 20, 1886	Petitioner has been imprisoned since Dec. 28, 1898, on conviction of the crime of selling intoxicants to Indians. His wife has during his confinement become insane, and his family of small children is left destitute. On these grounds I advise a Indian Terri- tory where under the minimum sentence allowed by law the pris- oner was committed for a term of 5 years. Believing such a term to be excessive under the circum- stances, I advise that sentence be commuted to 2 years and 6 months' actual imprisonment.do.....	Feb. 1
Andrew Staler	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny	5 years and 1 month in Ohio peniten- tiary.	Aug. 3, 1897	This is a case from Indian Terri- tory where under the minimum sentence allowed by law the pris- oner was committed for a term of 5 years. Believing such a term to be excessive under the circum- stances, I advise that sentence be commuted to 2 years and 6 months' actual imprisonment.	Sentence com- muted to 2 years and 6 months' actual imprisonment.	Feb. 1
William E. Cotter	Delaware	Defrauding a na- tional bank.	18 months in New Jersey State pris- on; fine of \$5,000 and costs.	Apr. 13, 1899	The petitioner was convicted of defrauding a national bank and sentenced to 18 months' imprison- ment on Apr. 13, 1899. Under date of Oct. 14, 1899, the prison physician certifies that the prisoner is the subject of incur- able organic disease of the heart, and also of Bright's disease of the kidneys. On Dec. 9, 1899, the dis- trict attorney advised me that the physician reported that after another rigid examination he was of the opinion that the prisoner's life would be endangered and threatened by further prison con- finement, in consequence of which the judge recommended that he be released. On Nov. 28, 1899, 2 other physicians of eminence re- ported that he has organic disease of the heart and Bright's disease in an advanced stage; that both are dangerous to life, the latter of imminent danger, and that the conditions and treat- ment of the patient tend to pro- duce early fatal results. Not be- lieving entirely satisfied as to the immediate danger of life, I held the papers, and on Jan. 27 re- quested a further report from the prison physician, which I have under date of Jan. 31. He says that since the last examination	Pardon granted.	Feb. 3

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
William E. Cotter.....	Delaware.....	Defrauding a national bank.	18 months in New Jersey State prison, fine of \$5,000 and costs.	Apr. 13, 1899	and report he finds a marked and material change for the worse in the prisoner's condition; that his case is one of steady degeneration, and that because of the existing impaired functions of the vital organs of the heart and kidneys he would not be surprised to hear of his death at any moment. Under the circumstances I think a sufficient case of ill health arises to require, in the exercise of the humane spirit in which the pardon laws are enforced, the release of the prisoner, and I therefore advise a pardon. The trial judge and district attorney concur in this recommendation. I advise that the petitioner be pardoned on condition that he pay the costs of his prosecution.	Pardon granted.	1900. Feb. 3
Loie Coleman.....	Indian Territory, central.	Introducing intoxicating liquors in Indian Territory.	(Sentence suspended.)	Petitioner is an Indian, 19 years old. He was sentenced for five years (the minimum for stealing a horse in Indian Territory). I recommend that the sentence be commuted to 2 years.	Pardoned upon payment of costs of prosecution. Sentence commuted to 2 years.	Feb. 15
Ernest Spibuck.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny.....	5 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Feb. 7, 1899	Petitioner is serving a 6 months sentence for embezzling \$25 while an employee of the internal revenue department. It appears that there is much doubt whether the embezzlement was intentional there being much reason to believe that it was merely spent while prisoner was intoxicated. Under the circumstances I think 3 months' imprisonment will be adequate punishment and I advise that sentence be commuted to that period.	Sentence commuted to 3 months.	Feb. 15
Lew W. Chase.....	Missouri, eastern.	Embezzlement (secs 5490 and 5497 R. S.).	6 months in county jail at New London, Mo., and fine of \$35.	Dec. 5, 1899			Feb. 15

Charles Pettijohn.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of a horse.	5 years in United States peniten- tiary at Fort Leav- enworth.	June 2, 1898	Sentence was 5 years for stealing a horse. Under the law that is in force in Indian Territory 5 years is the least sentence that can be imposed for this crime. The trial judge and district attorney advise that sentence be commuted to 2 years. I concur.	Sentence com- muted to 2 years.	Feb. 15
H. Thomas Dollar.....	Arkansas, western	Illicit distilling	2 years in United States peniten- tiary, and fine of \$500.	May 11, 1899	Prisoner received a sentence of 2 years imprisonment for illicit dis- tilling. The district attorney says this unusual severity was supposed to be necessary because of an impression that prisoner was "a very bad man." Fiction has been made since found to have been wrong, advise that the sentence be commuted to 1 year's imprisonment.	Sentence com- muted to 1 year's impris- onment.	Feb. 15
Louis Silkworth.....	Washington	Counterfeiting	4 years in United States peniten- tiary at McNeil Is- land; fine of \$100, and costs.	Feb. 17, 1899	Prisoner was sentenced to 4 years' imprisonment for passing coun- terfeit silver dollars. It does not appear that he had ever been en- gaged in that business before, nor that he belonged to any gang of counterfeiters. I think his sen- tence can justly be commuted to 2 years.	Sentence com- muted to 2 years.	Feb. 15
Thomas Dickerson....	Indian Territory, northern.	Selling intoxicat- ing liquors in the Indian coun- try.	18 months in United States peniten- tiary; fine of \$100 and costs.	Nov. 24, 1899	Petitioner was sentenced to 18 months' imprisonment for selling liquor in Indian Territory. The district attorney and trial judge recommend that sentence be com- muted to 6 months, and I so advise.	Sentence com- muted to 6 months.	Feb. 15
Edward C. Sizemore.	Alabama, northern	Illicit distilling	15 months in Ohio penitentiary; fine of \$100 and costs.	Oct. 24, 1899	Petitioner was sentenced to 15 months' imprisonment for illicit distilling. He is said to be only a boy 16 years old. Others im- licated in the same transactions received less sentences, without any apparent reason. I advise that sentence be commuted to 6 months' imprisonment with al- lowances for good conduct.	Sentence com- muted to 6 months' im- prisonment, with allow- ance for good conduct.	Mar. 5
Robert Lilly.....	West Virginia.....	do.....	6 months in Mercer County jail and \$200 fine.	Nov. 22, 1899	It appears that the prisoner is ill with consumption, and his con- finement is hastening his death. I advise a pardon for that rea- son.	Pardon granted.	Mar. 5

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
William Walter Karr.	Tennessee, middle.	Illicit distilling....	1 year in Tennessee State prison, and fine of \$100.	Oct. 20, 1899	Petitioner pleaded guilty to illicit distilling, and was sentenced to a year's imprisonment. From facts stated by the trial judge, it appears that the prisoner, in some degree at least, is suffering the penalty which might have been imposed upon his father, who probably initiated the illicit still. I recommend that sentence be commuted to 6 months' imprisonment, with all allowances for good behavior.	Sentence commuted to 8 months, with allowance for good behavior.	1900. Mar. 9
John Thompson Carr.	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted)	-----	Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)	Pardon granted.	Mar. 12
Bowman H. McCalla.do.....	Violation of Articles for the Government of the Navy.	"To be suspended from rank and duty for a period of 3 years, and to retain his present list of command and while so suspended."	May 15, 1900.	Full and unconditional pardon recommended by the Secretary of the Navy.do.....	Mar. 12
George W. Hallford..	Alabama, middle..	Perjury.....	2 years and 1 day in Ohio penitentiary.	June 15, 1897	Petitioner having served out his sentence, asks a pardon in order to restore civil rights. His good conduct since his release being properly certified, I advise that pardon be granted.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Mar. 13
Ira Siler	Indian Territory, southern.	Burglary	5 years in Reform School, District of Columbia.	Apr. 16, 1897	Petitioner has been nearly 3 years in the Reform School of the District of Columbia. He is now ill with consumption. The board of trustees recommend that he be released, so that he may return to his home in a more favorable climate. I concur.	Pardon granted.	Mar. 13

William Steele	Pennsylvania, eastern.	Aiding and abetting an officer of a national bank in misapplying the funds thereof.	6 years and 6 months in Eastern Penitentiary at Philadelphia, and costs.	Dec. 20, 1898	<p>The petitioner was convicted of aiding and abetting the president of a national bank in misapplying funds thereof, and was sentenced to imprisonment for 6 years and 6 months, of which term he has now served 15 months.</p> <p>From the statements of the trial judge and the district attorney it appears that the petitioner did not profit at all by the misappropriation of funds; that he had reason to believe that the president of the bank, whom he aided and abetted, was exceedingly wealthy and able to return the money loaned to him in contravention of law, and that the acts of the prisoner were committed in the belief that the bank would lose nothing, and that to re-use on his part would cost him his place. The district attorney strongly recommends a pardon, and the trial judge, while he does not directly recommend clemency, evidently seems to think that it is a proper case for great mitigation of punishment. The offenses of the petitioner were serious and deserve serious punishment, but they were not as aggravated as those instances where bank officers make use of their position to misappropriate the bank's funds for their own purposes.</p> <p>The application for pardon in this case is signed by a large number of the most prominent citizens of Philadelphia. The president of the bank who was the chief offender, died before the prosecutions were begun.</p> <p>The facts seem to justify a material reduction of the term of imprisonment, and I recommend that the sentence be commuted to 18 months' actual imprisonment, which will entitle the petitioner to be released June 20, 1900.</p>	Sentence commuted to 18 months' actual imprisonment.	Mar. 13
----------------------	---------------------------	---	--	---------------	---	--	---------

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
U. S. King	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny	5 years in the Reformatory School at Boonville, Mo.	June 22, 1898	The petitioner has been for nearly two years in the Reform School at Boonville, Mo. His offense was larceny. He is now 14 years of age and is said to have been fully reformed. I consider it wise to afford him encouragement in his good course, and advise that he be pardoned.	Pardon granted.	1900. Mar. 13
Charles Frush	Idaho	Mail robbery	Imprisonment for life.	May 20, 1898	The petitioner pleaded guilty to robbing the United States mail and was sentenced to imprisonment for life on May 20, 1898. This was the only sentence which by law could be pronounced by the court. Prior to his sentence he made a full voluntary confession of the details of the crime, and voluntarily appeared as a witness against the chief criminal, whose name is Daniel Hurley, whereby the conviction of Hurley was secured. The petitioner is a young man about 23 years of age, and prior to this offense had for some time been a prisoner of criminals in the State Prison at Clinton, Mo. The district attorney, the trial judge, and the representative of the Post-Office Department recommend that his sentence be commuted. The district attorney and trial judge say for 4 years, and the Post-Office Department say for 6 years. Under the circumstances, I think it would be just to commute his sentence to 5 years' imprisonment with all allowances for good behavior, and I so recommend.	Commuted to 5 years' imprisonment.	Mar. 13
Tyler Doyle	Indian Territory, southern.	Larceny of a horse.	5 years in United States penitentiary.	Oct. " 1897	Petitioner was convicted of larceny of a horse in Indian Territory where the minimum penalty for that offense is 5 years' im-	Pardon granted.	Mar. 15

Charles A. Pachin.....	New York, southern.	Counterfeiting.....	6 years in Monroe County penitentiary and fine of \$1.	May 29, 1898do.....	Mar. 16do.....	
Stephen Grayson.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny.....	1 year in Muscogee jail.do.....do.....	Mar. 20	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	
Fate Whitesides.....	Texas, eastern.....	Introducing and selling liquors in Indian Territory.	2 years in Detroit House of Correction and fine of \$100.	May 11, 1892do.....	Mar. 20do.....	
G. W. Banther.....	Georgia, northern.	Illicit distilling.....	6 months in Fulton County jail and fine of \$100.	Oct. 13, 1899do.....	Mar. 20	Pardon granted.	
Lewis V. Williams....	District of Columbia.	False pretenses.....	(Judgment on bail bond.)do.....do.....	Apr. 6	Forfeiture remitted upon payment of costs.	
M. M. Crane.....	Georgia, northern.	Illicit distilling.....	8 months in Fulton County jail; fine of \$100 and costs.	Nov. 9, 1899do.....	Apr. 6	Pardon granted.	

prisonment. He is a youth of about 18 years of age and has served nearly 24 years on his term. I think the punishment he has endured is adequate, and recommend a pardon.

This application is made by the prison officials without the request of the prisoner. It is based upon the prisoner's physical condition, he being very ill with consumption and likely to die soon. I advise that he be pardoned.

Grayson served out his sentence several years ago. Pardon is requested to restore civil rights in order to permit him to testify for the Government. I advise a pardon.

Whitesides served out his sentence years ago. Pardon is asked to restore civil rights in order to permit him to testify as a witness for the Government. I advise a pardon.

Prisoner was sentenced to 6 months' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$100 for illicit distilling. He has served all but one month of his term. It now appears that his family, consisting of a wife and 7 children, the oldest not over 15 years of age, are in most pitiable destitution and distress, without clothes, food, or assured shelter. I recommend that the prisoner be pardoned in order that he may be free to provide for their support.

I recommend that the forfeiture of the bail bond within referred to be remitted upon condition that the costs are paid.

This is an illicit distilling case from Georgia. Like very many of the cases of that kind, it is one where the prisoner is desperately poor and has a large dependent family in circumstances of destitution. The only relief for them is to allow the head of the family to return and support them. This prisoner has been in jail since

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
M. M. Crane.....	Georgia, northern.	Illicit distilling....	8 months in Fulton County jail; fine of \$100 and costs.	Nov. 9, 1899	Nov. 9, 1899, and I think it will be wise and merciful to permit him now to get on in order that he may engage in the work of the year, especially as for pardon.	Pardon granted.	1900. Apr. 6
Walter P. Hodges....	Oklahoma	Embezzling a letter from the post-office.	1 year and 1 day in the United States penitentiary and costs.	Feb. 12, 1900	Petitioner is a lad of 18 years and the son of a clergyman. He was sentenced to a year and a day (the minimum) for embezzling a letter from the mail. In view of the good character of his father and the boy's tender years, I advise that sentence be commuted to 20 days' imprisonment in the local jail.	Sentence commuted to 20 days' imprisonment.	Apr. 6
Ed Smith	Missouri, western.	Passing \$5 Confederate bill.	2 years in Missouri penitentiary.	Nov. 23, 1899	In conformity with the advice of the trial judge, I recommend that sentence in this case be commuted from 2 years to 1 full year of imprisonment.	Sentence commuted to 1 year's imprisonment.	Apr. 6
Herbert W. Stinson..	Minnesota	Violation of the postal laws (sec. 5467, R. S.).	18 months in Minnesota State prison.	May 20, 1899	The petitioner was sentenced to 18 months' imprisonment for violation of the postal laws. The trial judge and district attorney recommend that sentence be now commuted to 1 year's imprisonment. I so advise, but without any good-time allowance.do	Apr. 6
Irvine Baker	Kentucky	Retailing liquor without having paid the special tax.	10 months in Jefferson County jail and fine of \$600.	Oct. 5 and 16, 1899.	Petitioner was sentenced to 4 months' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$100 upon one indictment, and to 6 months' imprisonment, and to pay a fine of \$500 on another indictment. The sentences were intended to run concurrently, but it is not so expressed on the record. I advise that sentences be so commuted as to run together.	Sentences commuted to run together.	Apr. 6
John H. Poe.....	Indian Territory, southern.	Introducing liquor into the Indian country.	2 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth and to pay a fine of \$50.	Dec. 20, 1898	Petitioner was sentenced to 2 years' imprisonment for introducing liquors in Indian Territory. The trial judge now recommends that sentence be commuted to 18 months. I concur.	Sentence commuted to 18 months' imprisonment.	Apr. 6

{ John W. McDaniel... Michael Alnor Sherry, alias Robert Day.	Indian Territory, northern.do.....	5 years in United States peniten- tiary; fine of \$50 and costs.	Oct. 20, 1898	Petitioner was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for introducing liquors into Indian Territory. I think this an excessive punishment and agree with the district attorney in recommending that sentence be reduced to 2½ years imprisonment. (Full and unconditional pardon recommended by the Secretary of the Navy.)	Sentence com- muted to 2½ years' impris- onment.	Apr. 6
Albert Alnor Sherry, alias Robert Day.	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted)	Pardon granted.	Apr. 16
Michael Walsh Diedrich Spies	do South Carolina	do Illicit distilling and retailing spiruous liq- uors without having paid the special tax.	do 12 months in Orange- burg County Jail and fine of \$20.	Dec. 12, 1899	In view of the recommendation of the trial judge based upon the destitute and distressed condition of the prisoner's family, I am willing that he shall now be released in order that he may go to the relief of his suffering wife and destitute children.	do do	Apr. 16 Apr. 17
George Brown.	District of Colum- bia.	Housebreaking.....	3 years in West Vir- ginia penitentiary.	Feb. 23, 1899	Petitioner was convicted of house- breaking and sentenced to 3 years' imprisonment. From facts dis- covered since the trial the dis- trict attorney is satisfied that pe- titioner was not concerned in the housebreaking, but that he was guilty of receiving the goods. Knowing them to have been stolen. On this account I advise that sen- tence be commuted to 1 year's im- prisonment.	Sentence com- muted to 1 year's impris- onment.	Apr. 23
Albert Vaughn.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of cattle.....	5 years in United States peniten- tiary.	June 29, 1899	Petitioner, a youth 20 years of age, was sentenced to 5 years' im- prisonment for larceny of cattle in Indian Territory. No reason appears why such a long sentence should have been imposed, and the trial judge now recommends that the term be decreased to 1 year. I advise that sentence be commuted to 1 year's imprison- ment, with usual allowance for good behavior.	Commuted to 1 year's impris- onment, with allowance for good behavior.	Apr. 23
J. M. Colley.....	Alabama, middle..	Removing and concealing spir- its contrary to law.	2 years in Tennessee State prison; fine of \$100 and costs.	May 29, 1899	Petitioner has been in prison nearly a year for violating the internal revenue law. His health is vitally impaired and his physical condi- tion so pitiable that further im- prisonment will be unnecessarily cruel. I advise a pardon.	Pardon granted.	Apr. 23

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Robert A. Garrett.....	Missouri, western.	Counterfeiting.....	1 year and 1 day in Missouri penitentiary; fine of \$100 and costs.	May 27, 1891	In order to restore civil rights I advise a pardon in this case.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	1900. Apr. 23
G. M. Cuppy	Iowa, southern	Violation of the pension laws (sec. 5438 R. S.).	Fine of \$1,000	Sept. 27, 1885	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	do	Apr. 23
E. B. Adams	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny	2 years in United States penitentiary.	May 22, 1896	do	do	Apr. 23
Elzy Davis.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of a horse.	5 years in Ohio penitentiary.	May 19, 1897	This is a case of horse stealing in Indian Territory, where the minimum sentence is 5 years' imprisonment. As I have frequently advised in prior cases, this is ordinarily an excessive punishment, and appears to be so in this case. I advise that sentence be commuted to 3 full years' imprisonment.	Sentence commuted to 3 full years.	Apr. 23
Walker G. Hamner.....	Virginia, western	Embezzling bank funds.	7 years in Kings County penitentiary.	Mar. 16, 1895	Petitioner served out his sentence more than 2 years ago. Pardon is now asked for in order to restore civil rights. I recommend that it be granted.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Apr. 23
Joe Endsley	Arkansas, western	Illicit distilling.....	1 year and 1 day in United States penitentiary and fine of \$1,000.	Nov. 24, 1899	On the advice of the district attorney and trial judge I recommend that the sentence in this case be commuted to 8 months' imprisonment, with allowance for good conduct.	Sentence commuted to 8 months' imprisonment, with allowance for good behavior.	Apr. 30
Martin L. Davis	West Virginia	Conspiracy to defraud the United States.	2 years in West Virginia penitentiary.	June 5, 1896	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	Apr. 30
Henry Russell.....	Arkansas, western	Illicit distilling.....	1 year and 1 day in United States penitentiary.	Nov. 6, 1897	do	do	Apr. 30
J. H. Ward	Georgia, southern.	Violation of the postal laws.	1 year and 1 day in Tennessee State prison, and to pay the costs.	July 21, 1899	On Jan. 30 I reported against a pardon in this case. Petitioner has now served all but 2 months of his term. I find the destitute and suffering condition of his wife and small children has induced	Pardon granted	Apr. 30

Wm. H. Rowley.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Disposing of in- toxicating liq- uors in the In- dian country.	13 months in the United States pen- itentiary; fine of \$25 and costs.	Oct. 12, 1899	the trial judge to appeal again for clemency, and I think at this time the interests of justice will not be prejudiced by the release of the prisoner. I therefore ad- vise that he be pardoned. Petitioner was sentenced to 13 months' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$25 and costs for selling liquor in the Indian Ter- ritory. The district attorney and trial judge now advise that a commutation of the sentence to 6 months' imprisonment can properly be made. I therefore advise that sentence be com- muted to 6 full months' impris- onment, the fine and costs to be paid as a condition. (Full pardon recommended by the Secretary of War to restore rights of citizenship.)	Sentence com- muted to 6 full months' im- prisonment on condition that fine and costs be paid.	Apr. 30
Patrick Chaw'k.....	United States Army.	Desertion.....	Dishonorable dis- charge, forfeiture of pay and allow- ances, and impris- onment at hard labor for 1 year in the penitentiary at Fort Leaven- worth.	Aug. 28, 1899		Pardon granted to restore citi- zenship.	May 2
Joseph Wilkins.....	Pennsylvania, eastern.	Fraudulently re- moving labels, etc., from pack- ages containing oleomargarine.	6 months in Phila- delphia county jail; fine of \$1,500 and costs.	Mar. 17, 1898	Petitioner Wilkins was sentenced to 6 months' imprisonment, and to pay a fine of \$1,500 and costs. He has paid the fine and costs, and served out all but about 15 days of his term of imprisonment. Prior to entering upon service of his sentence he was confined 17 days in the jail pending an appeal, which was taken from the judge- ment of the court. By the law of Pennsylvania, where he is con- fined no allowance for good con- duct is made upon his sentence. Petitioner is now said to be ill. Under the circumstances, I am willing to allow him the benefit of the time he served pending the appeal, and I advise that his sen- tence be commuted to expire May 13, 1900.	Committed to expire May 13.	May 12

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Walter Stewart	Arkansas, eastern.	Robbing the mail.	5 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Oct. 20, 1896	These 4 prisoners in the Leavenworth penitentiary are represented by the prison physician and the warden to be suffering with tuberculosis, and in such a condition as to render their presence in the prison dangerous to the health of the other prisoners and the attendants. All 4 of them are fatally ill and have only a short time to live. Application is made for their pardon, not by them, but by the prison authorities, because they are a menace to the health of the others. I advise that they be pardoned.	Pardons granted.	1900.
Nero Henderson	Indian Territory, southern.	Larceny	10 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth, and fine of \$100.	Mar. 21, 1899			Mar. 14
Joshua Leflore	Indian Territory, central.	Manslaughter	2 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Sept. 23, 1899			
Webster Cunish	do	Larceny	4 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Mar. 17, 1899			
Melvin Tucker	Arkansas, western.	Arson	2 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	July 9, 1895	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	May 14
Eli Furr	do	Perjury	2 years in Detroit House of Correction.	Mar. 19, 1891	do	do	May 14
Frederick S. Burdick.	Oklahoma	Post-office robbery	2 years in United States penitentiary.	May 15, 1896	do	do	May 14
Herman G. Knickerbocker.	Illinois, northern.	Embezzling funds of a national bank.	5 years in Detroit House of Correction.	Apr. 29, 1898	Petitioner, while bookkeeper of a national bank, embezzled about \$5,000 of its funds and concealed it by falsifying the books. He received the minimum sentence (5 years) allowed by the statute. A distinction can properly be made between cases where the officers of a bank by violating the law wreck the institution and those of small defalcations by clerks. The trial judge advises me that he would have imposed a lighter sentence had the law permitted. I advise that sentence be commuted to 3 years' imprisonment, with allowances for good behavior.	Sentence commuted to 3 years' imprisonment, with allowance for good behavior.	May 14

Albert A. Kellogg	Oklahoma	Perjury	2 years in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth; 60 days in jail and fine of \$100.	Dec. 14, 1896	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	May 14
Mattie Beecher	Wyoming	Selling intoxicating liquor to Indians.	60 days in jail and fine of \$100.	May 15, 1900	Recommended at request of judge and district attorney that penalty of imprisonment be remitted on account of illness of prisoner. The petitioner was indicted, tried, and convicted of sending an obscene letter through the mail, and was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment and to pay a fine and the costs. Since his conviction it has been clearly demonstrated that he is entirely innocent of the charge; that the true culprit has been apprehended and convicted of writing the letter of which Broughton was convicted, and of writing and mailing other obscene letters, and has been himself sentenced to imprisonment for 5 years in the penitentiary. Both the district attorney and the trial judge have written a statement of facts which makes the conviction of Broughton appear to have been clearly erroneous, and he ought to be immediately pardoned and released. It is worthy of observation that the evidence of two experts in handwriting, both of whom examined the handwriting of the letter which had been intercepted, compared it with the handwriting of Broughton, and testified that, in their opinion, the same person wrote both. After Broughton had been convicted and committed to the penitentiary, the same person to whom the original letter had been addressed received other letters of a similar character in the same handwriting, showing beyond all doubt that they were written by the same person, and it was obvious that they could not have been written by Broughton.	Pardon granted.	May 18
William Broughton	Georgia, northern.	Violation of the postal laws.	5 years in Tennessee State prison; fine of \$500 and costs.	Mar. 14, 1900		do	May 19

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
William Broughton	Georgia, northern.	Violation of the postal laws.	5 years in Tennessee State prison; fine of \$500 and costs.	Mar. 14, 1900	ton, who at that time was in the penitentiary. Further investigation traced the authorship of these letters to one Mitchell, who, as before stated, was arrested, indicted, tried, and convicted of sending all of them. I advise an immediate pardon.	Pardon granted.	1900. May 19
William C. Sharman	Alabama, middle.	Illicit distilling....	18 months in Albany County Penitentiary; fine of \$100 and costs.	Dec. 3, 1896	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	May 24
William R. Hall	New York, southern.	Embezzling letters and stealing their contents.	2 years in Kings County Penitentiary.	Nov. 24, 1896	do.	do.	May 24
E. L. Cook	Missouri, western.	Forging indorsement on postal money orders.	2 years in Missouri penitentiary.	Sept. 20, 1899	In accordance with the advice of the district attorney and trial judge, I recommend a reduction of sentence in this case, but think it should be 1 year instead of 6 months, as they advise. I therefore recommend that sentence be commuted to 1 year imprisonment, with all allowances for good conduct.	Sentence commuted to one year's imprisonment, with allowance for good behavior.	May 24
Arthur J. Dean	United States Navy.	Desertion.....	(Not convicted)		(Full and unconditional pardon recommended by Secretary of the Navy.)	Pardon granted.	May 29
Jefferson Harrison	Alabama, northern	Robbing a mail carrier of registered packages and putting carrier's life in jeopardy.	Imprisonment for life in Anamosa penitentiary.	Apr. 3, 1893	Petitioner was convicted of robbing a mail carrier, and sentenced to imprisonment for life. He has now served 7 years. This crime is one deserving very severe punishment, but a life term, unless in cases of great aggravation, seems excessive. In this case there was intimidation by threats, but no actual violence or injury committed. His life in prison has been exemplary. I recommend that sentence be commuted to 10 years' imprisonment, with all allowances for good conduct.	Sentence commuted to 10 years' imprisonment, with allowance for good behavior.	May 31

James McCall	Georgia, southern.	Larceny of property of United States.	Imprisonment in house of reformation at Cheltenham, Md., until 21 years of age.	July 12, 1899	Petitioner, a boy of 16 years, is in the reform school in Maryland. His home is in Georgia. The superintendent and physician, of their own accord, suggest that he be pardoned on account of his serious illness of consumption, so that he may go home now while he is still able to do so. The case seems meritorious, and I advise a pardon.	Pardon granted.	June 4
Lee O. Bradford.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Disposing of intoxicating liquor in the Indian Territory.	1 year and 2 months in United States Penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth and to pay a fine of \$100 and costs.	Nov. 24, 1899	Apr. 18, 1900. I advise that the sentence of this petitioner be commuted to 6 months' imprisonment, on condition that he pay the fine and costs imposed.	Commuted to 6 months, on payment of fine and costs.	Apr. 23
Arretus Sullins	Georgia, northern.	Illicit distilling.	4 months in Fulton County jail.	Mar. 20, 1900	May 23, 1900. It appearing that petitioner is too poor to pay the fine and costs, which was made a condition of the commutation of his sentence to 6 months' imprisonment, I recommend that his sentence be commuted to 7 months' instead of 6, thus requiring him to serve 30 days in lieu of the payment of fine and costs of the pardon.	Commuted to 7 months, fine remitted.	June 4
Tom Pettit.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny	15 months in Missouri Reform School for Boys.	Mar. 16, 1900	I concur in the recommendations of the United States attorney and the trial judge that a pardon be granted, believing the petitioner has been sufficiently punished.	Pardon granted.	June 14
Alphonso J. Jennings	Indian Territory, southern.	Mail robbery	Imprisonment for life in Ohio penitentiary.	Feb. 17, 1899	I find on inquiry that this Indian boy is 15 or 16 years old, and was in jail 6 months awaiting trial. He is now in the last stages of consumption. The reform school, which is under State control, declines to keep him, and there is no place to send him except home. I recommend a pardon.	do	June 14
					Petitioner and four others were convicted or pleaded guilty of train robbery. Petitioner was sentenced to imprisonment for life, while the other four were given 5 years each. There appears to be no reason why petitioner should be punished more severely than the others. The trial judge and district attorney recommend commutation to 5 years. I so advise.	Sentence commuted to 5 years.	June 23

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
W. H. Harper	Georgia, northern.	Illicit distilling....	4 months in Fulton County jail and fine of \$100.	Mar. 13, 1900	Petitioner was sentenced to 4 months imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$100 for illicit distilling. On account of his poverty and the destitute condition of his wife and children, advise that the fine be remitted.	Fine remitted....	1900. June 23
Thomas H. Wright....	New York, northern.	Passing counterfeit money.	9 years in Albany County penitentiary.	May 15, 1876	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	June 23
Theodore Bruggermeyer.	Iowa, southern....	Carrying on business of retailing out dealer without having paid special tax.	18 months in Iowa penitentiary; fine of \$750 and costs.	Nov. 6, 1896	do	do	June 23
Thomas Foreman....	Indian Territory, northern.	Assault with intent to kill.	13 months in United States penitentiary.	Jan. 22, 1898	do	do	June 23
William L. Smith....	Illinois, northern.	Passing counterfeit money.	1 year in penitentiary at Joliet, Ill.; fine of \$1 and costs.	Oct. 20, 1890	do	do	June 23
James E. Cowan.....	Wyoming.....	Embezzlement....	1 year and 1 day in Laramie penitentiary and fine of \$143.53.	Nov. 9, 1891	do	do	June 23
M. G. Calhoun.....	Texas, northern.	Counterfeiting....	10 years in Detroit House of Correction.	Jan. term, 1891.	do	do	June 23
Thomas Taylor.....	Indian Territory, northern.	Assault with intent to kill.	13 months in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	June 22, 1898	do	do	June 23
W. J. Foust.....	Texas, northern.	Violation of the postal laws.	5 years in Ohio penitentiary and fine of \$400.	May 1, 1895	do	do	June 23
Calvin W. Sullivan...	Georgia, southern.	Altering a Treasury note.	1 year in Albany County penitentiary; fine of \$500 and costs.	Apr. 30, 1896	do	do	June 23
Erdy Hughes.....	Indian Territory, central.	Larceny.....	5 years in United States penitentiary.	Oct. 12, 1898	The prison physician and warden of their own motion recommended the pardon of this prisoner because he is ill with pulmonary consumption, has but a short time	Pardon granted.	June 23

Henry Clark.....	District of Colum- bia.	Housebreaking	14 years in West Vir- ginia penitentiary.	June 21, 1899	to live, and, because he can not be properly isolated, is liable to spread the infection among other patients in the prison hospital. I think these facts justify the release of the prisoner by means of a pardon.do.....	June 23
Arthur Landon	District of Colum- bia.	Murder	To be hanged July 8, 1900.	Apr. 30, 1900	The prisoner being in the last stages of consumption, I recommend that a pardon be granted as an act of humanity. The petitioner, a colored boy 19 years of age, was convicted of murder in the District of Columbia, and is under sentence of death. From the evidence produced at the trial, it appears that the affray in which the homicide was committed was a sudden one, taking place on the public street in the city of Washington on the evening of December 12, 1899. The man killed was colored also, but older and much larger and stronger than the prisoner. The deceased carried at the time he came up with the prisoner a large oak stick, about 3 feet in length, and a razor was found upon his person after his death. It does not appear that there was ever any quarrel or ill feeling between the parties. The evidence on the part of the Government goes to show that the deceased offered no provocation for the assault which the prisoner made upon him, but there is sufficient evidence in the case to make it entirely probable that the accused supposed, but perhaps without reasonable grounds for doing so, that he was about to be subjected to an assault at the hands of the deceased. The instrument which he used in his attack on the deceased was an ordinary pocketknife, indicating that his assault was not made with premeditation or preparation, an ordinary pocketknife not being considered ordinarily a deadly weapon, although	Sentence com- muted to im- prisonment for 20 years.	June 23

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Arthur Landon	District of Columbia.	Murder	To be hanged July 6, 1900.	Apr. 30, 1900	It may easily be, as it was in this case, used as such. Both the district attorney and the trial judge recommend a commutation of sentence, and I concur in their recommendation. The facts of the case are such as would have justified a finding of guilty of murder of the second degree in those jurisdictions where murder is graded. The ordinary punishment for murder of the second degree is imprisonment for 20 years, and I recommend that sentence be commuted to that extent.	Sentence commuted to imprisonment for 20 years.	1900. June 23
Oscar Whitehead	Alabama, northern.	Illicit distilling	15 months in Ohio penitentiary; fine of \$100 and costs.	Oct. 23, 1899	Petitioner was sentenced to 15 months for illicit distilling of which term he has now served 8 months. There seems to be some reasonable doubt as to whether he had any actual connection with the illicit distillery except in the way of purchasing it for his personal use. The trial judge and district attorney express the opinion that he has been sufficiently punished, and I advise a pardon.	Pardon granted.	June 23
J. N. Cooper	Kentucky	Having in possession counterfeit money.	5 years in Ohio penitentiary and fine of \$5.	Oct. 15, 1897	Petitioner was convicted of having in possession counterfeit money, and sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment of which term he has now served over 2½ years. While in prison his wife has died and a family of 8 children are left destitute. Prisoner was not a professional counterfeit, but seems to have been a small farmer in Kentucky. I think it would be wise to pardon him.	do	June 23
Jacob Nelson	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of a horse.	5 years and ½ month in Ohio penitentiary.	May 27, 1897	This is another case of horse stealing in Indian Territory, where the law imposes an excessive mul-	do	June 23

H. Lowry W. Humes.....	Tennessee, western.	Violation of the pension laws.	2 years in Ohio penitentiary and fine of \$500.	Mar. 1, 1899	<p>Petitioner was convicted of violating the pension laws by withholding the pension money from pensioners and charging unlawful fees in pension cases. He was sentenced to 2 years' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$500. He has now served a year and 8 months of his term. He has set the good example of refunding to the pensioners the money fraudulently withheld. I think some clemency may be shown in his case, and I advise that sentence be commuted to expire July 1, 1900.</p>	Sentence commuted to expire July 1, 1900.	June 23
George F. Cloward.....	Utah.....	Stealing United States mail.	3 years at hard labor in Utah penitentiary.	Dec. 12, 1898	<p>Petitioner, a mail carrier, abductured and stole a letter from a mail sack and took and kept \$17.41 of money contained in the letter. He was sentenced to 3 years' imprisonment. The offense was a serious one and deserves severe punishment. It appears, however, that this was his first and only offense; that he had always before been a man of good character and that his behavior under his sentence is exemplary. I think it will be wise to encourage him in honest courses hereafter by some mitigation of his sentence, and I therefore advise that it be commuted to expire Dec. 12, 1900, which will have made him serve 2 full years.</p>	Sentence commuted to expire Dec. 12, 1900.	June 23
William H. White.....	Illinois, northern.	Embezzlement from a national bank.	5 years in Joliet penitentiary.	Dec. 9, 1898	<p>Petitioner, a clerk in a national bank, pleaded guilty of embezzling funds of the bank, and was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment. The amount of his defalcation was \$7,000. It was not used in gambling or speculating, but in mere personal extravagance. The bank and the surety company that was on his bond recommend that he be pardoned. They</p>	Sentence commuted to 2 years, with credit for good behavior.	June 23

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
William H. White	Illinois, northern...	Embezzlement from a national bank.	5 years in Joliet penitentiary.	Dec. 9, 1898	suggest that he, though not mentally irresponsible, is in some degree unbalanced and not essentially dishonest. I recommend that his sentence be commuted to 2½ years' imprisonment, with all allowances for good conduct.	Sentence commuted to 2½ years, with credit for good behavior.	1900. June 23
Frank W. Funk	District of Columbia.	Murder	To be hanged June 29, 1900.	June 5, 1900	The applicant in this case, Frank W. Funk, was indicted for the murder of William H. Brooks in the city of Washington on the 22d of June, 1898; was tried in the supreme court of the District of Columbia on the said indictment and a verdict of guilty returned by the jury on the 22d of December, 1898; and was sentenced to be hanged on the 29th day of June, 1900. An appeal was taken to the court of appeals of the District of Columbia, and the judgment of the supreme court of the District was affirmed on the 8th of June, 1900. A petition for certiorari has been filed with the clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States by Funk's counsel, based upon various errors of law alleged in the trial, and which were fully assigned upon exceptions taken, but the same could not be heard because the court is now in session, having adjourned for the term on the 28th of May, 1900 to convene again on the first Monday in October, 1900. As the decision of the court of appeals of the District of Columbia in this case was not rendered until the 5th of June, the petition for certiorari can not be presented to and considered by the Supreme Court until it meets in regular session on the first Monday in October next. Among the many as-	Respite granted until Nov. 9, 1900.	June 25

signments of error I think there is at least one in this case worthy of consideration and which admits of sufficient doubt to entitle the petitioner to have the same reviewed by the Supreme Court, and that is whether or not the jury which convicted Funk was legally constituted. Section 872 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, relating to the District of Columbia, prescribes qualifications of jurors as follows: "No person shall be competent to act as a juror unless he be a citizen of the United States, a resident of the District of Columbia, over 21 and under 65 years of age." * * * (Sec. 3, ch. 36 (jury), Statutes D. C., Abert & Lovejoy.) Robert T. Patterson, one of the jurors in the trial of Funk, when examined on the 13th of December, 1898, relative to his qualifications as a juror, stated that he was within 10 days of 65 years of age. He was, however, accepted and sworn, and served on the jury. The trial lasted from the 12th to the 23d of December, 1898, inclusive (12 days), the verdict of the jury having been rendered on the latter day. Patterson, at the time he was sworn as a juror in the case, was under 65 years of age, but during the trial he reached that age, and at the time the jury of which he was a member returned the verdict of guilty he had passed the age limit prescribed in the jury law. This question is an important one, involving the right of Funk to be tried according to the forms of law and by a legally constituted jury. I therefore recommend that he be granted a respite until the second Friday in November next (Nov. 9, 1900), in order that an opportunity may be given for the Supreme Court of the United States to hear his petition for certiorari

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Lee B. McFarland.....	West Virginia.....	Misapplication of funds of a national bank.	5 years in West Virginia penitentiary.	Feb. 22, 1898	<p>The petitioner was teller and individual bookkeeper of a national bank in West Virginia. He embezzled the bank's funds to the amount of about \$5,000, and on being indicted, pleaded guilty and was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment. His term began Feb. 22, 1898. As soon as his delinquency was discovered he at once conveyed all his property to the bank, leaving his family destitute. At that time he was indicted. He had made restitution in full, that the bank's loss nothing by his act. Very strong and untoward appeals for his pardon are made by citizens of West Virginia of the very highest prominence, many of them being officers of national banks. The trial judge, in a special letter to the Attorney-General, expresses his most earnest conviction that the petitioner has been already sufficiently punished and sets forth the additional fact that his young daughter, by reason of her grief over the misfortune of her father, has become bedridden and is liable to die. The offense of the petitioner was one calling for severe punishment, and I think he has been already severely punished. The suffering endured by one of his former respectable associates on account of the exposure, disgrace, and imprisonment is much more intense than in the case of persons of a less degree of sensibility, who have indulged in the coarser crimes. Under all the circumstances I think the ends of justice will be served if the</p>	Sentence commuted to expire Aug. 21, 1900.	1900. June 25

Carley J. Blanchard.	Oklahoma	Perjury	5 years in Kings County Penitentiary, fine of \$1 and costs.	Dec. 23, 1894	<p>sentence of the petitioner be committed to expire on the 21st day of August next, on which date he will have served 2 years and 6 months of his term.</p> <p>Petitioner was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for perjury committed in connection with settlements in Oklahoma. In view of his age and general debility, and the destitute and distressed condition of his family, and that the term of 5 years was much longer than the usual term of punishment for this crime, I recommend that his sentence be commuted to 2 full years' imprisonment.</p>	Sentence commuted to 2 full years' imprisonment.	June 25
Peter Mullan, alias Michael Curley.	United States Navy.	Desertion	(Not convicted).			Pardon granted.	June 27
Frank Elliott.	Georgia, northern	Illicit distilling	5 months in Fulton County jail, fine of \$100 and costs.	Mar. 13, 1900	<p>Petitioner was sentenced to 5 months' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$100 for illicit distilling. I do not think the sentence of imprisonment should be disturbed, but petitioner being unable to pay the fine I advise that it be remitted.</p>	Fine remitted.	June 27
A. W. Nations.	do	do	4 months in Fulton County jail, and fine of \$100.	May 1, 1900	<p>Petitioner was sentenced to 4 months' imprisonment and to pay a fine of \$100 for illicit distilling. There are no sufficient reasons to disturb the sentence, and as imprisonment is considered, but I recommend that the fine and costs be remitted.</p>	Fine and costs remitted.	June 27
Edward A. Newberry.	do	do	3 months in Fulton County jail, fine of \$100 and costs.	do	<p>Prisoner's term of imprisonment will expire Aug. 17. I think no sufficient grounds are shown for interfering in that respect; but on account of the prisoner's inability to pay the fine and costs, I advise that they be remitted.</p>	do	June 27
George A. Nix.	do	do	5 months in Fulton County jail, fine of \$100 and costs.	Mar. 14, 1900	<p>This is a case of illicit distilling. The district attorney and the trial judge advise a pardon on account of the serious illness of petitioner's wife. I concur.</p>	Pardon granted.	June 27

List of pardons granted by the President during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900—Continued.

Name.	District.	Offense.	Sentence.	Date of sentence.	Recommendation of Attorney-General.	Action of President.	Date of action.
Richard Drake	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of a horse.	7 years in Ohio penitentiary.	June 2, 1886	Petitioner was sentenced to 7 years' imprisonment for larceny of a horse in Indian Territory. He has now, counting the time spent in jail prior to his sentence, been confined over 4 years. I think he has been sufficiently punished and recommend that he be pardoned.	Pardon granted.	1900. June 29
Sam Starkey	Indian Territory, southern.	Selling intoxicating liquors in Indian Territory.	2 years in United States penitentiary, and fine of \$50.	Nov. 14, 1889	Petitioner was sentenced to 2 years' imprisonment for selling liquor in the Indian Territory. From facts that have come to their attention since sentence was imposed the district attorney and trial judge advise that sentence be commuted to 1 year's imprisonment. I so recommend.	Sentence commuted to 1 year's imprisonment.	June 29
Wash Jennings	Mississippi, northern.	Violation of the postal laws.	1 year in Tennessee State prison and costs.	June 9, 1900	Prisoner is a lad of not more than 14 years of age. He was guilty of larceny of some small articles from the mail and received a sentence of 1 year's imprisonment, which as the least that could, under the statute, be pronounced by the court. In view of the extreme youth of the prisoner, I advise that sentence be commuted to 30 days' imprisonment.	Sentence commuted to 30 days' imprisonment.	June 29
Abraham Martin	Indian Territory, northern.	Larceny of horses.	5 years and 1 month in Ohio penitentiary.	Aug. 3, 1887	Petitioner was sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for stealing 1 horse. In view of the length of the sentence and the good conduct of the prisoner, I recommend that sentence be commuted to expire Aug. 2, 1900, on which date he will have served 3 full years.	Sentence commuted to expire Aug. 2, 1900.	June 29
W. C. Umbach	Georgia, southern.	Burglary on United States property.	3 years in Ohio penitentiary and costs.	Nov. 2, 1889	Pardon recommended to restore civil rights.	Pardon granted to restore civil rights.	June 29
Edward R. Cassatt	Iowa, southern.	Embezzlement from a national bank.	9 years in Iowa penitentiary and costs.	July 15, 1885	do.....do.....	do.....do.....	June 29

Samuel M. Eccles	Arizons.....	Passing counterfeit coin.	4 years and 7 months in Yuma penitentiary, and fine of \$500.	May 27, 1896	do	do	June 29
Harry B. McMaster	Wisconsin, western.	Embezzlement of funds of national bank.	5 years in Wisconsin State prison.	Nov. 18, 1898	do	do	June 29
J. H. Seaton	Arkansas, eastern.	Illicit distilling	1 year and 1 day in United States penitentiary at Fort Leavenworth.	Apr. 8, 1898	do	do	June 29
G. B. Seaton	do	do	do	do	do	do	June 29

EXHIBIT Q.—*Report of the special attorney for the Mission Indians.*

RIVERSIDE, CAL., November 3, 1900.

Hon. JOHN W. GRIGGS,
Attorney-General, Washington, D. C.

Pursuant to your request of date September 28, 1900, I submit the following report of matters under my charge as special attorney of the Mission Indians of southern California:

I.

As shown by my last report, I have in charge a suit pending between the Roman Catholic bishop of Monterey, a corporation sole, as plaintiff, *v.* Salomon Cota and others, defendants. This action is brought by the bishop of Monterey for the purpose of determining and establishing, as between the plaintiff and the band or village of Mission Indians known as the Santa Ynez Indians, the rights of the respective parties in two parcels of land, containing in all 11,500 acres and being portions of the Canada de los Pinos, or College Rancho, situated in the county of Santa Barbara and State of California.

At the date of my last report I confidently expected that this matter would have been disposed of long prior to this date. As shown by my last report, there were a number of Indians at that date not yet served with summons by the plaintiff in the case, and two or three of the individual Indians made parties defendant had appeared in the action and set up separate and individual claims as against the bishop. Plaintiff's attorneys advised me that these defenses made by individuals could be disposed of in a summary way, by motion, and their answers stricken out. It seems, however, that they were not able to so dispose of these defendants, but have been compelled to submit the issues made to the court for consideration, and, as I am advised, this has not yet been done.

I have had frequent correspondence with counsel representing the bishop and have been urging them to prosecute the matter as rapidly as possible to the end that we may have the title of the Indians settled and adjusted and a decree entered in accordance with the stipulation and agreement heretofore made between the bishop and the Indian agent. The matters, however, which have caused the delay are not under my control, and I am compelled to await the action of the attorneys of the plaintiff.

II.

At the date of my last report I had under consideration the matter of the rights of the Indians of the Agua Caliente Reservation at Palm Springs to the use of water for domestic and irrigation purposes in a certain ditch and other water sources claimed by the Palm Springs Water Company, a corporation. I continued my correspondence with the president of the Palm Springs Water Company subsequent to my last report, and later, Mr. M. F. Holland, as special agent of the Indian Department, called on me for information in regard to the controversy between the Palm Springs Water Company and the Indians, and I furnished him all the data and information I could with reference to the controversy, and also arranged with him, in case a conference was brought about between himself and the president of the water company, to meet at Los Angeles with them for the purpose of considering the difficulties and attempting to arrive at a satisfactory conclusion.

On the 9th day of August, 1900, I was notified by Mr. Holland that a meeting had been arranged at Los Angeles to be held in the office of the district attorney, Mr. F. P. Flint, and I attended and had a conference with Mr. H. J. McCallum, president of the Palm Springs Water Company, Mr. Holland, and Mr. Flint together, and went over the facts of the situation as fully as it was known to us after my former investigations, and left the matter for further consideration between Mr. Holland and the president of the Palm Springs Water Company, who further advised me subsequently that he had reported the result of his conference to the Department, but I have not been advised further as to what the outcome of the investigation and conference was.

I can only say that in view of the fact that our last three seasons have been so dry, and water sources have failed so materially in this section, that the Palm Springs Water Company, at the date of the controversy, and from personal examination made by Mr. Holland, seem to have very little water for use for any purposes, and were disputing very little water to which on behalf of the Indians we could make any substantial claim.

III.

Subsequent to my last report, and on or about the 10th day of May, 1900, I was advised by the Indian agent, Dr. L. A. Wright, that the rights of the Indians on the La Jolla Reservation in San Diego County were being violated in that their highway leading from the reservation to their trading village was being obstructed and interfered with by a property owner, one ——— Mendenhall, owning land entirely surrounded by lands of the reservation, and I was requested by the agent to visit San Diego, the county seat of said county, for the purpose of considering legal questions relating to the rights of the Indians with the district attorney of San Diego County, to the end that he might give the board of supervisors of said county advice with reference to the rights of the Indians. I accompanied the Indian agent to San Diego, had a conference with the district attorney with reference to legal questions involved, and we agreed as to the matter of legal rights, and the controversy was left in the hands of the Indian agent and the property holder for the purpose of adjustment and settlement according to our determination of these legal questions.

IV.

That on the 20th day of November, 1900, I was also advised by the Indian agent to visit the Temecula Indian Reservation for the purpose of investigating a difficulty arising between the Indians and one Jacob Ludy, owning lands adjoining the reservation, and who, it was claimed, was so constructing his fences as to obstruct the highways formerly used by the Indians and leading from their reservation to the village of Temecula.

I spent some days in making this visit, one of the days being spent at the reservation examining the condition of affairs and ascertaining the facts relative to the ancient highways used by the Indians for the purpose of reaching the village. I fully advised myself as to the records in San Deigo and Riverside counties bearing upon the question, and after making this investigation, and at a later date, I again visited the reservation and had a conference with Mr. Jacob Ludy, the party obstructing their highways with fences, and after submitting the matter to him and calling his attention to the true situation

he removed his fences and opened the highways satisfactorily to the Indians and the Indian agent, I agreeing to take the necessary steps before the board of supervisors of Riverside County to have the road legally established as a public highway and an old road abandoned which he desired to and had closed by the construction of his improvements.

V.

That on or about the 14th day of September, 1900, the Indian agent at San Jacinto, Dr. Wright, requested me to make a visit to the agency for the purpose of investigating and advising him with reference to the death of one of the Mission Indians, which had occurred in the county of San Diego some time before, and about which the Indians in the vicinity of La Jolla and Rincon reservations were very greatly excited and disturbed, several committees of Indians having visited the agency for the purpose of submitting facts to the Indian agent, claiming that the Indian found dead had met with a violent death, and believing that some prosecution should be instituted for the purpose of bringing the offenders to justice, and further claiming that the authorities of San Diego County had taken no steps to investigate the facts. That the deceased Indian, one Ohoa, had been buried without investigation by the coroner or otherwise.

I went to San Jacinto, visited the agency, examined all the statements which had been made and taken in writing by the agent, and examined all the documents and correspondence of friends of the Indians had with the agent, to the end that the Indians might be satisfied as to the condition of affairs, and made a written report to the agent that he might carry with him and submit to the captain of the Indians on the reservation, to the effect that I did not believe, from all the statements made, and the evidence which had been produced up to that time, there was any reasonable ground for a criminal prosecution against anyone, and that the evidence as submitted would not be sufficient to secure a conviction of murder against any person or persons suspicioned of the offense, and that, in my judgment, the officials of San Diego County would be fully justified in refusing to institute proceedings for that purpose; and for the use of the Indian agent among the Indians I set out very fully my reasons, so that he might make full explanation to the captain and other Indians, and satisfy them that all had been done under the circumstances which could be done legally.

VI.

During the year past my letter book shows correspondence covering 175 pages, relating to matters pertaining to the welfare of the Indians, all of which correspondence I keep separate. These letters are in answer to inquiries of parties made in behalf of the Indians, and in many instances written by teachers of day schools on the reservations, seeking information in regard to the descent of their lands which have been allotted to them under the allotment acts, boundaries of their reservations, controversies with adjoining owners as to stock trespassing on the reservations, filings on Government land under allotment acts and homestead laws, and as to legal proceedings in prosecutions being had by the local authorities in other counties against Indians.

While some of these matters are not strictly within my duties, yet in all such cases I have been very careful to furnish all the information I could, and see that if Indians were arrested by local authori-

ties for offenses that they were properly defended under appointment of attorneys made by the local courts. I am also called upon frequently, both by correspondence and personally, by the Indian agent for suggestions and advice as to matters coming within his jurisdiction, to all of which I give attention promptly.

VII.

In closing this report, which is exceedingly brief, considering the time given and attention given to matters which have been submitted to me, I desire to make this suggestion with reference to the allotment of lands among the Mission Indians of southern California.

I have visited most of their reservations, and I think all of those where allotments have been made, and from my knowledge of the land and the complications which will arise in the next twenty-five years as to titles, I do not believe that any good to the Indians can be accomplished by these allotments. I have no knowledge whatever of conditions in other parts of the country, and it is no doubt true that there are localities where, from the nature of the land and uses to which it can be applied by reason of rainfall and other climatic conditions, the land can be allotted successfully and to the advantage of the Indians, but in this locality and by reason of the character of the reservation, the barrenness of the soil without irrigation and the want of water for irrigation, as well as the difficulties in the management of it among the Indians themselves, and further by reason of the very loose domestic relations of the Indians, and great difficulties arising from tracing their relationship, it will result in innumerable complications, and practically no substantial good to the Indians.

They have their own methods of dividing the land among themselves where it is held in common, and in case of controversy they usually look upon the Indian agent as authorized to settle their difficulties, and as a rule they abide by his decisions after investigation, so that they, for all practical purposes, allot the land among themselves; and I believe that, from the present school system among the Indians, at the expiration of twenty-five years there will be very few occupying these reservations, and such as may remain will be so prepared by the present school system of education that if it is the purpose and desire of the Government to give them lands in severalty they will be better prepared to take charge of and properly look after their interests. I find at present the older ones on these reservations to whom lands have been allotted are very poor, have no means to proceed in our courts for the purpose of establishing their rights, and by our laws of descent, and I do not know of a single instance where after the death of Indians to whom lands have been allotted probate proceedings have been instituted by them for the purpose of securing through the courts the proper distribution of the lands allotted, of course, subject to the provisions of the allotment act.

In a number of instances they have applied to me for the purpose of ascertaining their rights in lands as the heirs of deceased parties, and I have in every instance proposed and stood ready to carry the probate proceedings through court if they would furnish the money simply necessary to pay the costs, which in our procedure would not in any case exceed \$15 or \$20, but not a single one has ever been able to raise money enough to pay these expenses.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

WILLIAM COLLIER,

Special Atty. for the Mission Indians of Southern California.

EXHIBIT R.—Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district approved by this Department,

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Alabama, northern				
William Vaughan	United States attorney	\$4,000.00		
Shelby S. Pleasants	Assistant attorney		\$1,800.00	
Julius W. Davidson	do		1,200.00	
Norah Davis	Clerk			\$720.00
William A. Anderson	do			
Alabama, middle				
Warren S. Reese, jr.	United States attorney	4,000.00		
James H. Jenkins	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Julius Sternfeld	do		1,200.00	
Alabama, southern				
Morris D. Wickersham	United States attorney	3,000.00		
Lina W. Gazzam	Clerk			1,000.00
Arizona				
Robert E. Morrison	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Thomas D. Bennett	Assistant attorney		1,800.00	
Allen Hill	Clerk			900.00
Arkansas, eastern				
Jacob Trieber	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Ulysses S. Bratton	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Powell Clayton	Clerk			900.00
Arkansas, western				
James K. Barnes	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Frank A. Youmans	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Nancy Campbell	Clerk			600.00
California, northern				
Frank L. Combs	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Marshall B. Woodworth	Assistant attorney		2,500.00	
Edward J. Banning	do		2,000.00	
J. B. Sherrard	Clerk			1,800.00
Frederick M. Brown	do			1,200.00
California, southern				
Frank P. Flint	United States attorney	3,500.00		
James R. Finlayson	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Thomas Lee Woolwine	Clerk			900.00
Colorado				
Greeley W. Whitford	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Thomas E. McClelland	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Mary C. Lamb	Clerk (\$300)			720.00
Samuel D. C. Hays	Clerk (\$720)			
Connecticut				
Charles W. Comstock	United States attorney	2,500.00		
Francis H. Parker	do			
Delaware				
William M. Byrne	United States attorney	2,000.00		
Florida, northern				
John Eagan	United States attorney	3,500.00		
J. Emmet Wolfe	Assistant attorney			
George P. Wentworth	Clerk			600.00
Florida, southern				
Joseph N. Stripling	United States attorney	3,500.00		
Harry H. Buckman	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Isadore A. Zacharias	do			
Richard P. Marks	Clerk			720.00
Georgia, northern				
Edgar A. Angier	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Walter L. Massey	Assistant attorney		2,250.00	
George L. Bell	do		2,000.00	
C. D. Camp	Clerk			1,800.00
T. L. Galloway	do			1,200.00

attorneys, their regular assistants and clerks, the expenses claimed, and the expenses for the fiscal year 1900.

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
				\$7,720.00	\$224.76	\$223.15	Vice Davis.
\$98.81	\$97.20						
		\$118.35 7.60	\$118.35 7.60				
				6,700.00	6.25	6.25	
4.00	4.00						
		2.25	2.25				
				4,000.00	52.20	43.20	
52.20	43.20						
				6,700.00	1,041.37	1,033.17	
331.42	327.22						
		709.95	705.95				
				6,400.00	333.96	332.71	
275.10	275.10						
		58.86	57.61				
				7,600.00	229.12	228.85	
166.42	166.15						
		62.70	62.70				
				12,000.00	283.66	280.16	
233.06	230.71						
		5.00 45.60	5.00 44.45				
				5,900.00	176.17	175.17	
176.17	175.17						
				6,220.00			
				2,500.00	24.85	24.35	
16.40 8.45	15.90 8.45						
				2,000.00			
				4,100.00	129.70	129.70	
94.90	94.90						
		34.80	34.80				
				5,420.00	316.95	212.25	
241.30	137.10						
		75.65	75.15				
				12,250.00	165.69	165.69	
123.69	123.69						
		24.00 18.00	24.00 18.00				

Resigned Apr. 15, 1900.
Oath Apr. 16, 1900.

Vice Comstock.

Temporary appointments, November and December, 1899, and April and May, 1900, at \$1,500 per year.

Vice Buckman.

EXHIBIT R.—*Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district approved by this Department for*

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Georgia, southern				
Marion Erwin	United States attorney	\$3,500.00		
Wm. R. Leaken	Assistant attorney		\$1,800.00	
James N. Tally	Clerk			\$200.00
Idaho				
R. V. Cozier	United States attorney	3,000.00		
Marshall Cozier	Clerk			600.00
Illinois, northern				
Solomon H. Bethea	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Oliver E. Pagin	Assistant attorney		2,500.00	
C. J. Tisdell	do		2,200.00	
Charles B. Morrison	do		2,000.00	
Benjamin Davis	do		1,200.00	
William M. Malloy	Clerk			1,200.00
Illinois, southern				
J. Otis Humphrey	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Frank L. Hatch	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Reason H. McAnulty	do		1,200.00	
Indiana				
Albert W. Wishard	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Jesse J. M. LaFollette	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Clarence W. Nichols	Clerk			900.00
Indian Territory, northern				
Pliny H. Soper	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Orlando Wilcox	Assistant attorney			2,000.00
Charles H. Sawyer	do			
Luman F. Parker	do			
Charles H. Sawyer	do			1,200.00
James H. Huckelberry, jr.	do			
Nathaniel L. Rider	Clerk			1,200.00
Indian Territory, central				
John H. Wilkins	United States attorney	4,000.00		
D. M. Brown	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
James E. Gresham	Clerk			1,200.00
Miss Maude Wilkins	Extra clerk and stenographer.			
Indian Territory, southern				
William B. Johnson	United States attorney	4,000.00		
James E. Humphrey	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
George F. Gates	Clerk			1,200.00
Iowa, northern				
H. G. McMillan	United States attorney	4,500.00		
De Witt C. Cram	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
C. M. Flagg	Clerk			1,000.00
Iowa, southern				
Lewis Miles	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Geo. B. Stewart	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Horace H. Carter	Clerk			1,000.00
Kansas				
Isaac E. Lambert	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Harry J. Bone	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Daniel W. Hamer	Clerk			780.00
Kentucky				
Reuben D. Hill	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Jno. G. Fitzpatrick	Assistant attorney		2,400.00	
H. C. Gillis	do			
Arthur M. Wallace	do			
Terry P. Smith	Clerk			900.00

319

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$1,073.53	\$1,067.33			\$5,900.00	\$1,073.53	\$1,067.33	
287.70	287.28			3,600.00	297.70	287.28	
268.27	268.27			14,100.00	304.70	304.20	
		\$4.00	\$3.50				
		32.43	32.43				
827.90	824.65			7,700.00	1,268.40	1,265.15	
		300.95	300.95				
		139.55	139.55				
193.61	193.24			7,900.00	380.56	379.44	
		186.95	186.20				
217.13	217.13			8,400.00	593.96	593.96	
		142.25	142.25				
		122.81	122.81				
		111.77	111.77				
127.20	123.70			6,400.00	266.48	262.98	
		139.28	139.28				
288.80	288.05			6,700.00	573.50	570.25	
		284.70	282.20				
822.30	814.80			6,700.00	933.93	926.43	
		111.63	111.63				
240.51	240.51			6,700.00	295.66	294.51	
		55.15	54.00				
254.69	252.42			7,280.00	449.04	446.77	
		194.35	194.35				
203.72	194.47			8,300.00	292.35	283.10	
		88.63	88.63				

EXHIBIT R.—*Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district approved by this Department for*

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Louisiana, eastern				
J. Ward Gurley, jr	United States attorney	\$3,500.00		
Wm. Wirt Howe	do			
Delos C. Mellen	Assistant attorney		\$2,500.00	
Chas. P. Cocke	do			
M. A. Homer	Clerk			\$1,200.00
Louisiana, western				
Milton C. Elstner	United States attorney	2,500.00		
Maine				
Isaac W. Dyer	United States attorney	3,000.00		
Maryland				
Jno. C. Rose	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Danl. R. Randall	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Morris A. Soper	do			
Thos. A. Hays	Clerk			1,000.00
Massachusetts				
Boyd B. Jones	United States attorney	5,000.00		
Jno. H. Casey	Assistant attorney		2,500.00	
Albert H. Washburn	do		1,800.00	
Emma P. Locke	Clerk			1,000.00
Michigan, eastern				
Wm. D. Gordon	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Jas. V. D. Wilcox	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Chas. W. Smith	Clerk			1,000.00
Michigan, western				
Geo. G. Covell	United States attorney	3,500.00		
Dwight Goss	Assistant attorney		1,600.00	
Stephen L. Newnham	Clerk			750.00
Minnesota				
Robt. G. Evans	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Milton D. Purdy	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Joel M. Dickey	do		1,800.00	
Mississippi, northern				
Mack A. Montgomery	United States attorney	3,500.00		
Albert C. Melchior	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Wm. D. Frazee	do		1,200.00	
Louise Sykes	Clerk			900.00
Mississippi, southern				
Albert M. Lea	United States attorney	3,500.00		
Albert C. Melchior	Assistant attorney			
W. Bruce Banks	do		1,200.00	
W. Bruce Banks	Clerk			900.00
Jeanie Rees Lea	do			
Missouri, eastern				
Edward A. Rozier	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Wm. L. Morsey	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Oscar F. Sessinghaus	do		1,500.00	
G. C. Hitchcock	do			
Miss Jennie Schoekal	Clerk			720.00
Missouri, western				
Wm. Warner	United States attorney	4,500.00		
A. S. Van Valkenburg	Assistant attorney		2,000.00	
Eudora Vestal	Clerk			720.00
Montana				
Wm. B. Rodgers	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Jno. C. English	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Henry G. Rodgers	Clerk			1,200.00
Nebraska				
Williamson S. Summers	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Sylvester R. Rush	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Jno. B. Lindsey	Clerk			900.00

attorneys, their regular assistants and clerks, the expenses claimed, and the expenses the fiscal year 1900—Continued.

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$36.30	\$31.29			\$7,200.00	\$36.30	\$31.29	Vice Gurley. Vice Mellen.
				2,500.00			
494.59	492.20			3,000.00	494.59	492.20	
156.41	155.46			6,200.00	169.26	167.81	
		\$2.40 10.45	\$2.40 9.45				Vice Randall.
373.14	372.90			10,300.00	533.72	533.37	
		55.53 105.05	55.42 105.05				
79.85	78.85			7,000.00	127.27	126.27	
		47.42	47.42				
377.94	374.60			5,850.00	847.14	843.80	
		469.20	469.20				
222.80	185.77			7,800.00	513.49	476.46	
		172.64 118.05	172.64 118.05				
153.32	152.09			7,100.00	191.82	190.59	
							Acted also as assistant attorney in southern district of Mississippi. Vice Melchior.
		38.50	38.50				
240.10	240.10			5,600.00	412.65	412.65	
							See northern Missis- sippl. Vice Melchior. Vice Banks.
		172.55	172.55				
46.14	45.14			8,720.00	80.64	79.64	
		19.65	19.65				Vice Sessinghaus.
		14.85	14.85				
254.83	251.33			7,220.00	408.14	402.64	
		153.31	151.31				
215.02	214.91			6,700.00	906.22	906.11	
		691.20	691.20				
133.13	96.54			6,400.00	182.80	146.21	
		49.67	49.67				

EXHIBIT R.—*Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district approved by this Department for*

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Nevada.....				
Sardis Summerfield.....	United States attorney.....	\$3,000.00		
W. C. Lamb.....	Clerk.....			\$720.00
New Hampshire.....				
Chas. J. Hamblett.....	United States attorney.....	2,000.00		
Annie M. Prescott.....	Clerk.....			500.00
New Jersey.....				
J. Kearney Rice.....	United States attorney.....	3,000.00	\$800.00	
David O. Watkins.....	do.....			
Warren Dixon.....	Assistant attorney.....			
Cortlandt Parker, jr.....	do.....			
Frank W. Bradley.....	Clerk (\$300 per annum).....			800.00
Miss Virginia Andrews.....	Clerk (\$300 per annum).....			
Edgar Shivers.....	Clerk (\$500 per annum).....			
New Mexico.....				
Wm. B. Childers.....	United States attorney.....	4,000.00		
Geo. P. Money.....	Assistant attorney.....		1,800.00	
Edward L. Medler.....	Clerk.....			1,200.00
New York, northern (old).....				
Emory P. Close.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
Chas. H. Brown.....	do.....			
Wesley C. Dudley.....	Assistant attorney.....		2,500.00	
Chas. H. Brown.....	do.....		2,500.00	
Stephen W. Dempsey.....	do.....			
Beattie Schuyler.....	Clerk.....			
New York, northern (new).....				
George B. Curtis.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
Henry E. Owen.....	Assistant attorney.....		2,000.00	
New York, eastern.....				
Geo. H. Pettit.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
Herbert B. Brush.....	Assistant attorney.....		2,000.00	
Lloyd M. Howell.....	do.....		1,200.00	
Miss Grace Clark.....	Clerk.....			1,000.00
New York, western.....				
Chas. H. Brown.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
S. W. Dempsey.....	Assistant attorney.....		2,500.00	
Wesley C. Dudley.....	do.....		2,500.00	
North Carolina, eastern.....				
Claude M. Bernard.....	United States attorney.....	4,000.00		
Oscar J. Spears.....	Assistant attorney.....		1,250.00	
E. A. Johnson.....	Clerk.....			900.00
North Carolina, western.....				
Alfred E. Holton.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
E. Spencer Blackburn.....	Assistant attorney.....		2,000.00	
Jas. Martin.....	Clerk.....			1,300.00
Jno. E. Buxton.....	do.....			1,020.00
North Dakota.....				
Patrick H. Rourke.....	United States attorney.....	4,000.00		
Edward S. Allen.....	Assistant attorney.....		1,200.00	
Miss Sara R. Devine.....	Clerk.....			720.00
Ohio, northern.....				
Saml. D. Dodge.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
Jno. J. Sullivan.....	do.....			
Geo. R. McKay.....	Assistant attorney.....		1,800.00	
Robt. Tucker.....	do.....			
Chas. M. Buss.....	Clerk.....			900.00
Ohio, southern.....				
Wm. E. Bundy.....	United States attorney.....	4,500.00		
Sherman T. McPherson.....	Assistant attorney.....		2,000.00	
Edward P. Moulinier.....	do.....		1,200.00	
Effie V. Ryan.....	Clerk.....			720.00
Harry F. Rabe.....	do.....			

323

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
				\$3,720.00			Increased from \$600 May 1, 1900.
\$7.95	\$7.95			2,500.00	\$7.95	\$7.95	
							Vice Rice. Vice Dixon. Vice Bradley.
43.28	43.28			4,600.00	225.57	158.14	
		\$125.65 30.97 25.67	\$36.98 23.22 24.66				
							Increased from \$900 Jan. 1, 1900.
370.22	365.39			7,000.00	924.62	919.79	
		554.40	554.40				
							Temporary, Nov. 1 to Dec. 6, 1899.
515.69	514.89			9,500.00	1,050.49	1,048.76	
		273.11 56.56 205.13	273.11 56.56 204.20				
				6,500.00			
484.24	482.36			8,700.00	496.79	494.91	
		12.55	12.55				
13.38	13.33			9,500.00	100.53	100.48	
		87.15	87.15				
186.98	186.76			6,150.00	309.20	308.73	
		122.22	121.97				
569.21	568.85			8,820.00	687.06	686.20	
		117.85	117.35				
194.17	187.13			5,920.00	194.17	187.13	
							Vice Dodge. Vice McKay.
{ 183.51 82.41	142.82 75.49			7,200.00	369.31	319.01	
		{ 84.70 18.69	84.70 16.00				
							Vice Ryan.
530.96	530.60			8,420.00	878.96	877.65	
		122.00 225.40	122.00 224.45				
{							

EXHIBIT R.—Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district attorneys approved by this Department for

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Oklahoma.				
Sam'l L. Overstreet	United States attorney	\$5,000.00		
Jno. W. Scothorn	do			
Horace Speed	do			
Jno. W. Scothorn	Assistant attorney		\$2,000.00	
B. S. McGuire	do			
Jno. W. Scothorn	do			
B. S. McGuire	do			
L. M. Keyes	do		1,500.00	
B. S. McGuire	do			
Albin A. Stevens	Clerk			\$1,200.00
Miss Marie E. Ketsch	do			
Oregon				
Jno. H. Hall	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Edwin Mays	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Waldemar Seton	do			
Vicca Combs	Clerk			900.00
Pennsylvania, eastern				
Jas. M. Beck	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Francis F. Kane	Assistant attorney		2,500.00	
Michael F. McCullen	do		2,000.00	
Wm. M. Stewart, jr.	do			
Mary K. Mason	Clerk			1,000.00
Pennsylvania, western				
Dani. B. Heimer	United States attorney	4,500.00		
D. M. Miller	Assistant attorney		2,500.00	
Jno. B. Myers	do		1,500.00	
J. N. Langham	do		1,200.00	
Louise M. Schaefer	Clerk			1,000.00
Robert M. Gibson	do			
Porto Rico				
Noah B. K. Pettingill	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Rhode Island				
Chas. O. Wilson	United States attorney	2,500.00		
Bertha Rose Lard	Clerk			500.00
South Carolina				
Abial Lathrop	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Benj. F. Hagood	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Ernest F. Cochran	do		1,200.00	
Sanders Glover	Clerk			1,200.00
Jno. L. Heidtman	do			
South Dakota				
Jas. D. Elliott	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Wm. G. Porter	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Hattie Zitka	Clerk			600.00
Tennessee, eastern				
Wm. D. Wright	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Jno. M. Simerly	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Margaret Rowan	Clerk			900.00
Tennessee, middle				
Abram M. Tillman	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Lee Brock	Assistant attorney		1,600.00	
Edward Trabue	Clerk			1,200.00
Tennessee, western				
Geo. Randolph	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Fr. P. Smith	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Laura R. Morton	Clerk			600.00
Texas, northern				
Wm. H. Atwell	United States attorney	3,500.00		
J. J. Wagner	Clerk			1,200.00
Miss Leah Snyder	do			

325

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$97.45 13.47 180.59	\$96.98 13.47 157.31			\$9,700.00	\$1,594.10	\$1,589.95	Vice Overstreet. Vice Scothorn.
		\$156.48 299.15 94.25 339.60 93.51 339.60	\$156.48 299.15 94.25 339.60 93.16 339.60				Vice Scothorn. Vice McGuire. Vice McGuire. Vice Keyes. Vice Stevens.
125.88	80.59	79.95	78.20	6,900.00	205.83	158.79	Vice Mays.
498.80	496.84			10,000.00	498.80	496.84	Vice McCullen.
106.53	105.78	45.37 270.89 27.15	45.37 270.89 27.15	10,700.00	449.94	449.19	Vice Schaefer.
				4,000.00			
				8,000.00			
261.94	261.94	78.15 81.82	77.90 81.82	8,400.00	421.91	421.66	Vice Glover.
229.26	228.51	830.00	830.00	6,100.00	1,058.26	1,058.51	
93.83	93.06	254.01	254.01	6,900.00	347.84	347.04	
29.00	29.00			7,300.00	29.00	29.00	
106.85	106.85	84.60	84.60	6,600.00	190.45	190.45	
350.24	346.02			4,700.00	350.24	346.02	Vice Wagner.

EXHIBIT R.—*Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district approved by this Department for*

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Texas, eastern.				
Marcus C. McLemore	United States attorney	\$5,000.00		
Fr. Lee	Assistant attorney		\$1,750.00	
Henry B. Birmingham	do		1,750.00	
Lemuel J. Selby	Clerk			\$1,600.00
Texas, western.				
Henry Terrell	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Redford Sharp	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
A. G. Foster	do		1,200.00	
Aloys Notzen	Clerk			600.00
Utah.				
Chas. O. Whittemore	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Pennel Cherrington	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Leonora Trent	Clerk			600.00
Vermont.				
Jas. L. Martin	United States attorney	3,000.00		
Annie M. Brown	Clerk			900.00
Virginia, eastern.				
Edgar Allan	United States attorney	4,000.00		
J. D. C. De Jarnette	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
J. N. Whittaker	Clerk			900.00
Virginia, western.				
Thos. M. Alderson	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Jno. C. Blair	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Thos. G. Alderson	Clerk			1,200.00
Washington.				
Wilson R. Gay	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Chas. E. Claypool	Assistant attorney		1,800.00	
Alfred E. Gardner	Clerk			1,000.00
West Virginia.				
Jos. H. Gaines	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Emmet M. Showalter	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Samuel C. Burdett	do		1,400.00	
Elliott Northcott	do		1,200.00	
S. B. Avis	Clerk			1,000.00
Wisconsin, eastern.				
Milton C. Phillips	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Chas. McC. Anderson	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Amelia J. Larson	Clerk			600.00
Wisconsin, western.				
David F. Jones	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Henry T. Shelton	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Sabina A. Warnes	Clerk			720.00
Wyoming.				
Timothy F. Burke	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Benj. F. Fowler	Assistant attorney		800.00	
Louise S. Smith	Clerk			900.00
Total.		305,500.00	145,700.00	87,230.00
<i>Districts not included in the act of May 23, 1896.</i>				
Alaska (under old law)				
Robert A. Friedrich	United States attorney	2,500.00		
Alfred J. Daly	Assistant attorney		600.00	

attorneys, their regular assistants and clerks, the expenses claimed, and the expenses the fiscal year 1900—Continued.

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$421.37	\$397.89			\$10,100.00	\$895.27	\$824.74	Increased from \$720, Oct. 1, 1899.
		\$372.45 101.45	\$372.45 54.40				
				7,300.00	929.48	907.49	
736.02	714.28						
		86.92 106.54	86.92 106.29				
				6,100.00	194.98	194.98	
129.53	129.53						
		65.45	65.45				
				3,900.00	524.86	523.37	
524.86	523.37						
				6,400.00	299.87	291.80	
246.67	241.10						
		53.20	50.70				
				6,900.00	250.13	246.63	
176.15	172.65						
		73.98	73.98				
				7,300.00	713.60	707.42	
431.20	425.02						
		282.40	282.40				
				9,600.00	542.07	531.17	
130.92	129.79						
		213.52 17.45 180.18	203.75 17.45 180.18				
				6,100.00	192.73	170.89	
94.75	72.91						
		97.98	97.98				
				5,920.00	446.71	439.12	
354.65	347.06						
		92.06	92.06				
				5,200.00	196.49	191.86	
188.49	183.86						
		8.00	8.00				
18,110.30	17,633.40	12,086.44	11,936.86	518,430.00	30,196.74	29,570.26	
				3,100.00	623.25	584.75	
308.75	308.75						
		314.50	276.00				

¹ Includes an account of \$47.05 not included in amount approved, action thereon having been pending when this exhibit was prepared.

EXHIBIT R.—*Showing, by districts, the annual salaries of United States district approved by this Department for*

District and name.	Titles.	Salaries.		
		Attorneys.	Assistants.	Clerks.
Texas, eastern.				
Marcus C. McLemore	United States attorney	\$5,000.00		
Fr. Lee	Assistant attorney		\$1,750.00	
Henry B. Birmingham	do		1,750.00	
Lemuel J. Selby	Clerk			\$1,000.00
Texas, western.				
Henry Terrell	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Redford Sharp	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
A. G. Foster	do		1,200.00	
Aloys Notzen	Clerk			600.00
Utah.				
Chas. O. Whittemore	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Pennel Cherrington	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Leonora Trent	Clerk			600.00
Vermont.				
Jas. L. Martin	United States attorney	3,000.00		
Annie M. Brown	Clerk			900.00
Virginia, eastern.				
Edgar Allan	United States attorney	4,000.00		
J. D. C. De Jarnette	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
J. N. Whittaker	Clerk			900.00
Virginia, western.				
Thos. M. Alderson	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Jno. C. Blair	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Thos. G. Alderson	Clerk			1,200.00
Washington.				
Wilson B. Gay	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Chas. E. Claypool	Assistant attorney		1,800.00	
Alfred E. Gardner	Clerk			1,000.00
West Virginia.				
Jos. H. Gaines	United States attorney	4,500.00		
Emmet M. Showalter	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Samuel C. Burdett	do		1,400.00	
Elliott Northcott	do		1,200.00	
S. B. Avis	Clerk			1,000.00
Wisconsin, eastern.				
Milton C. Phillips	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Chas. McC. Anderson	Assistant attorney		1,500.00	
Amelia J. Larson	Clerk			600.00
Wisconsin, western.				
David F. Jones	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Henry T. Shelton	Assistant attorney		1,200.00	
Sabina A. Warnes	Clerk			720.00
Wyoming.				
Timothy F. Burke	United States attorney	4,000.00		
Benj. F. Fowler	Assistant attorney		800.00	
Louise S. Smith	Clerk			900.00
Total.		305,500.00	145,700.00	67,230.00
<i>Districts not included in the act of May 28, 1896.</i>				
Alaska (under old law)				
Robert A. Friedrich	United States attorney	2,500.00		
Alfred J. Daly	Assistant attorney		600.00	

attorneys, their regular assistants and clerks, the expenses claimed, and the expenses the fiscal year 1900—Continued.

Expenses.				District totals.			Remarks.
Attorneys.		Assistants.		Salaries.	Expenses.		
Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.		Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
				\$10,100.00	\$895.27	\$824.74	Increased from \$720, Oct. 1, 1899.
\$421.37	\$397.89						
		\$372.45	\$372.45				
		101.45	54.40				
				7,300.00	929.48	907.49	
738.02	714.28						
		86.92	86.92				
		106.54	106.29				
				6,100.00	194.98	194.98	Increased from \$600, Dec. 12, 1899.
129.53	129.53						
		65.45	65.45				
				3,900.00	524.86	523.37	
524.86	523.37						
				6,400.00	299.87	291.80	
246.67	241.10						
		53.20	50.70				
				6,900.00	250.13	246.63	Increased from \$300, Jan. 31, 1900.
176.15	172.65						
		73.98	73.98				
				7,300.00	713.60	707.42	
431.20	425.02						
		282.40	282.40				
				9,600.00	542.07	531.17	
130.92	129.79						
		213.52	203.75				
		17.45	17.45				
		180.18	180.18				
				6,100.00	192.73	170.89	Increased from \$300, Jan. 31, 1900.
94.75	72.91						
		97.98	97.98				
				5,920.00	446.71	439.12	
354.65	347.06						
		92.06	92.06				
				5,200.00	196.49	191.86	
188.49	183.86						
		8.00	8.00				
18,110.30	17,638.40	12,086.44	11,936.86	518,430.00	30,196.74	29,570.26	
				3,100.00	623.25	584.75	
308.75	308.75						
		314.50	276.00				

¹ Includes an account of \$47.05 not included in amount approved, action thereon having been pending when this exhibit was prepared.

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts.	Salaries. Marshals, of- fice deputies, and clerks.	Fees.	
		Claimed.	Approved.
Alabama, northern	\$7,700.00	\$13,912.33	\$13,559.15
Alabama, middle	7,000.10	7,790.73	7,676.89
Alabama, southern	4,800.00	2,216.56	2,141.50
Alaska	10,376.40	1,554.45	1,538.45
Arizona	6,726.73	12,254.87	12,057.03
Arkansas, eastern	10,900.00	10,975.34	10,825.05
Arkansas, western	9,675.59	13,821.26	13,817.04
California, northern	12,632.66	11,066.47	11,064.47
California, southern	6,000.00	3,667.79	3,667.19
Colorado	6,600.00	6,598.78	6,032.24
Connecticut	3,000.00	2,863.16	2,284.63
Delaware	4,000.00	1,857.25	1,857.25
District of Columbia	26,566.34	16,948.47	16,783.80
Florida, northern	4,275.00	3,819.77	3,510.85
Florida, southern	5,400.00	5,448.71	5,422.44
Georgia, northern	10,600.00	26,473.02	26,429.62
Georgia, southern	6,000.00	10,587.37	10,474.36
Idaho	6,000.00	3,306.29	3,306.29
Illinois, northern	19,906.50	11,287.06	11,203.13
Illinois, southern	9,500.00	15,284.82	15,108.71
Indiana	9,300.00	7,007.67	7,007.37
Indian Territory, northern	13,674.46	23,086.92	22,767.04
Indian Territory, central	12,000.00	17,754.55	17,424.35
Indian Territory, southern	13,922.82	24,962.06	24,338.18
Iowa, northern	6,550.00	7,252.90	6,957.38
Iowa, southern	6,900.00	5,908.34	5,768.28
Kansas	6,129.69	8,658.93	8,390.75
Kentucky	10,415.21	23,833.33	22,907.01
Louisiana, eastern ¹	6,567.20	3,014.53	2,961.11
Louisiana, western	3,700.00	1,203.26	1,010.93
Maine	7,200.00	3,745.17	3,742.67
Maryland	7,949.70	3,978.91	3,966.31
Massachusetts	11,500.00	8,048.14	8,042.14
Michigan, eastern	7,600.00	4,689.41	4,644.43
Michigan, western	5,720.00	2,960.91	2,960.91
Minnesota	10,000.00	13,672.75	13,615.05
Mississippi, northern	4,182.06	8,022.86	7,548.17
Mississippi, southern	4,200.00	11,133.97	11,032.84
Missouri, eastern	7,997.80	9,811.76	9,766.22
Missouri, western	7,000.00	11,568.90	11,232.70
Montana	8,239.66	5,426.94	5,401.74
Nebraska	7,686.73	10,545.93	10,339.59
Nevada	3,750.00	3,694.87	3,644.98
New Hampshire	2,800.00	2,248.24	2,163.06
New Jersey	5,100.00	4,212.68	4,166.35
New Mexico	8,337.50	11,432.81	9,506.00
New York, northern	10,454.32	11,087.02	10,889.28
New York, (new) northern	105.17		
New York, eastern	8,800.00	3,696.37	3,656.66
New York, southern	29,165.15	17,135.29	15,911.54
New York, western	133.23	265.44	260.34
North Carolina, eastern	6,893.98	12,902.14	12,565.30
North Carolina, western	10,100.00	21,430.22	21,238.06
North Dakota	7,900.00	19,589.56	19,451.35
Ohio, northern	9,734.86	6,198.07	6,173.51
Ohio, southern	12,895.25	10,969.38	10,813.77
Oklahoma	11,800.00	10,850.60	10,696.62
Oregon	9,900.00	10,626.97	10,430.99
Pennsylvania, eastern	8,653.85	8,833.78	8,615.57
Pennsylvania, western	6,800.00	5,791.62	5,648.53
Rhode Island	2,800.00	2,347.68	2,263.34
South Carolina	8,900.00	7,166.12	7,119.94
South Dakota	7,000.00	8,126.20	9,132.71
Tennessee, eastern	6,697.50	6,344.78	6,154.69
Tennessee, middle	6,400.00	6,346.95	6,290.29
Tennessee, western	6,562.80	5,951.71	5,557.20
Texas, northern	5,550.00	10,364.56	9,908.31
Texas, eastern	11,800.00	8,332.10	8,294.10
Texas, western	6,500.00	9,736.59	9,490.60
Utah	6,700.00	1,152.75	1,124.36
Vermont	3,500.00	3,295.79	3,098.78
Virginia, eastern	5,241.40	4,664.29	4,561.83
Virginia, western	7,801.70	14,859.46	14,725.24
Washington	11,845.50	9,285.42	9,220.52
West Virginia	8,500.00	13,033.95	12,695.74
Wisconsin, eastern	8,200.00	4,333.95	4,179.06
Wisconsin, western	7,000.00	9,255.59	8,907.88
Wyoming	5,851.07	2,793.71	2,711.16
Total	639,587.93	686,419.30	671,824.30

¹ Account for June quarter not rendered when this exhibit was prepared.

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900.

Fees.		Expenses.				Amount paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$10,318.88	\$10,054.10	\$4,582.02	\$4,502.81	\$18.26	\$18.26	\$238.03
5,228.81	5,142.20	2,572.64	2,484.80	14.30	14.30	313.64
1,399.40	1,342.96	1,083.30	1,013.70			266.30
1,554.45	1,538.45	3,438.20	3,438.20	1.88	1.88	
1,196.86	1,173.19	3,810.65	3,779.45	135.60	134.43	74.72
2,570.37	2,561.67	4,909.39	4,904.69	86.29	85.99	876.38
8,409.28	8,394.87	3,014.64	3,009.41	35.25	35.25	431.04
31.88	30.88	2,617.78	2,617.78	268.20	268.20	2,651.43
483.27	482.81	1,075.80	1,061.20	141.50	141.50	814.31
3,669.13	3,075.81	1,330.21	1,252.89	48.52	48.52	2,069.84
463.68	443.47	204.62	202.87			968.86
		66.05	101.80	156.38	120.38	370.46
		68.57	68.57	2,623.78	2,623.78	4,088.07
2,514.79	2,540.65	1,232.97	1,201.32	19.21	19.21	632.74
2,663.10	2,643.39	1,581.22	1,521.62	17.33	17.33	1,046.82
17,528.86	17,484.11	3,908.57	3,899.93	77.00	77.00	212.21
5,961.25	5,857.38	3,749.41	3,627.28	23.10	23.10	875.64
		3,669.70	3,638.95	43.29	43.16	964.36
		1,496.41	1,485.41	344.88	342.03	2,692.49
8,151.02	8,082.93	1,678.63	1,651.14	83.03	82.49	2,573.47
1,571.35	1,571.13	2,784.65	2,777.47	121.60	121.60	1,574.80
14,148.25	13,921.18	13,801.55	12,413.41	1,940.41	1,940.41	1,542.72
11,599.39	11,422.94	10,034.87	9,967.60	833.31	824.83	798.90
12,861.34	12,634.93	12,512.57	12,281.59	61.13	56.39	2,683.16
4,312.44	4,175.75	1,258.58	1,237.29	89.50	89.25	421.80
3,826.81	3,741.49	560.81	548.28	96.60	94.40	479.20
4,293.47	4,800.78	1,571.83	1,554.23	56.55	55.64	2,689.98
15,074.24	14,693.21	2,731.47	2,673.50	106.20	105.97	1,633.22
		482.45	475.84	.88	.74	854.20
433.51	393.12	518.06	411.41	2.21	2.21	306.18
		1,447.54	1,439.79	367.95	335.89	683.78
375.57	375.27	160.16	160.16	88.00	88.00	1,198.54
		1,546.69	1,545.50	246.83	247.14	3,140.97
406.07	402.27	635.22	599.96	25.35	25.35	2,244.66
		1,434.24	1,434.24	54.40	54.40	930.99
4,854.24	4,770.87	2,597.19	2,588.88	217.33	216.92	1,730.93
5,420.76	5,070.54	1,580.70	1,468.48	31.79	29.49	93.40
7,698.76	7,645.61	1,645.93	1,634.18	20.52	20.50	864.90
3,264.76	2,948.43	1,574.75	1,570.45	123.37	123.35	2,024.10
6,745.69	6,636.62	894.20	880.85	130.56	130.56	1,314.12
684.61	565.44	4,208.61	4,136.46	77.54	66.47	1,267.08
3,009.58	2,357.84	2,648.64	2,431.13	139.58	139.58	1,877.08
221.65	165.82	1,196.85	1,164.35	4.79	4.69	959.42
		346.22	337.45	3.11	3.11	274.10
1,322.01	1,313.61	694.32	690.52	100.27	100.27	1,787.58
		5,535.20	4,843.65	216.89	215.65	146.00
6,949.19	6,517.18	1,797.13	1,770.83	135.96	135.08	535.71
		699.99	698.99	1,299.99	1,191.25	1,902.64
		1,334.85	1,333.35	600.84	600.84	7,079.77
		86.04	83.04	2.51	2.51	
130.30	125.72	2,119.32	1,968.67	111.64	109.32	1,293.66
8,322.78	8,107.71	3,063.48	3,015.27	388.30	388.30	443.32
14,424.46	14,313.94	5,516.07	5,388.36	52.67	52.67	468.78
1,005.92	987.03	2,211.95	2,208.65	214.36	213.51	2,144.67
590.20	573.28	3,768.54	3,693.69	113.39	113.09	1,989.60
1,107.80	1,045.11	6,493.83	6,361.17	287.67	287.67	252.47
4,944.06	4,845.33	2,676.22	2,668.20	102.78	102.78	1,288.55
		1,355.13	1,353.03	564.59	549.77	2,606.23
1,715.06	1,698.93	753.94	726.34	9.81	9.81	1,203.12
		169.44	142.78	45.00	45.00	513.20
5,178.41	5,143.01	1,961.72	1,908.97	14.63	14.63	309.89
4,963.93	4,917.61	3,134.74	3,099.54	199.33	199.00	561.95
4,180.20	4,015.96	1,525.88	1,457.88	63.02	61.00	261.98
3,846.91	3,810.06	1,246.44	1,225.55	109.51	109.51	585.07
2,044.24	2,006.88	1,131.46	1,105.35	31.26	30.96	324.29
6,107.96	5,980.23	2,625.26	2,561.58	97.99	97.89	2,603.55
4,492.62	4,429.27	3,421.80	3,356.73	68.50	67.92	2,381.28
5,873.38	5,615.84	2,708.72	2,616.15	77.32	75.50	1,141.17
17.62	17.62	771.70	754.20	112.19	96.19	218.25
1,570.22	1,532.30	561.64	548.12	67.79	67.58	528.38
2,059.79	1,982.94	1,219.66	1,183.16	50.53	50.19	1,499.08
10,235.50	10,134.89	2,401.57	2,361.91	19.33	19.33	619.71
		2,831.48	2,831.48	152.15	150.59	1,911.81
8,185.60	7,818.75	1,843.40	1,835.98	64.69	64.69	1,071.12
		1,124.89	998.89	38.89	38.89	463.54
		2,674.61	2,673.11	19.44	18.50	866.74
14.23	14.23	821.15	813.15	106.70	98.70	137.20
272,199.41	265,722.94	184,046.13	178,014.61	14,517.25	14,252.29	93,523.35

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.
			Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
Alabama, northern:			
D. N. Cooper	Marshal	Fiscal year	\$4,000.00
James W. Bruce	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
John L. White	Office deputy	do	1,200.00
H. G. Ashley	do	do	1,000.00
W. W. Battle	Field deputy	Oath, Oct. 9, 1899	
George B. Davidson	do	Oath, Nov. 30, 1898	
Jeptha Edwards	do	Oath, Jan. 26, 1898	
A. H. Fowler	do	Jan. 23, 1898	
Wm. A. Godsey	do	Oath, Feb. 19, 1900	
W. C. Guest	do	Oath, Jan. 27, 1898	
J. N. Holsonback	do	Oath, Jan. 28, 1898	
A. B. Mitchell	do	Oath, Jan. 25, 1898; service terminated June 30, 1900.	
J. M. Nelson	do	Oath, Feb. 22, 1900	
R. A. Smith	do	Oath, Jan. 23, 1898	
Rob't B. Thompson	do	Oath, Mar. 21, 1898	
C. M. Tompkins	do	Oath, Jan. 27, 1898	
J. N. Vaughn	do	Oath, Jan. 25, 1898	
Wm. M. Veteto	do	Oath, Mar. 12, 1900	
Harvey W. Hamilton	do	Oath, Jan. 13, 1899; service terminated Jan. 31, 1900.	
J. J. Sims	do	Oath, Mar. 10, 1898; service terminated Oct. 9, 1899.	
C. M. Wright	do	Oath, Jan. 27, 1898; service terminated Dec. 21, 1899.	
J. W. Baker	do	Oath, Sept. 8, 1898; service terminated Feb. 15, 1900.	
J. K. Richardson	do	Oath, Oct. 3, 1898; service terminated Mar. 1, 1900.	
H. P. McEntyre	do	Oath, Jan. 28, 1898; service terminated Oct. 12, 1899.	
Oakley B. Harris	do	Oath, June 22, 1898	
Total			7,700.00
Alabama, middle:			
Leander J. Bryan	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Nenian L. Steele	Chief office deputy	do	1,375.90
P. H. Owen	Office deputy	do	1,375.90
A. C. Smith	Stenographer and typewriter	Feb. 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900.	248.80
A. J. Collier	Field deputy	Oath, Feb. 8, 1900	
Hiram Gibson	do	Oath, Jan. 17, 1899	
W. B. Jackson	do	Oath, July 28, 1898	
L. W. Mason	do	Oath, Mar. 7, 1899	
W. C. S. Robertson	do	Oath, Feb. 19, 1898	
Byron Trammell	do	Oath, Mar. 20, 1900	
H. C. Willis	do	Oath, Dec. 19, 1899	
E. A. McFerrin	do	Oath, Oct. 31, 1899; service terminated Nov. 8, 1899.	
E. C. McDuffie	do	Oath, May 22, 1899; service terminated Aug. 9, 1899.	
H. E. Patrick	do	Oath, Mar. 9, 1899; service terminated Oct. 21, 1899.	
Charles W. Hannon	do	Oath, Aug. 21, 1899; service terminated Oct. 24, 1899.	
J. W. Perryman	do	Oath, Feb. 19, 1898; service terminated Dec. 12, 1899.	
W. C. Starke	do	Oath, Mar. 11, 1898; service terminated Mar. 5, 1900.	
Charles Booth	do	Oath, Feb. 18, 1898; service terminated Mar. 19, 1900.	
Total			7,000.10
Alabama, southern:			
Frank Simmons	Marshal	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 28, 1900.	1,733.33
Charles D. Henderson	Chief office deputy	do	1,040.00
Albert M. Ball	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 1, 1900	
James W. Carrier	do	Oath, June 3, 1899	
Leroy H. Jones	do	Oath, Mar. 13, 1899	
John W. Schell	do	Oath, Mar. 13, 1899	
James T. Hutchisson	do	Oath, Mar. 25, 1899	
Frank Simmons	Marshal	Jan. 29, 1900, to June 30, 1900.	1,268.67
Charles D. Henderson	Chief office deputy	do	780.00

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$9.82	\$9.82			\$194.25	\$191.05	\$18.26	\$18.26	\$238.03
8.00	8.00			62.75	62.75			
122.50	122.50			81.05	80.55			
13.50	13.50			697.05	687.05			
493.24	486.94	\$369.92	\$365.20	58.55	54.49			
1,450.92	1,428.92	1,088.19	1,071.69	243.69	242.44			
1,043.54	989.28	782.67	741.98	359.22	349.22			
1,078.52	1,065.92	808.88	799.51	244.25	240.91			
302.08	299.44	226.50	224.58	105.50	97.50			
1,148.94	1,146.86	861.71	860.15	258.80	258.30			
780.98	779.88	585.74	584.92	120.42	120.42			
1,141.64	1,108.40	856.23	831.30	259.27	252.73			
50.50	49.60	37.87	37.20	16.05	15.05			
717.68	692.84	538.26	519.63	103.05	101.25			
853.58	838.12	640.18	628.58	293.88	285.88			
871.48	870.84	653.61	653.13	181.78	175.43			
1,059.52	900.30	794.63	675.21	107.18	106.66			
20.78	20.78	15.58	15.58					
282.96	282.30	212.23	211.74	100.03	96.28			
76.33	76.33	57.25	57.25	11.10	11.10			
125.82	125.82	94.37	94.37	23.22	22.72			
635.56	629.56	476.68	472.18	99.85	97.25			
736.24	734.24	552.18	550.68	134.15	128.85			
191.70	191.70	143.77	143.77	40.00	38.00			
696.50	687.26	522.37	515.45	786.93	786.93			
13,912.33	13,559.15	10,318.88	10,054.10	4,582.02	4,502.81	18.26	18.26	238.03
758.14	758.14			132.72	132.72	14.30	14.30	313.64
44.48	44.48			332.07	332.07			
17.00	17.00							
247.64	242.52	185.73	181.89	156.96	154.96			
1,855.36	1,851.56	1,391.51	1,387.88	373.63	369.63			
399.70	399.70	299.77	299.77	300.69	300.69			
1,066.47	972.65	799.86	729.49	290.98	207.03			
549.25	549.25	412.40	412.40	253.00	248.85			
267.74	261.94	200.81	196.46	104.68	101.68			
119.15	119.15	89.36	89.36	57.17	57.17			
25.30	25.30	18.98	18.98	16.50	16.50			
90.30	90.30	67.72	67.72	24.47	23.39			
393.84	393.84	295.39	295.39	104.68	91.91			
1,339.98	1,339.38	1,004.99	1,004.09	290.99	284.10			
616.38	611.68	462.29	458.77	164.10	164.10			
7,790.73	7,676.89	5,228.81	5,142.20	2,572.64	2,484.80	14.30	14.30	313.64
90.00	90.00			272.65	268.65			43.25
138.61	138.61			1.10	1.10			
46.64	46.64	34.98	34.98	88.05	78.05			
514.58	471.72	385.94	353.80	192.64	177.91			
851.05	819.79	638.30	614.86	230.46	197.09			
147.59	146.45	110.70	109.84	55.25	47.75			
35.51	35.51			164.40	164.40			223.05
86.60	86.60			26.25	26.25			

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Alabama, south'n—C't'd.			
Albert M. Ball	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 30, 1900	
James W. Carrier	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1900	
Leroy H. Jones	do	do	
John W. Schell	do	Oath, Feb. 2, 1900	
Total			\$4,800.00
Alaska:			
James M. Shoup	Marshal	Fiscal year	2,500.00
James C. Blaine	Deputy marshal	do	750.00
Lewis L. Bowers	do	do	750.00
William D. Grant	do	do	750.00
Edward C. Hasey	do	do	750.00
John T. McElheny	do	do	750.00
W. S. Staley	do	do	750.00
Josias M. Tanner	do	Aug. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900	686.80
Cornelius L. Vawter	do	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 31, 1900	439.60
Jno. W. Snook	do	Fiscal year	750.00
W. H. McNair	Clerk and deputy marshal.	do	1,500.00
Underact of Mar. 3, 1899:			
Jno. F. Burkman	Deputy marshal	Appointed Aug. 5, 1899	
Jno. J. Cavender	do	do	
James W. Helms	do	do	
Harry L. Inman	do	Oath, Sept. 28, 1899	
James H. Lee	do	Appointed Aug. 5, 1899	
Alexander McPherson	do	Oath, Nov. 16, 1899	
Nicholas J. Trodo	do	Appointed Aug. 5, 1899	
Joseph Zuboff	do	Oath, Sept. 23, 1899	
James C. Black	do	Oath, May 14, 1899	
M. E. Handy	do	Oath, May 29, 1900	
W. H. Lewis			
G. W. Gilman			
E. L. Gilman			
H. S. Inman			
Total			10,376.40
Arizona:			
William M. Griffith	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Justus P. Welles	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
John Mets	Office deputy	Apr. 3, 1900, to June 30, 1900	220.08
George F. Smullin	do	Jan. 20, 1900, to June 30, 1900	536.70
Thomas Grindell	do	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 8, 1900	470.00
A. C. Alexander	Field deputy	Oath, Aug. 21, 1897	
Elbert L. Benbrook	do	Oath, Mar. 7, 1899	
W. M. Breckenridge	do	Oath, July 6, 1897	
Ralph H. Cameron	do	Oath, Aug. 10, 1898	
S. W. Finley	do	Oath, Dec. 8, 1897	
Wm. W. Flewelling	do	Oath, Feb. 7, 1899	
Dayton Graham	do	Oath, Dec. 21, 1897	
Charles R. Hood	do	Oath, Apr. 19, 1898	
John McCarty	do	Oath, Oct. 5, 1899	
J. D. Milton	do	Oath, Dec. 6, 1897	
Frank Morrell	do	Oath, July 5, 1897	
Alexander G. Oliver	do	Oath, July 27, 1898	
J. A. Porterie	do	Oath, July 27, 1897	
Wm. H. Potts	do	Oath, Feb. 10, 1898	
Herbert H. Pratt	do	Oath, Oct. 17, 1899	
J. H. Slaughter	do	Oath, Feb. 14, 1898	
Wm. C. Truman	do	Oath, Sept. 25, 1897	
Lyman W. Wakefield	do	Oath, Apr. 10, 1899	
Sam. F. Webb	do	Oath, Dec. 16, 1897	
Scott White	do	Oath, July 7, 1897	
U. G. Wilder	do	Oath, Aug. 16, 1897	
C. L. Wilson	do	Oath, Dec. 11, 1899	
Willard S. Wright	do	Oath, Aug. 25, 1897	
J. N. Cohenour	do	Oath, Nov. 4, 1897; service terminated Nov. 22, 1899.	
Total			6,726.73
Arkansas, eastern:			
Henry M. Cooper	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Geo. H. Hozier	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$213.26	\$213.26	\$159.95	\$159.95	\$41.50	\$41.50			
75.02	75.02	56.27	56.27	11.00	11.00			
17.70	17.70	13.26	13.26					
2,216.56	2,141.30	1,399.40	1,342.96	1,083.30	1,013.70			\$266.30
				729.10	729.10	\$1.88	\$1.88	
21.30	21.30	21.30	21.30	205.00	205.00			
209.50	209.50	209.50	209.50	1,046.50	1,046.50			
90.20	90.20	90.20	90.20	10.00	10.00			
426.10	426.10	426.10	426.10	190.40	190.40			
365.00	365.00	365.00	365.00	278.50	278.50			
94.50	94.50	94.50	94.50	190.70	190.70			
19.50	19.50	19.50	19.50	400.50	400.50			
221.00	221.00	221.00	221.00	387.50	387.50			
40.15	40.15	40.15	40.15					
8.00		8.00						
8.00		8.00						
4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00					
47.20	47.20	47.20	47.20					
1,554.45	1,538.45	1,554.45	1,538.45	3,438.20	3,438.20	1.88	1.88	
3,703.53	3,687.89			755.95	755.95	131.56	129.92	74.72
692.10	649.30			286.50	285.80	2.00	2.50	
684.41	656.41			384.95	367.75			
1,790.21	1,790.21			704.50	704.00	1.60	1.57	
2,192.82	2,133.02			1,390.80	1,387.80	.44	.44	
211.64	211.64	79.36	79.36	15.85	15.85			
52.00	52.00	19.50	19.50					
430.06	417.06	161.25	156.38	38.75	31.25			
506.12	505.12	189.79	189.42	47.50	47.50			
729.34	724.34	273.50	271.63	20.00	18.00			
129.74	129.74	48.65	48.65	7.00	7.00			
89.00	87.00	33.37	32.62	2.00	2.00			
85.56	85.56	32.08	32.08					
107.20	106.20	40.19	39.82	36.00	36.00			
255.60	240.00	95.85	84.53					
595.54	584.54	223.32	219.20	120.55	120.55			
12,254.87	12,057.03	1,196.86	1,173.19	3,810.65	3,779.45	135.60	134.43	74.72
2,320.57	2,320.57					86.29	85.99	876.38
175.84	175.34			86.80	86.80			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.
			Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
Arkansas, eastern—C't'd.			
F. D. Leaming	Office deputy	Fiscal year	\$1,500.00
Fred. E. Johnson	do	Apr. 16, 1900, to June 30, 1900	250.55
John T. Burris	do	Fiscal year	1,200.00
John McClure, jr.	do	do	1,200.00
J. G. Botsford	do	July 1, 1899, to Apr. 15, 1900	949.45
J. F. Huddleston	Field deputy	Oath, June 14, 1897	
William Hudson	do	Oath, Jan. 15, 1900	
Ed. Jefferson	do	Oath, June 5, 1899	
R. D. Suddeth	do	Oath, Oct. 14, 1899	
Cyrus Johnson	do	Oath, Apr. 20, 1897; service terminated Oct. 14, 1899.	
Jack Grayson	do	Oath, Oct. 9, 1899; service terminated Jan. 16, 1900.	
J. N. Bromley	do	Oath, Nov. 4, 1898; service terminated Jan. 15, 1900.	
Total			10,900.00
Arkansas, western:			
Solomon F. Stahl	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,000.00
W. C. Chynoweth	Chief office deputy	do	2,500.00
J. R. Hammond	Office deputy	do	1,600.00
A. S. Eshelman	do	Oct. 16, 1899, to June 30, 1900	425.59
Merritt C. Mechem	do	July 1, 1899, to Sept. 30, 1899	150.00
Samuel Allender	Field deputy	Special; no compensation	
L. A. Armistead	do	Oath, July 7, 1899	
James Baker	do	Oath, Nov. 22, 1897	
John H. Brown	do	Oath, Apr. 19, 1900; service terminated June 7, 1900.	
M. Carlton	do	Oath, June 14, 1897	
James Daniel	do	Oath, May 1, 1899	
Sam Gibson	do	Oath, Dec. 19, 1899	
Geo. F. Hammack	do	Oath, June 10, 1897	
J. F. Hinchee	do	Oath, Nov. 8, 1897	
H. B. Holman	do	Oath, June 12, 1897	
David Jacobs	do	Oath, July 7, 1899	
Jesse S. Lunsford	do	Oath, June 9, 1897	
F. M. Stroud	do	Oath, June 16, 1897	
Thomas H. Tate	do	Oath, Apr. 18, 1900	
J. G. Bickley	do	Oath, June 9, 1897; service terminated Dec. 12, 1899.	
Wm. King	do	Oath, Sept. 7, 1897; service terminated Feb. 28, 1900.	
Jack Grayson	do	Oath, Oct. 9, 1899; service terminated Jan. 16, 1900.	
Total			9,675.59
California, northern:			
John H. Shine	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Anthony L. Farish	Chief office deputy	do	2,500.00
George H. Burnham	Office deputy	do	1,500.00
Henry M. Moffitt	do	July 1, 1899, to May 31, 1900	1,284.60
Sheldon P. Monckton	do	Fiscal year	1,400.00
Richard De Lencie	do	June 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	115.40
Edward A. Morse	do	Nov. 16, 1899, to June 30, 1900	653.46
J. A. Littlefield	do	July 1, 1899, to Oct. 31, 1899	334.20
Charlotte A. Schrage	Clerk	Fiscal year	840.00
C. C. Crowley	Field deputy	Oath, June 3, 1898; special	
T. S. Catlett	do	Special; no compensation	
Jno. E. Donovan	do	Oath, June 9, 1898; special; no compensation.	
D. W. Frazer	do	Special; no compensation	
Charles H. Hurt	Special field deputy	Oath, June 15, 1898; special.	
Jno. C. Linne	Field deputy	Oath, June 22, 1898; special; no compensation.	
Daniel McSweeney	do	Oath, June 9, 1898; special; no compensation.	
Geo. W. Rager	do	Oath, June 16, 1898	
M. E. Ramsey	do	Oath, June 18, 1898; without compensation.	
T. B. Walker	do	Oath, Sept. 6, 1898; special; no compensation.	

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

337

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$792.09	\$463.28			\$293.20	\$293.20			
235.22	235.22			401.89	401.89			
2,039.34	2,039.34			1,647.88	1,647.88			
900.90	900.90			937.45	937.45			
1,084.17	1,074.84			990.81	990.81			
1,233.63	1,261.82	\$947.72	\$946.37	225.15	225.15			
744.32	739.68	558.23	554.76	91.70	91.70			
153.62	153.62	115.21	115.21	11.36	11.36			
571.26	568.06	428.44	426.04	111.60	109.60			
249.32	247.32	186.99	185.49	39.80	37.60			
445.06	445.06	333.78	333.80	71.75	71.25			
10,975.34	10,625.05	2,570.37	2,561.67	4,909.39	4,904.69	\$86.29	\$85.99	\$876.38
2,486.44	2,486.44			216.90	216.90	35.25	35.25	431.04
62.46	62.46			983.91	983.91			
18.00	18.00							
29.40	44.38			297.19	297.19			
12.50	12.50			14.05	14.05			
157.84	157.84	118.37	118.37	29.75	29.75			
38.20	38.20	28.65	28.65	.50	.50			
1,874.50	1,860.50	1,405.88	1,395.38	264.75	264.75			
337.95	337.95	253.45	253.44	52.15	52.15			
1,443.72	1,443.72	1,082.79	1,082.79	150.10	150.10			
351.16	351.16	263.37	263.37	80.30	80.30			
1,111.50	1,111.50	833.62	833.62	143.05	139.65			
3,309.51	3,309.51	2,482.12	2,482.12	321.73	321.70			
1,071.88	1,071.88	803.90	803.90	209.00	209.00			
530.38	530.38	397.79	397.79	104.60	104.60			
13.18	13.18	9.88	9.88	3.50	3.50			
633.06	627.86	474.79	470.89	51.00	52.60			
272.84	272.84	204.62	204.62	32.25	28.85			
66.74	66.74	50.05	50.05	59.91	59.91			
13,821.26	13,817.04	8,409.28	8,394.87	3,014.64	3,009.41	35.25	35.25	431.04
5,962.86	5,962.86			371.35	371.35	268.20	268.20	2,651.43
62.94	62.94			140.30	140.30			
1,232.51	1,232.51			725.28	725.28			
2,264.01	2,264.01			374.85	374.85			
823.26	823.26			499.30	499.30			
47.08	47.08			9.70	9.70			
420.23	420.23			289.05	289.05			
211.07	211.07			82.60	82.60			
14.00	14.00	10.50	10.50	30.85	30.85			
28.51	26.51	21.38	20.38	94.50	94.50			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.
			Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
California, n'th'n—C't'd.			
J. L. Walton	Field deputy	Service terminated Feb. 8, 1900; special; no compensation.	
Jno. McLean	do	do	
Henry McCollough	do	do	
Robert S. Browne	do	do	
William C. Bennett	do	do	
A. L. Stephens	do	do	
Joseph Enloe	do	do	
J. P. Barrett	do	do	
Levi P. Evans	do	do	
Jno. Birmingham, Jr.	do	do	
Total			\$12,632.66
California, southern:			
Henry Z. Osborne	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,000.00
H. T. Christian	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00
G. F. McCulloch	Office deputy	do	1,200.00
W. H. Auble	Field deputy	Oath, Sept. 16, 1898; special; no compensation.	
Robert S. Browne	do	Oath, July 5, 1898; special; no compensation.	
Harlow Gilbert	do	Oath, Apr. 12, 1900; special; to serve one subpcns.	
R. S. Hatch	do	Oath, Apr. 17, 1899	
Charles J. Oswald	do	Oath, Nov. 11, 1899	
H. J. Place	do	Oath, Nov. 26, 1898	
W. H. Puleston	do	Oath, Dec. 11, 1899; May 2, 1900	
James A. Cook	do	Oath, Nov. 7, 1898	
E. J. Boust	do	Oath, Oct. 21, 1898; service terminated Dec. 10, 1899	
Total			6,000.00
Colorado:			
Dewey C. Bailey	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Melvin Edwards	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
Everett S. Chapman	Office deputy	Nov. 20, 1899, to June 30, 1900	388.52
Benjamin M. Borland	do	July 1, 1899, to Nov. 19, 1899	231.48
William Crocker	Field deputy	Oath, July 1, 1898	
Edwin H. Davis	do	Oath, July 1, 1898	
Edward C. De Sellem	do	Oath, Dec. 11, 1899	
Oliver P. Wiggins	do	Oath, July 2, 1898; special; no compensation.	
Edward G. Jeffers	do	Oath, Sept. 22, 1899; service terminated Oct. 20, 1899; appointed for 30 days.	
Total			6,600.00
Connecticut:			
Edson S. Bishop	Marshal	Fiscal year	2,000.00
Philip E. Bowen	Chief office deputy	do	1,000.00
John H. Clarke	Field deputy	Oath, Dec. 15, 1898	
H. R. Wood	do	Oath, Sept. 24, 1898	
W. J. Burns	do	Oath, May 28, 1900; special	
Total			3,000.00
Delaware:			
John C. Short	Marshal	Fiscal year	2,000.00
William G. Mahaffy	Chief office deputy	do	1,000.00
James H. Clark	Office deputy	do	1,000.00
Total			4,000.00
District of Columbia:			
Anlick Palmer	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,500.00
William B. Robison	Chief office deputy	do	2,750.00
Buchanan Beale	Office deputy	do	2,000.00
Brooke M. Baker	do	do	1,800.00
V. H. McCormick	do	do	1,400.00
George Lowerree	do	Feb. 12, 1900, to June 30, 1900	421.64
Patrick F. Cusick	do	Fiscal year	1,100.00
Thomas F. Cook	do	do	1,100.00

339

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$11,066.47	\$11,064.47	\$31.88	\$30.88	\$2,617.78	\$2,617.78	\$268.20	\$268.20	\$2,651.43
1,069.08	1,069.08			57.40	57.40	141.50	141.50	814.31
432.92	432.92			96.05	95.80			
1,520.97	1,520.97			616.15	616.15			
.50	.50			24.40	24.40			
120.18	120.18	90.14	90.14	18.25	18.25			
143.56	143.56	107.68	107.68	33.55	27.55			
26.80	26.80	20.11	20.11	12.20	11.80			
3.50	3.50	2.63	2.63	11.10	10.60			
350.28	349.68	262.71	262.25	206.70	199.75			
3,667.79	3,667.19	483.27	482.81	1,075.80	1,061.20	141.50	141.50	814.31
1,289.72	1,272.06			753.41	718.34	25.66	25.66	2,099.84
167.41	152.41			33.45	24.00	22.86	22.86	
179.00	171.00			64.35	64.25			
70.50	70.50			43.15	43.15			
2,371.58	2,236.48	1,778.70	1,500.00	245.10	228.95			
2,417.49	2,088.71	1,813.12	1,500.00	189.40	172.85			
103.08	101.08	77.81	75.81	1.35	1.35			
6,598.78	6,092.24	3,669.13	3,075.81	1,330.21	1,252.89	48.52	48.52	2,099.84
1,843.76	1,333.25			94.92	94.42			968.86
401.12	357.32			98.95	98.95			
370.27	353.71	277.69	263.22	3.75	2.50			
248.01	240.35	185.99	180.25	7.00	7.00			
2,863.16	2,284.63	463.68	443.47	204.62	202.87			968.86
1,531.01	1,531.01			16.50	52.50	135.88	99.88	370.46
326.24	326.24			49.55	49.30	20.50	20.50	
1,857.25	1,857.25			66.05	101.80	156.38	120.38	370.46
3,550.91	3,550.91					2,623.78	2,623.78	4,688.07
747.77	747.77							
258.33	258.33							
2,466.00	2,466.00							
14.50	14.50							
1,641.53	1,641.53							
905.69	905.69							

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
Dist. of Columbia—C't'd.			
James W. Springman	Office deputy	Fiscal year	\$1,100.00
John S. Lacey	do	do	1,000.00
W. Jesse Roberts	do	Oct. 10, 1899, to June 30, 1900	725.54
William A. Wilkerson	do	Fiscal year	1,000.00
Edgar L. Turner	do	do	1,000.00
J. S. B. Hartsock	do	do	1,000.00
M. S. Farmer	do	do	1,000.00
William T. Garrison	do	do	1,000.00
Omar G. Stutler	do	Oct. 11, 1899, to June 30, 1900	650.56
Frederick A. Kraft	do	Fiscal year	900.00
Lee Ross	do	July 1, 1899, to Sept. 30, 1899	225.00
Clarence R. Wilson	do	July 1, 1899, to Oct. 9, 1899 ¹	233.62
E. L. Cornelius	do	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 5, 1900	659.98
Henry Johnson	Special deputy	Paid by District	
Total			26,566.34
Florida, northern:			
Thomas F. McGowin	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,000.00
Herman Wolf	Chief office deputy	do	1,275.00
C. Armstrong	Field deputy	Oath, May 7, 1900	
J. L. Behmyer	do	Oath, Feb. 3, 1899	
T. S. Watts	do	Oath, Feb. 1, 1899	
R. P. Wharton	do	Oath, May 17, 1899	
L. Wiselogel	do	Oath, Feb. 2, 1899	
James C. Stewart	do	Oath, Apr. 18, 1899; service terminated Jan. 23, 1900.	
C. F. Adkison	do	Oath, Oct. 10, 1899; service terminated Oct. 12, 1899; special.	
James Atkinson	do	Oath, Feb. 21, 1899; service terminated Oct. 25, 1900.	
Elisha Walker	do	Oath, Feb. 13, 1899; service terminated May 2, 1900.	
Total			4,275.00
Florida, southern:			
John F. Horr	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,000.00
Percy C. Stickney	Chief office deputy	do	1,200.00
Fred'k W. Johnson	Office deputy	do	600.00
Katharine Pillsbury	do	do	600.00
William Cox	Field deputy	Oath, June 1, 1898; special.	
Cicero G. Chandler	do	Oath, May 28, 1898; special.	
Jno. McS. Cameron	do	Oath, May 28, 1898; special.	
Edward M. McCook	do	Oath, Nov. 23, 1898	
W. H. McCormick	do	Oath, Jan. 7, 1899; during sickness of McCook.	
Delmer E. Peacock	do	Oath, July 1, 1899	
John L. Pherigo	do	Oath, Apr. 6, 1899	
Frank A. Root	do	Oath, July 20, 1898	
Jno. R. Williams	do	Oath, May 31, 1899	
Total			5,400.00
Georgia, northern:			
W. H. Johnson	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,000.00
James H. Rinard	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
William C. Thomas	Office deputy	do	1,800.00
Theodore D. Irish	do	do	1,800.00
R. A. Bailey	Field deputy	Oath, July 3, 1897	
E. L. Bergstrom	do	Oath, Oct. 28, 1899	
C. F. Brown	do	Oath, Jan. 4, 1898	
W. L. Cape	do	Oath, July 3, 1897	
T. W. Craigs	do	Oath, July 2, 1897	
Boon Crawford	do	Oath, Oct. 28, 1899	
J. W. Crawford	do	Oath, July 6, 1897	
J. A. Downs	do	Oath, July 5, 1897	
Thomas R. Glenn	do	Oath, Oct. 19, 1899	
J. W. Godfrey	do	Oath, July 12, 1897	
Jno. D. Goode	do	do	
Dennis M. Grizzle	do	Oath, Oct. 5, 1897	
R. M. Gudger	do	Oath, Apr. 12, 1898	
J. B. Johnson	do	Oath, July 9, 1897	
J. C. Johnson	do	Oath, Dec. 1, 1897	

¹ Not paid for period from Sept. 8 to Sept. 22, inclusive.

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$161.66½	\$161.66½							
824.66½	824.66½							
370.33½	370.33½							
1,546.83½	1,546.83½							
1,142.23	986.23			\$68.57	\$68.57			
879.66½	879.66½							
458.33½	458.33½							
416.00	416.00							
530.00	530.00							
650.50	650.50							
140.00	140.00							
22.66½	21.66½							
17.50	17.50							
203.33½	195.66½							
16,948.47	16,783.80			68.57	68.57	\$2,623.78	\$2,623.78	\$4,688.07
287.21	287.21			545.96	545.96	19.21	19.21	632.74
179.37	103.87			156.19	155.79			
21.68	21.68	\$16.25	\$16.26	18.55	18.55			
346.03	221.03	259.49	166.69	82.90	77.90			
1,090.22	1,071.82	817.64	803.83	101.00	101.00			
610.02	587.52	457.50	440.62	183.77	165.27			
908.89	879.73	681.66	659.49	60.50	60.50			
198.59	160.63	148.94	120.46	63.75	57.00			
129.10	129.10	96.81	96.81	14.35	13.35			
48.66	48.66	36.49	36.49	6.00	6.00			
3,819.77	3,510.85	2,514.79	2,340.65	1,232.97	1,201.32	19.21	19.21	632.74
1,416.78	1,416.78			457.50	457.50	17.33	17.33	1,046.82
169.43	169.43			443.05	438.00			
266.86	266.86			303.50	3000.50			
44.83	44.83			33.50	33.50			
1,392.13	1,374.52	1,044.10	1,030.89	144.57	132.02			
1,578.16	1,575.49	1,183.61	1,181.61	121.50	121.50			
208.98	208.98	156.74	156.74	21.80	21.80			
115.38	115.38	86.53	86.53					
256.16	250.17	192.12	187.62	55.80	16.80			
5,448.71	5,422.44	2,663.10	2,643.39	1,581.22	1,521.62	17.33	17.33	1,046.82
2,150.18	2,150.18			4.00	4.00	77.00	77.00	212.21
921.95	921.95			656.85	656.85			
31.50	31.50			29.30	29.30			
648.96	630.86	486.71	473.13	83.98	82.73			
553.00	555.00	416.23	416.23	114.81	113.31			
1,157.26	1,157.26	867.93	867.93	185.75	185.75			
1,592.32	1,592.32	1,194.23	1,194.23	160.75	160.75			
				9.28	9.28			
449.08	444.58	336.81	333.43	19.65	19.65			
466.78	446.78	350.07	350.07	59.80	59.80			
865.90	865.90	649.42	649.42	72.44	72.44			
1,300.16	1,300.16	975.12	975.12	105.50	105.50			
1,222.03	1,221.53	916.51	916.14	172.35	172.35			
1,158.38	1,157.88	868.78	868.41	139.35	137.35			
540.80	540.80	405.59	405.59	160.40	160.40			
851.52	851.52	638.63	638.63	101.00	101.00			
1,456.80	1,454.30	1,002.48	1,000.61	238.69	238.69			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and claims.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.	Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
Georgia northern—C't'd.				
J. R. Jones.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, July 8, 1897.....
B. B. Landers.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1897.....
William B. Puckett.....	do.....	Oath, Nov. 4, 1899.....
W. E. Roberts.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 11, 1898.....
Mark W. Scott.....	do.....	Oath, July 2, 1897.....
W. F. Standley.....	do.....	Oath, July 5, 1897.....
Jeremiah C. Thomas.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 23, 1899.....
W. C. Thomason.....	do.....	Oath, June 7, 1899.....
Thomas P. Trammel.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 27, 1899.....
C. L. Vigal.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 30, 1899.....
C. D. Williams.....	do.....	Oath, Nov. 16, 1897.....
James H. Loudermilk.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 20, 1897; service terminated Sept. 18, 1899.....
H. N. Ayers.....	do.....	Oath, Jan. 17, 1899; service terminated Oct. 19, 1899.....
J. S. Whatley.....	do.....	Oath, July 7, 1897; service terminated Oct. 24, 1899.....
S. H. Galloway.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 22, 1898; service terminated May 17, 1900.....
J. J. Patterson.....	do.....	Oath, July 3, 1897; service terminated May 26, 1899.....
J. B. Gaston.....	do.....	Oath; special.....
Total.....				\$10,600.00
Georgia, southern:				
John M. Barnes.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	3,500.00	
Richard D. Locke.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	1,500.00	
Charles J. White.....	do.....	do.....	1,000.00	
H. W. Bradford.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Dec. 9, 1898.....	
Jno. P. Cason.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 16, 1898.....	
Fred. Dismuke, jr.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 7, 1897.....	
Geo. E. Gray.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 8, 1898.....	
William A. Hoss.....	do.....	Oath, Jan. 18, 1899.....	
Jno. A. Kelly.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 16, 1898.....	
Thomas A. Lewis.....	do.....	Oath, July 2, 1897.....	
Furman B. Pearce.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 20, 1900; special for 2 days.....	
Geo. H. Thomas.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1897.....	
Geo. F. White.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1897.....	
A. S. Whiteley.....	do.....	Oath, May 25, 1900; July 2, 1899.....	
D. A. Whiteley.....	do.....	Oath, Nov. 15, 1899; temporary appointment.....	
Henry G. Tucker.....	do.....	Oath, June 19, 1900.....	
Walter P. Corbett.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1899; for 3 days, special.....	
Total.....				6,000.00
Idaho:				
Frank C. Ramsey.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	3,000.00	
Joseph Pinkham.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,800.00	
C. A. Foresman.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	1,200.00	
John J. Coakley.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, May 21, 1899; temporary.....	
Total.....				6,000.00
Illinois, northern:				
John C. Aines.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	5,000.00	
Peter A. Rowe.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	2,000.00	
B. E. Robinson.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	1,500.00	
Israel C. Cox.....	do.....	do.....	1,500.00	
George M. Wherritt.....	do.....	do.....	1,500.00	
Harry C. Wade.....	do.....	do.....	1,200.00	
George Q. Allen.....	do.....	do.....	1,000.00	
Thomas Middleton.....	do.....	do.....	649.70	
E. H. Pedersen.....	do.....	do.....	649.70	
G. H. Voss.....	do.....	do.....	649.70	
William E. Cass.....	do.....	do.....	649.70	
M. E. Patterson.....	do.....	do.....	1,000.00	
Darwin A. Fraley.....	do.....	do.....	1,000.00	
S. O. Tripp.....	do.....	do.....	1,200.00	
Charles W. Watson.....	do.....	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 28, 1900.....	407.70	
William M. Grainger.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Feb. 1, 1898; special, no compensation.....	
W. J. King.....	do.....	Oath, special, no compensation.....	

343

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$685.80	\$685.80	\$514.34	\$514.34	\$74.49	\$74.49			
1,003.40	1,003.40	752.53	752.53	115.16	114.66			
729.94	729.94	547.44	547.44	72.25	72.25			
684.31	680.31	513.21	510.21	168.81	166.32			
1,635.85	1,623.05	1,226.87	1,217.27	381.43	381.43			
724.94	724.94	543.70	543.70	78.75	78.75			
668.74	668.74	501.55	501.55	86.27	86.27			
904.40	903.90	678.51	677.91	99.72	99.72			
1,373.96	1,371.96	1,030.46	1,018.98	158.10	158.10			
1,221.86	1,221.86	916.88	916.88	154.15	154.15			
101.84	101.84	76.37	76.37	13.30	12.40			
470.84	470.84	353.12	353.12	34.48	34.48			
382.02	382.02	286.51	286.51	42.25	42.25			
518.50	518.50	388.86	388.86	55.51	55.51			
				60.00	60.00			
26,473.02	26,429.62	17,528.36	17,484.11	3,908.57	3,899.93	\$77.00	\$77.00	\$212.21
1,823.58	1,823.23			310.31	310.31	23.10	23.10	875.64
214.48	214.48			351.84	351.84			
600.99	600.39			178.88	178.88			
623.20	613.80	467.40	460.85	317.01	300.39			
1,706.53	1,704.03	1,279.91	1,278.03	739.37	722.23			
765.52	764.52	574.15	573.40	249.93	241.13			
14.54	14.54	10.90	10.90	1.37	1.37			
1,269.89	1,267.39	952.41	950.54	383.13	374.60			
1,985.27	1,900.77	1,488.96	1,405.77	753.99	686.95			
396.56	396.56	297.42	297.42	48.26	48.26			
1,186.81	1,174.65	890.10	880.97	199.57	195.57			
				142.00	142.00			
				74.25	74.25			
10,587.37	10,474.36	5,961.25	5,857.98	3,749.41	3,627.28	23.10	23.10	875.64
1,082.63	1,082.63			2,044.05	2,030.55	43.29	43.16	
1,093.75	1,093.75			990.35	981.60			
1,129.91	1,129.91			635.30	626.80			
3,306.29	3,306.29			3,669.70	3,638.95	43.29	43.16	964.36
2,140.31	2,140.31					344.88	341.63	2,692.49
1,312.84	1,300.54			197.34	193.08			
814.52	807.56			132.79	132.34			
878.47	873.53			114.93	114.93			
1,025.54	1,024.42			200.31	200.31			
252.18	252.18			25.64	25.64			
152.96	136.66			66.23	66.23			
782.53	781.95			66.89	66.09			
272.46	272.46			88.49	88.49			
469.67	461.45			38.29	38.29			
621.46	618.66			60.55	60.55			
106.87	105.81			12.47	12.47			
95.02	94.96			7.01	7.01			
2,166.99	2,137.70			477.82	472.33		.40	</

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Illinois northern—C't'd.			
James B. Nichols	Field deputy	Oath, Mar. 24, 1899; special, no com- pensation.	-----
J. D. G. Oglesbydo	Oath, Apr. 23, 1900; special, no com- pensation.	-----
Total	-----	-----	\$19,906.50
Illinois, southern:			
Charles P. Hitch	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,500.00
Edward E. Watts	Chief office deputydo	2,000.00
Charles E. Flinn	Office deputydo	1,200.00
John Edwardsdodo	600.00
A. J. Babcockdodo	600.00
William L. Daviesdodo	600.00
T. L. Dowell	Field deputy	Oath, July 6, 1897	-----
E. M. Eatondodo	-----
Peter Saupdo	Oath, July 22, 1897	-----
Archibald Springdo	Oath, July 6, 1897	-----
Harry Swimmerdo	Oath, July 21, 1897	-----
Miller Weirdo	Oath, July 6, 1897	-----
D. C. Williamsdo	Oath, July 8, 1897	-----
Total	-----	-----	9,500.00
Indiana:			
S. E. Kercheval	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,500.00
John E. Foley	Chief office deputydo	2,000.00
Alonzo Boyd	Office deputydo	1,200.00
George E. Branhamdodo	1,000.00
Mrs. Mamie Baker	Stenographerdo	600.00
Andrew Fite	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 17, 1897	-----
J. T. Peckdo	Oath, Apr. 10, 1897	-----
Elias O. Rosedo	Oath, May 6, 1897	-----
Total	-----	-----	9,300.00
Indian Territory, north- ern:			
Leo E. Bennett	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Frank C. Hubbard	Chief office deputydo	2,500.00
E. L. Berry	Office deputydo	1,600.00
K. L. Billsdodo	1,200.00
C. H. Griswold	Office deputy and stenographer.do	900.00
G. S. White	Office deputydo	900.00
Russell Wigginsdo	Sept. 16, 1899 to June 30, 1900	474.46
George D. Storydo	Fiscal year	900.00
John C. Dannenbergdodo	600.00
R. M. J. Shriverdodo	600.00
David Adams	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
M. L. Albertydo	Temporary appointment	-----
Gabe Beckdo	Oath, Oct. 16, 1897	-----
J. L. Browndo	Oath, Dec. 20, 1898	-----
William Bucknerdo	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
H. Busseydo	Oath, Oct. 16, 1897	-----
E. F. Cochrando	Oath, Mar. 15, 1899	-----
James Colbydo	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
George A. Davisdo	Oath, July 16, 1898	-----
Orlando Dobsondo	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
Jacob Harrisondodo	-----
Grant Johnsondodo	-----
W. F. Jonesdodo	-----
N. M. Jonesdo	Oath, Oct. 25, 1897	-----
Geo. P. Lawsondo	Oath, Oct. 16, 1897	-----
A. M. Lewisdo	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
J. S. O'Briando	Oath, Apr. 4, 1898	-----
Joseph Paynedo	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
Bass Reevesdodo	-----
J. C. C. Rogersdodo	-----
W. E. Shipleydo	Oath, Jan. 16, 1899	-----
W. N. Stannarddo	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	-----
Joseph Thompsondodo	-----
P. Talbertdodo	-----
Fles. C. Thompsondodo	-----
A. J. Traildo	Oath, Apr. 9, 1898	-----

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$11,287.06	\$11,203.13			\$1,496.41	\$1,485.41	\$344.88	\$342.03	\$2,692.49
2,051.80	2,012.13			55.86	55.86	83.03	82.49	2,573.47
1,612.97	1,603.47			792.52	793.29			
605.45	605.55			339.01	335.21			
139.24	139.24			67.89	67.89			
7.04	7.04			1.90	1.90			
2,648.82	2,635.74	\$1,986.23	\$1,974.94	46.03	39.27			
2,168.18	2,119.42	1,626.03	1,589.56	122.99	117.99			
17.92	17.92	13.44	13.44					
2,307.54	2,283.66	1,730.65	1,712.76	131.74	129.99			
9.12	9.12	6.84	6.84					
1,334.98	1,303.32	1,001.14	977.49	59.92	59.92			
2,382.26	2,372.10	1,786.69	1,757.90	60.77	59.82			
15,284.82	15,108.71	8,151.02	8,032.93	1,678.63	1,651.14	83.03	82.49	2,573.47
870.70	870.70			30.40	30.40	121.60	121.60	1,574.80
233.05	233.05			37.20	37.20			
1,880.38	1,880.38			1,322.98	1,321.98			
1,928.36	1,928.36			1,172.38	1,172.38			
409.68	409.68	307.25	307.25	62.26	59.86			
1,054.60	1,054.60	790.94	790.94	74.72	72.62			
630.90	630.60	473.16	472.94	84.71	83.03			
7,007.67	7,007.37	1,571.35	1,571.13	2,784.65	2,777.47	121.60	121.60	1,574.80
3,372.48	3,372.48			3,798.55	2,471.16	1,940.41	1,940.41	1,542.72
108.60	108.00			1,426.09	1,426.09			
				471.74	465.74			
				103.25	103.25			
344.10	334.10			1,665.70	1,664.95			
189.60	189.60			205.20	203.70			
				221.65	221.65			
116.95	116.95			433.76	433.76			
25.00	25.00			24.75	24.75			
66.22	66.22			24.25	24.25			
922.83	905.93	692.11	679.72	217.65	194.40			
662.44	645.34	496.83	484.01	204.75	202.75			
1,217.10	1,195.80	912.83	896.85	207.75	205.75			
705.16	694.76	528.87	527.06	114.25	114.25			
680.34	651.34	495.24	488.49	111.49	111.49			
29.54	29.54	22.16	22.16	4.50	4.50			
631.07	627.23	473.29	470.41	278.80	275.55			
533.79	525.79	400.34	394.34	83.25	82.50			
709.82	709.82	532.36	532.36	162.25	162.25			
855.33	847.83	641.48	635.86	174.50	170.50			
713.20	699.70	534.88	524.75	248.50	248.25			
940.98	892.83	705.72	669.61	407.93	398.93			
883.74	868.14	662.79	651.09	276.75	276.25			
756.14	742.66	567.10	557.00	205.25	205.25			
1,715.35	1,707.33	1,286.51	1,280.50	202.54	201.54			
1,152.90	1,147.36	864.67	860.52	339.75	339.50			
610.78	600.78	458.07	450.58	232.95	232.57			
1,327.85	1,324.05	995.88	993.04	597.05	595.55			
1,177.54	1,108.79	883.14	831.58	370.75	369.75			
1,883.45	1,372.45	1,037.58	1,029.34	498.75	494.88			

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Ind. Ter., north'n—C't'd.			
David M. Webb	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898	
Jno. C. West	do	do	
Total			\$13,674.46
Indian Territory, central:			
Jasper P. Grady	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Frank S. Genung	Chief office deputy	do	2,500.00
A. A. Billingsley	Office deputy	do	1,600.00
Geo. L. Miller	do	do	1,200.00
Mike Conlan	do	do	900.00
James W. Hale	do	do	900.00
Aurelius M. Chitwood	do	do	900.00
James Berry	Field deputy	Oath, June 1, 1898	
James R. Cole	do	Oath, May 11, 1898	
W. H. Cox	do	Oath, May 3, 1898	
B. O. Criswell	do	Oath, May 17, 1900	
H. F. Donathan	do	Oath, July 16, 1897	
J. E. Emmert	do	Oath, July 21, 1897	
J. M. Ennis	do	Oath, May 7, 1898	
J. H. Everidge	do	Oath, Oct. 21, 1898	
Robert Fortune	do	Oath, May 6, 1898; service termina- ted July 31, 1899; oath, Dec. 8, 1899.	
E. G. Foster	do	Oath, Apr. 10, 1900; temporary	
Edward Foster	do	Oath, Apr. 20, 1900	
E. L. Garner	do	Oath, May 1, 1897	
H. M. Goddard	do	Oath, July 8, 1899	
George Holvey	do	Oath, May 1, 1897	
Greenwood La Flore	do	Oath, Aug. 3, 1899	
J. B. Lee	do	Oath, Apr. 16, 1900	
R. C. Lee	do	Oath, Oct. 8, 1898; service terminated June 1, 1900.	
T. B. McMurray	do	Oath, Dec. 8, 1898	
H. E. Miller	do	Oath, May 3, 1897	
Geo. E. Parker	do	Oath, Apr. 26, 1900	
J. P. Perkins	do	Oath, July 13, 1897	
H. K. Wall	do	Oath, May 3, 1897	
Henry Willis	do	Oath, Apr. 10, 1898	
W. C. York	do	Oath, Jan. 1, 1898	
J. L. Schoolfield	do	Oath, May 6, 1898; service terminated Aug. 10, 1899.	
H. Peckinpough	do	Oaths, Apr. 15, 1899; Sept. 4, 1899; service terminated Nov. 27, 1899.	
Jack McClure	do	Oath, Jan. 30, 1899	
W. W. Bradshaw	do	Oath, May 3, 1897; service termina- ted Dec. 31, 1900.	
Thomas A. Brown	do	Oath, Sept. 12, 1898; service termina- ted Dec. 31, 1900.	
James H. Thompson	do	Oath, May 4, 1898; service termina- ted Feb. 28, 1900.	
Joseph L. Norvell	do	Oath, Aug. 15, 1898; service termina- ted Apr. 9, 1900.	
F. M. Grayson	do	Oath, June 6, 1900	
R. Lee Pierce	do	Oath, Apr. 3, 1899; service termina- ted Apr. 26, 1899; special.	
Total			12,000.00
Indian Territory, south- ern:			
John S. Hammer	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Raymond Herz	Chief office deputy	do	2,500.00
Geo. S. Hammer	Office deputy	do	1,600.00
Herbert E. Foster	do	do	1,200.00
Arthur M. Foss	do	do	1,200.00
J. H. Letherman	do	do	900.00
John A. Tucker	do	do	900.00
William Green Brown	do	do	720.00
Roy G. Bradford	do	May 10, 1900, to June 30, 1900.	102.82
Mollie Norris	Stenographer	July 1, 1899, to Nov. 30, 1899.	332.60
Emma Norris	do	Dec. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.	467.40
D. E. Booker	Field deputy	Oath, Dec. 2, 1897	
W. C. Boyer	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
Jas. H. Bridges	do	Oath, July 27, 1899	

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$1,143.88	\$1,127.38	\$357.90	\$345.53	\$464.20	\$464.20	-----	-----	
131.84	129.84	98.50	96.38	23.50	23.50	-----	-----	
23,066.92	22,767.04	14,148.25	13,921.18	13,801.55	812,413.41	\$1,940.41	\$1,940.41	\$1,542.72
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1,847.73	1,847.73	-----	-----	141.00	141.00	833.31	824.83	798.90
26.35	26.35	-----	-----	1,586.20	1,585.20	-----	-----	-----
.50	.50	-----	-----	127.50	127.50	-----	-----	-----
7.00	7.00	-----	-----	1,540.85	1,540.85	-----	-----	-----
140.58	140.58	-----	-----	1,148.35	1,148.35	-----	-----	-----
266.60	266.60	-----	-----	415.67	410.67	-----	-----	-----
387.25	387.05	290.43	290.28	384.30	380.60	-----	-----	-----
668.45	664.95	501.33	498.71	26.65	26.20	-----	-----	-----
377.50	365.88	283.13	274.40	233.55	233.55	-----	-----	-----
51.80	51.80	38.85	38.85	44.25	44.25	-----	-----	-----
1,479.97	1,470.49	1,109.98	1,102.87	7.65	7.00	-----	-----	-----
477.52	476.02	358.15	357.03	574.30	573.80	-----	-----	-----
942.64	930.24	706.97	697.67	218.90	218.90	-----	-----	-----
285.11	285.11	213.83	213.83	344.10	344.10	-----	-----	-----
574.94	574.94	431.21	431.21	81.25	81.25	-----	-----	-----
				44.30	44.30	-----	-----	-----
9.36	9.36	7.02	7.02	3.75	3.75	-----	-----	-----
288.62	288.62	216.47	216.47	90.50	90.50	-----	-----	-----
1,404.78	1,404.78	1,053.58	1,049.20	408.96	408.96	-----	-----	-----
970.03	942.93	727.52	707.19	171.30	165.55	-----	-----	-----
329.76	307.58	247.33	230.69	111.95	106.15	-----	-----	-----
193.76	193.76	145.32	145.32	73.00	73.00	-----	-----	-----
783.05	767.87	587.90	575.91	133.65	131.40	-----	-----	-----
1,530.24	1,524.54	1,147.69	1,143.41	701.17	692.92	-----	-----	-----
330.56	328.70	247.92	246.53	71.70	64.70	-----	-----	-----
159.16	158.66	119.37	119.00	53.25	53.25	-----	-----	-----
1,385.71	1,263.63	1,039.28	1,022.71	381.40	387.80	-----	-----	-----
244.56	244.56	183.43	183.43	93.05	91.05	-----	-----	-----
112.50	112.50	84.38	84.38	9.50	9.50	-----	-----	-----
108.96	105.04	81.72	78.78	11.50	11.50	-----	-----	-----
820.72	803.86	615.54	602.89	356.07	353.32	-----	-----	-----
226.68	200.48	170.01	150.36	56.15	56.15	-----	-----	-----
41.28	41.28	30.96	30.96	16.50	16.50	-----	-----	-----
572.98	560.98	429.73	420.73	107.45	104.75	-----	-----	-----
663.58	643.58	497.69	482.69	259.45	254.83	-----	-----	-----
26.42	26.42	19.82	19.82	5.00	5.00	-----	-----	-----
17.90		13.43		.50		-----	-----	-----
17,754.55	17,424.35	11,599.39	11,422.34	10,034.87	9,967.60	833.31	824.83	798.90
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
5,534.45	5,316.46	-----	-----	2,145.19	2,125.59	61.13	56.39	2,683.16
556.08	556.08	-----	-----	2,023.45	2,010.41	-----	-----	-----
182.93	182.93	-----	-----	879.78	854.78	-----	-----	-----
402.11	402.11	-----	-----	128.35	128.35	-----	-----	-----
329.28	329.28	-----	-----	568.95	568.95	-----	-----	-----
216.42	216.42	-----	-----	12.90	12.90	-----	-----	-----
450.51	450.51	-----	-----	68.30	65.80	-----	-----	-----
158.61	158.61	-----	-----	32.20	32.20	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
458.02	450.32	343.53	337.76	168.00	167.80	-----	-----	-----
773.30	764.22	579.99	573.18	239.45	234.20	-----	-----	-----
932.38	896.48	699.30	671.63	619.95	604.28	-----	-----	-----

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.
			Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Ind. Ter., south'n—C't'd.			
Z. Brock	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 10, 1900	
Charles A. Burns	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
Jno. F. Clemens	do	do	
Robert M. Cummings	do	Oath, Oct. 18, 1899	
James B. Davis	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
L. D. Dickerson	do	Oath, June 23, 1898	
Buck Garrett	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
J. S. Hammer, jr.	do	do	
James R. Hutchins	do	do	
T. E. Lilly	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
Selden T. Lindsey	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898; service termi- nated July 20, 1900.	
C. Madsen	do	Oath, Mar. 8, 1898	
W. E. McLemore	do	Oath, July 27, 1899	
Robert Nester	do	Oath, June 23, 1898	
Joseph A. Schrimsher	do	Oath, Apr. 6, 1900	
George M. Stewart	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
Jno. A. Tucker	do	do	
Sam Harper	do	Oath, July 17, 1899	
N. W. Fisher	do	Oath, Jan. 10, 1900; declined	
Geo. W. Brown	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898; service termi- nated Jan. 6, 1900.	
D. F. Fore	do	Oath, Sept. 1, 1898; service termi- nated Sept. 1, 1899.	
Ben. C. Collins	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898; service termi- nated (?).	
Thomas J. Covington	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898; service termi- nated Sept. 30, 1899.	
W. C. Everheart	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898; service termi- nated (?).	
Total			\$13,922.82
Iowa, northern:			
Edward Knott	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Benjamin F. Bean	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
Horace Poole	Clerk	do	1,050.00
Gustaf F. Gustafson	Field deputy	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899	
Michael L. Healy	do	Oath, Mar. 31, 1899	
Jacob A. Tracey	do	Oath, Mar. 23, 1898	
Ray Van Derveer	do	Oath, July 19, 1898; service termi- nated Sept. 30, 1899.	
Total			6,550.00
Iowa, southern:			
George M. Christian	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Howard L. Hedrick	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
Jessie I. Christian	Office deputy	do	900.00
Harry Fulton	Field deputy	Oath, Nov. 21, 1899	
George W. McNaught	do	Oath, Mar. 2, 1898	
W. A. Richards	do	Oath, Mar. 22, 1898	
A. E. Willis	do	Oath, Mar. 2, 1898	
Jno. McCormick	do	Oath, Mar. 23, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.	
R. C. Delmage	do	Oath, Mar. 3, 1898; service termi- nated Jan. 1, 1900.	
T. J. Parrott	do		
Total			6,900.00
Kansas:			
Wm. Edgar Sterne	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Donald N. Willits	Chief office deputy	do	1,529.69
J. E. Brown	Office deputy	do	600.00
W. C. Mooney	Field deputy	Oath, Mar. 26, 1898	
E. A. Prescott	do	Oath, Mar. 1, 1898	
Fred. C. Trigg	do	Oath, Feb. 19, 1898	
Total			6,129.69
Kentucky:			
A. D. James	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,000.00
Thomas A. Mitchel	Chief office deputy	Oct. 13, 1899, to June 30, 1900	1,291.30
Do	Office deputy	July 1, 1899, to Oct. 12, 1899	423.91

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of the United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap-proved.	Claimed.	Ap-proved.	Claimed.	Ap-proved.	
\$179.20	\$168.72	\$134.40	\$126.55	\$124.25	\$122.75			
521.14	500.08	390.88	375.07	279.70	274.45			
735.18	687.01	551.40	515.29	413.75	398.75			
284.33	282.79	213.25	212.10	180.50	174.00			
1,511.80	1,490.10	1,133.86	1,117.60	355.30	355.05			
986.35	968.75	739.77	725.46	406.45	395.95			
1,427.84	1,405.72	1,070.88	1,054.29	289.75	287.50			
742.74	725.64	557.07	544.25	225.80	223.30			
611.29	599.69	458.48	449.78	214.29	211.70			
1,232.70	1,190.60	924.55	892.98	639.30	594.75			
552.13	484.93	414.11	393.71	39.80	39.80			
1,945.99	1,917.91	1,459.50	1,438.45	877.05	852.50			
1,134.03	1,124.83	850.54	843.64	464.95	450.20			
1,266.97	1,250.17	950.24	937.65	584.95	578.08			
137.48	131.48	103.11	98.61	47.25	45.75			
601.95	597.45	451.47	448.11	254.00	251.75			
729.60	707.58	547.21	532.20	98.05	97.55			
204.74	203.54	143.43	142.53	74.75	73.75			
28.79	15.05	21.60	11.30	21.75	14.25			
33.96	33.96	25.47	25.47	4.00	4.00			
129.76	129.76	97.32	97.32	30.50	30.50			
24,992.06	24,338.18	12,861.34	12,534.93	12,512.57	12,281.59	\$61.13	\$56.39	\$2,683.16
600.91	596.91			57.80	51.70	89.50	89.25	421.80
902.07	851.64			468.81	468.21			
1,557.80	1,394.60	1,168.35	1,120.92	231.71	224.95			
2,002.30	1,959.54	1,501.73	1,469.66	259.45	254.14			
2,076.26	2,041.13	1,557.19	1,500.00	212.96	210.46			
113.56	113.56	85.17	85.17	27.83	27.83			
7,252.90	6,957.38	4,312.44	4,175.75	1,258.58	1,237.29	89.50	89.25	421.80
763.28	763.28			127.49	127.36	96.60	94.40	
38.62	38.62			100.51	100.51			
4.00	4.00			111.47	111.47			
358.22	354.60	268.60	265.20	9.65	9.65			
1,500.96	1,454.90	1,125.71	1,091.17	56.48	51.83			
2,014.96	1,976.60	1,511.22	1,502.17	57.93	56.93			
936.78	908.46	702.58	681.54	52.33	49.58			
77.96	77.96	58.47	58.47	1.28	1.28			
208.06	190.86	156.04	143.14	39.67	39.67			
5.50		4.13		4.00				
5,908.34	5,768.28	3,826.81	3,741.49	560.81	548.28	96.60	94.40	479.20
1,590.10	1,590.10			770.23	770.18	56.30	55.39	2,689.98
231.67	76.75			294.31	227.53	.25	.25	
205.82	195.82			86.64	86.64			
1,851.90	1,785.70	1,375.04	1,339.28	12.50	10.50			
2,599.40	2,557.04	1,580.07	1,800.00	380.00	374.98			
2,230.04	2,215.34	1,338.36	1,661.50	88.15	84.40			
8,658.93	8,390.75	4,293.47	4,800.78	1,571.83	1,554.23	56.55	55.64	2,689.98
2,441.02	2,441.02			55.50	55.50	106.20	105.97	1,633.22
124.80	124.80			145.55	145.55			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Kentucky—Continued.			
Walter A. Blackburn.	Office deputy	Fiscal year	\$1,500.00
Melville C. James	do	do	1,200.00
Hortense Horton	do	do	1,000.00
J. A. Coleman	Field deputy	Oath, Oct. 17, 1898	
Jno. D. Compton	do	Oath, Aug. 6, 1897	
Lincoln Denton	do	Oath, Mar. 21, 1898	
B. A. Fuson	do	Oath, Apr. 28, 1899	
Henry T. Gose	do	Oath, May 11, 1899	
James W. Huff	do	Oath, May 12, 1900	
Fred N. Isbell	do	Oath, Apr. 16, 1898	
Presley Jackson	do	Oath, Jan. 12, 1898	
J. F. Justice	do	Oath, June 4, 1900	
Boyd Kelly	do	Oath, Apr. 13, 1900	
M. W. LaRue	do	Oath, July 14, 1897	
Con McCarty	do	Oath, July 9, 1897	
E. B. Long	do	Oath, May 1, 1900	
John C. Morgan	do	Oath, Apr. 19, 1899	
A. B. Patrick	do	Oath, Aug. 1, 1899	
John B. Rogers	do	Oath, Apr. 13, 1899	
W. T. Short	do	Oath, Aug. 31, 1897	
George C. Thompson	do	Oath, July 19, 1897	
J. Howard Wilson	do	Oath, Sept. 16, 1899	
Lawrence Yonts	do	Oath, June 7, 1900	
F. I. Page	do	Oath, July 12, 1897; service termi- nated May 1, 1900.	
James M. Blair	do	Oath, Jan. 2, 1899; service terminated	
W. H. Power	do	Oath, Aug. 18, 1898; service termi- nated Aug. 1, 1899.	
Thomas S. Salyer	do	Oath, July 12, 1897; service termi- nated Aug. 1, 1899.	
Mose Dixon	do	Oath, June 27, 1898; service termi- nated Jan. 1, 1900.	
Elijah G. Howard	do	Oath, Aug. 1, 1899; service termi- nated Apr. 15, 1900.	
J. D. Keel	do	Oath, Aug. 1, 1899; service termi- nated June 1, 1900.	
Total			10,415.21
Louisiana, eastern:			
Charles Fontellieu	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,000.00
Felix Bienvenu	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
T. I. Galbreth	Office deputy	do	1,087.20
Joseph H. Patin	do	do	1,000.00
Total			¹ 6,587.20
Louisiana, western:			
J. M. Martin	Marshal	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 18, 1900	1,375.00
Alex. H. Bernstein	Chief office deputy	do	660.00
W. C. Farrington	Field deputy	Oath, Nov. 22, 1898	
D. S. A. Harmon	do	Oath, Jan. 2, 1897	
Nathan L. Stewart	do	Oath, July 2, 1897	
Dan. W. Childers	do	Oath, Jan. 10, 1898	
Lee McAlpin	do	Oath, Jan. 6, 1897	
J. H. Crawford	do	Oath, Mar. 5, 1898	
H. E. Estorge	do	Oath, Sept. 23, 1897	
B. D. Loper	do	Oath, Dec. 10, 1897	
Lemuel Gustine	Marshal	Jan. 19, 1900, to June 30, 1900	1,125.00
Alex. H. Bernstein	Chief office deputy	do	540.00
R. L. Brigman	Field deputy	Oath, Feb. 15, 1900; special; no com- pensation.	
W. C. Farrington	do	Oath, Jan. 20, 1900	
D. S. A. Harmon	do	Oath, Jan. 22, 1900	
Nathan L. Stewart	do	Oath, Jan. 20, 1900	
Lee McAlpin	do	Oath, Jan. 25, 1900	
Leonce Jacobs	do	Oath, June 15, 1900	
Total			3,700.00

¹ Account for June quarter not rendered when this exhibit was prepared.

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$164.60	\$164.60			\$31.90	\$31.90			
775.16	768.00			377.84	374.24			
228.48				25.75	25.75			
944.75	929.13	\$708.55	\$696.85	105.94	104.94			
836.43	834.63	627.30	625.81	53.05	53.05			
273.78	273.78	205.33	205.33	40.33	42.03			
1,471.62	1,471.62	1,103.71	1,103.71	82.12	82.12			
1,968.28	1,799.90	1,476.20	1,351.40	156.37	155.12			
76.58	76.58	57.43	57.43	36.83	36.83			
2,016.00	1,609.98	1,511.99	1,500.00	132.52	121.26			
1,311.06	1,309.06	983.28	981.78	70.35	70.35			
12.02	12.02	9.01	9.01	4.50	4.50			
257.12	257.12	192.84	192.84	20.15	19.40			
1,045.60	1,018.10	784.19	763.58	134.20	132.15			
996.59	994.09	747.42	745.58	388.39	379.74			
53.04	53.04	39.78	39.78	2.25	2.25			
1,044.82	1,010.30	783.60	756.97	160.50	154.25			
1,043.15	1,040.11	782.35	780.07	126.80	125.55			
1,269.92	1,277.00	952.43	957.75	111.36	94.05			
1,347.57	1,335.57	1,010.67	1,001.68	189.42	184.87			
1,139.10	1,139.08	854.32	854.31	109.01	108.01			
312.33	291.53	234.24	218.64	18.95	18.95			
17.40	17.40	13.05	13.05	1.25	1.25			
257.34	257.28	193.00	192.96	53.25	53.25			
21.52	21.52	16.14	16.14	3.00	3.00			
76.72	76.72	57.54	57.54	10.10	10.10			
257.94	257.94	193.45	126.40	10.25	10.25			
140.73	140.73	105.54	105.54	4.14	4.14			
687.66	685.66	515.74	424.29	35.50	35.50			
1,220.20	1,219.70	915.14	914.77	34.40	33.65			
23,833.33	22,907.01	15,074.24	14,693.21	2,731.47	2,673.50	\$106.20	\$105.97	\$1,633.22
1,063.75	1,063.75			.50	.50	.70	.60	854.20
582.68	568.14			49.90	49.64	.04		
784.19	756.81			62.85	62.85			
583.91	572.41			369.20	362.85	.14	.14	
3,014.53	2,961.11			482.45	475.84	.88	.74	854.20
35.84	36.83			7.30	7.30			20.38
221.66	105.98			110.80	50.25			
183.62	147.34	137.70	110.48	39.20	35.35			
101.64	86.14	76.23	64.61	16.50	9.45			
7.80	7.80	5.85	5.85	19.25	19.25			
12.20	12.20	9.15	9.15	3.00	3.00			
11.00	11.00	8.25	8.25	.50	.50			
157.91	157.91			55.25	54.25	2.21	2.21	287.80
209.80	186.00			110.65	109.65			
106.66	104.90	79.99	78.67	51.25	36.40			
18.06	18.06	13.55	13.55	12.20	9.10			
107.23	106.93	80.41	80.18	41.41	40.91			
29.84	29.84	22.38	22.38	50.75	36.00			
1,203.26	1,010.93	433.51	393.12	518.06	411.41	2.21	2.21	308.18

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved, and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Maine:			
Hutson B. Saunders..	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	\$3,000.00
Burton Smith.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	1,800.00
B. O. Norton.....	Office deputy.....do.....	1,200.00
Winfield S. Hasty.....do.....do.....	1,200.00
Total.....			7,200.00
Maryland:			
William F. Airey.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	8,500.00
William Coath.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	1,800.00
Edward Biddleman.....	Office deputy.....	Feb. 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	413.90
Charles Kohlmann.....do.....	Fiscal year.....	1,000.00
Jacob P. Amendt.....do.....	Dec. 16, 1899, to June 30, 1900.....	875.74
John T. Newnan.....do.....	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 31, 1900.....	586.10
John E. Curley.....do.....	July 1, 1899, to Dec. 15, 1899.....	273.96
William J. Biery.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Aug. 15, 1898.....	
William A. Britton.....do.....	Oath, Aug. 30, 1898.....	
William Chrissinger.....do.....	Oath, July 21, 1898.....	
Charles F. Newnan.....do.....	Oath, July 26, 1898.....	
John P. Owens.....do.....	Oath, Aug. 30, 1898.....	
Harry Parkinson.....do.....	Oath, Mar. 31, 1900.....	
Samuel L. Rowe.....do.....	Oath, Sept. 6, 1898; service termi- nated Mar. 28, 1900.....	
James M. Stoner.....do.....	Oath, Nov. 16, 1898.....	
Charles R. Martin.....do.....	Aug. 5, 1898, to Mar. 31, 1900.....	
Total.....			7,949.70
Massachusetts:			
Charles K. Darling.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	5,000.00
F. X. Macdonald.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	2,000.00
Fritz H. Raddin.....	Office deputy.....do.....	1,800.00
James H. Waters.....do.....do.....	1,500.00
Albert Tuttle.....do.....do.....	1,200.00
C. F. Ripley.....	Field deputy.....	At navy-yard.....	
Total.....			11,500.00
Michigan, eastern:			
William R. Bates.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	4,000.00
Thomas E. Matthews.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	1,800.00
Cassius P. Taylor.....	Office deputy.....do.....	1,200.00
Lucius W. Tobias.....do.....do.....	600.00
Henry Hayden.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, July 15, 1898.....	
Warren S. Abels.....do.....do.....	
Thomas M. Lomasney.....do.....do.....	
Total.....			7,600.00
Michigan, western:			
A. Oren Wheeler.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	3,000.00
Edward O'Donnell.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	1,400.00
Horace W. Davis.....	Office deputy.....do.....	720.00
Jacob Dolf.....do.....do.....	600.00
Total.....			5,720.00
Minnesota:			
Wm. H. Grimshaw.....	Marshal.....	July 1 to Dec. 31, 1899.....	2,000.00
Stephen J. Picha.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	900.00
Timothy J. Sheehan.....	Office deputy.....do.....	900.00
Charles A. Nimocks.....do.....do.....	900.00
William G. Bunde.....	Office deputy and stenographer.....do.....	300.00
Robert Morrison.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Mar. 18, 1899.....	
Paul Sharvy.....do.....	Oath, Mar. 31, 1899.....	
E. L. Warren.....do.....	Oath, Mar. 20, 1899.....	
H. S. Tallman.....do.....	Oath, Mar. 18, 1899; service termi- nated Dec. 31, 1899.....	
Wm. H. Grimshaw.....	Marshal.....	Jan. 1 to June 30, 1900.....	2,000.00
Stephen J. Picha.....	Chief office deputy.....do.....	900.00
Timothy J. Sheehan.....	Office deputy.....do.....	900.00
Charles A. Nimocks.....do.....do.....	900.00

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$1,728.48	\$1,728.48	-----	-----	\$24.05	\$24.05	\$262.95	\$230.89	\$683.78
71.84	71.84	-----	-----	27.05	27.05	7.50	7.50	-----
1,214.24	1,214.24	-----	-----	940.10	938.10	5.00	5.00	-----
730.61	728.11	-----	-----	456.34	450.59	92.50	92.50	-----
3,745.17	3,742.67	-----	-----	1,447.54	1,439.79	367.95	335.89	683.78
1,584.10	1,583.90	-----	-----	-----	-----	10.50	10.50	1,198.54
1.70	1.70	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
383.44	381.44	-----	-----	51.02	51.02	-----	-----	-----
722.65	722.65	-----	-----	32.31	32.31	52.50	52.50	-----
413.94	413.94	-----	-----	25.55	25.55	-----	-----	-----
127.12	117.12	-----	-----	3.58	3.58	-----	-----	-----
245.04	245.04	-----	-----	5.15	5.15	12.50	12.50	-----
100.27	100.27	\$75.19	\$75.19	4.65	4.65	12.50	12.50	-----
63.71	63.71	47.76	47.76	3.50	3.50	-----	-----	-----
53.90	53.70	40.42	40.27	.25	.25	-----	-----	-----
172.32	172.12	129.22	129.07	2.90	2.90	-----	-----	-----
6.00	6.00	4.50	4.50	10.50	10.50	-----	-----	-----
36.00	36.00	26.98	26.98	4.00	4.00	-----	-----	-----
4.90	4.90	3.66	3.66	2.75	2.75	-----	-----	-----
5.32	5.32	3.99	3.99	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
58.50	58.50	43.85	43.85	14.00	14.00	-----	-----	-----
3,973.91	3,966.31	375.57	375.27	160.16	160.16	88.00	88.00	1,198.54
3,125.29	3,119.29	-----	-----	332.45	332.45	246.83	246.83	3,140.97
874.81	874.81	-----	-----	481.93	481.24	-----	.31	-----
115.92	115.92	-----	-----	4.15	4.15	-----	-----	-----
1,973.05	1,973.05	-----	-----	306.73	306.73	-----	-----	-----
1,959.07	1,959.07	-----	-----	421.43	420.93	-----	-----	-----
8,048.14	8,042.14	-----	-----	1,546.69	1,545.50	246.83	247.14	3,140.97
1,627.55	1,627.55	-----	-----	18.11	18.11	25.35	25.35	2,244.66
112.35	112.35	-----	-----	21.17	20.42	-----	-----	-----
1,880.08	1,880.08	-----	-----	109.56	109.56	-----	-----	-----
527.98	488.06	-----	-----	289.92	294.99	-----	-----	-----
184.94	181.88	138.70	136.40	19.00	12.72	-----	-----	-----
107.96	107.96	80.96	80.96	7.91	6.41	-----	-----	-----
243.55	246.55	186.41	184.91	169.55	167.75	-----	-----	-----
4,689.41	4,644.43	406.07	402.27	635.22	599.96	25.35	25.35	2,244.66
1,513.85	1,513.85	-----	-----	158.15	158.15	54.40	54.40	930.99
938.35	938.35	-----	-----	684.47	684.47	-----	-----	-----
77.60	77.60	-----	-----	65.99	65.99	-----	-----	-----
431.11	431.11	-----	-----	525.63	525.63	-----	-----	-----
2,960.91	2,960.91	-----	-----	1,434.24	1,434.24	54.40	54.40	930.99
855.86	855.86	-----	-----	74.08	74.08	110.08	109.67	1,058.06
355.56	355.56	-----	-----	24.04	24.04	-----	-----	-----
826.69	826.69	-----	-----	119.84	119.84	-----	-----	-----
1,156.70	1,156.70	-----	-----	142.42	142.36	-----	-----	-----
323.38	323.38	-----	-----	52.08	52.08	-----	-----	-----
545.12	545.12	408.84	408.84	340.50	338.75	-----	-----	-----
227.32	215.32	170.49	161.49	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
232.10	232.10	174.08	174.08	25.00	20.00	-----	-----	-----
802.74	802.24	602.05	601.67	214.75	213.25	-----	-----	-----
1,310.74	1,310.74	-----	-----	48.25	48.25	107.25	107.25	672.87
490.10	490.10	-----	-----	32.50	32.50	-----	-----	-----
890.60	890.60	-----	-----	155.82	155.82	-----	-----	-----
563.21	563.21	-----	-----	94.20	94.20	-----	-----	-----

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Minnesota—Continued.			
William G. Bunde.....	Office deputy and stenographer.	Jan. 1 to June 30, 1900.....	\$300.00
Robert Morrison.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Jan. 1, 1900.....	
Paul Sharvy.....	do.....	do.....	
E. L. Warren.....	do.....	do.....	
Frank W. Tufts.....	do.....	Oath, Jan. 2, 1900.....	
Total.....			10,000.00
Mississippi, northern:			
Alex. J. Cooke.....	Marshal.....	July 1, 1899, to July 30, 1899.....	244.57
J. W. Cooke.....	do.....	Aug. 1, 1899, to Oct. 1, 1899.....	505.45
Do.....	Chief office deputy.....	July 1 to July 29, 1899.....	94.57
J. A. Toler.....	do.....	Aug. 2 to Oct. 1, 1899.....	198.89
Joe R. Alcorn.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Apr. 26, 1899; service termi- nated July 30, 1899.....	
E. D. Anderson.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 27, 1897; service termi- nated July 30, 1899.....	
L. M. Elliott.....	do.....	Oath, Sept. 7, 1897; service termi- nated July 30, 1899.....	
S. M. Howry.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 18, 1897; service termi- nated July 30, 1899.....	
A. Z. T. Johnson.....	do.....	Oath, May 1, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.....	
W. D. McMillan.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 27, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.....	
Hugh Montgomery.....	do.....	do.....	
J. D. Roach.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 22, 1898; service termi- nated July 30, 1899; special.....	
G. P. Rye.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 24, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.....	
J. B. Scott.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 24, 1899; service termi- nated July 30, 1899.....	
J. R. Thrasher.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 28, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.....	
T. J. Wilks.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 20, 1898; service termi- nated July 30, 1899.....	
Ben A. Alcorn.....	do.....	Oath, Sept. 7, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.....	
C. J. Norris.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 14, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.....	
George M. Buchanan.....	Marshal.....	Oct. 2, 1899, to Jan. 23, 1900.....	933.52
John W. Cooke.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	373.40
J. A. Toler.....	do.....	do.....	
D. A. Adams.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Oct. 13, 1899; temporary.....	
Ben. A. Alcorn.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 9, 1899; Nov. 8, 1899.....	
Jno. O. Askew.....	do.....	Oath, Nov. 2, 1899.....	
M. L. Brewer.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 11, 1899; temporary.....	
A. Z. T. Johnson.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899; temporary.....	
W. D. McMillan.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899; Nov. 16, 1899.....	
Hugh Montgomery.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899; temporary.....	
C. J. Norris.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899; Nov. 6, 1899.....	
G. P. Rye.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899; Oct. 31, 1899.....	
J. R. Thrasher.....	do.....	Oath, Oct. 2, 1899; service terminated Oct. 24, 1899.....	
R. J. Warren.....	do.....	Oath, Nov. 1, 1899.....	
N. M. Bynum.....	do.....	do.....	
George M. Buchanan.....	Marshal.....	Jan. 24, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	1,308.33
John W. Cooke.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	523.33
Ben. A. Alcorn.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Mar. 5, 1900.....	
John O. Askew.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 13, 1900.....	
M. L. Brewer.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 11, 1900.....	
N. M. Bynum.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 13, 1900.....	
A. Z. T. Johnson.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 12, 1900; service termi- nated Apr. 5, 1900.....	
W. D. McMillan.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 14, 1900.....	
Hugh Montgomery.....	do.....	Oath, May 21, 1900.....	
G. P. Rye.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 11, 1900; service termi- nated May 21, 1900.....	
R. J. Warren.....	do.....	do.....	
Total.....			4,182.06

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved .	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$462.56	\$462.56	-----	-----	\$125.59	\$125.59	-----	-----	-----
893.26	876.06	\$869.93	\$857.03	413.25	413.25	-----	-----	-----
458.81	431.31	344.11	323.48	7.50	7.50	-----	-----	-----
1,926.22	1,925.72	1,444.66	1,444.28	427.75	427.75	-----	-----	-----
1,386.78	1,386.78	1,040.08	1,000.00	299.62	299.62	-----	-----	-----
13,672.75	13,615.05	4,854.24	4,770.87	2,597.19	2,588.88	\$217.33	\$216.92	\$1,730.93
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	10.78	9.72	33.55
-----	-----	-----	-----	15.25	15.25	-----	-----	-----
14.20	14.20	-----	-----	9.15	9.15	-----	-----	-----
31.72	31.72	23.79	23.79	.96	.96	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
133.59	123.39	100.19	92.54	18.75	18.75	-----	-----	-----
76.28	75.80	57.21	56.85	7.00	7.00	-----	-----	-----
171.62	171.50	128.71	128.62	32.05	31.30	-----	-----	-----
17.50	17.00	13.12	12.75	6.60	6.60	-----	-----	-----
288.62	278.28	216.46	208.71	73.75	69.75	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
167.32	162.82	125.49	122.12	23.49	23.24	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
38.34	37.86	28.75	28.39	5.00	5.00	-----	-----	-----
95.56	93.84	71.67	70.38	21.50	21.50	-----	-----	-----
310.05	310.05	-----	-----	24.40	24.40	10.66	10.16	25.25
26.67	-----	-----	-----	34.20	34.20	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	4.75	4.75	-----	-----	-----
47.50	47.50	35.62	35.62	.40	.40	-----	-----	-----
133.94	126.98	100.45	95.23	17.50	17.50	-----	-----	-----
57.40	57.04	43.05	42.78	24.56	24.56	-----	-----	-----
234.44	232.64	175.83	174.48	138.50	138.50	-----	-----	-----
427.43	427.43	320.57	320.57	31.30	30.25	-----	-----	-----
307.74	290.82	230.80	218.11	21.00	21.00	-----	-----	-----
287.54	287.54	215.65	215.65	58.30	57.05	-----	-----	-----
172.62	172.62	129.46	129.46	31.95	28.70	-----	-----	-----
399.64	391.82	299.73	293.87	218.80	217.55	-----	-----	-----
236.40	200.90	177.30	150.67	2.25	.75	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
98.90	97.10	74.16	72.82	15.10	14.60	-----	-----	-----
263.50	258.54	197.62	193.90	29.02	26.35	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
442.65	460.65	-----	-----	23.00	23.00	10.35	9.61	34.60
1.50	1.50	-----	-----	55.25	54.30	-----	-----	-----
404.76	403.20	303.57	302.40	29.05	25.68	-----	-----	-----
252.38	237.68	189.28	178.26	57.36	52.20	-----	-----	-----
204.00	176.08	153.00	131.31	103.61	95.11	-----	-----	-----
830.60	781.30	622.95	585.98	94.95	92.70	-----	-----	-----
532.64	402.62	399.48	301.97	62.75	35.40	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
218.20	218.20	163.64	163.64	9.85	5.85	-----	-----	-----
17.66	17.66	13.25	13.25	7.55	6.30	-----	-----	-----
834.73	700.37	626.04	525.27	236.05	196.13	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
245.22	241.52	183.92	181.15	35.75	32.75	-----	-----	-----
8,022.86	7,548.17	5,420.76	5,070.54	1,580.70	1,468.48	31.79	29.49	93.40

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Mississippi, southern:			
Frederick W. Collins.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	\$3,000.00
Walter A. Collins.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,200.00
W. O. Ligon.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Feb. 10, 1898.....	
Thomas S. Easterling.....	do.....	do.....	
R. O. Edwards.....	do.....	do.....	
John F. Williams.....	do.....	do.....	
J. D. Minot.....	do.....	do.....	
Total.....			4,200.00
Missouri, eastern:			
Louis C. Bohle.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	4,000.00
Walter W. Nall.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,800.00
Edward J. Brennan.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	1,200.00
Edmund J. Watson.....	do.....	Sept. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.....	997.80
John Crocker.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, May 3, 1900; special.....	
William L. Dougherty.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 13, 1898.....	
James W. Lay.....	do.....	Oath, Feb. 14, 1899; special.....	
Wm. H. Osmer.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 6, 1898.....	
C. O. Eames.....	do.....	Oath, July 13, 1898; special.....	
J. B. Nichols.....	do.....	do.....	
Geo. Straszer.....	do.....	Oath, Sept. 5, 1899.....	
O. K. Wheeler.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 4, 1900; special.....	
F. W. Worheide.....	do.....	Oath, July 13, 1898; special.....	
Jno. L. Kennedy.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 13, 1898; service termi- nated Sept. 5, 1899.....	
Total.....			7,997.80
Missouri, western:			
Edward R. Durham.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	4,000.00
C. C. Colt.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,800.00
Harry E. Kirk.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	1,200.00
W. S. McCaull.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Apr. 24, 1900; special.....	
Joseph Huffman.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1898.....	
Thomas H. McKenna.....	do.....	do.....	
James R. Means.....	do.....	do.....	
Henry C. Miller.....	do.....	do.....	
Jno. E. Morrison.....	do.....	do.....	
Samuel L. Potts.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 29, 1899; special.....	
R. M. Ray.....	do.....	do.....	
J. M. Swaneely, jr.....	do.....	Oath, Sept. 9, 1898; special.....	
Geo. F. Wilkerson.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1898.....	
Edwin D. Kirk.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 29, 1899; special.....	
Total.....			7,000.00
Montana:			
Joseph P. Woolman.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	3,500.00
Samuel K. McDowell.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,800.00
Samuel Jackson.....	Office deputy.....	Aug. 8, 1899, to June 30, 1900.....	1,076.08
David Meiklejohn.....	do.....	do.....	807.10
Michael H. Wall.....	do.....	Aug. 14, 1899, to June 30, 1900.....	1,056.50
Do.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, May 23, 1898; service termi- nated Aug. 3, 1899.....	
David Meiklejohn.....	do.....	Oath, May 27, 1898; service termi- nated Aug. 3, 1899.....	
Samuel Jackson.....	do.....	do.....	
George Whittaker.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 16, 1899; special.....	
Total.....			8,239.66
Nebraska:			
George H. Thummel.....	Marshal.....	July 1, 1899, to Oct. 31, 1899.....	1,169.80
Jno. Nicholson.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	501.40
Henry A. Homan.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	401.10
Charles W. Pearsall.....	Office deputy and stenographer.....	do.....	401.10
T. L. Ackerman.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Apr. 26, 1897; service termi- nated Oct. 31, 1899.....	
James Allan.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 31, 1897; service termi- nated Oct. 31, 1899.....	
A. S. Cooley.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 6, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 31, 1899.....	

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$577.91	\$577.91			\$185.40	\$194.40	\$20.52	\$20.50	\$864.90
47.18	41.18			334.56	333.56			
3,422.64	3,415.29	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00	563.46	559.96			
1,706.24	1,687.60	1,279.67	1,265.70	230.80	226.80			
3,181.22	3,173.22	2,385.91	2,379.91	211.61	211.61			
2,194.54	2,193.64	1,500.00	1,500.00	109.10	107.85			
4.24	4.00	3.18		1.00				
11,133.97	11,092.84	7,668.76	7,645.61	1,645.93	1,634.18	20.52	20.50	864.90
3,710.97	3,699.07			454.40	454.40	123.37	123.35	2,024.10
608.36	608.36			387.19	385.14			
376.32	375.02			177.47	177.47			
763.06	762.20			196.41	185.66			
501.87	496.45	376.40	372.33	49.01	49.01			
2,953.84	2,933.88	2,215.37	1,907.69	204.19	203.19			
681.38	681.26	511.02	510.93	80.08	79.58			
215.96	209.98	161.97	157.48	36.00	36.00			
9,811.76	9,766.22	3,264.76	2,948.43	1,574.75	1,570.45	123.37	123.35	2,024.10
2,146.19	1,927.31			214.90	214.90	130.56	130.56	1,314.12
158.46	152.46			81.80	81.80			
270.04	268.04			114.10	114.10			
1,245.00	1,222.84	933.75	917.11	142.70	140.70			
1,398.14	1,380.26	1,048.61	1,035.19	86.85	86.85			
2,179.35	2,159.80	1,634.52	1,619.84	71.95	66.45			
988.42	983.00	741.33	737.26	35.90	35.90			
2,081.04	2,039.39	1,560.78	1,529.53	80.85	75.85			
1,102.26	1,099.60	826.70	824.69	65.15	64.30			
11,568.90	11,232.70	6,745.69	6,636.62	894.20	880.85	130.56	130.56	1,314.12
1,506.44	1,506.44			170.20	170.20	77.54	66.47	1,267.08
110.50	110.50			599.40	583.05			
1,203.88	1,203.88			1,396.96	1,382.61			
543.66	543.66			294.10	294.10			
1,149.60	1,149.60			1,502.25	1,485.75			
343.26	343.26	257.43	257.43	83.95	77.85			
492.20	477.90	369.07	258.15	42.10	40.10			
77.50	66.50	58.11	49.86	119.65	82.80			
5,426.94	5,401.74	684.61	565.44	4,208.61	4,136.46	77.54	66.47	1,267.08
357.18	357.18			45.65	45.65	40.23	40.23	555.82
108.70	108.70			29.37	29.37			
194.44	194.44			56.89	53.89			
459.89	459.89			72.29	72.19			
616.58	616.58	549.86	462.43	69.00	50.25			
1,193.40	1,193.40	895.04	601.60	276.85	158.55			
160.10	156.62	120.07	117.46	14.00	14.00			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
Nebraska—Continued.			
Geo. F. Palmer.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Apr. 1, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899.....	-----
William T. Canada.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 13, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899; special.....	-----
Seth T. Cole.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 22, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899; special.....	-----
Fred. M. Hams.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 3, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899; special.....	-----
David N. Miller.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 13, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899; special.....	-----
Jno. C. Vizzard.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 14, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899; special.....	-----
James Walling.....	do.....	Oath, June 23, 1899; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899.....	-----
Frank W. Barber.....	do.....	Oath, Aug. 31, 1897; service terminated Oct. 31, 1899.....	-----
T. L. Mathews.....	Marshal.....	Nov. 1, 1899 to Dec. 31, 1899.....	\$580.20
Charles W. Pearsall.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	248.60
Henry A. Homan.....	Office deputy.....	Dec. 1, 1899 to Dec. 31, 1899.....	101.10
Jno. O. Moore.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Nov. 13, 1899; service terminated Dec. 31, 1899.....	-----
James Walling.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 1, 1899; service terminated Dec. 31, 1899.....	-----
Wm. M. Nesbit.....	do.....	Oath, Nov. 14, 1899; service terminated Dec. 31, 1899.....	-----
T. L. Mathews.....	Marshal.....	Jan. 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	1,750.00
Charles W. Pearsall.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	750.00
Henry A. Homan.....	Office deputy.....	Jan. 2, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	596.70
Jno. O. Moore.....	do.....	do.....	596.70
James Walling.....	do.....	Jan. 4, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	590.03
James Walling.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Dec. 1, 1899; service terminated Jan. 4, 1900.....	-----
W. J. Robinson.....	do.....	Oath, Mar. 30, 1900; special.....	-----
J. C. Vizzard.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 2, 1900; special.....	-----
W. T. Canada.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Cornelius L. Eaton.....	do.....	Oath, Apr. 20, 1900; special.....	-----
Total.....	-----	-----	7,686.73
Nevada:			
J. F. Emmitt.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	2,500.00
H. J. Humphreys.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,250.00
G. W. Watt.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, Nov. 23, 1899; service terminated Dec. 31, 1899.....	-----
L. Stern.....	do.....	Oath, Dec. 7, 1899; service terminated Dec. 31, 1899.....	-----
Total.....	-----	-----	3,750.00
New Hampshire:			
E. P. Nute.....	Marshal.....	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 1, 1900.....	1,177.76
Frank E. Horner.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	471.12
E. P. Nute.....	Marshal.....	Feb. 2, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	822.24
Frank E. Horner.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	328.88
Total.....	-----	-----	2,800.00
New Jersey:			
Thomas J. Alcott.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	3,000.00
Howard E. Alcott.....	Chief office deputy.....	July 1, 1899, to July 31, 1899.....	101.10
Edwin R. Semple.....	do.....	Aug. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.....	1,098.90
George D. Bower.....	Office deputy.....	Fiscal year.....	900.00
William J. Burns.....	Field deputy.....	Oath, June 28, 1897.....	-----
Jno. J. Garside.....	do.....	Oath, July 1, 1897.....	-----
H. C. Garrison.....	do.....	Oath, July 3, 1897.....	-----
R. A. Haggerty.....	do.....	Oath, June 22, 1897.....	-----
Total.....	-----	-----	5,100.00
New Mexico:			
C. M. Foraker.....	Marshal.....	Fiscal year.....	4,000.00
J. J. Sheridan.....	Chief office deputy.....	do.....	1,800.00
A. F. Codington.....	Office deputy.....	do.....	1,200.00
F. W. Hall.....	do.....	do.....	900.00

359

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	App- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$263.74	\$263.74	\$197.80	\$197.80	\$12.00	\$8.00			
41.16	41.16	30.87	30.87	1.00				
925.92	861.62	694.43	587.13	93.50	81.30			
354.96	338.92					\$21.29	\$21.29	\$308.65
40.26	40.26			11.08	11.08			
108.92	108.92			47.75	47.75			
314.58	310.04	235.93	199.77	5.90	5.90			
286.04	286.04	214.53	126.40					
32.50	32.50	-24.38	24.38					
1,077.16	1,077.16			102.25	102.25	78.06	78.06	1,012.61
344.74	335.74			269.66	269.66			
1,018.92	1,018.92			410.66	385.41			
1,410.92	1,339.42			652.00	618.86			
1,173.60	1,136.12			472.19	470.42			
62.22	62.22	46.67	10.00	6.60	6.60			
10,545.93	10,339.59	3,009.58	2,357.84	2,648.64	2,431.13	139.58	139.58	1,877.08
2,292.63	2,216.34			593.05	581.05	4.79	4.69	959.42
1,106.72	1,063.12			575.80	563.30			
85.22	85.22	63.92	63.92	26.00	18.00			
210.30	210.30	157.73	101.90	2.00	2.00			
3,694.87	3,564.98	221.65	165.82	1,196.85	1,164.35	4.79	4.69	959.42
588.18	572.31			81.20	72.43	2.21	2.21	193.07
754.88	727.76			142.75	142.75			
346.87	346.87			21.45	21.45	.90	.90	81.03
558.81	516.72			100.82	100.82			
2,248.24	2,163.66			346.22	337.45	3.11	3.11	274.10
1,623.02	1,223.35			273.84	273.84	100.27	100.27	1,787.58
707.68	692.22			375.37	371.57			
119.26	499.26			22.03	22.03			
603.84	601.64	452.87	451.22					
764.70	761.10	573.52	570.82	19.08	19.08			
894.18	388.78	295.62	291.57	4.00	4.00			
4,212.68	4,166.35	1,322.01	1,313.61	694.32	690.52	100.27	100.27	1,787.58
4,292.19	3,596.62			1,440.05	1,164.35	216.89	215.65	146.00
2,155.02	1,896.15			1,132.50	1,043.50			
1,733.02	1,543.96			1,143.30	1,060.55			
2,220.68	1,621.12			1,324.15	1,169.20			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
New Mexico—Cont'd.			
Wilson Elliott	Office deputy	Jan. 6 to Feb. 23, 1900	\$135.00
Ed. B. Pickard	do	Mar. 1 to June 30, 1900	302.50
Charles Ballard	Field deputy	Oath, Nov. 8, 1897; service terminated Nov. 10, 1899	
J. N. Tindall	do	Oath, Oct. 27, 1899; special	
William Blanchard	do	Oath, Oct. 27, 1899	
Total			8,337.50
New York, northern:			
Wm. R. Compton	Marshal	July 1, 1899, to June 25, 1900	4,931.31
Charles F. Hurlbut	Chief office deputy	do	1,972.56
Henry L. Fassett	Office deputy	do	1,183.52
Robert D. Burns	do	do	887.61
Norris M. Compton	do	do	887.61
Sanford F. De Ved	do	do	591.71
J. M. Bennett	Field deputy	Oath, July 22, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
Wm. S. Cole	do	Oath, July 21, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
P. D. Condon	do	do	
Howard Conkling	do	Oath, July 22, 1898; service terminated June 7, 1900	
Warren Dow	do	Oath, July 21, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
Wm. C. Irving	do	do	
Geo. W. Ketchum	do	Oath, July 20, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
A. M. Lull	do	Oath, July 21, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
John H. Mallory	do	Oath, Jan. 30, 1899; special	
Wilbur J. Manley	do	Oath, July 18, 1898; service terminated June 7, 1900	
Walter J. McCaffery	do	Oath, July 25, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
S. W. Pearse	do	Oath, July 22, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
James C. Spaulding	do	Oath, Aug. 1, 1898; service terminated June 25, 1900	
William H. Watts	do	Oath, July 21, 1898; service terminated June 8, 1900	
Thomas M. Weaver	do	Oath, Sept. 7, 1899; service terminated June 7, 1900	
G. W. Bird	do	Oath, Oct. 7, 1898; service terminated Sept. 6, 1899	
Chas. M. Paris	do	Oath, Dec. 7, 1899; service terminated June 25, 1900	
Daniel J. Scholl	do	Oath, Jan. 18, 1900; service terminated June 25, 1900	
Total			10,454.32
New York (new), northern:			
Theodore L. Poole	Marshal	June 26, 1900, to June 30, 1900	69.69
Moses D. Rubin	Chief office deputy	do	20.00
Andrew W. Wilkin	Office deputy	do	16.48
Total			105.17
New York, western:			
Wm. R. Compton	Marshal	June 26, 1900, to June 30, 1900	69.69
Henry L. Fassett	Chief office deputy	do	27.47
Norris M. Compton	Office deputy	do	16.48
Robert D. Burns	do	do	12.36
Sanford F. De Ved	Office deputy and stenographer	do	8.24
Thomas M. Weaver	Field deputy	Oath, June 8, 1900	
William H. Watts	do	Oath, June 9, 1900	
Howard Conkling	do	Oath, June 8, 1900	
Wilbur J. Manley	do	do	
Total			133.23

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$278.68	\$262.37			\$173.95	\$173.95			
753.22	585.78			321.25	232.10			
11,432.81	9,506.00			5,535.20	4,843.65	\$216.89	\$215.65	\$146.00
838.82	824.22			306.58	305.58	135.16	134.28	535.71
57.50	55.50			111.57	111.57			
330.86	254.66			254.66	253.41			
430.40	430.40			103.40	103.40			
48.20	48.20			41.56	41.56			
115.88	115.88			175.33	174.33			
214.80	214.50	\$161.12	\$160.89	6.77	6.77			
104.65	104.15	78.51	78.13	10.86	9.86			
527.38	524.38	395.55	393.30	26.02	26.02			
1,500.73	1,498.73	1,125.56	1,124.05	62.12	62.12			
98.16	96.16	73.63	72.13					
724.91	723.49	543.70	542.62	140.42	137.17			
787.16	777.16	590.38	582.88	31.00	31.00			
90.36	87.94	67.79	65.97	8.09	7.84			
2,890.98	2,870.68	2,168.23	1,799.74	386.09	386.35			
185.94	177.64	139.47	133.24	38.32	36.67	.80	.80	
164.48	155.64	123.37	116.74	6.24	5.55			
600.02	596.40	450.03	447.31	31.20	30.12			
892.41	871.41	669.31	653.56	12.26	6.54			
403.46	402.96	302.60	302.23	22.97	22.97			
52.60	51.10	39.45	38.33	12.25	12.00			
19.24		14.43		9.42				
8.08	8.08	6.06	6.06					
11,087.02	10,889.28	6,949.19	6,517.18	1,797.13	1,770.83	135.96	135.08	535.71
				33.90	30.90	2.51	2.51	
6.06	6.06							
85.66	85.66			33.26	33.25			
67.58	66.26	50.69	49.70	4.12	4.12			
29.74	27.88	22.31	20.91					
75.40	73.48	56.55	55.11	12.77	12.77			
1.00	1.00	.75		2.00	2.00			
265.44	260.34	130.30	125.72	86.04	83.04	2.51	2.51	

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
New York, eastern:			
Charles J. Haubert	Marshal	Fiscal year	\$4,000.00
William H. Caldwell	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00
John E. Thorne	Office deputy	do	1,000.00
John Heydinger, jr.	do	do	1,000.00
Henry R. Evarts	do	do	1,000.00
Total			8,800.00
New York, southern:			
William Henkel	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,000.00
Denis Shea	Chief office deputy	do	2,750.00
Abram Adler	Office deputy	do	720.00
John J. Ankner	do	May 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	108.95
Frederick Bartels	do	Fiscal year	1,000.00
Friedrich Bernhard	do	do	250.00
J. B. Bostwick	do	do	1,000.00
Michael Beake	do	do	1,500.00
William Churchill	do	do	650.00
Frank H. Daly	do	do	650.00
John E. Dowley	do	do	1,800.00
Robert Gardiner	do	do	650.00
John Gebhard	do	do	650.00
George W. Herrman	do	do	720.00
Geo. H. Holmes	do	do	1,500.00
John Kannengieser	do	May 8, 1900, to June 30, 1900	98.41
James P. Kelker	do	Fiscal year	650.00
George D. Knight	do	do	1,000.00
Joseph J. Kumb	do	do	650.00
John E. McAviney	do	do	720.00
J. G. McCarty	do	do	1,000.00
John Noon	do	do	650.00
William Rabe	do	Apr. 12 to May 3, 1900	39.29
John A. Stewart	do	Fiscal year	2,000.00
Peter M. Kopp	do	July 1, 1899, to Apr. 5, 1900	496.43
George Schroeder	do	Feb. 19, 1900, to Apr. 5, 1900	82.94
Joseph F. Cook	do	July 1, 1899, to Apr. 30, 1900	541.05
David R. Poinier	do	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 9, 1900	397.20
Michael Kopp	do	Apr. 12, 1900, to June 30, 1900	142.88
Emilie E. Dixon	Stenographer	Fiscal year	1,000.00
Amy Welde	do	do	750.00
Total			29,165.15
North Carolina, eastern:			
Henry C. Dockery	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
John T. Sharp	Chief office deputy	do	1,600.00
Henry F. Brown	Office deputy	do	1,200.00
Palo A. Mitchell	do	May 24, 1900, to June 30, 1900	93.98
Charles A. Banks	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 11, 1899	
James B. Barnett	do	Oath, Feb. 4, 1898	
T. O. Bunting	do	Oath, Feb. 11, 1898	
Jno. B. Dupree	do	Oath, Jan. 28, 1898	
J. M. Hammock	do	Oath, Jan. 19, 1898	
J. S. Herring	do	Oath, Feb. 1, 1899	
Council Meares	do	Oath, Mar. 1, 1898	
David A. Moore	do	Oath, Feb. 7, 1898	
James A. McNeil	do	Oath, Mar. 1, 1898	
A. D. Morisey	do	Oath, Jan. 25, 1898	
J. C. Parish	do	Oath, Jan. 22, 1898	
J. W. Perkins	do	Oath, Aug. 2, 1899	
Wm. M. Richardson	do	Oath, Feb. 22, 1898	
L. H. Stogner	do	Oath, Feb. 11, 1898	
Albert F. Surles	do	Oath, Feb. 2, 1898	
C. A. Wallace	do	Oath, Apr. 10, 1898	
Herman L. Wooten	do	Oath, June 7, 1900	
Lewis W. Mangum	do	Oath, June 14, 1900	
K. W. Merritt	do	Oath, Apr. 15, 1898	
R. B. Blackledge	do	Oath, Mar. 2, 1898	
Henry C. Reese	do	Oath, Feb. 3, 1898; May 31, 1900	
Total			6,893.98

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$1,403.92	\$1,403.92					\$1,299.99	\$1,191.25	\$1,902.64
989.15	954.60			\$363.19	\$362.19			
790.42	787.92			297.77	297.77			
13.21	13.21			.60	.60			
499.67	497.01			38.43	38.43			
3,696.37	3,656.66			699.99	698.99	1,299.99	1,191.25	1,902.64
2,165.27	2,165.27			1.50	1.50	600.84	600.84	7,079.77
25.12	25.12							
19.94	19.94							
124.03	124.03			2.45	2.45			
874.49	762.75			139.19	139.19			
84.45	73.20							
603.44	545.44							
1,088.24	905.74			67.95	67.95			
261.26	261.26							
2,985.50	2,408.15			4.12	4.12			
554.62	506.62			.16	.16			
276.65	276.65			6.85	6.85			
551.36	540.36			8.15	8.15			
519.68	515.68			7.95	7.95			
991.72	921.72							
58.02	58.02			2.40	2.40			
1,394.80	1,392.80			438.80	438.80			
782.48	731.98			30.24	29.74			
578.21	582.21			54.50	54.50			
1,518.87	1,499.66			324.18	324.18			
117.84	110.64			39.92	39.42			
88.00	88.00			20.60	20.60			
22.24	22.24							
564.90	518.90			36.81	36.81			
137.42	137.42			4.90	4.90			
30.46	28.46							
659.24	632.24			144.18	143.68			
57.04	57.04							
17,135.29	15,911.54			1,334.85	1,333.35	600.84	600.84	7,079.77
1,362.10	1,312.09			358.88	338.63	16.64	16.32	
86.00	86.00			109.60	109.60			
355.00	355.00			138.43	138.43			
2.00	2.00			3.45	3.45			
142.11	141.09	\$108.58	\$105.82	42.15	26.16		10.00	
421.98	421.48	316.50	316.13	93.15	91.15			
252.30	246.50	189.22	184.87	38.71	28.22	75.00	75.00	
536.38	527.56	402.28	395.67	101.50	98.00			
505.82	493.12	379.37	369.85	65.20	64.55			
389.68	379.68	292.25	284.75	40.50	40.50			
516.28	512.80	387.22	384.24	78.25	76.50			
524.88	508.72	393.66	381.54	69.80	67.50			
1,006.68	956.62	755.01	717.47	124.50	97.50			
1,197.44	1,184.96	898.08	888.72	125.70	122.75			
990.58	963.04	742.93	722.28	135.85	125.80			
753.20	744.70	564.90	558.53	95.65	93.15			
1,259.65	1,222.22	944.74	916.67	99.05	97.05			
679.60	660.84	500.69	485.63	78.90	71.68			
21.66	21.66	16.25	16.25	1.00	1.00			
26.30	26.30	19.72	19.72	17.50	17.50			
33.64	33.64	25.23	25.23	6.50	6.50			
1,668.40	1,593.32	1,249.80	1,195.00	276.30	265.20	20.00	8.00	
42.08	42.08	31.56	31.56	7.75	7.25			
130.38	130.38	97.79	97.79	11.00	10.50			
12,902.14	12,565.80	8,322.78	8,107.71	2,119.32	1,998.67	111.64	109.32	1,293.66

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
North Carolina, western:			
James M. Millikan	Marshal	Fiscal year	\$4,500.00
Theodore E. McCrary	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
James M. Baley	Office deputy	do	1,500.00
James A. Logan	do	do	1,200.00
Joseph T. Millikan	do	do	900.00
P. D. Atwell	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 7, 1898	
J. L. Blackburn	do	Oath, Jan. 30, 1899	
Jack Blalock	do	Oath, Nov. 29, 1899	
T. L. Brim	do	Oath, May 29, 1897	
A. F. Brown	do	Oath, Apr. 22, 1897	
A. C. Bryan	do	Oath, May 3, 1897	
C. E. Carter	do	Oath, June 7, 1897	
J. M. Chambers	do	Oath, Jan. 13, 1899	
E. A. Cooper	do	Oath, May 10, 1897	
B. L. Cox	do	Oath, May 1, 1897	
Geo. A. Daniely	do	Oath, Apr. 5, 1898	
E. N. Elliott	do	Oath, Mar. 16, 1900	
H. G. Foster	do	Oath, Jan. 27, 1900	
J. S. Free	do	Oath, June 14, 1897	
I. H. D. Gillispie	do	Oath, May 3, 1897	
Albert O. Griffin	do	Oath, Feb. 14, 1898	
B. N. Hampton	do	Oath, July 5, 1897	
R. T. Harris	do	Oath, May 5, 1897	
W. P. Harris	do	Oath, May 15, 1899	
T. C. Israel	do	Oath, Apr. 27, 1897	
W. H. Jarrett	do	Oath, May 5, 1887	
J. C. Jenkins	do	Oath, Apr. 10 to Apr. 17, 1897	
J. S. Justice	do	Oath, Apr. 24, 1897	
William M. King	do	Oath, June 2, 1898	
V. A. Long	do	Oath, Apr. 26, 1897	
R. L. Patterson	do	Oath, Apr. 24, 1897	
W. J. Patterson	do	Oath, May 10, 1897	
Geo. H. Priddy	do	Oath, June 14, 1897	
D. C. Ragan	do	Oath, May 25, 1897	
W. K. Ray	do	Oath, Apr. 5, 1900	
Enoch Rechtor, jr	do	Oath, Feb. 24, 1899	
Henry C. Reese	do	Oath, Feb. 3, 1898	
T. L. Robertson	do	Oath, Feb. 24, 1899; service termi- nated Aug. 2, 1899.	
William P. Rose	do	Oath, Mar. 4, 1889	
Thomas A. Royal	do	Oath, May 25, 1897	
Vance Scoggin	do	Oath, Sept. 6, 1899	
J. S. Shearer	do	Oath, May 6, 1897	
T. V. Shope	do	Oath, May 5, 1897	
T. A. Silver	do	Oath, Apr. 24, 1897	
John L. Sloop	do	Oath, Mar. 11, 1898, to May 19, 1900	
Hiram Tipton	do	Oath, June 2, 1899	
W. J. West	do	Oath, Jan. 22, 1900	
H. E. Whitmire	do	Oath, May 3, 1897	
J. H. Bradshaw	do	Oath, May 6, 1897; service termi- nated Feb. 19, 1900.	
W. H. Greer	do	Oath, May 27, 1899; service termi- nated Dec. 26, 1899.	
D. B. Wilson	do	Oath, June 2, 1898; service termi- nated Nov. 27, 1899.	
W. J. Alexander	do	Oath, May 10, 1897; service termi- nated Jan. 26, 1900.	
H. R. Loyd	do	Oath, June 14 to Apr. 3, 1899; service terminated Apr. 7, 1900.	
J. M. Worley	do	Oath, May 22, 1897; service termi- nated May 7, 1900.	
W. C. Mendenhall	do	Oath, Mar. 2, 1899; service termi- nated Jan. 18, 1900.	
Levi Gheen	do	Oath, June 23, 1900	
Total			10,100.00
North Dakota:			
John E. Haggart	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
David B. Shotwell	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00
Nehemiah Davis	Office deputy	do	900.00
Fred. W. Schindler	do	do	1,200.00
Frank Donnelly	Field deputy	Oath, May 23, 1900	
Wm. J. Hurst	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898	
Jno. R. Mears	do	Oath, Apr. 15, 1898	

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$1,706.55	\$1,706.55			\$348.08	\$325.58	\$388.30	\$388.30	\$443.32
9.00	11.00			18.55	18.55			
92.98	82.98			159.25	159.25			
336.57	299.91			177.45	175.65			
51.92	51.92			223.05	223.05			
255.82	254.42	\$191.85	\$190.80	22.70	22.70			
589.36	569.36	427.01	427.01	91.10	91.10			
155.34	155.34	116.50	116.50	18.50	18.50			
923.74	916.62	692.80	687.47	70.90	70.90			
366.35	365.35	274.75	274.00	27.00	27.00			
876.73	875.81	657.54	656.85	69.25	65.75			
259.30	259.30	194.46	194.46	28.00	28.00			
303.02	303.02	227.25	227.25	22.20	22.20			
1,035.15	1,032.51	776.34	774.36	131.30	131.30			
191.84	190.34	143.88	142.76	62.65	59.68			
322.91	322.41	242.16	241.79	55.80	54.80			
71.47	71.47	53.59	53.59	11.50	11.50			
205.46	200.96	154.09	150.72	18.25	18.25			
685.50	667.62	514.12	500.72	123.40	114.65			
321.73	319.83	241.29	239.87	48.25	48.25			
311.04	309.64	233.27	232.22	21.05	20.91			
889.98	880.08	667.48	660.06	110.25	108.65			
544.76	543.46	408.56	407.59	48.25	48.25			
1,135.29	1,127.49	851.45	845.61	75.90	75.70			
512.22	510.72	384.16	383.04	48.75	48.75			
778.98	767.56	584.22	575.66	116.35	110.35			
87.18	87.18	65.38	65.38	13.75	13.75			
41.76	41.76	31.32	31.32	19.15	19.15			
574.78	566.78	431.07	425.08	56.70	55.70			
393.24	381.84	294.92	286.37	39.50	39.50			
606.53	605.53	454.88	454.13	91.25	91.25			
327.14	327.14	245.34	245.34	43.75	43.75			
21.00	16.50	15.75	12.38	2.25	2.25			
672.66	661.66	504.49	496.24	85.95	85.95			
692.62	692.62	519.45	519.45	50.75	50.75			
474.15	472.65	355.60	354.48	44.75	44.75			
788.18	782.68	591.12	587.00	93.75	92.75			
480.48	473.48	360.35	355.10	19.25	19.25			
410.34	410.34	307.74	307.74	34.05	34.05			
575.26	566.96	431.44	425.22	82.30	82.05			
75.08	75.08	56.30	56.30	11.00	11.00			
422.68	422.68	316.99	316.99	18.00	18.00			
305.28	292.48	228.96	219.36	23.75	23.75			
253.78	253.06	190.32	189.78	24.25	24.25			
411.08	411.08	308.30	308.30	46.75	46.25			
178.16	178.16	133.62	133.62	29.80	29.80			
179.04	178.94	134.27	134.20	30.50	30.50			
257.70	256.70	193.27	192.52	31.30	31.30			
23.72	21.72	17.79	16.29	4.00	4.00			
265.37	265.37	199.02	199.02	22.25	22.25			
21,430.22	21,238.06	14,424.46	14,313.94	3,063.48	3,015.27	388.30	388.30	443.32
8,993.04	8,993.04			1,240.21	1,240.21	52.29	52.29	468.78
578.76	578.76			175.14	174.64			
1,856.17	1,824.41			1,123.05	1,072.65	.38	.38	
5,479.11	5,423.06			2,386.25	2,300.25			
1,689.00	1,675.64	633.37	628.36	350.09	314.04			
893.56	826.52	323.83	309.95	212.83	198.07			

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved, and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
North Dakota—Cont'd.			
Martin A. Walsh	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 26, 1900	
James Ryan	do	Oath, Jan. 29, 1898; service terminated Apr. 19, 1900.	
Total			\$7,900.00
Ohio, northern:			
M. A. Smalley	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Harry R. Young	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00
John A. O'Dwyer	Office deputy	Apr. 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	202.68
John J. Keeley	do	Oct. 2, 1899, to June 30, 1900	1,120.88
Horace B. Smalley	do	Fiscal year	840.00
Daniel Harmon	do		
Stanley B. Smalley	do	May 19, 1900, to June 30, 1900	85.01
John F. Shannon	do	July 1, 1899, to May 15, 1900	786.29
W. S. Snyder	do	July 1, 1899, to Mar. 31, 1900	900.00
John J. Keeley	Field deputy	Oath, Mar. 6, 1897; service terminated Oct. 2, 1899,	
James M. Brady	do	Oath, Sept. 27, 1898; special	
Jacob S. Eberman	do	Oath, Apr. 12, 1897; special	
C. H. Kelso	do	do	
William H. Olms	do	Oath, Nov. 8, 1899; special	
Thomas Partil	do	Special	
E. E. Rogers	do	Oath, Apr. 12, 1897; special	
James Rossiter	do	Oath, Sept. 27, 1898; special	
Charles A. Stark	do	Oath, Apr. 12, 1897; special	
J. A. Beidler	do	Special; no compensation	
J. R. Chadwick	do	do	
E. V. Hale	do	Oath, Mar. 11, 1898; special; no compensation.	
D. R. Hanna	do	Oath, Apr. 7, 1898; special; no compensation.	
A. H. Hough	do	Special; no compensation	
C. A. Otis, Jr.	do	do	
R. H. York	do	do	
Frederick Ward	do	Oath, Aug. 7, 1897; special; no compensation.	
Total			9,734.86
Ohio, southern:			
Vivian J. Fagin	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
R. P. Snyder	Chief office deputy	July 1, 1899, to July 31, 1899	151.60
Daniel Laurence	do	Aug. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900	1,648.40
W. J. Sanderson	Office deputy	Fiscal year	1,133.10
Louis J. Huwe	do	do	1,500.00
Morris H. Spillard	do	do	1,066.90
Albert Bauer	do	Jan. 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	450.00
Wesley H. Rolosen	do	Fiscal year	650.00
Geo. W. Hays	do	do	650.00
John L. Cochmower	do	Aug. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900	595.25
James Farley	do	July 1, 1899, to Dec. 31, 1899	450.00
Jennie Norrish	Stenographer	Fiscal year	600.00
Wm. T. Harness	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 1, 1899	
Charles S. Reifsnider	do	Oath, Apr. 21, 1899	
Total			12,895.25
Oklahoma:			
Canada H. Thompson	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,000.00
W. D. Fossett	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
N. E. Sisson	Office deputy	do	1,300.90
C. B. Hunt	do	Mar. 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	403.30
M. O. Billings	do	Fiscal year	1,200.00
E. V. Billings (Mrs.)	do	do	900.00
John M. Hale	do	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 28, 1900	995.80
F. B. Farwell	Field deputy	Oath, Feb. 26, 1898	
Warren Bennett	do	Oath, May 7, 1898	
J. P. Gandy	do	Oath, Mar. 15, 1899	
R. R. Hickox	do	Oath, Feb. 11, 1898	
John P. Jones	do	Oath, July 5, 1899	
Leander T. Shockey	do	Oath, May 1, 1899	
T. J. Taylor	do	Oath, Feb. 17, 1898	
H. A. Thomas	do	Oath, Feb. 10, 11, 1898	
William Tilghman	do	do	
J. A. Trotter	do	Oath, May 9, 1898	

367

[illegible]

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Oklahoma—Continued.			
J. S. Walton	Field deputy	Oath, Feb. 10 to Mar. 7, 1898	
Warren V. Willingham	do	Oath, Oct. 5, 1899	
J. H. Wilson	do	Oath, Apr. 23, 1898; service terminated Oct. 1, 1899	
N. M. Douglass	do	Oath, Feb. 10, 11, 1898; service terminated July 4, 1899	
F. E. Smith	do	Oath, Feb. 14, 1898; service terminated Oct., 1899	
Dan. W. Jones	do	Oath, Feb. 12, 1898; service terminated May 1, 1900	
Total			\$11,800.00
Oregon:			
Zoeth Houser	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Preston A. Worthington	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
J. A. Wilson	Office deputy	do	1,500.00
S. L. Morse	do	do	1,200.00
A. A. Roberts	do	do	1,200.00
Nat. H. Lane	Field deputy	Oath, May 8, 1899; special	
Total			9,900.00
Pennsylvania, eastern:			
James B. Reilly	Marshal	July 1, 1899, to Apr. 30, 1900	3,329.70
Thomas Marple	Chief office deputy	do	1,664.80
Abram B. Myers	Office deputy	do	1,248.60
James P. Reilly	do	Mar. 1, 1900, to Apr. 16, 1900	156.05
Solomon Foster	do	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 23, 1900	796.70
Michael P. Bolan	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 17, 1899; service terminated April 30, 1900; special; no compensation.	
William J. Burns	do	do	
Schuyler A. Donnell	do	do	
Thomas R. McManus	do	do	
John E. Murphy	do	do	
John E. Wilke	do	do	
John B. Robinson	Marshal	May 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	670.30
Thomas Marple	Chief office deputy	do	335.20
Abram B. Myers	Office deputy	do	251.40
Joseph H. Huddell	do	do	201.10
Total			8,653.85
Pennsylvania, western:			
Frederick C. Leonard	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Joe H. Irons	Chief office deputy	do	1,600.00
James W. Snyder	Office deputy	do	1,200.00
Bert Beatty	Field deputy	Oath, Feb. 23, 1898	
W. S. Blair	do	Oath, Jan. 20, 1898	
Frank Campbell	do	Oath, Aug. 28, 1899	
Chas. Hall	do	Oath, July 24, 1899	
Guy C. Hollon	do	Oath, July 6, 1899	
Clark Lowry	do	do	
Alexander McBeth	do	Oath, Apr. 23, 1900	
Jno. L. Roe	do	Oath, Feb. 4, 1898	
Joseph C. Stewart	do	Oath, Jan. 17, 1898	
W. L. S. Thompson	do	do	
Hutson S. Williams	do	Oath, July 2, 1898; service terminated July 3, 1899	
S. A. Bacharach	do	Oath, Jan. 3, 1899; service terminated July 1, 1899	
Total			6,800.00
Rhode Island:			
James S. McCabe	Marshal	July 1, 1899, to June 20, 1900	1,945.09
John J. Devoe	Chief office deputy	do	778.06
Jno. E. Kendrick	Marshal	June 21, 1900, to June 30, 1900	54.91
Richmond J. Stone	Office deputy	do	21.94
Total			2,800.00

369

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$908.80	\$900.80	\$681.59	\$675.60	\$362.78	\$347.06			
399.57	399.57	299.67	299.67	111.45	110.95			
6.00	6.00	4.50	4.50	6.62	6.31			
40.00	40.00	30.00	30.00	22.00	22.00			
19.50	19.50	14.62	14.62	17.82	17.82			
10,850.60	10,696.62	4,944.06	4,845.33	6,493.83	6,361.17	\$287.67	\$287.67	\$252.47
4,309.44	4,272.88			117.45	116.95	102.78	102.78	1,288.55
185.08	134.42			33.40	33.40			
1,783.62	1,719.02			467.35	467.35			
2,295.57	2,295.93			1,054.57	1,047.05			
2,063.26	2,069.34			1,003.45	1,003.45			
10,626.97	10,430.99			2,676.22	2,668.20	102.78	102.78	1,288.55
2,326.38	2,166.27					564.11	548.75	2,235.11
558.23	558.03			11.67	11.67			
2,171.00	2,138.14			308.09	307.89	.20	.40	
1,105.08	1,105.08			530.14	529.38		.34	
1,456.67	1,431.63			359.31	358.17	.28	.28	
344.28	344.28							373.12
74.80	74.80			.60	.60			
396.56	396.56			64.72	64.72			
400.78	400.78			80.60	80.60			
8,833.78	8,615.57			1,355.13	1,353.03	564.59	549.77	2,608.23
2,058.27	1,772.44			191.09	191.09	9.81	9.81	1,203.12
806.84	974.22			167.00	167.00			
637.86	636.74			119.14	119.14			
17.50	17.50	13.13	13.13	8.39	5.51			
164.64	164.64	123.50	123.50	19.55	15.68			
234.68	234.68	176.02	176.02	39.59	39.59			
19.58	19.58	14.69	14.69	11.62	11.62			
154.70	154.70	116.03	116.03	15.36	15.36			
1,106.69	1,096.15	830.02	822.12	75.45	66.43			
16.50	16.50	12.38	12.38	6.35	6.35			
221.16	210.18	165.88	157.05	27.15	27.15			
329.48	329.48	247.12	247.12	56.40	47.77			
21.72	21.72	16.29	16.29	16.85	13.65			
5,791.62	5,648.53	1,715.06	1,698.93	753.94	726.34	9.81	9.81	1,203.12
2,107.53	2,090.43			118.81	102.66	45.00	45.00	505.48
160.69	155.85			46.83	36.32			7.72
53.12	53.12			3.80	3.80			
26.34	23.94							
2,347.68	2,293.34			169.44	142.78	45.00	45.00	513.20

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
South Carolina:			
Lawson D. Melton.....	Marshal	Fiscal year.....	\$4,500.00
Virgil P. Clayton.....	Chief office deputy	do.....	2,000.00
James R. Davidson.....	Office deputy	July 1, 1899, to Oct. 13, 1899.....	342.39
C. M. Graham.....	do	Oct. 14, 1899, to June 30, 1900.....	857.61
E. Brooks Sligh.....	do	Fiscal year.....	1,200.00
C. H. Alexander.....	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 2, 1898.....	
James C. Alexander.....	do	Oath, Apr. 19, 1899.....	
J. W. Bates.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
W. H. Bouton.....	do	do.....	
F. M. Butler.....	do	Oath, Apr. 14, 1900.....	
C. A. Carson.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
W. B. F. Corbin.....	do	Oath, Apr. 5, 1898.....	
John M. Dansby.....	do	Oath, Feb. 5, 1900.....	
James R. Davidson.....	do	Oath, Feb. 19, 1900.....	
Jordan H. Dean.....	do	Oath, Feb. 16, 1899.....	
R. H. Dobson.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
M. C. Durham.....	do	Oath, Apr. 2, 1898.....	
H. F. Floyd.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
Geo. W. Hoesh.....	do	Oath, May 17, 1900.....	
W. H. Hubbard.....	do	Oath, Apr. 2, 1898.....	
Jno. Latham.....	do	do.....	
Daniel Mann.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
J. T. McKinney.....	do	Oath, Oct. 23, 1898.....	
James H. McLane.....	do	Oath, May 29, 1899.....	
Henry Mew.....	do	Oath, Apr. 4, 1898.....	
J. F. Miller.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
A. A. Phillips.....	do	Oath, June 20, 1899.....	
F. M. Prickett.....	do	Oath, June 13, 1899.....	
J. W. Reece.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
W. B. Rowell.....	do	Oath, Oct. 31, 1899.....	
W. F. Swaringen.....	do	Oath, July 16, 1898.....	
J. T. Thornton.....	do	Oath, Apr. 1, 1898.....	
R. Webster.....	do	Oath, Apr. 8, 1898.....	
R. J. McCaslan.....	do	Oath, Apr. 5, 1898; service terminated Jan. 22, 1900.....	
Troy Rufus Langston.....	do	Oath, Apr. 4, 1899; service terminated Apr. 6, 1900.....	
J. H. Grady.....	do	Oath, May 9, 1898; service terminated Apr. 9, 1900.....	
Total.....			8,900.00
South Dakota:			
Edward G. Kennedy.....	Marshal	Fiscal year.....	4,000.00
Jerry Carleton.....	Chief office deputy	do.....	1,800.00
Arthur H. Gipson.....	Office deputy	do.....	1,200.00
J. P. Belding.....	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 2, 1898.....	
D. D. Collins.....	do	Oath, May 25, 1898.....	
Geo. A. Ludlow.....	do	Oath, Jan. 12, 1898.....	
R. H. Somers.....	do	Oath, Jan. 13, 1898.....	
Samuel Strayer.....	do	Oath, Jan. 14, 1898.....	
Total.....			7,000.00
Tennessee, eastern:			
R. W. Austin.....	Marshal	Fiscal year.....	4,000.00
Millard F. Caldwell.....	Chief office deputy	do.....	1,200.00
Allen G. Mathews.....	Office deputy	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 31, 1900.....	527.50
Murphy L. Anderson.....	do	Feb. 1, 1900, to Mar. 31, 1900.....	147.50
Allen G. Mathews.....	do	Apr. 2, 1900, to June 30, 1900.....	222.50
Luther M. Parker.....	do	Fiscal year.....	900.00
M. L. Anderson.....	Field deputy	Oath, Aug. 23, 1897; service termi- nated Jan. 31, 1900; reappointed Apr. 9, 1900.....	
Jas. T. Beckner.....	do	Oath, Feb. 9, 1900.....	
John A. Baughard.....	do	Oath, Feb. 17, 1900.....	
Jno. W. Cates.....	do	Oath, Aug. 24, 1897.....	
J. M. Dowell.....	do	Oath, June 24, 1898.....	
J. W. Justice.....	do	Oath, Feb. 20, 1899.....	
M. F. Maples.....	do	Oath, Jan. 18, 1900.....	
Charles W. McCall.....	do	Oath, Aug. 12, 1898.....	
James A. Nave.....	do	Oath, Nov. 5, 1898.....	
Charles Ross.....	do	Oath, Aug. 24, 1897.....	
W. H. Smith.....	do	Oath, Oct. 16, 1899; special for one service.....	
James A. Thompson.....	do	Oath, Dec. 15, 1899.....	

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$66.08	\$66.08					\$14.63	\$14.63	\$309.89
50.58	50.58			\$115.45	\$115.45			
20.00	20.00			8.40	6.90			
11.00	11.00			92.40	92.40			
113.60	113.60			1,117.17	1,106.97			
58.56	58.56	\$43.92	\$43.92	1.50	1.50			
492.80	490.80	369.60	368.10	60.00	52.50			
105.78	105.78	79.32	79.32	1.50	1.50			
40.22	40.22	30.16	30.16					
.50	.50	.37	.37	1.50	1.50			
447.08	438.98	335.29	328.42	47.10	45.80			
771.42	770.42	578.56	577.81	45.35	38.35			
54.86	54.86	41.14	41.14	6.40	6.40			
57.20	57.20	42.90	42.90	12.00	12.00			
62.82	62.82	47.11	47.11					
99.50	99.50	74.62	74.62	4.00	4.00			
424.52	422.98	318.38	317.23	8.00	8.00			
199.30	199.30	149.47	149.47	26.50	20.50			
327.18	316.48	245.37	237.35	71.00	69.75			
107.93	105.63	80.93	79.21	10.00	10.00			
769.10	769.10	576.82	576.82	60.85	60.85			
272.74	272.74	204.55	204.55	50.40	48.40			
155.04	155.04	116.27	116.27	3.25	3.25			
402.72	402.72	302.03	302.03	13.50	13.50			
394.50	394.00	295.87	295.50	2.50	2.00			
345.26	337.56	258.93	253.16	59.70	59.70			
207.46	207.46	155.58	155.58	2.50	2.50			
176.10	173.76	132.06	130.31	22.00	22.00			
53.22	53.22	39.90	39.90	2.25	2.25			
51.41	43.41	38.55	32.55	3.00	3.00			
197.40	197.40	148.05	148.05	43.25	43.25			
286.88	284.88	215.15	213.65	36.50	21.50			
343.36	343.36	257.51	257.51	33.75	33.25			
7,166.12	7,119.94	5,178.41	5,143.01	1,961.72	1,908.97	14.63	14.63	309.89
1,289.01	1,389.01			429.50	429.00	100.83	100.50	561.95
121.06	1,065.73			778.37	778.37			
97.56	149.16			255.00	255.00			
1,825.18	1,820.42	1,368.89	1,365.33	154.00	151.00			
1,987.27	1,949.59	1,490.45	1,462.19	83.57	73.87			
1,325.64	1,315.10	994.24	986.33	131.55	127.55			
713.64	709.38	535.23	532.03	988.25	979.75			
766.84	754.32	575.12	565.73	314.50	305.00	98.50	98.50	
8,126.20	9,152.71	4,963.93	4,911.61	3,134.74	3,069.54	199.33	199.00	561.95
601.52	572.52			63.80	63.80	63.02	61.00	261.98
90.50	90.50			130.77	130.77			
9.00	9.00			1.00				
71.78	71.78			212.44	212.44			
984.24	917.36	738.21	667.98	182.48	169.43			
74.74	74.76	56.06	56.06	6.75	6.75			
470.97	457.61	353.24	343.19	95.48	88.29			
335.02	311.59	251.28	233.68	104.56	87.79			
180.44	178.44	135.34	133.83	6.00	5.75			
293.76	290.72	220.33	218.04	15.25	11.75			
324.50	319.56	243.33	239.66	59.25	56.50			
663.10	652.36	497.33	489.26	257.63	246.53			
323.72	318.28	242.80	238.71	22.50	22.50			
446.10	438.70	334.59	329.07	66.24	61.24			
5.50	5.50	4.13	4.13					
29.58	29.58	22.19	22.19	2.50	2.50			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Tennessee, east'n—C't'd.			
John H. Varnell	Field deputy	Oath, May 3, 1900; special
S. J. Watts	do	Oath, Nov. 5, 1898
J. J. Wehunt	do	Oath, Nov. 1, 1899; special for one day
W. H. Whitehead	do	Oath, Dec. 2, 1899; temporary
G. A. Williams	do	Oath, Aug. 24, 1897
Mark S. York	do	Oath, May 13, 1899
J. W. Stanton	do	Oath, Aug. 24, 1897; service termi- nated Nov. 24, 1899
J. H. Moore	do	Oath, Aug. 24, 1897; service termi- nated Dec. 16, 1899
Pink Maples	do	Oath, Oct. 10, 1898; service termi- nated Dec. 29, 1899
R. M. Watkins	do	Oath, Jan. 12, 1900; service termi- nated Jan. 23, 1900; temporary
David Payne	do	Oath, Feb. 2, 1898; service termi- nated Mar. 31, 1900
Geo. W. Suffridge	do	Oath, July 3, 1899
Total	\$6,997.50
Tennessee, middle:			
John W. Overall	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
John E. Patton	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
H. A. Bratten	Office deputy	do	900.00
W. C. Adcock	Field deputy	Oath, May 11, 1900
S. F. Anderson	do	Oath, April 7, 1899
T. G. Brown	do	Oath, Mar. 28, 1898
J. P. Burroughs	do	Special
F. P. Colbert	do	Oath, Sept. 5, 1898
Samuel L. Deck	do	Oath, Apr. 8, 1898
J. M. Duggan	do	Oath, Mar. 28, 1898
Walter A. Gibbons	do	Oath, Jan. 3, 1899
E. J. Hall	do	Oath, Sept. 9, 1899
A. H. Hogan	do	Oath, Aug. 18, 1898
W. B. Hollis	do	Oath, Oct. 12, 1899
E. G. Lawson	do	Oath, Apr. 14, 1898
W. C. Morgan	do	Oath, Mar. 26, 1898
Thomas Price	do	do
E. S. Robertson	do	Oath, Aug. 10, 1898
W. B. Scott	do	do
F. R. Sharp	do	Oath, Apr. 4, 1898
S. W. Griswold	do	Oath, Mar. 25, 1898; service termi- nated Sept. 12, 1899
J. B. Wilson	do	Oath, Jan. 2, 1899; service termi- nated Sept. 5, 1899
J. R. Puckett	do	Oath, Apr. 14, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 4, 1899
Total	6,400.00
Tennessee, western:			
Thomas H. Baker	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Thomas H. Baker, jr.	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
Daniel F. Elliott	Office deputy	do	1,082.80
Newton J. Arnold	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 4, 1898
Robert M. Cain	do	Oath, Aug. 31, 1898
Henry P. Gaines	do	Oath, Mar. 25, 1898
Geo. W. Hawkins	do	Oath, July 28, 1899
David M. Kirby	do	Oath, Mar. 31, 1898
Francis A. Large	do	Oath, May 13, 1899; special; no com- pensation
A. L. Story	do	Oath, May 30, 1899
Zachariah M. Walker	do	Service terminated June 30, 1900
John S. Steele	do	Oath, Jan. 24, 1899; service termi- nated Jan. 1, 1900
Total	6,582.80
Texas, northern:			
George H. Green	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,000.00
David A. Robinson	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
Larkin B. Farish	Office deputy	do	1,000.00
Emma C. Van Dusen	Stenographer	Jan. 1 to June 30, 1899	50.00
John T. Black	Field deputy	Oath, Jan. 2, 1899
Whit. Dryden	do	Oath, Dec. 31, 1898

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$690.62	\$672.80	\$517.98	\$504.60	\$135.20	\$131.30			
97.42	94.30	73.07	70.72	29.85	28.85			
37.10	34.76	27.84	26.08	11.00	11.00			
315.83	317.47	237.89	238.10	91.40	89.89			
2.78	2.78	2.09	2.09					
42.44	42.44	31.84	31.84	7.05	6.80			
32.50	32.50	24.38	24.38					
210.58	208.28	157.95	134.12	22.00	21.25			
11.04	11.04	8.28	8.28	2.75	2.75			
6,344.78	6,154.69	4,180.20	4,015.96	1,525.88	1,457.88	\$63.02	\$61.00	\$261.98
1,147.55	1,110.55			165.27	165.27	109.51	109.51	585.07
8.00	8.00			5.11	5.11			
62.00	62.00			8.83	8.83			
13.16	13.16	9.87	987.00	2.00	2.00			
1,057.34	1,042.34	793.00	782.05	169.33	163.79			
348.70	347.30	261.52	260.47	79.60	79.60			
246.78	245.28	185.10	183.96	14.50	14.50			
363.28	363.02	272.44	272.28	152.95	150.60			
43.78	43.78	32.84	32.84	7.00	7.00			
846.24	826.90	634.67	620.17	203.85	203.85			
56.28	56.28	42.21	42.21	5.75	5.75			
55.62	55.62	41.72	41.72	5.50	5.50			
109.02	107.88	81.76	80.91	29.00	29.00			
420.20	419.10	315.16	314.33	76.00	75.00			
218.16	213.38	163.48	160.03	16.75	12.75			
52.00	52.00	38.99	38.99	9.00	9.00			
200.74	200.26	150.56	150.19	19.50	19.50			
761.46	758.46	571.10	568.84	185.25	183.25			
336.66	334.98	252.49	251.22	91.25	85.25			
6,346.95	6,260.29	3,846.91	3,810.06	1,246.44	1,125.55	109.51	109.51	585.07
2,445.74	2,104.74			332.72	325.37	31.26	30.96	324.29
166.12	166.36			117.62	103.83			
614.19	607.69			244.20	244.10			
300.08	299.72	225.05	224.78	45.00	45.00			
394.84	393.46	296.13	293.22	52.60	52.60			
167.78	164.26	125.82	123.19	68.92	66.20			
674.95	669.06	506.22	501.79	105.15	103.75			
331.13	327.69	248.34	245.76	38.10	38.10			
591.18	574.42	443.40	430.80	86.50	85.75			
118.26	116.96	88.70	87.72	11.25	11.25			
147.44	132.84	110.58	99.62	29.40	29.40			
5,951.71	5,557.20	2,044.24	2,006.88	1,131.46	1,105.35	31.26	30.96	324.29
1,392.12	1,392.12			895.05	884.95	97.99	97.99	2,608.55
234.00	198.00			52.24	50.44			
535.36	457.36			961.97	959.62			
1,994.59	1,919.33	1,476.63	1,456.53	208.75	206.45			
2,281.30	2,217.71	1,710.99	1,663.31	90.25	85.95			

EXHIBIT S.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.
			Marshals, office deputies, and clerks.
Texas, northern—C't'd.			
D. R. Hodges	Field deputy	Oath, Dec. 31, 1898	
Ralph O. Rector	do	do	
Robert M. Warden	do	do	
Total			\$5,550.00
Texas, eastern:			
John Grant	Marshal	Fiscal year	5,000.00
John B. Walter	Chief office deputy	do	2,500.00
A. I. Sutherland	Office deputy	do	1,800.00
Burton L. Richards	do	Mar. 15, 1900, to June 30, 1900.	475.58
Will C. Bernard	Stenographer	Fiscal year	900.00
F. H. Gaines	Office deputy	July 1, 1899, to Mar. 14, 1900	1,124.42
J. W. Butler	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 2, 1898	
R. Brunazzi	do	Oath, Feb. 21, 1900; special; no compensation.	
John Crocker	do	Oath, Mar. 5, 1900; special; no compensation.	
E. T. Dorough	do	Oath, Mar. 10, 1898	
W. P. Harris	do		
Edward McKenna	do	Oath, Mar. 17, 1898	
A. J. Tucker	do	Oath, Mar. 16, 1898	
W. D. Waters	do	Oath, Dec. 16, 1898	
O. K. Wheeler	do	Oath, Mar. 10, 1900	
J. W. Wood	do	Oath, Nov. 14, 1898	
B. C. Ingram	do	Oath Feb. 20, 1900; special; no compensation.	
J. W. McKee	do	Oath, Mar. 14, 1898; service terminated May 22, 1900.	
J. M. Chancellor	do	do	
J. W. Hoover	do	do	
R. B. Mitchell	do	do	
J. M. Reynolds	do	do	
Jim Taylor	do	do	
J. K. Warren	do	do	
G. C. Gibson	do	Oath, Mar. 16, 1898; service terminated May 22, 1900.	
C. L. Kilgore	do	Oath, Dec. 1, 1898; service terminated May 22, 1900.	
Wm. T. Smith	do	Oath, Jan. 16, 1900; service terminated Mar. 15, 1900.	
B. C. Ingram	do	Oath, Mar. 14, 1898; service terminated Sept. 25, 1899.	
J. S. Watson	do	Oath, Apr. 4, 1898; guard for prison van, at \$2 per diem.	
Total			11,800.00
Texas, western:			
Geo. L. Siebrecht	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Z. G. Schermack	Chief office deputy	do	2,500.00
W. M. Hanson	Field deputy	Oath, Feb. 4, 1898	
Jno. M. Haynes	do	Oath, Feb. 2, 1898	
H. R. Hillebrand	do	Oath, Jan. 31, 1898	
John E. Kennerly	do	Oath, Apr. 24, 1899	
F. H. Lancaster	do	Oath, Jan. 28, 1898	
Robt. W. McMillan	do	Oath, Jan. 31, 1900	
J. A. Poole	do	Oath, Apr. 13, 1900	
Chas. B. Sheridan	do	Oath, Dec. 5, 1898; service terminated Apr. 13, 1900.	
K. H. Merrem	do	Oath, July 24, 1899; service terminated Apr. 5, 1900.	
Al. Musgrove	do	Oath, Feb. 7, 1900; service terminated Feb. 15, 1900; special.	
R. M. Dowe	do	Oath, Dec. 16, 1899; service terminated Jan. 31, 1900.	
Tom Crawford	do	Oath, Oct. 12, 1899; service terminated Oct. 17, 1899; special.	
Trinidad San Miguel	do	Oath, July 16, 1898; service terminated Aug. 30, 1899.	
J. Y. Leavell	do		
Jno. C. Evins	do	Oath, Mar. 26, 1900; service terminated Mar. 27, 1900; special.	
Total			6,500.00

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	Claimed.	Ap- proved.	
\$182.94	\$180.94	\$137.22	\$135.72	\$52.80	\$52.80			
1,441.22	1,433.22	1,080.92	1,074.92	165.00	141.42			
2,303.03	2,199.63	1,702.20	1,649.75	199.20	179.95			
10,364.56	9,998.31	6,107.96	5,980.23	2,625.26	2,561.58	\$97.99	\$97.89	\$2,603.55
1,099.36	1,099.36			693.15	677.80	68.50	67.92	2,381.28
710.36	710.36			452.87	444.47			
280.77	280.77			121.15	119.45			
19.57	19.57			62.20	62.20			
231.67	231.67			210.43	209.08			
897.37	897.37	673.01	673.01	99.50	99.50			
1,631.24	1,601.72	1,223.43	1,166.44	177.70	172.20			
533.69	533.69	400.26	400.26	74.90	72.75			
4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	30.00	30.00			
189.13	186.17	141.84	139.62	32.25	32.00			
682.70	678.18	512.01	506.62	236.75	234.90			
1,117.04	1,116.04	837.77	837.02	118.40	113.40			
68.32	68.32	51.23	51.23	109.30	104.53			
52.00	52.00	38.99	38.99	349.35	344.60			
339.00	339.00	254.24	254.24	187.95	186.45			
121.96	121.96	91.46	91.46	191.80	180.05			
7.50	7.50	5.62	5.62	46.95	46.95			
174.42	174.42	130.77	130.77	70.75	70.75			
84.48	84.48	63.35	65.35	124.10	123.35			
1.50	1.50	1.13	1.13	8.80	8.80			
86.02	86.02	64.51	64.51	23.50	23.50			
8,332.10	8,294.10	4,492.62	4,429.27	3,421.80	3,356.73	68.50	67.92	2,381.28
1,815.32	1,814.82			547.32	547.32	77.32	75.50	1,141.17
131.38	131.38			119.25	118.60			
1,890.54	1,791.64	1,333.05	1,297.29	864.95	861.45			
550.96	538.96	413.21	404.21	12.00	5.50			
803.25	785.10	602.44	588.83	377.25	358.43			
515.65	498.69	380.72	374.25	16.30	15.70			
1,658.37	1,650.71	1,243.76	1,238.09	364.25	337.50			
400.04	381.04	300.03	285.78	9.50	9.50			
59.76	59.76	44.82	44.82	76.25	76.25			
548.44	510.74	411.33	383.06	194.20	187.80			
1,276.52	1,252.40	1,076.91	939.90	121.25	91.90			
1.00	1.00							
25.00	25.00	18.74	18.74					
.50	.50	.38	.38	6.20	6.20			
17.50	17.50	13.12	13.12					
38.50	36.50	28.87	27.37					
3.86	3.86							
9,736.59	9,499.60	5,873.38	5,615.84	2,708.72	2,616.15	77.32	75.50	1,141.17

EXHIBIT S.—Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries.
			Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Utah:			
Glen Miller	Marshal	Fiscal year	\$3,500.00
Lucien H. Smyth	Chief office deputy	do	2,000.00
John K. Hardy	Office deputy	do	1,200.00
Joseph E. Hall	Field deputy	Oath, Apr. 28, 1900; for 2 weeks	
Hoyt Smith	do	Oath, Apr. 19, 1900.	
Total			6,700.00
Vermont:			
Fred. A. Field	Marshal	Fiscal year	2,500.00
Joseph M. Stoddard	Chief office deputy	do	1,000.00
L. A. Drew	Field deputy	Oath, Sept. 7, 1898	
Luke Parish	do	Oath, Aug. 17, 1898	
Total			3,500.00
Virginia, eastern:			
Morgan Treat	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,500.00
Malcolm A. Coles	Chief office deputy	do	1,500.00
Samuel Bendit	Office deputy	Oath, May 1, 1900, to June 30, 1900	120.70
U. M. Bland	do	do	120.70
Robert W. Bailey	Field deputy	Oath, May 8, 1899	
Samuel Bendit	do	Oath, Nov. 15, 1898; services termi- nated April 23, 1900.	
Joseph F. Glover	do	Oath, Apr. 14, 1898	
A. M. Lloyd	do	Oath, Feb. 23, 1899	
Julius West	do	Oath, Apr. 21, 1898	
Total			5,241.49
Virginia, western:			
S. Brown Allen	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Heber Ker	Chief office deputy	do	1,533.60
R. A. Fulwiler	Office deputy	do	1,267.30
R. Emmett Kinney	do	do	1,000.80
W. B. Adington	Field deputy	Oath, May 31, 1898	
R. M. Baldwin	do	Oath, June 20, 1898	
J. W. Carpenter	do	Oath, May 28, 1898	
Cloyd Childress	do	Oath, Feb. 16, 1898	
T. L. Felts	do	Oath, Mar. 29, 1898	
Geo. S. Fitzwater	do	Oath, Aug. 30, 1899	
C. B. Gravely	do	Oath, Feb. 7, 1900.	
Chas. O. Hamilton	do	Oath, Mar. 17, 1898	
J. B. Hawley	do	Oath, May 28, 1898	
J. B. Kiser	do	Oath, Sept. 6, 1899	
Stephen C. Lindsey	do	Oath, May 27, 1898	
Jas. E. Owen	do	Oath, Feb. 23, 1898	
J. W. Patton, sr	do	Oath, May 30, 1898	
W. M. Puckett	do	Oath, Mar. 8, 1896	
Jno. D. Rogers	do	Oath, Feb. 22, 1898	
W. A. Rowe	do	Oath, May 28, 1898	
J. B. Shufflebarger	do	do	
B. F. Stultz	do	Oath, Feb. 25, 1898	
J. J. Todd	do	Oath, Feb. 18, 1898	
Z. B. Wade	do	Oath, May 1, 1900	
Richard T. Waller	do	Oath, Sept. 12, 1899	
Ebenezer H. Witten	do	Oath, June 23, 1898	
D. G. George	do	Oath, Mar. 2, 1898; service termi- nated Feb. 1, 1900.	
T. I. Elgin	do	Oath, Mar. 4, 1898; service terminated Aug. 19, 1899.	
W. T. Hudgens	do	Oath, Aug. 4, 1898; service termi- nated Sept. 5, 1899.	
M. P. Calley	do	Oath, May 28, 1898; service termi- nated Aug. 12, 1899.	
A. V. Starr	do	Oath, Feb. 22, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 1, 1899.	
A. B. Akers	do	Oath, June 4, 1898; service termi- nated Aug. 27, 1899.	
Thos. C. Greer	do	Oath, Feb. 17, 1898; service termi- nated Nov. 18, 1899.	
L. Rusdasill	do	Oath, May 28, 1898; service termi- nated May 26, 1900.	
Albert Jenkins	do	Oath, May 30, 1898; service termi- nated July 26, 1899.	
Total			7,801.70

REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

377

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$572.08	\$572.08	-----		\$42.00	\$42.00	\$112.19	\$96.19	\$218.25
509.13	484.96	-----		491.65	491.65			
43.82	43.82			88.70	88.70			
15.50	15.50	\$11.62	\$11.62	85.10	83.10			
12.22	8.00	6.00	6.00	64.25	48.75			
1,152.75	1,124.36	17.62	17.62	771.70	754.20	112.19	96.19	218.25
921.02	897.27			325.07	315.95	67.79	67.58	528.38
275.07	218.45			115.22	114.57			
1,524.84	1,473.74	1,139.06	1,108.30	50	50			
574.86	569.32	431.16	427.00	120.85	117.10			
3,295.79	3,098.78	1,570.22	1,532.30	561.64	548.12	67.79	67.58	528.38
1,874.94	1,874.94	-----		640.03	622.38	50.53	50.19	1,499.08
38.00	38.00	-----		96.15	96.15			
5.00	5.00	-----		219.80	219.80			
248.92	213.34	186.69	160.01	33.35	33.35			
328.22	328.22	246.19	246.17	20.70	15.95			
282.94	265.74	212.20	199.30	22.31	19.61			
3.02	3.02	2.27	2.27					
1,883.25	1,833.57	1,412.44	1,375.19	187.32	185.92			
4,664.29	4,561.83	2,059.79	1,982.94	1,219.66	1,193.16	50.53	50.19	1,499.08
1,201.74	1,201.74	-----		159.35	158.60	18.64	18.64	619.71
				140.00	139.85	.69	.69	
8.00	8.00	-----		131.54	131.04			
2.00	2.00	-----		83.85	82.89			
1,173.59	1,163.95	880.19	872.96	90.30	87.75			
568.11	564.25	441.07	423.18	54.90	54.90			
212.12	206.62	159.08	156.46	7.00	7.00			
1,115.34	1,107.16	836.50	830.39	85.80	84.50			
925.24	917.74	693.92	688.30	603.95	593.55			
656.53	656.53	492.38	492.38	130.67	130.67			
140.21	140.21	105.15	105.15	5.00	1.00			
175.41	175.41	131.54	131.54	54.25	53.65			
789.63	778.83	592.22	584.12	159.40	159.15			
169.76	169.76	127.31	127.31	14.50	14.50			
347.71	345.71	280.77	259.27	165.05	165.05			
493.22	492.74	369.90	369.64	46.50	45.50			
528.95	519.25	396.70	389.43	33.55	33.55			
752.30	747.10	564.20	560.30	49.45	46.70			
711.82	711.82	533.85	533.85	66.37	65.87			
347.96	347.96	280.98	280.98	5.60	5.60			
267.24	267.24	200.42	200.42	27.75	27.75			
944.38	940.66	708.27	705.49	85.04	79.09			
932.94	931.98	699.69	698.97	41.50	41.50			
87.12	82.12	65.34	61.59	6.00	6.00			
693.82	693.82	520.35	520.35	38.50	38.50			
659.86	659.86	494.88	494.88	40.00	40.00			
235.44	232.96	176.57	174.71	15.55	15.55			
105.26	98.06	78.94	73.54	9.00	9.00			
208.26	174.26	156.19	130.69	12.75	12.25			
10.70	10.70	8.02	8.02	1.00	1.00			
339.72	339.72	254.78	254.78	26.00	26.00			
35.06	35.06	26.29	26.29	11.45	9.95			
14,859.46	14,725.24	10,235.50	10,134.89	2,401.57	2,361.91	19.33	19.33	619.71

EXHIBIT 3.—*Showing, by districts, the salaries paid to United States marshals, their compensation payable out of said fees, as claimed and as approved; and amounts*

Districts and names.	Titles.	Periods.	Salaries. Marshals, office dep- uties, and clerks.
Washington:			
C. W. Ide	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Frank L. Crosby	Chief office deputy	do	2,250.00
A. L. Dilley	Office deputy	do	1,500.00
Ira S. Davisson	do	do	1,450.00
George L. Ide	do	Sept. 2, 1899, to June 30, 1900	828.80
H. W. Tyler	do	Fiscal year	1,500.00
John Stringer	do	do	1,000.00
Felix M. Pugh	do	do	1,500.00
D. T. Welch	do	July 1, 1899, to Dec. 31, 1899	380.00
I. N. Arment	do	July 1, 1899, to Aug. 31, 1899	168.40
Ernest L. Scott	Stenographer	Jan. 8, 1900, to June 30, 1900	288.30
Total			14,845.50
West Virginia:			
J. K. Thompson	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
B. L. Priddle	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00
Wilton Randolph	Office deputy	do	1,500.00
Chas. M. Shrewsbury	do	July 10, 1899, to June 30, 1900	1,170.65
Edward S. Aleshire	do	July 1, 1899, to July 9, 1899	29.35
Jno. P. Austin	Field deputy	Oath, July 12, 1897	
Wm. G. Baldwin	do	Special	
W. C. Bartram	do	Oath, July 1, 1897	
Jno. W. Booth	do	Oath, Aug. 27, 1897	
D. W. Cunningham	do	Oath, July 1, 1897	
Alvin Harper	do	Oath, July 17, 1897	
W. G. Hickel	do	Oath, July 1, 1897	
A. C. Hufford	do	Oath, May 24, 1899	
D. R. Jackson	do	Oath, July 5, 1897	
C. W. Law	do	Oath, July 1, 1897	
W. C. McGregor	do	Oath, April 6, 1900	
James R. Mehen	do	Oath, Dec. 9, 1897; special	
Jno. T. Paulding	do	Oath, Nov. 5, 1897	
Granville Stout	do	Oath, July 1, 1897	
A. J. Young	do	Oath, Aug. 26, 1897	
Oscar C. Miller	do	Oath, Nov. 12, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 7, 1899.	
Total			8,500.00
Wisconsin, eastern:			
Thomas B. Reid	Marshal	Fiscal year	4,000.00
Albion Johnson	Chief office deputy	do	1,800.00
Edward H. Glantz	Office deputy	Nov. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900	798.90
Walter N. Durbin	do	Fiscal year	1,200.00
Joseph P. Delaney	do	July 1, 1899, to Oct. 31, 1899	401.10
Total			8,200.00
Wisconsin, western:			
W. H. Canon	Marshal	July 1, 1899, to Feb. 11, 1900	2,466.62
George W. Levis	Chief office deputy	do	1,110.00
Frank P. Meehan	Office deputy	do	739.97
Charles Lewiston	Marshal	Feb. 12, 1900, to June 30, 1900	1,533.38
George W. Levis	Chief office deputy	Feb. 12, 1900, to May 9, 1900	432.81
William T. Pugh	Office deputy	May 10, 1900, to June 30, 1900	257.19
Frank P. Meehan	do	Feb. 12, 1900, to June 30, 1900	460.03
John Langdon	Field deputy	Oath, Mar. 23, 1900, special	
Total			7,000.00
Wyoming:			
Frank A. Hadsell	Marshal	Fiscal year	3,500.00
Joseph A. Breckons	Chief office deputy	July 1, 1899, to Jan. 31, 1900	879.20
Paul Bailey	do	Feb. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900	320.80
Joseph Lefors	Office deputy	Oct. 16, 1899, to June 30, 1900	351.07
James G. Morrison	Field deputy	Oath, Oct. 17, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 28, 1899.	
Richard Morse	do	Oath, Oct. 27, 1898; service termi- nated Aug. 24, 1899.	
John A. McDermott	do	Oath, Sept. 30, 1898; service termi- nated Oct. 28, 1899.	
Thomas J. Carr	do	Oath, Jan. 30, 1899; service termi- nated Oct. 28, 1899.	
Total			5,851.07

deputies and clerks; their expenses, as claimed and as approved; the fees earned and paid to clerks of United States courts for deposit, for the fiscal year 1900—Cont'd.

Fees.				Expenses.				Amounts paid to clerks of courts for deposit.
Earned.		Payable.		Subsistence and travel.		Other expenses.		
Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	Claimed.	Approved.	
\$1,510.67	\$1,510.67			\$268.49	\$268.49	\$152.15	\$150.59	\$1,911.81
498.58	498.58			156.90	156.90			
2,130.71	2,130.71			794.55	794.55			
878.56	878.56			232.45	232.45			
810.26	808.76			370.65	370.65			
1,651.45	1,651.45			219.29	219.29			
1,079.68	1,025.28			436.00	436.00			
540.63	540.63			292.65	292.65			
66.16				5.30	5.30			
118.72	118.72			55.20	55.20			
9,285.42	9,229.52			2,831.48	2,831.48	152.15	150.59	1,911.81
1,867.02	1,803.69			262.45	261.83	64.69	64.69	1,071.12
123.74	123.74			329.35	326.15			
119.50	59.50			228.00	228.00			
9.50	9.50			194.60	194.60			
81.08	44.18	\$60.81	\$33.13	1.10	1.10			
1,406.86	1,464.36	1,100.14	1,077.23	31.50	31.50			
180.60	162.72	135.45	122.04					
2,252.27	2,195.61	1,689.20	1,585.92	130.80	129.80			
74.32	74.32	55.73	55.73	8.50	8.50			
956.88	947.68	717.66	635.53	66.00	66.00			
2,204.24	2,184.54	1,653.18	1,606.58	5.55	5.55			
1,158.36	1,144.12	868.77	858.10	27.25	27.25			
248.52	242.42	186.39	181.82	2.55	2.55			
11.80	11.80	8.85	8.85	2.50	2.50			
27.12	27.12	20.33	20.33					
774.82	724.20	581.11	526.32	8.70	7.90			
1,442.42	1,441.34	1,081.81	1,081.00	35.50	35.50			
34.90	34.90	26.17	26.17	9.05	7.25			
13,033.95	12,695.74	8,185.60	7,818.75	1,343.40	1,335.98	64.69	64.69	1,071.12
1,408.72	1,378.86			119.69	82.99	38.54	38.54	463.54
721.68	701.22			239.75	239.75			
877.89	860.72			360.86	360.86			
844.16	825.40			222.94	222.94			
381.50	343.76			181.65	92.45	.35	.35	
4,333.95	4,179.96			1,124.89	998.89	38.89	38.89	463.54
1,447.59	1,384.26			328.29	328.29	8.83	8.83	367.24
425.66	367.10			102.90	102.40			
2,451.93	2,302.16			943.55	943.55			
872.01	789.24			39.99	39.99	10.30	9.42	499.50
510.70	507.80			129.22	128.22	.25	.25	
190.54	195.54			50.49	50.49			
3,357.16	3,381.76			1,080.17	1,080.17			
9,255.59	8,907.86			2,674.61	2,673.11	19.44	18.50	866.74
1,670.47	1,611.08			328.60	328.60	103.70	98.70	137.20
42.00	42.00			173.75	165.75			
640.16	640.16			318.80	318.80	3.00		
403.12	379.96	9.00	9.00					
24.00	24.00							
13.96	13.96	5.23	5.23					
2,793.61	2,711.16	14.23	14.23	821.15	813.15	106.70	98.70	137.20

EXHIBIT T.—Statement showing, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1900, emoluments and office expenses of clerks of United States courts, as indicated by their emolument returns and accounts, as approved by the Department of Justice.

Districts.	Name of clerk.	Location.	Fees.		Total earnings.	Clerk hire.	Expenses.			Net emoluments from which clerks are paid.	Mileage.	Amount payable from fees of clerks of United States Courts.
			Earned from United States.	Earned from individuals.			Stationery.	Other items.	Total expenses.			
Circuit courts.												
Alabama, northern.	N. W. Trimble ¹	Birmingham	\$81.83	\$1,798.85	\$1,880.68	\$740.00	\$28.00		\$768.00	\$1,112.68		\$81.83
Do.	C. J. Allison ²	do.	3,237.38	1,623.11	4,860.49	2,040.00	215.44		2,255.44	2,605.05	\$11.10	3,248.48
Alabama, middle.	J. W. Dimmick	Montgomery	448.95	390.60	839.55	328.50	17.90		346.40	412.15		448.95
Alabama, southern.	Richard Jones	Mobile	380.75	1,057.34	1,448.09	350.00			350.00	1,098.09		380.75
Arkansas, eastern.	W. P. Field	Little Rock	1,272.96	2,975.00	4,247.96	639.90	35.55	7.60	683.05	3,564.91		1,278.05
Do.	E. R. Crum	Helena	12.84	183.62	196.46					196.46		12.84
Do.	J. W. Parse	Batesville	33.66	111.25	144.91					144.91		33.66
Do.	Thomas Boles	Fort Smith	1,020.98	600.79	2,221.77	290.00	7.00		287.00	1,934.77		1,020.98
Do.	Sam Gibson ³	Texasarkana	100.70	175.05	275.75					275.75		100.70
Do.	J. M. Somerville ⁴	do.	49.00		49.00					49.00		49.00
California, northern.	Southard Hoffman	San Francisco	3,393.49	7,025.11	10,418.60	3,720.00	85.80	375.00	4,180.80	6,237.80		3,393.49
California, southern.	W. M. Van Dyke	Los Angeles	1,994.96	4,746.38	6,741.34	2,294.93	144.28	132.00	2,541.21	4,200.13		1,994.96
Colorado.	Robert Bailey	Denver	247.82	8,380.33	8,628.15	3,519.05	290.35		3,809.40	4,818.75		247.82
Connecticut.	E. E. Marvin	Hartford	855.60	2,590.80	3,446.40	613.42	13.02	13.65	640.09	2,806.31		855.60
Delaware.	S. R. Smith	Wilmington	808.15	1,290.35	2,098.50					2,098.50		808.15
Florida, northern.	F. W. Marsh	Pensacola	2,021.05	545.51	2,566.56					2,566.56		2,021.05
Florida, southern.	E. O. Locke	Jacksonville	140.38	5,374.04	5,514.42	2,469.50	77.20		2,546.70	3,067.72		140.38
Georgia, northern.	O. C. Fuller	Atlanta	5,414.10	2,518.66	7,932.76	3,670.40	110.80	42.00	3,823.20	4,109.56		5,414.10
Georgia, southern.	H. H. King	Savannah	1,194.85	1,967.40	3,162.25	1,065.80	13.10		1,108.90	1,953.35		1,194.85
Idaho.	A. L. Richardson	Boise	217.04	3,580.15	3,797.19	1,072.50			1,072.50	2,724.69	125.40	217.04
Illinois, northern.	S. W. Burnham	Chicago	2,393.45	8,580.29	10,973.74	8,583.60	412.25	149.23	9,145.08	1,828.52		2,393.45
Illinois, southern.	J. T. Jones	Springfield	1,425.80	2,114.10	3,539.90	300.00			300.00	3,239.90		1,425.80
Indiana.	N. C. Butler	Indianapolis	1,638.58	4,063.39	5,701.97	2,887.05	19.75		2,906.80	2,795.17		1,638.58
Iowa, northern.	A. J. Van Duzee	Dubuque	723.30	2,553.81	3,277.11	1,082.50			1,082.50	2,194.61		723.30
Iowa, southern.	E. R. Mason	Des Moines	456.00	1,911.91	2,367.91	1,088.05			1,088.05	1,279.86		456.00
Kansas.	G. F. Sharitt	Topeka	694.05	9,945.17	10,639.22	5,639.91	130.75	56.25	6,236.11	4,403.11		694.05
Kentucky.	Thomas Speed	Louisville	31.41	3,733.89	3,765.30	1,944.70	13.15		1,957.85	1,807.45		31.41
Do.	W. G. Chapman	Frankfort	31.41	597.90	629.31	100.00	70.00		170.00	459.31		31.41
Do.	J. C. Fennell	Covington	86.05	1,641.60	1,727.65					1,727.65		86.05
Do.	J. R. Puryear	Paducah		256.25	256.25					256.25		
Louisiana, eastern.	E. R. Hunt	New Orleans	1,323.15	5,292.75	6,615.90	2,850.00	38.40	32.10	2,920.50	3,695.40		1,323.15
Louisiana, western.	J. B. Beattie ⁵	Shreveport	732.72	706.20	1,438.92	172.00	15.59		187.59	1,251.33		732.72
Maine.	A. H. Davis	Portland	497.30	1,962.22	2,459.52	1,000.00			1,000.00	1,459.52		497.30
Maryland.	J. W. Chew	Baltimore	2,610.92	15,478.35	18,089.27	6,164.00	701.50	23.95	6,889.45	11,200.82		2,610.92
Massachusetts.	A. H. Trowbridge	Boston	1,593.91	2,531.93	4,125.84	2,690.00			2,690.00	1,435.84		1,593.91
Michigan, eastern.	W. S. Harsha	Detroit									44.00	

EXHIBIT T.—Statement showing, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1900, emoluments and office expenses of clerks of United States courts, as indicated by their emolument returns and accounts, as approved by the Department of Justice—Continued.

Districts.	Name of clerk.	Location.	Fees.		Expenses.				Net emolu-ments from which clerks are paid.	Mile-age.	Amount payable from fees of clerks of United States courts.
			Earned from United States.	Earned from indi-viduals.	Total earnings.	Clerk hire.	Station-ery.	Other items.			
Circuit courts—Cont'd.											
Wisconsin, western	Alfred Harrison	La Crosse	\$53.05	\$21.75	\$74.80						\$53.05
Do	F. W. Oakley	Madison	1,346.81	1,282.00	2,628.81	\$525.00				\$113.81	1,346.11
Wyoming	Louis Kirk	Cheyenne	218.40	389.12	607.52					587.52	218.40
Total			90,606.43	270,306.49	360,914.92	129,971.20	\$7,082.36	\$2,174.72	139,178.28	1,782.15	76,505.67
District courts.											
Alabama, northern	N. W. Trimble ¹	Birmingham	380.23	419.15	799.38	310.00	11.95			477.43	380.23
Do	C. J. Allison ²	do	2,747.92	1,924.83	4,672.75	1,795.00	248.70			2,704.05	2,747.92
Alabama, middle	J. W. Dimmick	Montgomery	2,693.65	2,014.45	4,708.10	1,772.00	74.15			2,247.95	2,693.65
Alabama, southern	Richard Jones	Mobile	1,510.35	1,029.05	2,539.40	850.00				1,089.40	1,510.35
Arkansas, eastern	O. M. Spellman	Little Rock	2,289.19	2,616.91	4,906.10	1,200.00		30.80	1,230.80	3,096.90	2,289.19
Do	E. R. Crum	Helena	241.71	811.80	1,053.51					1,053.51	241.71
Do	J. W. Parse	Batesville	613.45	197.15	810.60					810.60	613.45
Arkansas, western	H. B. Armistead	Fort Smith	2,993.92	431.15	3,425.07	510.00	39.85		549.85	2,970.22	2,993.92
Do	J. M. Somerville	Texasarkana	1,175.28	7,539.15	8,714.43	5,200.00	323.39	151.65	5,674.94	1,175.28	1,175.28
California, northern	G. E. Morse	San Francisco	5,968.10	1,942.20	7,910.30	238.48	51.37		289.85	3,784.91	5,968.10
California, southern	E. H. Owen	Los Angeles	1,325.56	1,942.85	3,268.41	1,093.88	95.35		1,124.71	1,650.08	1,325.56
Colorado	F. W. Tupper ³	Denver	696.70	2,287.08	2,983.78	1,946.85	124.95		1,471.80	2,283.88	696.70
Do	C. W. Bishop ⁴	do	894.78	2,876.33	3,771.11	1,386.53	13.62	16.30	1,425.85	1,944.30	894.78
Connecticut	E. E. Marvin	Hartford	1,044.20	818.45	1,862.65					1,862.65	1,044.20
Delaware	S. R. Smith	Wilmington	546.34	1,259.05	1,805.39					1,805.39	546.34
Florida, northern	F. W. Marsh	Pensacola	2,940.89	2,213.72	5,154.61	1,478.90	74.97		1,553.87	2,700.84	2,940.89
Florida, southern	E. O. Locke	Jacksonville	9,170.65	2,496.95	11,667.60	6,630.00	189.86		7,620.86	4,867.24	9,170.65
Georgia, northern	W. C. Carter	Atlanta	1,371.80	4,900.01	6,271.81	3,513.27	133.50		3,946.77	2,691.04	1,371.80
Georgia, southern	H. C. King	Savannah	3,213.80	499.50	3,713.30					1,106.27	3,213.80
Idaho	A. L. Richardson	Boise	3,523.40	29,556.55	33,080.00	8,428.28	608.01	475.25	9,511.53	29,584.77	3,523.40
Illinois, northern	T. C. MacMillan	Chicago	3,523.40	29,556.55	33,080.00	8,428.28	608.01	475.25	9,511.53	29,584.77	3,523.40
Illinois, southern	M. B. Converse	Springfield	3,507.45	3,154.50	6,661.95	2,400.00	93.65		2,493.65	4,168.30	3,507.45
Indiana	N. C. Butler	Indianapolis	987.67	5,012.30	5,999.97	2,550.55	113.75	50.00	2,714.80	3,265.67	987.67
Iowa, northern	A. J. Van Duzee	Dubuque	1,846.05	6,682.25	8,528.30	1,959.50	130.11		2,087.61	5,240.69	1,846.05
Iowa, southern	F. J. Steadman	Council Bluffs	1,541.03	5,967.52	7,508.55	1,898.67	74.57		2,064.44	2,444.11	1,541.03
Kansas	F. L. Brown	Topeka	1,981.70	3,492.75	5,474.45	1,558.47	104.93		1,510.83	3,073.62	1,981.70
Kentucky	Thomas Speed	Louisville	3,263.58	3,582.66	6,846.24	3,091.11	132.10	36.00	3,262.21	3,584.03	3,263.58

Do	W. G. Chapman	1,459.12	1,785.00	3,254.12	240.00	60.78	20.00	240.00	3,014.12	1,459.12
Do	J. C. Fennell	1,203.65	1,098.20	2,301.85	500.00			580.78	1,721.07	1,203.65
Do	F. H. Puryear	2,01.15	1,700.50	5,701.34		129.35	52.00	2,054.35	3,735.99	785.01
Louisiana, eastern	J. H. Mortimer	1,021.00	4,769.34		1,873.00					
Louisiana, western	A. B. Beattie									
Maine	A. H. Davis	806.86	6,112.02	6,918.88	728.00	74.18	10.00	813.18	6,105.70	1,287.14
Massachusetts	J. W. Chew	2,311.41	20,897.62	23,209.33	1,300.00	4,547.33		1,324.49	3,222.84	
Michigan, eastern	F. H. Mason	1,401.90	3,283.06	4,683.96	7,933.67	1,002.43	106.57	9,067.67	14,141.66	1,373.09
Michigan, western	D. J. Davidson	1,077.23	1,135.46	2,212.72	1,161.15			1,161.15	3,328.81	
Minnesota	John McQueenan	2,895.40	9,041.90	12,037.30	4,433.25	547.90		5,031.15	7,008.15	38.90
Mississippi, northern	C. L. Spencer	4,897.80	6,222.50	5,319.50	2,000.00	60.20		2,060.20	3,239.60	25.40
Mississippi, southern	J. S. Burton	3,933.92	1,861.63	5,795.55	2,451.33	109.00	43.20	2,603.73	3,410.79	52.40
Missouri, eastern	L. B. Moseley	3,472.91	3,472.91	5,465.79	995.00			995.00	1,128.75	
Do	William Morgan	1,032.15	1,197.75	1,197.75	69.00			900.00	2,576.84	1,499.34
Missouri, western	G. C. Moore	1,999.34	1,977.50	3,476.84	900.00			370.70	1,877.69	
Do	J. M. Nuckols	1,977.72	539.25	1,636.97	539.25	1.95	9.00	539.25	1,286.27	
Do	Sarah A. Lathum	187.69	408.10	586.79	52.30		11.38	63.88	661.50	
Do	H. C. Gelsburg	132.65	478.15	611.80	635.00	102.65		737.65	2,225.31	182.95
Do	G. A. Pollock	781.11	2,152.05	2,863.16	325.00	43.85		398.85	4,631.97	151.11
Montana	R. B. Hillis	2,542.84	3,105.45	5,647.94	1,291.67	215.31		1,586.28	4,631.97	247.00
Nebraska	G. W. Sproule	1,495.82	1,495.82	2,563.97					2,563.97	2,042.98
Nevada	R. J. Edwards	1,737.37	1,737.37	1,737.37					2,563.97	1,465.92
New Hampshire	F. E. Sturdliff	1,384.05	8,554.55	8,554.55	1,737.37			2,707.80	5,855.03	767.40
New Jersey	C. T. Cranmer	1,384.05	8,554.55	8,554.55	1,737.37			2,707.80	5,855.03	
New York, northern	C. B. German	1,384.05	8,554.55	8,554.55	1,737.37			2,707.80	5,855.03	
Do	W. S. Decoltille	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	87.63
New York, eastern	R. P. Morie	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
New York, southern	S. H. Lyman	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
North Carolina, eastern	J. E. Fortune	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Do	H. M. Grant	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
North Carolina, western	S. L. Trogdon	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Do	H. C. Cowles	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Do	C. B. Moore	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
North Dakota	J. A. Montgomery	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Ohio, northern	H. F. Carleton	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Ohio, southern	B. E. Cowan	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Oregon	E. D. McKee	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Pennsylvania, eastern	C. S. Lincoln	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Pennsylvania, western	W. T. Lindsey	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Rhode Island	W. P. Cross	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
South Carolina	C. J. C. Hutson	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
South Dakota	O. S. Pender	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Tennessee, eastern	H. O. Ewing	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Tennessee, middle	H. M. Doak	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Tennessee, western	J. B. Clough	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	
Texas, northern	J. H. Finks	1,706.54	13,453.92	15,361.78	2,111.60	449.97	236.23	2,707.80	5,855.03	

¹ July 1 to Sept. 30, 1899.
² Oct. 1, 1899, to June 30, 1900.
³ July 1 to Dec. 31, 1899.
⁴ Jan. 1 to June 30, 1900.

⁵ May 15 to June 30, 1900.
⁶ July 1 to Nov. 13, 1899.
⁷ Sept. 6, 1899, to July 30, 1900.

⁸ Has rendered no returns nor accounts during the time covered by this exhibit.
⁹ July 1 to Sept. 6, 1899.
¹⁰ Nov. 15, 1899, to June 30, 1900.

EXHIBIT T.—Statement showing, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1900, emoluments and office expenses of clerks of United States courts, as indicated by their emolument returns and accounts, as approved by the Department of Justice—Continued.

Districts.	Name of clerk.	Location.	Fees.		Expenses.				Net emoluments from which clerks are paid.	Mileage.	Amount payable from fees of clerks of United States courts.
			Earned from United States.	Earned from individuals.	Total earnings.	Clerk hire.	Stationery.	Other items.			
District courts—Cont'd.											
Texas, eastern.	A. D. Brooks	Paris	\$2,830.44	\$983.70	\$3,714.14	\$700.00	\$40.00			\$2,830.44	\$2,830.44
Do.	W. E. Singleton	Jefferson	413.25	955.85	1,369.10	190.00	30.00			413.25	413.25
Do.	C. Dart	Galveston	613.07	2,383.02	2,996.09	469.15	116.80			613.07	613.07
Do.	D. W. Parrish	Tyler	433.40	678.40	1,111.80					433.40	433.40
Texas, western.	D. H. Hart	Austin	2,563.69	2,386.64	4,950.33	1,976.36	100.83			2,563.69	2,563.69
Utah	J. R. Lecher	Salt Lake City	727.63	986.00	1,713.63	272.50				727.63	727.63
Vermont.	J. R. Johnson ¹	Burlington	4,233.50	3,972.67	8,199.17	3,239.04				4,233.50	4,233.50
Virginia, eastern.	G. E. Bowden	Norfolk	1,829.63	575.70	2,405.33	230.00	102.45			1,829.63	1,829.63
Virginia, western	S. W. Martin	Danville	923.30	1,022.58	1,945.88	210.00	26.81			923.30	923.30
Do.	Wm. McCauley	Lynchburg	572.63	324.16	896.79					572.63	572.63
Do.	A. C. Fletcher	Harrisonburg	2,369.22	545.10	2,914.32	1,100.00				2,369.22	2,369.22
Washington	E. M. Hopkins	Abingdon	2,433.37	5,495.92	7,929.29	2,550.00	174.51			2,433.37	2,433.37
West Virginia	J. Y. Moore	Seattle	2,412.80	2,985.06	5,397.86	1,543.00				2,412.80	2,412.80
Wisconsin, eastern.	Edward Kartiz	Clarksburg	1,464.35	2,988.66	4,453.01	777.50	50.60			1,464.35	1,464.35
Wisconsin, western	Alfred Harrison	Milwaukee	48.95	291.45	340.40					48.95	48.95
Do.	F. W. Oakley	La Crosse	1,791.76	1,580.35	3,372.11	675.00				1,791.76	1,791.76
Do.	Louis Kirk	Madison	832.30	187.30	1,019.60					832.30	832.30
Do.		Cheyenne									
Wyoming											
Total.			163,738.26	312,027.27	475,825.53	141,442.96	9,589.98	\$2,973.57	321,814.12	2,055.74	136,334.08
Territorial courts.											
Supreme courts:											
Arizona.	Lloyd Johnston ²	Phoenix	37.30	979.50	1,016.80	300.00				37.30	37.30
Do.	Thomas Grindell ³	do	275.80	1,116.50	1,392.30	433.05				275.80	275.80
New Mexico.	Jose D. Sena	Santa Fe	500.70	540.70	1,041.40					500.70	500.70
Oklahoma	B. F. Hegler	Guthrie	187.96		187.96					187.96	187.96
District courts:											
Arizona, 1st dist.	C. D. Hoover	Tucson	2,850.72	108.20	2,958.92	600.00				2,850.72	2,850.72
Arizona, 2d dist.	D. C. Stevens	Florence	1,156.80	50.00	1,206.80					1,156.80	1,156.80
Arizona, 3d dist.	W. C. Foster	Phoenix	2,550.48	98.25	2,648.73					2,550.48	2,550.48
Arizona, 4th dist.	J. M. Watts	Prescott	1,604.80	44.00	1,648.80					1,604.80	1,604.80
New Mexico, 1st dist.	A. M. Berger	Santa Fe	541.64	45.00	586.64					541.64	541.64
New Mexico, 1st dist.	H. P. Owen	Albuquerque	1,040.05	272.00	1,312.05					1,040.05	1,040.05
New Mexico, 2d dist.	J. P. Mitchell	Silver City	1,788.13	51.60	1,839.73					1,788.13	1,788.13
New Mexico, 3d dist.											

New Mexico, 4th dist.	455.33	127.00	582.33	582.33	455.33
New Mexico, 5th dist.	551.34	133.20	684.54	684.54	551.34
Oklahoma, 1st dist.	783.60	243.75	1,027.35	1,027.35	783.60
Oklahoma, 2d dist.	665.25	312.65	1,077.90	1,077.90	713.15
Oklahoma, 3d dist.	1,124.50	363.50	1,478.00	1,000.00	1,173.65
Oklahoma, 4th dist.	3,076.10	698.60	3,762.70	1,850.00	3,082.80
Oklahoma, 5th dist.	106.50		106.50		125.90
Total	19,390.50	4,624.75	23,921.25	4,183.05	19,435.65

¹ Has rendered no returns nor accounts during the time covered by this exhibit.

² July 1 to Dec. 31, 1899.

³ Jan. 1 to June 30, 1900.

SUMMARY.

	Fees.		Expenses.			Net emol- ments from which clerk's are paid.	Mileage.	Amount payable for fees of clerks of United States courts.
	Earned from the United States.	Earned from indi- viduals.	Total.	Clerk hire.	Station- ery.	Other items.	Total.	
Circuit courts	\$80,606.43	\$270,308.49	\$350,914.92	\$129,971.20	\$7,082.36	\$2,174.72	\$139,178.28	\$76,506.67
District courts	163,793.28	312,027.27	475,820.55	141,442.86	9,589.96	2,973.57	154,006.41	186,334.06
Territorial courts	19,296.50	4,624.75	23,921.25	4,183.05			4,183.05	19,435.65
Aggregate	273,696.19	586,960.51	860,656.70	275,597.11	16,622.34	5,148.29	297,367.74	232,275.40

EXHIBIT U.—*Report on bankruptcy matters.*

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, November 19, 1900.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

SIR: The act of July 1, 1898, "establishing a uniform system of bankruptcy throughout the United States," the fourth law on this subject enacted by Congress, has now been in operation so far as its voluntary feature is concerned about two years and three months and the involuntary feature one year and eleven months. Sufficient time has elapsed, therefore, to give a fair idea of its practical operation.

To the end, therefore, that compliance might be had with sections 53 and 54 of the law requiring an annual report from the Attorney-General, blanks for semiannual reports were sent to the clerks of the various courts of bankruptcy for their own use and for the use of the referees in their respective districts. Such reports have been received from the clerks of courts of every judicial district except the district of Alaska, the western district of Louisiana, and the eastern district of Virginia, who, for some unaccountable reason, have neglected to comply with the directions of this office in that respect. Reports have also been received from about 600 referees. While some of these reports are defective owing to the lack of uniformity in keeping records, they are sufficiently full to disclose much valuable information, and the result amply compensates for the great amount of labor incident to their tabulation, which, as heretofore, has been enormous.

VOLUNTARY CASES.

As stated in the last report, advantage is being taken of the law by men of all classes and in all walks of life, and in every section of the country.

The States showing the greatest number of petitions filed during the year are, viz: Illinois, with 2,707 petitions in the northern district and 301 in the southern, making a total of 3,008 for the State; New York, with 1,031 in the northern district, 364 in the eastern, 1,290 in the southern, and 322 in the western, making a total for the State of 3,007; Iowa, with 497 in the northern district and 495 in the southern, making a total for the State of 992; Ohio, 428 in the northern district and 429 in the southern, making a total for the State of 857; Minnesota, 845; Pennsylvania, with 327 in the eastern district and 482 in the western, making a total for the State of 809.

The smallest number of voluntary petitions were filed in the following States; Nevada, 6; Delaware and Wyoming, 12 each; Idaho, 30; South Carolina, 37; Oklahoma, 39; Florida, 67, and Rhode Island, 68.

The grand total of petitions filed in the United States for the period ending September 30, 1900, is 20,128, exclusive of those for the western district of Louisiana, the district of Alaska, and for half of the year for the southern district of Georgia, New Jersey, the eastern district of North Carolina, the western district of Tennessee, and the eastern district of Virginia, from which semiannual reports were not received.

From the clerks' reports it appears that of the voluntary petitions all were adjudicated bankrupt except 237, in which the petitions were dismissed, and that discharges were refused in 71 cases. Compositions were confirmed in 206 cases.

LIABILITIES AND ASSETS.

The liabilities in 19,540 voluntary cases reported by the referees amounted to \$264,979,152, while the total amount of assets scheduled in these cases was \$33,098,771.

The summary also discloses the fact that of the petitions filed, in 86 cases the liabilities were less than \$100; in 1,879 cases, between \$100 and \$500; in 2,256 cases, between \$500 and \$1,000; in 7,861 cases, between \$1,000 and \$5,000; in 2,941 cases, between \$5,000 and \$10,000; in 1,872 cases, between \$10,000 and \$20,000, and in 2,191 cases, more than \$20,000. These reports also show that in 11,107 cases assets were scheduled, while 7,917 petitioners had no assets.

NATURE OF BUSINESS.

The nature of the business in which the petitioning bankrupts were engaged, as disclosed by the summary of the referees' reports, is proportioned as follows: 2,057 were farmers, 7,516 wage earners, 4,592 merchants, 361 manufacturers, 509 professional men, and 4,435 contractors, hotel keepers, and others of a miscellaneous character.

INVOLUNTARY PETITIONS.

During the past year the clerks' reports disclose that 1,810 petitions were filed, of which adjudications were made in all except 285 cases, which were refused and the petitions dismissed. Of the involuntary cases, 50 compositions were entered into by the bankrupts and their creditors, which were confirmed.

LIABILITIES AND ASSETS.

The liabilities involved in 1,242 cases upon which reports were made were \$27,179,001, while the assets scheduled were \$13,433,209. In 200 cases no assets were scheduled, and in a number they were classed as unknown or nominal.

NATURE OF BUSINESS.

The petitions further show that of those adjudicated involuntary bankrupts 64 were wage-earners, 694 merchants, 102 manufacturers, 9 professional men, 366 miscellaneous, and 7 farmers. While under the law a farmer or wage-earner can not be adjudicated an involuntary bankrupt, it is quite probable that they were engaged in other callings at the time of the adjudication and the debts were incurred while employed in one of the excepted pursuits or otherwise.

FEES AND EXPENSES.

An effort has been made to obtain some definite data which would show the expenses incident to the prosecution of suits in bankruptcy, but owing to the fact that the record of some of the fees is kept by the clerk of the court and others by the referee, it has been extremely difficult, and the results are not entirely satisfactory. But, as was stated in the report for last year, it is quite evident that the expenses are reduced to a minimum, and, if anything, are in many cases inadequate for the character of work required. This is particularly true with reference to the fee for the referee and trustee. The filing fee required to be deposited with the clerk of the court is \$25, of which \$10 is for the clerk, \$10 for the referee, and \$5 for the trustee. In 2,301 cases this filing fee was not paid, but the petitions were filed *in forma pauperis*, while in 689 cases the fee was paid subsequently to the filing of the petition, out of after-acquired property or after-discovered assets, which is permitted under the rules promulgated by the Supreme Court.

CASES CLOSED.

In order that some more definite information might be obtained of the assets and liabilities than was disclosed by the petitions and schedules, the referees were required to furnish data of cases closed during the year. An examination of such data covering 12,339 cases shows total assets of \$13,925,656, while the liabilities of the same were \$177,090,513.

THE PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE LAW.

During the past year there has been no material change in the business transacted from that in the year previous. The magnitude of the business under the voluntary feature of the law seems large, yet we are constrained to believe that a good percentage is made up of old insolvents and, unless business conditions materially change, a considerable decrease of suits of this character should shortly follow.

There has been an increase of a little more than 300 petitions under the involuntary feature of the law which is quite significant in connection with the impression heretofore current that the law has favored the debtor rather than the creditor. This demonstrates that the creditor interest is developing more confidence in the statute and is accordingly utilizing its provisions more freely than heretofore. From this increase, however, it should not be inferred that it is bearing unduly heavily upon the debtor, but merely that its advantages are being better understood by the creditor class. It may be safely said that the magnitude of this business, as here indicated, is not indicative that the country is any worse off than prior to the enactment of the Federal bankruptcy law, but merely that its equable and just features are being used in place of the more stringent and harsh measures of the various State insolvent laws.

The fact that the amount of assets distributed in the cases that have been closed approximates 8 per cent of the liabilities goes a long way to refute the oft-repeated saying that no assets are scheduled in these cases. As has been stated in previous reports, many of the present applicants for relief under the law are those of old insolvents and those against whom judgments have been kept alive, who are now seeking to obtain a fresh start in life. In many of the cases the assets are large, but the rate per cent of dividends is materially reduced by reason of the fact that there were 7,917 voluntary cases in which no assets were scheduled.

Since the necessity of perfecting the law by amendatory legislation is a matter of common knowledge, we are constrained to reiterate the recommendations heretofore made, especially in one or two particulars, although such action may perhaps not be within our province. While it would doubtless not be well to urge any sweeping amendment, in my judgment Congress should give its attention to the provision covered by section "57g" of the law, which has been variously interpreted by the courts, the weight of the authority—included within which are two circuit courts of appeals—sustaining the position that payments on account made within four months must first be surrendered before the balance of the claim of the creditor to whom such payment has been made can be proved and allowed, which is meeting with almost universal disapproval on the part of the commercial community.

Section 23 with reference to the jurisdiction of the courts over suits between the trustee and adverse claimants, the Supreme Court has recently held, restricts the trustee in bringing such actions to the State courts unless the defendant consents to its being brought in the Federal

court, thus substituting the slower machinery of the State court for that of the more expeditious methods provided by the Federal law. This should be amended so that the trustee may have the right to bring his suit originally in the Federal court without the consent of the defendant.

Section 14 of the law with reference to discharges should be amended so that a debtor will be prevented from repeatedly and fraudulently availing himself of its advantages, by placing some restriction upon the exercise of such right, such as requiring his assets to bear a certain ratio to his liabilities upon each subsequent application, so that his original discharge may be granted without assets upon a proper showing, though, upon his second, third, or subsequent applications, his assets should be, say, 25, 50, or 75 per cent of his liabilities. This is far preferable to any hard and fixed rule refusing a second discharge to any unfortunate debtor within a fixed period, as has been variously suggested.

While there are other amendments that might properly be suggested, these seem to us to be the most important and are creating the greatest discussion.

In conclusion, we may be permitted to reiterate what is a matter of common knowledge, that is, without doubt the law is meeting with uniform satisfaction. The only discontent that is evident arises from the same sources that originally opposed the enactment of the law. There can be no doubt that its equable provision, both as to the voluntary and the involuntary features, is to the great advantage of the merchants of the country, but does militate to the disadvantage of a few immense establishments, who, with their net work of legal retainers throughout the country, are able to keep in touch with the financial condition of their creditors and upon the first intimation of insolvency secure and protect themselves, often forcing the debtor into insolvency who may be but temporarily embarrassed, and which may now be avoided. The present law places everyone upon an equality. The small creditor as well as the large must share *pari passu* in the estate so that there is no longer that race of diligence in obtaining attachment and other proceedings. Outside of this, in this day of electricity and steam, which have brought the extremes of the country and its newly acquired territories so closely together, the advice of counsel is no longer restricted to his particular county or State, but may be called for upon questions arising to-day in the Philippine Islands, to-morrow in Porto Rico, and the next day at New Orleans, and uniformity in laws has, therefore, become almost a necessity. The great legal organizations throughout our lands recognizing this fact are clamoring for the enactment of such legislation, and if for no other reason than this, the law is meeting a much-desired end.

It is needless for me to recite the numerous resolutions on the part of the National Association of Credit Men and bar associations of note throughout our country in approbation of the law and as expressive of the favorable views of those most closely interested in its maintenance, since they are all matters of common history.

Attached thereto are the following exhibits:

Exhibit A is a summary of reports from the clerks of the various courts of bankruptcy.

Exhibit B is a summary of the reports of referees in voluntary cases.

Exhibit C is a summary of the reports of referees in involuntary cases.

Exhibit D is a summary of the reports of referees of cases closed during the year.

Very respectfully,

E. C. BRANDENBURG,
In charge of bankruptcy matters.

EXHIBIT A.—Summary of reports from clerks of courts of bankruptcy for the year ending September 30, 1900.

District.	Voluntary petitions filed.			Involuntary petitions filed.			Petitions filed since passage of act.		Adjudications.		Cases dismissed.		Discharges.		Compositions concurred in.		Filing fees, voluntary cases.	
	For 6 months ending—		Total for year ending Sept. 30, 1900.	For 6 months ending—		Total for year ending Sept. 30, 1900.	Voluntary.	Involuntary.	Voluntary.	Involuntary.	Voluntary.	Involuntary.	Granted.	Refused.	Voluntary.	Involuntary.	Paid.	Not paid.
	Mar. 31, 1900.	Sept. 30, 1900.		Mar. 31, 1900.	Sept. 30, 1900.													
Alabama, northern.	275	12	287	12	3	155	13	13	275	103	8	8	55				85	191
Alabama, middle.	64	105	169	12	2	143	24	24	105	113	6	9	137				86	34
Alabama, southern.	15	27	42	1	2	33	6	6	103	115	6	9	100		2		107	2
Alaska.	3		3	4		8	10	12	5		1	3	7				11	21
Arizona.	81	123	204	6	3	111	54	17	122	25	1	3	88	1	1		101	
Arkansas, eastern.	17	24	41	8	3	21	11	6	25	32	3	6	16	4	1		32	
Arkansas, western.	158	126	284	11	16	274	622	47	281	281	6	6	229	1	1		244	39
California, northern.	64	39	103	9	13	22	225	37	109	8	8	8	92		1		76	27
California, southern.	160	109	269	13	23	363	515	60	270	27	4	2	233	3	3		261	4
Colorado.	136	109	245	8	8	16	490	31	242	12	1	1	189	4	4		215	31
Connecticut.	7	12	19	1	1	2	17	7	11	2	2	2	7		1		12	
Delaware.	37	35	72	9	3	12	128	28	130	10	1	3	86	1	1		106	26
District of Columbia.	16	11	27	3	5	8	89	8	28	39	1	1	21				27	2
Florida, northern.	27	13	40	7	2	9	73	15	39	23	4	4	21		4		40	
Florida, southern.	116	73	189	13	13	26	474	79	232	25	13	6	197	3	3		211	49
Georgia, northern.	100	100	200	16	16	32	16	16	100	111	2	2	89	1	1		95	5
Georgia, southern.	19	30	49	2	2	4	66	3	32	32	2	2	41		3		27	5
Idaho.	1,554	2,707	4,261	62	60	122	4,739	160	2,557	39	21	6	2,353	7	7		2,546	161
Illinois, northern.	1,170	301	1,471	24	9	33	579	58	301	281	5	5	352	18	1		274	27
Illinois, southern.	280	146	426	24	24	64	994	135	1,572	88	81	5	851	10	36		1,533	181
Indiana.	10	4	14	3	3	6	6	47	73	14	2	7	66	9	2		87	2
Indian Territory, northern.	10	12	22	3	3	6	41	12	70	7	2	2	30	2	4		55	16
Indian Territory, central.	37	8	45	4	1	5	116	10	82	9	2	1	58		1		50	3
Indian Territory, southern.	329	168	497	11	8	12	792	38	502	7	3	3	431	4	2		461	33
Iowa, northern.	329	166	495	11	12	23	863	42	491	11	1	1	504	9	1		480	20
Iowa, southern.	134	83	217	25	23	48	528	63	214	38	10	8	204	9	1		209	9
Kansas.	318	217	535	15	16	31	1,259	51	263	27	7	7	685	11	4		476	56
Kentucky.	81	65	146	3	4	7	244	12	146	146	1	1	89		1		105	38
Louisiana, eastern.																		
Louisiana, western.	387	336	723	7	4	11	1,333	21	726	8	2	2	579	3	3		525	196
Maine.	144	103	247	10	4	14	468	33	247	5	2	2	163	1	1		140	46
Maryland.	917	716	1,633	45	50	95	3,305	163	1,633	61	67	15	1,201	18	11		1,347	39
Massachusetts.	96	60	156	8	8	16	322	30	1,555	13	6	6	162	6	2		286	97
Michigan, eastern.																		
Michigan, western.	40	43	83	9	6	15	125	23	103	103	1	1	82		2		139	3

Minnesota.....	559	286	845	13	10	23	1,720	38	839	18	4	3	682	2	1	2	1	763	55	7	
Mississippi, northern.....	11	5	16	4	5	9	47	14	14	3	3	3	11	3	1	1	1	14	2	2	
Mississippi, southern.....	58	29	87	16	8	24	164	36	98	12	12	1	76	3	1	1	1	89	2	1	
Missouri, eastern.....	92	49	131	31	16	47	275	72	67	20	12	20	96	1	1	1	1	134	12	4	
Missouri, western.....	182	104	282	71	23	44	645	75	155	30	3	20	267	1	1	1	1	307	12	4	
Montana.....	186	43	131	7	5	12	258	15	133	7	1	1	115	4	1	1	1	117	14	3	
Nebraska.....	161	83	244	13	11	24	557	39	237	11	2	7	271	4	1	1	1	254	9	3	
Nevada.....	3	3	6	3	3	3	9	4	12	7	1	1	7	4	1	1	1	12	12	13	
New Hampshire.....	114	75	189	3	4	7	362	20	186	5	1	3	145	3	1	1	1	136	48	3	
New Jersey.....	148	148	148	29	4	29	27	3	149	24	1	3	121	3	1	1	1	145	15	15	
New Mexico.....	4	6	10	1	6	6	27	3	163	67	1	4	482	12	10	10	1	1,012	19	6	
New York, northern.....	871	1,031	1,031	49	32	71	700	87	1,031	98	3	4	4	12	4	4	1	1,353	7	4	
New York, eastern.....	228	364	364	27	29	96	2,674	331	1,289	112	4	14	1,200	10	4	5	2	1,326	67	15	
New York, southern.....	772	1,240	1,322	89	28	188	2,730	158	363	12	2	6	419	5	1	1	1	1,317	5	2	
New York, western.....	13	31	31	1	2	3	111	25	66	2	2	1	68	1	1	1	1	70	2	3	
North Carolina, eastern.....	29	16	16	4	2	6	16	7	124	10	1	1	176	9	1	1	1	84	2	2	
North Carolina, western.....	89	45	134	1	2	3	204	7	134	3	1	1	138	1	1	1	1	129	16	1	
North Dakota.....	236	428	428	24	24	46	857	64	378	24	5	20	239	8	1	1	1	469	16	8	
Ohio, northern.....	246	162	434	24	24	46	943	65	432	20	5	3	483	8	7	2	1	469	11	1	
Ohio, southern.....	254	175	429	20	21	41	963	65	432	20	5	3	483	8	7	2	1	469	11	1	
Oklahoma.....	34	5	38	15	3	18	39	7	130	10	2	3	125	2	1	1	1	123	34	16	
Oregon.....	104	30	163	61	38	119	322	13	154	97	2	8	332	29	1	3	1	327	33	3	
Pennsylvania, eastern.....	195	182	327	39	36	46	1,014	152	435	35	3	3	443	13	1	2	2	449	33	3	
Pennsylvania, western.....	306	176	482	39	36	46	1,014	152	435	35	3	3	443	13	1	2	2	449	33	3	
Rhode Island.....	38	30	98	2	6	15	186	33	31	4	3	3	40	1	1	1	1	54	7	2	
South Carolina.....	25	12	37	9	6	13	86	33	31	4	4	3	40	1	1	1	1	36	1	1	
South Dakota.....	66	51	117	7	3	9	172	14	118	6	11	3	200	1	1	1	1	188	16	24	
Tennessee, eastern.....	117	83	200	5	7	12	475	18	198	8	2	1	122	1	1	1	1	158	52	34	
Tennessee, middle.....	104	93	197	7	3	10	423	25	196	2	1	1	122	1	1	1	1	122	13	23	
Tennessee, western.....	73	73	73	10	10	10	684	60	267	23	2	9	258	15	3	6	1	60	13	8	
Texas, eastern.....	188	243	243	12	12	32	523	52	230	18	1	4	235	10	1	6	1	232	8	3	
Texas, western.....	161	69	250	12	14	26	347	23	157	8	1	4	131	2	3	1	1	231	10	2	
Utah.....	118	40	158	7	7	9	589	16	291	8	2	2	183	2	1	2	1	144	13	2	
Vermont.....	172	119	291	5	3	8	589	16	291	8	2	2	183	2	1	2	1	178	66	27	
Virginia, eastern.....	2	2	4	4	4	4	9	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	3	1	1	
Virginia, western.....	120	77	197	4	4	8	363	13	229	5	2	2	133	3	1	4	1	157	38	1	
Washington.....	82	49	131	3	4	13	338	41	317	3	2	3	147	3	1	4	1	120	11	1	
West Virginia.....	200	117	317	9	3	5	520	9	317	5	2	3	177	2	1	1	1	192	123	2	
Wisconsin, eastern.....	102	78	180	12	8	20	352	39	180	16	2	2	169	2	1	4	1	166	13	6	
Wisconsin, western.....	68	113	181	5	12	17	266	29	184	17	2	1	136	1	1	1	1	178	6	2	
Wyoming.....	7	5	12	1	1	1	19	2	12	1	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	12	6	1	
Total.....	12,120	8,068	20,128	972	838	1,810	40,570	3,122	20,936	1,225	237	285	17,763	350	71	9	206	50	19,612	2,301	689

1 Incomplete returns.

2 For first six months only.

3 New district.

¹ Incomplete returns.² For first six months only.³ New district.

EXHIBIT B.—Grand total for United States, by

SUMMARY OF REFEREES' REPORTS—

District.	Number of cases.	Assets.				Liabilities.				
		Total.	Cases with as- sets.	Cases with no assets.	Less \$500.	Total.	Less \$100.	Less \$500.	Less \$1,000.	Less \$5,000.
Alabama, northern	201	\$4,913.85	4	250	---	\$326,734.35	49	158	20	20
Alabama, middle	105	37,848.00	4	35	37	589,638.51	11	33	10	17
Alabama, southern	32	75,576.06	13	12	4	301,917.46	---	1	1	11
Alaska	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Arizona	5	11,299.24	4	1	---	60,518.47	---	---	---	1
Arkansas, eastern	115	182,056.42	86	27	53	664,553.08	3	19	15	29
Arkansas, western	21	21,278.94	9	13	2	95,116.86	---	---	---	12
California, northern	297	588,568.52	191	99	109	2,619,433.52	---	28	62	136
California, southern	104	189,551.80	93	7	46	1,109,078.73	---	16	19	40
Colorado	281	358,810.08	117	154	76	6,252,208.80	---	16	25	98
Connecticut	348	1,534,816.66	273	75	81	3,184,117.03	3	---	28	182
Delaware	10	17,282.13	6	3	2	506,306.27	---	---	---	5
District of Columbia	70	134,454.74	37	33	21	1,068,775.00	---	---	6	31
Florida, northern	27	30,131.47	25	2	13	488,702.06	---	---	3	16
Florida, southern	32	63,671.32	16	9	3	276,562.58	---	---	---	2
Georgia, northern	308	576,306.20	151	156	53	1,870,035.25	---	36	42	132
Georgia, southern	52	44,122.56	42	10	28	404,017.53	---	---	6	29
Idaho	32	68,341.80	26	---	2	291,101.39	---	---	---	14
Illinois, northern	2,085	3,148,038.76	1,329	1,353	1,084	43,392,014.41	2	303	408	1,066
Illinois, southern	248	99,386.20	117	131	94	856,680.07	---	25	41	100
Indiana	426	496,281.63	378	58	241	5,094,158.08	---	17	34	213
Indian Territory, north- ern	14	1,061.86	4	10	14	98,689.72	---	1	1	7
Indian Territory, cen- tral	28	85,156.44	21	5	1	165,374.16	---	---	1	14
Indian Territory, south- ern	44	42,281.87	25	15	8	274,202.25	---	4	4	23
Iowa, northern	412	428,438.42	234	131	168	6,636,075.80	---	23	50	228
Iowa, southern	468	230,513.50	148	246	81	3,241,396.68	---	18	68	262
Kansas	213	147,902.11	94	71	51	4,520,459.53	---	20	22	90
Kentucky	500	455,134.65	291	303	91	4,031,355.41	1	77	71	206
Louisiana, eastern	140	538,507.73	103	37	35	1,196,829.97	1	5	15	71
Louisiana, western	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Maine	775	295,650.71	602	173	471	1,586,710.06	2	257	222	145
Maryland	263	950,067.06	195	67	136	2,809,854.24	---	64	42	93
Massachusetts	1,657	4,114,514.63	820	836	405	19,117,567.65	3	100	227	775
Michigan, eastern	159	587,744.41	99	60	46	2,937,052.72	---	1	7	73
Michigan, western	46	110,583.99	37	9	16	300,642.22	---	---	2	25
Minnesota	838	1,524,926.19	645	195	346	8,177,601.19	1	60	87	403
Mississippi, northern	16	7,269.45	5	11	1	132,390.04	---	---	---	1
Mississippi, southern	87	158,552.10	42	45	16	987,795.21	1	---	2	6
Missouri, eastern	61	116,894.08	56	4	31	844,974.07	---	---	---	4
Missouri, western	257	281,681.51	170	85	111	4,163,509.06	---	12	22	107
Montana	114	152,074.34	49	65	23	1,150,954.14	---	10	19	52
Nebraska	261	316,933.50	220	38	130	4,202,140.53	1	3	20	112
Nevada	7	6,366.00	4	3	3	60,017.08	---	---	---	1
New Hampshire	187	284,904.89	85	102	34	836,749.03	1	20	32	89
New Jersey	149	501,345.81	100	48	57	3,687,541.92	---	1	2	42
New Mexico	9	15,594.18	7	1	2	167,573.74	---	---	---	6
New York, northern	899	1,304,956.99	424	462	430	15,129,293.92	1	18	67	418
New York, southern	1,082	4,068,337.13	592	490	402	36,856,831.57	---	9	44	402
New York, eastern	432	420,911.31	254	234	109	8,749,613.63	---	3	15	145
New York, western	212	281,986.45	59	119	37	3,871,356.76	---	6	22	81
North Carolina, eastern	31	24,963.18	24	7	11	102,048.36	---	---	---	21
North Carolina, western	48	52,784.57	27	13	13	1,140,701.48	---	---	1	22
North Dakota	132	327,406.58	124	8	37	833,333.69	---	---	1	10
Ohio, northern	489	653,417.04	269	187	156	6,132,886.26	1	24	40	216
Ohio, southern	407	120,661.49	193	157	151	5,124,950.65	1	24	42	172
Oklahoma	39	30,620.91	16	23	5	211,839.87	---	---	---	3
Oregon	208	661,822.97	146	60	79	1,621,311.65	---	12	28	95
Pennsylvania, eastern	352	536,105.59	231	102	151	13,347,216.03	---	3	4	98
Pennsylvania, western	486	642,524.65	330	128	229	6,960,705.31	1	7	20	157
Rhode Island	63	137,402.98	34	29	14	657,017.31	---	---	---	4
South Carolina	40	89,990.55	37	2	18	283,176.96	---	---	2	19
South Dakota	111	249,347.03	99	12	24	880,808.18	---	3	6	58
Tennessee, eastern	194	193,250.33	128	66	78	851,919.98	1	41	32	76
Tennessee, middle	191	1,716.20	33	158	33	801,492.86	---	89	25	45
Tennessee, western	13	8,746.00	7	6	3	31,840.50	---	5	2	4

districts, year ending September 30, 1900.

VOLUNTARY BANKRUPTCY.

Liabilities.		Dividends.		Expenses.		Adjudi- cations.		When in- solvent prior to years—			Nature of business.						
Less \$20,000.	More than \$20,000.	Total.	Cases.	Total.	Cases.	Pauper cases.	Granted.	Refused.	1890.	1895.	1897.	Farmer.	Wage-earner.	Merchant.	Manufacturer.	Professional.	Miscellaneous.
4	4	\$4,407.57	2	\$6,649.90	21	238	261						249	8			4
12	3	31,633.21	4	1,411.37	49	46	105	4	1	3	15	47	33				10
4	3			579.23	24		14				3	3	33				4
2	1	3,433.13	1	996.53	3		4					1	2				
16	15	10,425.81	6	2,989.70	54	4	109			1	3	30	64				16
3	3	8,180.22	2	1,421.68	16	1	21					17	53				2
15	22	1,361.91	11	7,383.85	146	18	162			9	36	79	30	16	11		116
12	6	40.85	1	1,875.63	53	12	35	4	5	2	18	30	10	8			33
38	53	37,478.02	52	5,908.14	196	221	221	1	1	4	21	119	50	15	13		73
37	34			18,551.33	143	45	280			4	6	21	76	116	15	7	113
2	1											2	2				
12	14	545.74	1	890.13	44	7	27	1	6	4		20	34	1	2		13
2	1			549.50	23		20					2	10		1		12
5	1	342.55	1	317.05	12		17			1		2	3				3
22	19	9,598.40	9	10,606.08	208	33	285		1	1		41	152	86	3	14	12
3	4			1,353.08	42	5	46				13	3	32				4
8	3			578.10	24		28				6	19	3	5		1	4
200	321	5,776.66	3	42,831.30	1,228	147	2,642	2	9	28	44	56	1,620	308	62	91	543
16	12			8,594.39	218	10	226		2	1	6	39	87	30	5	6	81
44	44	3,773.78	10	6,179.39	302	30	219	1	7	19	21	68	109	142	12	12	83
1	2	240.90	1	707.11	14	1	13			1		5	1	4			4
3	2	12,655.04	7	1,645.98	22	1	27				1	1	17	3			6
1	3	900.00	2	1,078.65	38		41			3	3	9	2	31			2
27	20	2,682.30	8	7,407.72	265	11	352		5	6	2	146	98	86	4	7	71
24	19	11,313.49	14	10,424.02	381	11	390		4	7	4	177	92	121	5	10	63
13	30	295.59	2	3,374.71	108	2	195	4				57	64	35	5	8	49
31	64	33,370.56	23	15,444.07	449	38	421	9	24	41	143	198	107	4	16	92	92
17	12	867.01	4	3,176.70	48	37	132				2	10	69	2	3		54
15	12	3,555.51	11	7,272.16	597	190	558		1	5	4	118	503	64	11	13	66
13	15	4,925.33	10	4,478.60	144	76	227		6	4	12	17	127	64	7	6	42
162	149	146,982.13	68	9,571.13	330	238	1,133		4	21	62	21	811	331	62	45	387
23	24	6,536.25	5	4,324.89	121	7	143	1				6	46	63	6	3	35
21	2	24.32	1	359.07	19		31				1	10	24	5	3		4
69	85	12,662.10	13	16,579.94	388	22	631	1	17	13	142	304	169	19	32	172	9
3	1			650.00	13	1	16					1	4	2			9
16	10	4,168.71	4	1,528.52	57		83	1		5	2		25	45		2	15
14	8			767.62	31	2	56			2	6	5	11	28	1		15
31	39	10,077.36	11	4,181.75	245		254				1	26	91	95	3	7	35
11	7	2,844.27	3	1,639.74	106	9	40			1	1	5	63	18	2		26
42	40	20,695.37	14	14,844.77	239	9	185		1		2	74	70	57	3	9	43
1	1			96.00	3		7					1	1	2			3
13	7	6,890.84	4	2,201.07	130	30	152		1	1	2	16	75	60	7	3	23
29	48	3,409.46	3	4,095.49	71	1	80	1	1	1	2	2	14	46	12	4	71
2	2			69.85	3	2	7										4
163	140	33,588.47	19	18,243.31	323	10	703	11	16	18	85	247	213	29	22	303	71
163	256	22,756.88	13	28,744.81	758	36	446	2	14	33	31	10	394	264	41	32	401
72	75	8,869.84	7	8,224.02	287	3	434		7	13	9	3	155	77	17	7	173
23	30	4,647.93	3	3,080.19	66		183			2	2	19	76	55	6	1	55
1	4	4,037.69	4	1,162.00	16		25			2		3	1	20			7
7	4	6,494.66	5	2,705.99	25	1	45			2	5	3	11	33			1
14	7			3,128.48	98		132	1			1	65	28	27	1	3	8
46	53	29,365.41	15	11,870.88	232	5	405	5	14	14	52	119	130	22	12		154
56	38	14,424.22	6	9,452.88	335	10	325	15	30	32	62	134	125	10	9		67
3	1	3,227.86	3	695.62	17		37					8	3	18		1	9
19	18	18,156.03	15	3,823.68	63	18	48	2	1	1	1	47	37	32	5	8	79
61	109	6,387.23	5	4,562.50	129		199	9	17	21	4	77	160	36	6		69
80	61	2,072.94	1	10,922.80	300	13	356	4	7	7	39	138	183	9	12	105	105
10	7	59,402.15	10	365.82	17		62		4	1		1	8	34	3	2	15
8	1	3,662.55	5	1,998.02	27	34		1				5	2	26			7
12	15	240.14	1	1,483.59	47		104		2			42	24	25	3	3	14
8	10	2,378.87	6	4,816.59	141	2	187			1	2	13	119	31	2	8	21
14	8			6,433.95	185	1	191					16	116	45		1	13
1				319.95	12		13					1	6				

EXHIBIT B.—Grand total for United States, by

SUMMARY OF REFEREES' REPORTS—

District.	Number of cases.	Assets.				Liabilities.				
		Total.	Cases with as- sets.	Cases with no assets.	Less \$500.	Total.	Less \$100.	Less \$500.	Less \$1,000.	Less \$5,000. Less \$10,000.
Texas, northern	282	\$301,535.27	96	119	15	\$6,055,602.97	1	9	90	48
Texas, eastern	184	252,625.78	78	106	21	2,457,326.36	9	11	95	31
Texas, western	101	58,685.41	20	75	9	771,378.61	7	9	50	15
Utah	123	550,437.20	118	3	58	3,591,558.39	8	16	41	18
Vermont	390	404,719.47	298	82	193	705,474.08	136	88	116	20
Virginia, eastern	151	54,774.04	95	51	80	995,865.91	1	39	32	44
Virginia, western	147	1,217,227.45	110	35	45	2,144,765.66	8	8	49	37
Washington	250	124,094.33	113	134	91	1,159,370.04	1	81	36	67
West Virginia	188	1,030,604.60	162	23	77	2,665,432.88	7	18	85	28
Wisconsin, eastern	78	272,352.15	67	11	46	720,194.39	5	11	35	9
Wisconsin, western	7	7,357.75	6	1	4	87,740.10	1	1	3	1
Wyoming	7	7,357.75	6	1	4	87,740.10	1	1	3	1
Total	19,540	33,068,771.22	11,107	7,917	6,579	264,979,152.45	86	1,879	2,256	7,861

districts, year ending September 30, 1900.—Continued.

VOLUNTARY BANKRUPTCY—Continued.

Liabilities.		Dividends.		Expenses.			Adjudi- cations.		When in- solvent prior to years—			Nature of business.					
Less \$20,000.	More than \$20,000.	Total.	Cases.	Total.	Cases.	Pauper cases.	Granted.	Refused.	1890.	1895.	1897.	Farmer.	Wage-earner.	Merchant.	Manufacturer.	Professional.	Miscellaneous.
20	46	\$5,891.31	4	\$6,473.17	167	6	226	...	1	46	36	69	...	4	127
17	15	13,647.04	10	9,014.03	131	4	80	1	4	10	...	37	10	113	...	9	25
8	11	7,516.24	5	2,793.14	39	2	101	10	...	25	20	22	...	4	30
14	25	2,327.82	8	1,180.44	74	...	102	3	17	56	21	1	6	22
7	6	12,714.79	13	7,360.50	224	173	314	...	3	58	229	44	10	5	44
8	16	546.79	4	2,748.75	124	29	73	...	5	1	...	17	70	30	1	2	31
26	24	23,543.48	5	2,433.50	58	10	127	...	2	28	22	37	1	5	54
9	15	2,144.00	2	5,932.18	247	88	143	5	141	39	...	2	62
26	22	11,000.10	16	8,209.88	126	12	114	...	3	7	...	1	4	41	69	8	64
8	10	1,894.27	2	1,792.71	60	...	53	2	10	14	36	1	1	16
1	1	368.84	7	...	7	3	...	1	3
1,872	2,191	703,363.00	498	406,141.25	11,010	1,735	15,131	15	141	341	419	2,057	7,516	4,592	361	506	4,435

District.	Number of cases.	Assets.				Liabilities.				
		Total.	Cases with as-sets.	Cases with no assets.	Less \$500.	Total.	Less \$100.	Less \$500.	Less \$1,000.	Less \$5,000.
Alabama, northern										
Alabama, middle	6	\$38,820.00	5	1		\$41,066.00				2
Alabama, southern										
Alaska										
Arizona	2	15,861.30	2			24,984.09				
Arkansas, eastern	8	21,242.87	5	3		44,486.27				4
Arkansas, western	13	46,462.49	12	1		87,367.58				5
California, northern	15	71,164.40	12	3		381,847.25			4	4
California, southern	11	27,564.65	7	4		61,648.92				
Colorado	30	192,539.00	16	4		399,763.81			1	14
Connecticut	24	564,757.24	23	1	1	584,795.36		3		8
Delaware	2	16,737.85	2			33,126.55				
District of Columbia	4	44,743.00	2	2		62,240.37				
Florida, northern	2	2,946.35	1	1		5,297.30			1	1
Florida, southern	9	77,174.73	9	2	2	177,985.67				
Georgia, northern	51	808,721.75	40	11	4	1,151,705.14			1	14
Georgia, southern	32	275,278.97	28	4	2	857,073.05			1	5
Idaho	1	16,169.54	1			16,935.54				
Illinois, northern	68	784,931.46	50	18	2	1,554,398.63			1	13
Illinois, southern	9	22,195.80	4			73,920.42				
Indiana	33	110,680.43	25		3	483,827.10		1	1	4
Indian Territory, northern	4	2,587.70	3		2	8,085.66				
Indian Territory, central										
Indian Territory, southern	4	3,400.00	3		1	26,421.78				2
Iowa, northern	13	46,018.17	7	2		99,485.22			1	5
Iowa, southern	8	5,151.60	1		4	29,384.99				5
Kansas	32	43,381.50	18	9	6	298,505.55		1		15
Kentucky	30	344,395.17	18	10		519,123.27				14
Louisiana, eastern	2	14,282.31	1	1		75,848.78				
Louisiana, western										
Maine	8	968,710.20		1		566,213.40				1
Maryland	6	71,816.32	5		2	84,946.23				1
Massachusetts	66	594,846.07	55	11	8	1,330,109.79				15
Michigan, eastern	8	36,933.34	6	2		66,105.16				3
Michigan, western	7	47,904.96	6	1		83,792.37				
Minnesota	13	69,918.54	13		1	80,589.31				5
Mississippi, northern	3	52.50	2			1,490.51				
Mississippi, southern	24	73,569.22	23	1	3	150,844.76	1	1	2	3
Missouri, eastern	20	168,226.28	15	5	1	251,807.69	1	1	3	3
Missouri, western	31	103,840.42	24	7	1	227,135.95				14
Montana	7	14,574.57	6			40,688.85			1	3
Nebraska	18	215,608.23	10	1		279,159.49				5
Nevada	5	24,034.38	5			67,660.72				2
New Hampshire	5	115,966.42	5		1	204,501.68				2
New Jersey	29	798,122.09	29		1	1,072,944.27			1	
New Mexico										
New York, northern	50	294,670.36	36	9	3	583,738.21			2	14
New York, southern	79	2,229,977.46	61	8	8	3,133,647.21		1	3	16
New York, eastern	59	291,813.89	16	18	1	2,150,408.83				7
New York, western	5	4,859.05	1			72,331.10				1
North Carolina, eastern	4	14,887.02	3		1	43,673.08				
North Carolina, western	7	13,958.36	6			48,734.80				3
North Dakota	3	14,740.27	2			21,079.42				1
Ohio, northern	37	396,199.64	29	5		845,304.56				9
Ohio, southern	17	502,793.95	16		1	590,720.22				3
Oklahoma	9	11,607.31	4	2		26,710.78			3	4
Oregon	4	15,718.81	3	1		33,088.33				1
Pennsylvania, eastern	104	1,440,319.00	88	1	12	4,749,222.37				13
Pennsylvania, western	36	109,961.50	20	2	3	384,158.35			1	4
Rhode Island	12	138,582.68	12		2	221,901.59				2
South Carolina	2	10,661.42	2			19,707.60				
South Dakota	5	19,720.17	5			11,768.94				
Tennessee, eastern	38	261,560.14	35	3	17	501,520.03		3	9	16
Tennessee, middle	5					11,425.59			1	1
Tennessee, western	14	129,002.78	11	3		124,107.89				4
Texas, northern										
Texas, eastern	17	123,394.55	9	8		229,947.38				4
Texas, western	3	3,212.85	2			60,002.01				
Utah	17	219,712.10	15	2	2	779,962.77				7
Vermont	10	99,446.74	10		1	578,630.24				1
Virginia, eastern										

districts, year ending September 30, 1900.

INVOLUNTARY BANKRUPTCY.

Liabilities.			Dividends.		Expenses.			Adjudica- tions.		Nature of business.					
Less \$10,000.	Less \$20,000.	More than \$20,000.	Total.	Cases.	Total.	Cases.	Pauper cases.	Granted.	Refused.	Farmer.	Wage-earner.	Merchant.	Manufacturer.	Professional.	Miscellaneous.
2	1							5	1			6			
1	1		\$7,990.20	1	\$1,162.10	1		1				2			
1	1	1						8				8			
3	3	1	18,184.68	7	3,094.83	10		13				13			
2	3	1	609.75	1	625.50	4		5				10			
2	8	1			146.05	1		1				6			
4	7	5	12,039.56	3	274.62	7		18				22	1		
3	1	1	6,276.39	7	1,163.66	7		18			3	16	1		
2	1	1	5,416.50	1	1,114.18	1	1					1			
5	2	2	14,233.33	4	2,087.94	5		5	1			4			
7	9	10	20,000.21	10	16,542.59	38		46		1	2	33			
8	5	12	15,957.53	3	688.08	3		32				9	11		
1	1							1				1	4		
10	12	21	23,468.43	5	3,905.07	21		68			2	11	6		
1	1	2			120.00	1		6				5			
4	7	4	2,504.63	4	127.66	7		15			1	21	3		
			768.12	2	437.26	4		4				4			
1	1				188.00	2		4				3			
4	2	1	11,409.55	5	1,481.00	6		12			2	7			
	1		906.57	1	187.41	4		7				7			
5	2	4	3,911.32	2	996.21	6		24				25			
5	7	4	12,974.92	7	3,331.38	10		23			1	19		1	
		1						1				1			
4	1	2	1,152.02	1	742.93	6		3		1		5	1		
2	1	1						5				4			
11	14	16	53,283.52	3	36.76	8	1	48		1	6	27	7	1	24
2	1	1			204.40	6		7				6			
1	4	1	8,394.78	2	356.15	1		6	1		1	4	2		
5	21		1,191.63	1	522.18	3		11				11			
					150.00	3		2				3			
6	3	1	2,795.52	1	848.21	3		13				22			
2	3	3			47.52	2		12			1	16			
9	4	1	36,945.78	13	7,677.76	28		27	2		2	24	2		
2	1		734.65	1	50.90	4		3				3			
1		6	39,147.12	5	1,638.70	7		8				10			
1		2						5				5			
1	1	1						1				2	1	1	
1	9	11	9,600.46	2	3,296.04	14		14				13	8		
9	6	9	161.44	1	801.17	13		22			5	23	2		
12	19	21	16,500.40	4	2,547.28	39		20			5	28	12		
2	4	13	11,018.28	2	306.00	7		39			1	20	9		
8	1		4,200.32	1	658.63	1		5				3	1		
1	2	1	11,568.59	4	892.65	2		2				1			
3	1		8,490.62	5	2,074.90	5		7							
1	1							3				2		1	
12	7	4	15,607.59	6	2,192.81	8		24			4	17	3		
5	3	4	8,976.46	6	2,170.41	6		6			1	11	2		
2			4,434.22	2	623.17	2		9				6			
2			5,243.48	3	272.07	1									
20	30	24	31,357.95	14	3,206.57	17		64			6	53	14	1	
12	7	5	4,922.05	4	1,155.00	7		19			2	26		2	
4	3	3	35,723.90	6	1,059.26	2		11			1	6	1		
	1		7,304.70	1	1,876.12	1		1				1			
1								5				3			
5		7	3,671.12	8	1,855.90	30		37		3	11	16	1	1	
1								5				4			
6	4		4,666.32	2	505.05	10		12				12			
3		3	1,114.48	1	1,818.00	6					2	11			
1	1	1	1,502.38	2	451.77	3		3							
3	3	4	14,928.93	8	717.53	5		15				7			
4	3	2	5,201.01	2	2,633.33	4	1	7			2	4	1		

EXHIBIT C.—Grand total for United States, by

SUMMARY OF REFEREES' REPORTS—

District.	Number of cases.	Assets.				Liabilities.				
		Total.	Cases with as- sets.	Cases with no assets.	Less \$500.	Total.	Less \$100.	Less \$500.	Less \$1,000.	Less \$5,000.
Virginia, western	5	\$14,730.00	5			\$17,086.65				
Washington	16	94,862.62	14	2	2	145,408.90				6
West Virginia	3	14,024.76	3			25,188.22				
Wisconsin, eastern	17	117,285.90	15	2	4	177,738.91				6
Wisconsin, western	6	38,789.21	5	1		119,006.04				1
Wyoming										
Total	1,242	13,433,209.45	944	200	108	27,179,001.20	2	8	41	329

districts, year ending September 30, 1900—Continued.

INVOLUNTARY BANKRUPTCY—Continued.

Liabilities.			Dividends.		Expenses.			Adjudica- tion.		Nature of business.					
Less \$10,000.	Less \$30,000.	More than \$30,000.	Total.	Cases.	Total.	Cases.	Pauper cases.	Granted.	Refused.	Farmer.	Wage-earner.	Merchant.	Manufacturer.	Professional.	Miscellaneous.
3	1	3	\$4,017.05	2	\$52.80	2	—	3	—	—	—	3	—	—	2
3	2	—	—	—	128.30	4	—	11	1	1	—	9	1	—	4
3	1	4	2,894.46	2	248.32	1	—	5	—	—	—	9	3	—	—
3	1	1	—	—	1,282.07	2	—	6	—	—	—	6	—	—	5
250	240	232	513,481.92	178	82,118.20	400	3	833	6	7	64	694	102	9	366

EXHIBIT D.—Summary of referees' reports of cases closed during year ending
September 30, 1900.

District.	No. of cases.	Total assets.	Total liabilities.
Alabama, northern	600	\$4,568.31	\$715,640.85
Alabama, middle	143	58,568.00	700,079.65
Alabama, southern			
Arizona	9	21,481.39	136,182.30
Arkansas, eastern	33	56,911.95	398,813.59
Arkansas, western			
California, northern	221	168,527.00	2,326,703.41
California, southern	147	729,157.56	1,885,254.05
Colorado	222	122,018.88	3,604,070.36
Connecticut	220	782,748.59	2,209,062.26
Delaware	6	5,545.58	37,333.39
District of Columbia	7	8,624.75	40,890.01
Florida, northern	9	4,274.01	56,854.59
Florida, southern	40	80,411.52	278,987.84
Georgia, northern	174	722,475.93	3,724,128.88
Georgia, southern			
Idaho	16	46,309.95	176,405.50
Illinois, northern	2,110	877,347.31	35,219,635.25
Illinois, southern	188	54,784.26	715,132.69
Indiana	333	1,134,859.25	5,250,932.59
Indian Territory, northern	4	3,443.72	121,964.78
Indian Territory, central	5	2,287.61	38,806.39
Indian Territory, southern	22	11,185.23	255,252.96
Iowa, northern	186	111,558.57	609,067.47
Iowa, southern	308	48,576.02	1,375,962.82
Kansas	207	166,369.57	3,224,495.23
Kentucky	548	408,569.34	4,328,470.05
Louisiana, eastern	99	83,063.59	545,415.59
Louisiana, western	388	144,498.43	774,843.30
Maine			
Maryland	150	49,561.94	1,036,124.03
Massachusetts	1,059	1,085,421.12	15,639,309.98
Michigan, eastern	170	712,128.03	5,423,452.63
Michigan, western	28	23,728.28	256,577.19
Minnesota	375	528,754.18	5,290,270.31
Mississippi	20	10,906.56	147,189.80
Missouri, eastern	100	57,545.29	1,588,406.76
Missouri, western	213	221,221.34	3,879,335.43
Montana	66	5,616.03	268,430.05
Nebraska	167	281,464.04	5,304,229.67
Nevada	6	6,214.88	63,894.66
New Hampshire	08	6,108.66	353,966.63
New Jersey			
New Mexico	4	2,005.72	143,618.59
New York, northern	150	143,989.14	3,686,721.59
New York, southern	771	1,774,526.72	22,930,005.88
New York, eastern	211	344,464.38	4,613,119.11
New York, western	246	61,422.54	2,584,917.29
North Carolina, eastern			
North Carolina, western	35	41,048.94	736,780.77
North Dakota	112	219,719.31	828,661.40
Ohio, northern	242	169,714.62	3,850,768.60
Ohio, southern	303	99,088.23	3,062,376.80
Oklahoma	23	3,715.00	153,177.00
Oregon	70	81,765.41	270,562.99
Pennsylvania, eastern	243	169,122.34	6,370,946.00
Pennsylvania, western	191	129,601.17	2,171,736.11
Rhode Island	40	33,424.24	304,668.84
South Carolina	19	31,254.21	165,132.18
South Dakota	73	128,547.08	571,527.62
Tennessee, eastern	174	300,366.24	1,269,695.92
Tennessee, middle			
Tennessee, western			
Texas, northern	130	557,985.07	8,072,137.72
Texas, eastern	45	51,663.40	328,614.76
Texas, western	84	65,774.31	792,057.58
Utah	76	362,459.45	2,081,346.10
Vermont	61	42,111.38	198,151.02
Virginia, eastern			
Virginia, western	86	8,639.72	698,785.01
Washington	79	77,444.29	1,315,032.41
West Virginia	118	90,700.92	568,966.58
Wisconsin, eastern	51	79,869.82	306,799.94
Wisconsin, western	25	8,335.80	340,542.33
Wyoming			
Total	12,339	13,925,656.82	177,090,513.06

EXHIBIT V.—*Report of the commission to revise and codify the criminal and penal laws of the United States.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., November 15, 1900.

SIR: The commission to revise and codify the criminal and penal laws of the United States respectfully report as follows:

With our annual report, bearing date November 10, 1899, we submitted a revision and codification of those chapters of the Revised Statutes which relate to the organization and jurisdiction of the courts of the United States. This was transmitted by you to Congress and referred to the Committees on the Judiciary. Owing to the pressure of more urgent matters, these committees did not find it practicable to enter upon an examination of the report, and in the Senate a motion was adopted that it be returned through you to the commission for the purpose of hearing suggestions from bar associations and others.

In furtherance of this object we addressed a communication to the bar associations of the several States and a number of the principal cities of the Union, as follows:

WASHINGTON, D. C., August 6, 1900.

DEAR SIR: An act of Congress approved March 3, 1899, provided that "It shall be the duty of the commission appointed to revise and codify the criminal and penal laws of the United States to revise and codify the laws concerning the jurisdiction and practice of the courts of the United States, including the judiciary act, the acts in amendment thereof and supplementary thereto, and all acts providing for the removal, appeal, and transfer of causes."

In pursuance of this provision the commission reported to the Attorney-General, in December last, a revision of those chapters of the judiciary title concerning the organization and jurisdiction of the courts, which was printed as Senate document No. 49, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary. In March, on the motion of Senator Hoar, chairman of the committee, this report was returned to the commission. In explanation of this action Mr. Hoar said:

"The commission made only a partial report. The American Bar Association and the Bar Association of Cincinnati and other associations have desired a hearing upon some matters connected with this report. The bar associations of several other cities, I think including St. Louis—at any rate including, I know, Philadelphia and one or two others—Chicago—are taking steps with a view to appointing committees to be heard. It is absolutely out of the question that a subject which will occasion so much debate shall be dealt with by the Senate at the present session of Congress. * * * We think it best, therefore, that the commission shall hear these communications from the bar associations and make their report complete before the Senate shall take it up for action."

This language indicates that the Committee on the Judiciary will not be disposed to grant hearings respecting the work of the commission after it shall have been reported. It is the purpose of this communication to direct attention to the importance of action by the bar associations of the Union in aid of the labors of the commission. The entire subject of the organization of the courts of the United States and their procedure is now open, and may not be again for many years. This consideration alone is sufficient to justify the expectation that the intelligence and experience of the bar will be enlisted in contributing to the perfection of the work now in hand; and it is earnestly requested that your association will forward suggestions in this behalf; or the commission will be pleased to fix a date for a conference with any representatives of your association who may desire to be heard. As it is our desire to complete our labors at the earliest possible date, we take the liberty of suggesting that you refer the matter to an appropriate committee, or appoint a special committee for the purpose.

Among the changes in the present statutes respecting United States courts that were embraced in the report submitted as mentioned, or have since been favorably considered by the commission, are the following:

1. The consolidation of all original jurisdiction in the district courts.
2. Provision for additional district judges in those districts where they will be needed to insure the prompt transaction of business.
3. That the circuit courts be made the intermediate courts of review instead of the circuit courts of appeals.

4. That the circuit courts shall consist of the Justice of the Supreme Court assigned to the circuit and two circuit judges or three circuit judges.

5. That an additional circuit judge be appointed each for the first and fourth circuits, so that each circuit shall have three circuit judges.

6. That the circuit judges be relieved of duties at nisi prius.

7. That the salaries of judges be increased as follows: Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, \$15,500; associate justices, \$15,000; circuit judges, \$9,000; district judges, \$7,500.

8. A uniform system of appeals to the circuit courts and the Supreme Court of the United States from the courts of last resort in the Territories.

A number of changes of minor importance have been drafted with a view to embodying them in the revision, and among these are provisions for the employment of stenographers for the district courts, the service of venires by registered letter, an amendment to the laws relating to appeals in habeas corpus, etc.

In addition to the above the abolition of the writ of error, simplification of forms of indictments, and the reform of chancery practice are questions upon which an expression of views is respectfully solicited.

Yours, respectfully,

A. C. BOTKIN.
D. K. WATSON.
W. D. BYNUM.

The replies thus far received, while they show that the work of the commission does not fail to excite interest, are not prolific in answers to the specific matters upon which we especially requested an expression of views or in suggestions of other changes in existing laws. This is presumably due in part to the activities of an absorbing political campaign, and we do not abandon the hope that the bar associations and individual members of the profession will yet manifest a disposition to cooperate in the task which has been devolved upon this commission in relation to the organization and practice of our Federal courts.

Since the submission of our previous report, which was limited to those chapters of the Revised Statutes which relate to the organization and jurisdiction of the courts of the United States, we have revised the remaining chapters of the judiciary title and also the one on extradition, which it is believed may more properly be taken up in this connection than separated, as it is in the present Revised Statutes. In the revision of chapter 18, entitled "Procedure," we are firmly convinced of the importance of changes of a somewhat radical nature. The United States is behind nearly all of the States, and notably of England and her colonies, in the reformation of the practice of its courts. A simple, and perhaps the best, expedient would be to conform the practice of the United States courts in all respects to that of the States in which they are respectively held; but an obstacle to this is found in the decisions of the Supreme Court that the Constitution recognizes and establishes the distinction between law and equity as defined in that country from which we derive our knowledge of these principles. Nevertheless it is competent for Congress to introduce material reforms in the interest of convenience, simplicity, and uniformity. We regard the embodiment of such reforms in the revision as the most important duty that has been devolved upon this commission, and realize in a high degree the benefit and assistance we would receive from the opinions of the bench and bar. In the expectation that such expressions will soon be furnished, we have not deemed it desirable to conclude our labors on this subject for submission herewith.

In embodying under the appropriate chapters the laws passed by the present Congress establishing courts for Porto Rico and Hawaii we have observed certain omissions or ambiguities, which it is to be feared may lead to embarrassment or more serious results.

Section 33 of the act "temporarily to provide revenues and a civil government for Porto Rico, and for other purposes," approved April 12, 1900, confirms the authority of existing tribunals, which may be here designated as the Territorial courts. Section 34 establishes a district court with the jurisdiction of district and circuit courts of the United States, and provides "that the laws of the United States relating to appeals, writs of error and certiorari, removal of causes, and other matters and proceedings as between the courts of the United States and the courts of the several States shall govern in such matters and proceedings as between the district court of the United States and the courts of Porto Rico." Section 35 is as follows:

That writs of error and appeals from the final decisions of the supreme court of Porto Rico and the district court of the United States shall be allowed, and may be taken to the Supreme Court of the United States in the same manner and under the same regulations and in the same cases as from the supreme courts of the Territories of the United States; and such writs of error and appeal shall be allowed in all cases where the Constitution of the United States or a treaty thereof or an act of Congress is brought in question and a right claimed thereunder is denied, etc.

There is no provision for appeals to the circuit court of appeals, and it would follow that in a large class of cases there would be no means of review whatever. This would be a manifest hardship, especially in cases determined by the district court of the United States, which is presided over by a single judge. The concluding clause of the above seems to be a limitation upon the language preceding, and the effect would be to bar appeals in certain cases in which they are now allowed from the supreme courts of the Territories of the United States.

Section 81 of an act "to provide a government for the Territory of Hawaii," approved April 30, 1900, provides that the judicial power of the Territory shall be vested in one supreme court, a circuit court, and in such inferior courts as the legislature may from time to time establish. Section 86 establishes a district court with the jurisdiction of district and circuit courts of the United States, and provides as follows:

Writs of error and appeals from said district court shall be had and allowed to the circuit court of appeals in the ninth judicial circuit in the same manner as writs of error and appeals are allowed from circuit courts to circuit courts of appeals as provided by law, and the laws of the United States relating to juries and jury trials shall be applicable to said district courts. The laws of the United States relating to appeals, writs of error, removal of causes, and other matters and proceedings as between the courts of the United States and the courts of the several States shall govern in such matters and proceedings as between the courts of the United States and the courts of the Territory of Hawaii.

No express provision is found in the above authorizing appeals in any case from the district court to the Supreme Court of the United States, an omission which it is believed could not have been intentional. Neither is it certain that the language of the sentence last quoted confers any right of appeal from the supreme court of Hawaii to the circuit court of appeals or to the Supreme Court of the United States, and the act contains no other provision on the subject; yet it is scarcely to be presumed that Congress meant to deny to that Territory a right that is enjoyed by all others.

In the revision which we will submit an effort will be made to reduce appeals and writs of error from the courts of all the Territories to the courts of the United States to a uniform system, which is now wanting; but in the meantime we direct attention to the above matters, in the conviction that Congress may see fit to remedy the defects mentioned without further delay.

The revision and codification of the criminal and penal laws of the United States has been substantially completed, lacking only some additions to the marginal references to cases construing the text, and a final examination with a view to a more proportionate adjustment of penalties. A grave embarrassment was found in the progress of the work in a cause to which your attention has already been directed. In the titles relating to customs, internal revenue, pensions, and numerous others, penal provisions are found so connected with non-penal provisions that their separation is a task of great difficulty. Months of labor have been expended in this behalf, but it can not be said that the result is satisfactory. In a number of States all laws imposing penalties have been collected in a single code, and there are not wanting considerations in support of such an arrangement. This involves, however, corresponding changes in the non-penal statutes which would only be practicable by means of a general revision.

During the first session of the present Congress a bill was introduced "to provide for the revision and codification of the permanent and general laws of the United States." This was considered by the Committee on the Revision of the Laws, who reported it favorably to the House of Representatives, and it unanimously passed that body. Upon being transmitted to the Senate it was referred to the Committee on Revision, and it is presumed that it will be reported by that committee at an early day of the approaching session.

The importance of the work proposed by the pending bill has been strongly impressed upon us in the course of our labors. The revision of the general and permanent laws of the United States, which was passed by Congress on the 22d day of June, 1874, embraced the statutes of the United States of a general and permanent nature in force on the 1st day of December, 1873. A second edition was published under the editorship of Hon. George S. Boutwell, which included laws of a general and permanent nature in force on the 4th of March, 1877. In the twenty-three years that have intervened there has been more general legislation enacted by Congress than during the entire preceding history of the Government under the Constitution. The consequent condition of the statutes is such that it is practically impossible for a layman to determine what the law is on any given subject, while to practitioners it is often a task of serious difficulty. Again, the statute books are encumbered with a mass of provisions, some of which are obsolete or redundant, and others conflicting. It is a manifest desideratum that such provisions should be eliminated or reconciled.

There are laws now in force providing for a revision of the criminal and penal laws, the laws relating to the organization, jurisdiction, and practice of the United States courts, and the laws concerning patents and copyrights, while a bill is pending for a revision of the pension laws. It is respectfully submitted that a fragmentary revision must fail in the object to be sought, and can only serve to add further elements of confusion to the present chaos of our statutory law.

As the pending bill provides for the revision and codification of all general laws of the United States, its passage by Congress would materially affect our labors in the revision of the criminal and penal laws, notably as to the arrangement, and also in other important respects. We have deemed it expedient, therefore, to postpone the completion and submission of the report, and pursue our work in other directions, in the expectation that Congress will soon manifest its will in the premises.

The revision of the criminal and penal laws of the United States was the purpose for which this commission was originally constituted. It has been delayed, first, by the act of Congress authorizing the commission to prepare a system of statutory laws for the district of Alaska, and second, by the act directing us to revise the laws relating to the organization, jurisdiction, and practice of United States courts. Each of these additional duties consumed considerable time, notwithstanding which the original work of the commission has been pressed forward with all possible diligence. In view of the fact that there will necessarily be a considerable interval before the result of our labors can become a law, we feel justified in calling attention to the following condition, to the end that Congress may, in the meantime, provide a remedy if it shall see fit:

Section 3 of the act of March 3, 1825, provided "that if any offense shall be committed in any of the places aforesaid, the punishment of which offense is not especially provided for by any law of the United States, such offense shall, upon conviction in any court of the United States having cognizance thereof, be liable to and receive the same punishment as the laws of the State in which such fort, dock yard, navy-yard, arsenal, armory, magazine, or other place ceded as aforesaid is situated provide for the like offense when committed within the body of any county of such State." The terms "in any of the places aforesaid" and "ceded as aforesaid" referred to the first and second sections of the same act, which provide for the punishment of specific offenses against the laws of the United States when committed in any place or needful building of the United States the site whereof is ceded to and under the jurisdiction of the United States.

In *United States v. Paul* (6 Peters, 141) the court held that the words "the same punishment as the laws of the State in which said fort," etc., "ceded as aforesaid is situated" are to be limited to the laws of the several States in force at the time of the enactment of the statute. Following that decision the circuit court for the southern district of New York held, in *United States v. Barney et al.* (5 Blatch., 294), that the law quoted was confined to offenses committed in places the sites whereof had been ceded to and were under the jurisdiction of the United States at the time of its enactment. This decision was rendered in February, 1866, and it was presumably in consequence of that decision that Congress passed the act of April 5, 1866, inserting the words "or may hereafter be" before the words "ceded to and under the jurisdiction," etc. The law just mentioned is section 5391 of the Revised Statutes.

Later, another infirmity was found in the act, in the fact that it did not apply to places over which jurisdiction had been retained by the United States, as in the case of many of the Indian and military reservations. This was sought to be cured by the act of July 7, 1898 (30 Stat. L., 717), but in the act last mentioned the words "or may hereafter be" are omitted. It follows that the section can not be invoked as to an offense committed in a place ceded to the United States since the date of that act. The suggestion is respectfully submitted that Congress may wisely supply this omission, which may serve to give immunity to crimes of a grave nature.

The codes for the district of Alaska which were prepared by this commission, after having been considered by the two Houses of Congress, have been passed and received the approval of the President, the criminal code and the code of criminal procedure on the 3d of

March, 1899, and the civil code and the code of civil procedure on the 6th of June, 1900. Information from members of the bench and bar and other citizens of Alaska is to the effect that these codes have been found highly convenient and efficient in operation, and that few, if any, changes are deemed to be desirable.

Hon. David B. Culberson, a member of this commission, died at his home in Jefferson, Tex., on the 9th of May, 1900. A minute of his life and public services was ordered to be entered upon the journal of the commission, as follows:

That we learn with profound regret of the death of Hon. David B. Culberson, a member of this commission, which occurred at Jefferson, Tex., on the 9th of May, 1900. The deceased was born in Troup County, Ga., on the 29th of September, 1830. He was educated at Brownwood, in that State, and studied law under Chief Justice Chilton, of Alabama. In 1856 he removed to Texas, and in 1859 was elected a member of the legislature of that State. As a member of the convention of 1861 he voted against the ordinance for the secession of Texas. Later he entered the Confederate army, and was promoted to the rank of colonel of the Eighteenth Texas Infantry. In 1864 he was assigned to duty as adjutant-general of the State, and in the same year was again elected a member of the State legislature. In 1874 he was chosen a Member of the Forty-fourth Congress, and served in pursuance of successive reelections to the close of the Fifty-fourth Congress, a period of twenty-two years. For six years he was chairman of the House Committee on the Judiciary. He was appointed a member of this commission at its first organization, and was serving in that capacity at the time of his death. His late associates enter this memorial on the journal in expression of their personal regard and of their high appreciation of his superior ability, his thorough and comprehensive learning, his nobility of character, and the invaluable services that he contributed to the performance of the responsible duties visited upon the commission.

Very respectfully,

ALEX. C. BOTKIN,
DAVID K. WATSON,
WM. D. BYNUM,
Commissioners.

Hon. JOHN W. GRIGGS,
Attorney-General of the United States.

The exhibits are a part of this report.

JOHN W. GRIGGS,
Attorney-General.

INDEX.

A.	
	Page.
A, Exhibit.....	66
Addystone Pipe and Steel Co. v. The United States.....	5
Adula, The.....	29
Alcohol in the arts, rebate of tax.....	56
Appeals in criminal cases.....	4
Appropriations under control of Department, general statement.....	81
Architect of Capitol, report of.....	255
Atlanta, Ga., penitentiary.....	38
Attorneys, United States, regular assistant.....	179
Attorneys, United States, salaries of, and assistants and clerks.....	316
Attorneys, United States, special assistant, list of.....	181
B.	
B 1, Exhibit.....	68
B 2, Exhibit.....	70
Bankruptcy matters, report on.....	386
Benito Estenger, The.....	31
Boske v. Comingore.....	20
Bounty cases, naval.....	56
Buena Ventura, The.....	25
Building, new Department.....	34
C.	
C 1, Exhibit.....	72
Carlos F. Roses, The.....	32
Carter v. Roberts.....	16
Circuit courts of appeals, cases docketed, disposed of, and pending.....	49
Circuit and district courts of the United States:	
Civil suits to which the United States was a party, pending and terminated during the year.....	66
Criminal prosecutions pending and terminated during year.....	68, 70
Amount arising from judgments, fines, forfeitures, etc., during year....	72, 74, 76
Number of civil suits to which the United States was not a party, commenced and terminated during year.....	78
Amount of judgments in civil suits to which United States was not a party during year.....	80
Claims against District of Columbia.....	51
Claims, Congressional and departmental cases.....	50, 51
Claims, Court of, business of.....	34, 49
Claims, Court of, proceedings under act giving circuit and district courts concurrent jurisdiction with.....	53
Claims, French spoliation.....	51
Claims, Indian depredation.....	34, 57
Clerks of courts, emoluments and office expenses.....	380
Columbia, District of, claims against.....	51
Columbia, District of, jail in.....	39, 250
Commission to revise and codify the criminal and penal laws of the United States.....	39
Contingent expenses, Department of Justice.....	108

	Page.
Court of appeals, District of Columbia, business of	49
Court of Claims	34
Court of Claims, cases specially mentioned	55
Court of Claims, report of the Assistant Attorney-General in charge of the business of the Department in	49
Court of Private Land Claims, report of United States attorney for	59
Court, Supreme, business of	3
Court, Supreme, important decisions	5
Criminal appeals to Supreme Court	4
Criminal and penal laws of the United States, revision of	39
Criminal procedure, reform in	40
Cruickshank v. Bidwell	15

D.

D, Exhibit	78, 80
Department building	34
Depredations, Indian	34, 57
Dewey v. The United States	23
District courts of the United States. (See Circuit and district courts.)	
District of Columbia, claims against	51
District of Columbia, Girl's Reform School in	41, 239
District of Columbia, jail	39, 250
District of Columbia, Reform School in	41, 230
District of Columbia court of appeals, business of	49

E.

E, Exhibit	81
Exhibits, list of	42
Exhibit 1	49
Exhibit 2	49
Exhibit 3	57
Exhibit 4	59
Exhibit A	66
Exhibit B 1	68
Exhibit B 2	70
Exhibit C 1	72
Exhibit D	78
Exhibit D (supplement)	80
Exhibit E	81
Exhibit F	100
Exhibit F 1	102
Exhibit F 2	104
Exhibit F 3	106
Exhibit F 4	108
Exhibit G	179
Exhibit H	183
Exhibit I	199
Exhibit J	207
Exhibit K	216
Exhibit L	230
Exhibit M	239
Exhibit N	250
Exhibit O	255
Exhibit P	256
Exhibit Q	312
Exhibit R	316
Exhibit S	330
Exhibit T	380
Exhibit T (summary)	380
Exhibit U	386
Exhibit V	401
Ex parte Baez	16
Expenses and salaries of United States marshals	332
Expenses, contingent, Department of Justice	108
Expenses of clerks of United States courts	380

INDEX.

409

	Page.
Expenses of Federal courts.....	100, 102, 104, 106
Expenses of United States attorneys' offices.....	316

F.

F, Exhibit.....	100
F 1, Exhibit.....	102
F 2, Exhibit.....	104
F 3, Exhibit.....	106
F 4, Exhibit.....	108
Fidelity Trust and Safe Deposit Co. <i>v.</i> McLain.....	20
Fitzpatrick <i>v.</i> United States.....	17
Fort Leavenworth Penitentiary.....	36-216
Fort Leavenworth Penitentiary, new.....	37-217
French spoliation claims.....	51

G.

G, Exhibit.....	179
Girls, Reform School for, District of Columbia.....	41-239
Guido, The.....	25

H.

H, Exhibit.....	183
High <i>v.</i> Coyne.....	19

I.

I, Exhibit.....	199
Indian depredation claims.....	34
Indian depredation claims, report of the assistant attorney-general in charge of.....	57
Indians, Mission, report of special attorney for.....	312

J.

J, Exhibit.....	207
Jail, District of Columbia.....	39
Jail, District of Columbia, report of warden.....	250

K.

K, Exhibit.....	216
Kansas Pacific Railway.....	35
Knowlton <i>v.</i> Moore.....	18

L.

L, Exhibit.....	230
La Abra Silver Mining Co. <i>v.</i> United States.....	7
Land Claims, Court of Private.....	34
Leovy <i>v.</i> United States.....	14
Letter carriers' cases.....	55
Librarian, report of.....	199
Lola, The.....	26

M.

M, Exhibit.....	239
McNeils Island, Washington, Penitentiary.....	38
Marshals, their deputies and clerks, salaries and expenses of.....	330
Motes <i>v.</i> United States.....	21
Mission Indians, report of special attorney for.....	312
Murdock <i>v.</i> Ward.....	20

N.

Naval bounty cases.....	56
N, Exhibit.....	250
Newfoundland, The.....	28

	Page.
O.	
O, Exhibit.....	255
P.	
Panama, The	30
Paquete, Habana, The.....	26
Pardons, report of attorney in charge of.....	256
P, Exhibit.....	256
Pacific Railroad matters	35
Pedro, The	23
Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia	38
Penitentiary, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas.....	36-216
Penitentiary, McNeils Island, Washington	38
Penitentiary, new, at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas	37-217
Prisoners, United States	35-207
Private Land Claims, Court of.....	34
Report of the United States attorney for	59
Prize cases	23
Q.	
Q, Exhibit.....	312
R.	
R, Exhibit.....	316
Rebate of tax on alcohol.....	56
Reform in criminal procedure	40
Reform School, District of Columbia.....	41
Reform School, District of Columbia, report of trustees.....	230
Reform School for Girls, District of Columbia	41
Reform School for Girls, District of Columbia, report of trustees	239
Revision of the criminal and penal laws of the United States	39
Rider v. United States	13
S.	
S, Exhibit	330
Salaries and expenses of United States marshals, their deputies and clerks..	330
Sherman v. United States	20
Solicitor of the Treasury, report of.....	183
Suits on Treasury transcripts, other than post-office cases	186
Post-office suits.....	188
Suits on custom-house bonds	189
Suits for fines, penalties, and forfeitures under the customs-revenue and navigation laws	190
Suits against collectors of customs and other Federal officers, including appeals from decisions of Board of General Appraisers	191
Miscellaneous suits	192
Summary of business arising from suits, etc	194
Supreme Court, appeals from the Court of Claims	4-55
Supreme Court, business of	3
Supreme Court, important decisions in.....	5
T.	
T, Exhibit	380
U.	
U, Exhibit.....	386
United States circuit and district courts. (See Circuit and district courts.)	
United States courts, emoluments and expenses of clerks of.....	380
United States courts, expenses of, advances to marshals.....	100, 102, 104, 106
United States district attorneys, assistants and special assistants to, names and compensation	179-181

INDEX.

411

	Page.
United States district attorneys, their assistants and clerks, salaries and expenses.....	316
United States marshals, their deputies and clerks, salaries and expenses....	330
United States prisoners and prisons.....	35-207
United States prisoners, detailed statistics.....	207
United States v. The Bellingham Bay Boom Co.....	12
United States v. Harris.....	15
United States v. Mrs. Gue Lim.....	33
United States v. The Northern Pacific Railroad Company.....	11
United States v. The Oregon and California Railroad Company.....	10

V.

V, Exhibit.....	401
-----------------	-----

W.

Weil et al. v. United States.....	7
-----------------------------------	---

O

